

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Traistaru, Iulia

Working Paper Transmission channels of business cycles synchronization in an enlarged EMU

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 18-2004

Provided in Cooperation with: ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Traistaru, Iulia (2004) : Transmission channels of business cycles synchronization in an enlarged EMU, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 18-2004, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39537

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Iulia Traistaru

Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in an Enlarged EMU

orkinc/ **B** 18

Transmission Channels of

Business Cycles Synchronization

in an Enlarged EMU

Iulia Traistaru

University of Bonn, Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI), Walter-Flex-Str. 3 D-53113 Bonn, Germany

> Tel.: +49-228-73 1886 Fax: +49-228-73 1809 E-mail: traistaru@uni-bonn.de

> > July 2004

Abstract

The accession of Central European countries to the European Union implies the possibility of euro area membership once the Maastricht nominal convergence criteria will be met. This raises the question about costs and benefits of an enlarged euro area. In particular, the prospects for structural and cyclical convergence in an enlarged euro area have been little investigated so far. How synchronized are business cycles between the Central European new EU countries (CE-EU-8) and current euro area members? How is business cycles synchronization transmitted across these countries? This paper investigates the degree of business cycles synchronization between the current and future euro area member states over the period 1990-2003 and analyses the similarity of economic structures and bilateral trade intensity as main transmission channels. Using band-pass filtered GDP data, I find that business cycles between the CE-EU-8 countries and euro area members are less correlated in comparison to the current euro area members. In the group of the CE-EU-8 countries, over the analyzed period, business cycles in Hungary, Poland and Slovenia were closer correlated with the economic activity fluctuations in the current euro area members. The econometric analysis indicates that similarity of economic structures and bilateral trade intensity were positively and significantly associated with business cycles correlations. This result is robust to different groups of country pairs and estimation techniques. These empirical findings suggest that, to the extent shocks are country – specific, a common monetary policy might have asymmetric effects in an euro area extended early to the new EU members. This policy implication needs however two qualifications: the cost of adopting a common monetary policy depends first, on the extent to which the exchange rate can be used as an efficient shock absorber and second, on the extent to which monetary policy can be used effectively to stabilizing economic activity. Furthermore, the relationship between similarity of economic structures, bilateral trade intensity, on the one hand, and, business cycles synchronization, on the other hand, is found endogenous suggesting that, in the long term, convergence of economic structures and trade growth are expected. If the adoption of the euro will be well prepared it will bring significant benefits to the new EU countries.

Key Words:

Economic and Monetary Integration, Optimum Currency Area, Business Cycles, Sectoral Specialization

JEL Classification: E32, F15, F33, F41

Acknowledgements

This paper was prepared as part of the DG ECFIN Visiting Fellows Programme 2004. I have benefited from stimulating discussions with DG ECFIN staff during my visit on 15-19 March 2004. I am in particular grateful to Jürgen Kröger, Servaas Deroose, Karl Pichelmann, Lars Jonung, Peter Weiss, Johan Baras, Filip Keereman, Frank Schönborn, Douglas Koszerek, Martin Hallet, Michael Stierle, Christian Gayer, and Peer Ritter. Cecilia Mulligan and Jeannete Bell provided excellent logistic support and assistance. I thank Jürgen von Hagen, Michael Artis, Michael Massmann, Christian Volpe Martincus, Chris Graham, Larry Schembri and participants at research seminars at the Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn, INFER Working Group on Business Cycles and Growth, DG ECFIN at the European Commission and at the 2004 Annual Conference of the Canadian Economics Association for helpful comments and suggestions.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Theoretical Framework	7
3	Empirical Evidence and Stylized Facts	8
4	Model Specifications and Estimation Issues	9
5	Measurement and Data	13
6	Descriptive Analysis	14
7	Empirical Results	17
8	Conclusion	19
Refere	nces	20
Appen	dix	34

1 Introduction

Ten countries¹ joined the European Union (EU) on 1st May 2004. None of these countries has been allowed to opt-out from the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). This implies that they are expected to adopt the euro at a time sooner or later after their EU accession. The strategies for the adoption of the euro vary across these countries. Some of them have recently made an explicit reference ² to the time they target for the adoption of the euro. This is announced as early as 2007 (Cyprus and Slovenia) while others have indicated 2008 (Latvia), 2008– 2009 (Slovakia) or 2009-2010 (the Czech Republic, Hungary) as the time they would be ready to adopt the euro. Some countries have made a less explicit reference to the time for the adoption of the euro: as soon as possible (Estonia), as soon as the convergence criteria are fulfilled (Malta) or they made no explicit reference to the time for the adoption of the euro?

The objective of this paper is to inform the ongoing debate about the extension of the euro area to the new EU members. In particular, I provide empirical evidence about the synchronization of business cycles between the Central European new EU member states (CE-EU-8) and euro area members over the period 1990-2003. This evidence is relevant for the assessment of the cost of losing monetary policy as a tool to stabilizing cyclical fluctuations and thus for the assessment of the effects of extending a common monetary policy to the new EU countries.

Using data for the period 1990-2003 I find that, over the analyzed period, structural and cyclical differences between EU acceding countries and euro area members were significant. On average, other things equal, the more dissimilar economic structures were, the less correlated the business cycles were. On average, other things equal, the higher the bilateral trade intensity, the more correlated the business cycles.

The accession of the ten countries to the EU on 1st May 2004 has stimulated a growing academic and policy debate about when should the euro area be extended to the new EU members. This discussion takes two main avenues. The first line of discussion focuses on the nominal convergence, specifically the fulfillment of the Maastricht convergence criteria: high degree of price stability; sound fiscal situation - with respect to the budget deficit and the level of government debt; stable exchange rates; convergence of long-term interest rates. According to the latest available data (European Commission, 2004), in 2003 only Estonia and Lithuania fulfilled the convergence criteria with respect to price stability, budget deficit, government debt and interest rates. The stability of exchange rates is to be proved within the Exchange Rate

¹ Cyprus, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia

² these references are made in the Convergence Programmes for 2004-2007 submitted by each of these ten countries in May 2004

Mechanism (ERM II). This challenge is significant in particular for countries with flexible exchange rates and less for the Baltic countries which have already fixed exchange rates³.

The second line of the debate about the euro area enlargement is related to real convergence and has centered on assessing the costs and benefits of a common currency area. This discussion has been inspired largely by the Optimal Currency Area (OCA) literature flowing from Mundell (1961), Mc Kinnon (1963), Kenen (1969). The benefits from a common currency are related to the reduction of transaction costs and predictability of exchange rates. High levels of integration are associated with larger benefits for the participating countries. The costs of joining a common currency area relate to losing monetary policy as a stabilizing tool following external shocks. To the extent that participating countries are faced with common aggregate shocks the costs of losing independence of monetary policy is not important. Common shocks imply the comovement of economic activity cycles which in turn is more likely if economic structures are similar.

The OCA literature suggests a number of policy relevant questions in relation to the euro area enlargement to the new EU members : How synchronized are business cycles between the new EU member states and the current euro area members? To what extent does the similarity of sectoral structures contribute to business cycles synchronization? Does more integration lead to more synchronization of economic activity?

This paper contributes to the discussion about benefits and costs associated with the extension of the euro area to the new EU countries. In particular, I investigate the effects of the similarity of economic structures and bilateral trade intensity between the current euro area members and the CE-EU-8 on the correlation of business cycles across countries. The contribution of this paper to the literature is threefold. *First*, it brings novel evidence about the degree of synchronization of business cycles between the current euro area members and the new EU member states. *Second*, this paper uncovers patterns of sectoral specialization and bilateral trade intensity in the current and new EU countries. *Third*, it assesses to what extent correlations of business cycles are explained by the similarity of economic structures and bilateral trade intensity.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 discusses the theoretical framework used for the empirical analysis of business cycles synchronization. I next summarize related existing empirical evidence and stylized facts. Model specifications and estimation issues are discussed in section 4. Section 5 presents measures and data used for the empirical analysis. Summary statistics and a descriptive analysis of correlations of business cycles, bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity are discussed in Section 6. Section 7 presents the results of the econometric analysis and section 8 concludes.

³ Estonia, Lithuania, and Slovenia have joined the ERM II on 27 June 2004.

2 Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for investigating benefits and costs of monetary unions is the Optimum Currency Area Theory (OCA) developed during the 1960s by the seminal contributions of Mundell (1961), McKinnon (1963) and Kenen (1969). The main outcome of the OCA literature⁴ is the identification of the properties of an optimum currency area, including the mobility of labour, price and wage flexibility, economic openness, diversified production and consumption structures, similarity of inflation rates, fiscal integration and political integration. Later contributions during the 1970s (Corden 1972, Mundell, 1973, Ishihama, 1975, Tower and Willet, 1976) added to these properties similarity of cycles and shocks and correlation of incomes. If these properties were shared by the countries willing to form a currency union, the cost of losing the nominal exchange rate and monetary policy to adjust to idiosyncratic shocks would not be prohibitive.

A demand shock to one country's exports can be accommodated through a devaluation of the currency, a fall in real prices and wages or an increase in unemployment. Given the rigidity of prices and wages and the political and economic cost of rising unemployment, the exchange rate mechanism could be an important policy tool to maintain. On the other hand, countries with similar characteristics are more likely to respond similarly to external shocks and so they will need less an adjustment through exchange rates.

A number of examples from recent experiences of EU-15 member states suggest that nominal exchange rate adjustment was effective. The case of the 1982 devaluation in Belgium is documented by De Grauwe (2003), the French devaluation of 1982-1983 by Sachs and Wyplosz (1986) while Mongelli (2002) points out that the devaluation of the Italian Lira after the exit from the ERM in 1992 contributed to a sustained recovery of economic activity. The effectiveness of nominal exchange rates for adjustment to external shocks is however contested by others (see Krugman, 1991, 1993; Canzoneri, Valles and Vinals, 1996).

The cost from foregoing monetary independence is low for countries with significant comovements of outputs and prices (Alesina, Barro and Tenreyro, 2002). The more correlated the business cycles are the more likely it is that country-specific shocks become correlated through an internationally correlated business cycle. In contrast, countries whose business cycles are imperfectly synchronized with other's could benefit from maintaining an independent monetary policy (Frankel and Rose, 1998).

Does deeper integration lead to more correlated business cycles? Two different views can be distinguished in the recent literature. On the one hand, Krugman (1993) argues that increased integration will result in increased specialization and this, in turn, to less synchronized business cycles. This view is supported by Kalemli-Ozcan, Sorensen and Yosha (2001) who show that

⁴ For a recent survey of the OCA literature see Mongelli (2002)

increased capital market integration leads to better income insurance and increased specialization. On the other hand, Coe and Helpman (1995) and Frankel and Rose (1998) argue that trade integration is associated with more synchronized business cycles.

In summary, existing theories yield ambiguous predictions about the role of monetary and economic integration on business cycles correlations. The question of whether and to what extent sectoral specialization and bilateral trade intensity contribute to business cycles synchronization is an empirical one.

3 Empirical Evidence and Stylized Facts

Compared to the theoretical developments, empirical evidence of the OCA theory is more recent and is mostly related to the European Economic and Monetary Union. Two directions in the OCA empirical literature can be distinguished. The first one is inspired by recent developments in trade theory and economic geography and points to increasing specialization associated with monetary integration and thus increased vulnerability to asymmetric supply shocks (Krugman, 1993). The second line of research argues that trade integration and correlation of business cycles are endogenous (Coe and Helpman, 1995; Frankel and Rose, 1998).

Two main stylized facts come out from the empirical literature. The first stylized fact is that higher economic integration proxied with bilateral trade intensity is associated with higher correlations of business cycles (Clark and van Wincoop, 2001; Rose and Engel, 2002; Bergman, 2003; Calderon, Chong and Stein, 2003;). Second, similar economic structures are associated with higher correlations of business cycles (Clark and van Wincoop, 2001; Calderon, Chong and Stein, 2003;). These studies are focused on industrial and developing countries. There is, however, no study investigating the international transmission of business cycles in the context of increased economic integration between the EU and Central and Eastern European countries (CEECs).

A number of studies estimate the degree of synchronization of business cycles between the EU and the CEECs. Boone, Maurel (1999) argue that economic cycles in CEECs are close enough to Germany and, albeit to a lesser extent, to the EU and suggest that this implies benefits for these countries once they join the euro area. They find that the percentage of business cycles fluctuations in CEECs explained by a German shock is high. Between 55 and 86 per cent of the fluctuation of the unemployment in CEECs is explained by a German shock. Babetsky, Boone, Maurel (2002) support this conclusion. Fidrmuc (2001) predicts that given the high level of intra-industry trade of CEECs vis-à-vis the EU, business cycles of CEECs and EU are likely to harmonize in the future assuming that membership in the euro area will further increase the intra-industry trade levels in CEECs.

However, a number of more recent studies highlight the rather different macroeconomic developments in the current EU and CEECs.

Artis et al (2003) analyse the syncronization of business cycles in the eight Central European acceding countries using GDP and industrial production data over the period 1990-2002. They uncover the business cycles using a band-pass filter based on two low-pass Hodrick-Prescott filters and applying dating rules described in Artis et al (2002) and calculate cross-correlations and measures of concordance. They find a low degree of concordance within the group of the acceding countries in comparison to that existing between the existing EU countries. The GDP data indicate a low synchronization of business cycles between the acceding countries and the Eurozone. However, the cyclical synchronization between Poland, Slovenia, Estonia, Hungary, the Czech Republic and Germany is found large. On the other hand, in comparison to countries taking part in previous enlargements (Ireland, UK, Greece, Spain, Portugal, Austria, Finland, Sweden), the acceding countries are less synchronized with German, France and Italy with the exception of Hungary, Poland and Slovenia.

Süppel (2003) assesses the degree of business cycles synchronization of individual EU acceding countries with the euro area aggregate and highlights the structural differences in economic growth dynamics between the EU-15 and the CEECs. Using data for 1996-2002, he finds that the CEECs had higher average growth and wider output fluctuations than the euro area and other EU countries. Furthermore, business cycles in the CEECs have been less synchronized with the euro area than those of the United Kingdom, Sweden and Denmark. Business cycle synchrony is country specific, with Hungary, Poland and Slovenia moving closer to the euro area and the Czech Republic and Slovakia showing important asymmetries with the euro area.

Darvas and Szapary (2003) find also that Hungary, Poland and Slovenia have the most synchronized macroeconomic activity with the euro area.

The above results on asymmetries of business cycles between the EU and accession countries are supported by a recent analysis presented in the EBRD (2003).

4 Model Specifications and Estimation Issues

The objective of this analysis is to uncover *first,* the extent to which business cycles are synchronized between the CE-EU-8 and the current euro area members and, *second*, the impact of sectoral specialization and bilateral trade intensity as explanatory factors of the correlations of business cycles across these countries.

The dependent variable in the estimated models is the bilateral correlation of the cyclical components extracted from quarterly real GDP over the analyzed period. The key explanatory

variables are an index of bilateral sectoral specialization and an index of bilateral trade intensity. Bilateral sectoral specialization is calculated as average using quarterly gross value added disaggregated on six sectors. Bilateral trade intensity is calculated as average over the analyzed period using bilateral trade flows.

Business cycles synchronization for different country-pairs

To what extent are business cycles in the CE-EU-8 correlated with those of the euro area members? In order to answer this question, I estimate the following model in which the correlation of business cycles between CE-EU-8 countries and euro area countries is taken as benchmark:

(1)
$$CORR(Y_i^c, Y_i^c)_T = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 EURO_{ii} + \alpha_2 AC_{ii} + \omega(i, j)_t$$

 $CORR(Y_i^c, Y_j^c)_T$: the bilateral correlation of the cyclical components of output Y (real GDP) in countries i and j over the period T.

 $EURO_{ij} = 1$, if countries i and j are euro area members; $EURO_{ij} = 0$, for the other country - pairs (pairs of euro area members and the CE-EU-8 countries, pairs of CE-EU-8 countries);

 $AC_{ij} = 1$, if country i and j are CE-EU-8 countries and $AC_{ij} = 0$, for the other remaining countrypairs

$\omega(i, j)_T$: the remaining error term

Given the extent of economic and monetary integration, I expect to find that business cycles between the euro area countries are more synchronized than those between the CE-EU-8 countries and the euro area countries. The predicted result for the correlations of business cycles between the CE-EU-8 countries is less clear.

The impact of bilateral sectoral specialization

The OCA literature points to similarity of economic structures as a factor fostering business cycles synchronization. What is the role of sectoral specialization in explaining correlations of business cycles? I investigate this question by estimating the following model with OLS:

(2)
$$CORR(Y_i^c, Y_j^c)_T = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(SPEC_{ij})_T + \varepsilon(i, j)_T$$

 $\ln(SPEC_{ij})_T$: index of similarity of economic structures between countries i and j over the period T

$\mathcal{E}(i, j)_T$: the error term

In the above model, sectoral specialization is assumed exogenous. However monetary integration may lead to the convergence of economic structures of the participating countries. This implies that the estimates obtained with OLS might be inconsistent. If this is true, an instrumental variable (IV) estimation technique must be used. In order to test for endogeneity, I estimate the following system of simultaneous equations (3) and perform the Durbin-Wu-Hausman test suggested by Davidson and MacKinnon (1993)⁵:

 $CORR(Y_i^c, Y_i^c)_T = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(SPEC_{ij})_T + \varepsilon(i, j)_T$

 $\begin{aligned} &\ln(SPEC_{ij})_T = \delta_0 + \delta_1 EURO_{ij} + \delta_2 \ln(POP_i * POP_j)_T + \delta_3 (\ln GDP_i * GDP_j)_{1996} + \delta_4 \ln DIST_{ij} + \\ &+ \delta_5 BORDER_{ij} + \xi(_{i,j})_T \end{aligned}$

Countries members of the euro area have more similar economic structures as a result of economic and monetary integration. I control for this by including a dummy variable $EURO_{ij}$ which takes value 1 if countries i and j are members of the euro area. Sectoral specialization is expected to depend on the size of the country. Larger countries are more likely to have more diversified economic structures in comparison to small countries. The variables used to control for size are population and real GDP. The variables are transformed in natural logarithms (the natural logarithm of the product of the population size of country i and country j calculated as average over the period T : $\ln(POP_i * POP_j)_T$; and the natural logarithm of the product of the real GDP in country i and country j in the reference year 1996: $\ln(GDP_i^*GDP_i)_{1996}$.

The closer geographically the countries are the more similar economic structures might be. The natural logarithm of the distance between the capitals of pairs of countries $(\ln DIST_{ij})$ and a dummy for countries sharing borders $(BORDER_{ij})$ are included as additional explanatory variables.

The impact of bilateral trade intensity

In the recent literature it is argued that increased trade relations lead to increased correlations of business cycles. To uncover whether and the extent to which bilateral trade increases the

⁵ This test is based on including the residuals of each endogenous explanatory variables, as a function of all exogenous variables, in the regression of the original model.

correlation of business cycles between the acceding countries and the EURO AREA members I estimate the following model with OLS:

(4)
$$CORR(Y_i^c, Y_j^c) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln(TRADE_{ij})_T + \nu(i, j)_T$$

 $\ln(TRADE_{ii})_T$: bilateral trade intensity between country i and country j over the period T

However, bilateral trade intensity and business cycles correlations are likely to be endogenous in the context of monetary integration. I therefore test and correct for the endogeneity of the bilateral trade intensity using the following system of simultaneous equations (5):

$$CORR(Y_i^c, Y_j^c) = \phi_0 + \phi_1 \ln(TRADE_{ij})_T + \nu(i, j)_T$$

 $\ln(TRADE_{ij})_T = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 EURO_{ij} + \gamma_2 \ln(POP_i * POP_j)_T + \gamma_3 \ln(GDP_i * GDP_j)_{1996} + \gamma_4 \ln DIST_{ij} + \gamma_5 BORD_{ij} + \mu(i, j)_T$

Similar to the case of sectoral specialization, I perform the Durbin-Wu-Hausman test and if this uncovers endogeneity I estimate Eq.4 using instrumental variables as shown above.

The impact of sectoral specialization and bilateral trade intensity

In the last set of model specifications I include both bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity as explanatory variables as shown in Eq. (5) below:

$$CORR(Y_i^c, Y_j^c) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \ln(SPEC_{ij})_T + \lambda_2 \ln(TRADE_{ij})_T + \tau(i_{i,j})_T$$

Further, I check for endogeneity, performing the Durbin-Wu-Hausman test as in the previous model estimations. The system of simultaneous equations (6) is the following:

$$CORR(Y_i^c, Y_j^c) = \lambda_0 + \lambda_1 \ln(SPEC_{ij})_T + \lambda_2 \ln(TRADE_{ij})_T + \tau(i,j)_T$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln SPEC_{ij} = \delta_0 + \delta_1 EURO_{ij} + \delta_2 \ln(POP_i * POP_j)_T + \delta_3 (\ln GDP_i * GDP_j)_{1996} + \delta_4 \ln DIST_{ij} + \\ &+ \delta_5 BORDER_{ij} + \xi_{(i,j)_T} \end{aligned}$$

 $\ln(TRADE_{ij})_T = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 EURO_{ij} + \gamma_2 \ln(POP_i * POP_j)_T + \gamma_3 \ln(GDP_i * GDP_j)_{1996} + \gamma_4 \ln DIST_{ij} + \gamma_5 BORD_{ij} + \mu(i, j)_T$

5 Measurement and Data

The key variables used in this analysis are bilateral correlations of business cycles, sectoral specialization and trade intensity. This section explains the measuring of these three variables and the data set used for the empirical analysis.

Bilateral correlation of business cycles

Correlations of business cycles are calculated over the period T. I first extract for each country the cyclical component of real GDP using the Baxter – King filter⁶ described in Baxter and King (1999). The filtering procedure uses the classical definition of a business cycle given by Burns and Mitchell (1946). It therefore isolates real GDP fluctuations lasting between 6 and 32 quarters (1.5 and 8 years). This detrending technique removes both the low frequency long-term trend growth and the high frequency irregular components and retains intermediate components, "business cycles".

Bilateral sectoral specialization

The similarity of economic structures between countries i and j is proxyed with the following index used by Krugman (1991):

$$SPEC_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} |s_{ki} - s_{kj}|$$

ski: the share of sector k in total GDP in country i

The index takes values between 0 (perfect similarity) and 2 (maximum dissimilarity). The higher the index the less similar the economic structures of the two countries i and j are.

The index of bilateral sectoral specialization is calculated here on the basis of average sectoral shares over the period T.

Bilateral trade intensity

The bilateral trade intensity over the period T is proxied with the following index:

$$(TRADE_{ij})_{T} = \frac{1}{T} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{X_{ijt} + M_{ijt}}{F_{it} + F_{jt}} \right)$$

 X_{iit} : exports of country i to country j in year t

 M_{ii} : imports of country i from country j in year t

⁶ Baxter and King (1999) find that the cyclical component of US GNP obtained with this band-pass filter is superior to those obtained with other detrending methods

F_{it} : total trade flows of country i in year t

The data set

In this paper I use data for 10 euro area countries⁷ and 8 Central European new EU countries⁸ over the period 1990-2003. There are in total 153 country pairs, of which 80 represent pairs of euro area countries and CE-EU-8 countries, 45 country pairs between euro area members, and the remaining 28 country pairs are among the CE-EU-8 countries.

The correlations of business cycles are calculated using quarterly data for real GDP over the period 1990:1-2003:3. The bilateral specialization index is calculated using quarterly sectoral gross value added data for the same period, 1990:1-2003. For the cases of Portugal and Greece quarterly data was not available. For these two countries the specialization index was calculated using annual sectoral gross value added data for the period 1995-2000. Bilateral trade intensity is calculated using annual bilateral trade flows (exports f.o.b, imports c.i.f.) for the period 1990-2001 from the International Monetary Fund⁹.

In addition to the data mentioned above used for measuring the three key variables, the following data are used for the instrumental variables included in the model specifications described in the previous section: annual averages for population over the period 1990-2002, real GDP in a reference year (1996) and bilateral distances between capital cities. Bilateral distances between capitals of country pairs is proxied with the fastest connection in km on road¹⁰.

Detailed country-specific data information and sources are given in the Appendix.

6 Descriptive Analysis

Correlations of business cycles

Summary statistics of correlations of business cycles for the different country pairs are shown in Table 1.

Table 1 here

The average of the business cycles correlations for all country pairs is low, 0. 201. The average of business cycles correlations is the highest for the euro area country pairs (0.596) and the lowest for the CE-EU-8 country pairs (0.112). The average correlation of business cycles

⁷ Belgium, Germany, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, the Netherlands, Austria, Portugal, Finland. Ireland and Luxembourg could not be included due to data limitations.

⁸ The Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Lithuania, Latvia, Poland, Slovenia, Slovakia

⁹ IMF DOT Database

¹⁰ data was taken from Straßen & Reisen 2003/2004, and www. reiseplanung.de

between the euro area and CE-EU-8 countries is less than half that for the euro area countries (0.279) but more than double the average correlation for the CE-EU-8 countries. The variation of the business cycles correlations is the lowest for the euro area countries and the highest for the country pairs between euro area and the CE-EU-8 countries.

What country-specific characteristics of correlations of business cycles can be identified? Chart 1 shows average weighted correlations for each country with the euro area plotted against the weighted average correlations with the CE-EU-8 countries over the analyzed period.

Chart 1 here

Average correlations with the euro area countries are higher compared with average correlations with the CE-EU-8 countries. Correlations between euro area countries are higher compared with the correlations with the CE-EU-8 countries. Correlations between the CE-EU-8 countries are lower. Among the euro area countries, Belgium, Austria and the Netherlands have the highest average correlations with the euro area countries (0.558, 0.545, 0.502) and Portugal, Greece and Germany the lowest (0.280, 0.332, 0.310). The Netherlands, Germany, Belgium and Austria have the highest correlations with the acceding countries (0.064, 0.063, 0.061, 0.060) while Greece, France and Italy the lowest (-0.001, 0.038, 0.040). Among the acceding countries (0.402, 0.320, 0.178) while Lithuania, Slovakia and the Czech Republic are the least correlated (-0.293, -0.260, -0.093). Hungary, Slovenia and Estonia are the closest correlated with the CE-EU-8 countries (0.036, 0.032, 0.031) and the Czech Republic, Lithuania and Poland the least (-0.037, -0.019, -0.009).

Bilateral sectoral specialization

Table 2 shows summary statistics for bilateral sectoral specialization. The lower the index of sectoral specialization between two countries the more similar the economic structures are for those countries.

Table 2 here

The euro area countries are more similar compared to the sectoral specialization between the euro area and CE-EU-8 countries. The variation of sectoral specialization is the lowest for the euro area countries and the highest for the country pairs including euro area and CE-EU-8 countries.

Table 3 showing the sectoral shares differentials for the euro area and CE-EU-8 countries reinforces the summary statistics discussed above. Sectoral shares are calculated as shares of

sectoral gross value added in total GDP averaged over the period 1990:1-2003 using quarterly gross value added data.

Table 3 here

In comparison to the euro area countries, the CE-EU-8 countries have higher shares of agriculture, industry and commercial, trade, transport and communication services, while the shares of financial and public services are lower. The share of construction is only slightly higher in the acceding countries in comparison to the euro area countries. Table 3 indicates that an enlarged euro area, agriculture and industry will have higher shares in total GDP while financial, real estate and business services and public services will have lower shares.

Chart 2 shows country-specific average bilateral sectoral specialization indices¹¹ with the euro area and the CE-EU-8 countries.

Chart 2 here

The chart shows that euro area countries have quite similar economic structures while the economic structures of the acceding countries are more dissimilar both with respect to the euro area and the CE-EU-8 countries. Hungary, Slovenia, Estonia and Slovakia have the closest economic structures to the euro area. The most similar to the CE-EU-8 countries in the euro area group are Spain, Finland, Portugal, Austria, and Italy.

Bilateral trade intensity

Table 4 shows summary statistics for bilateral trade intensity.

Table 4 here

Average bilateral trade intensities are higher for country pairs between the euro area members compared to country pairs including the CE-EU-8. Bilateral trade intensity is the highest between the euro area countries and the lowest between the CE-EU-8 countries and euro area members. The variation of bilateral trade intensity is however the highest for the euro area country-pairs and the lowest for the CE-EU-8 – euro area country pairs.

Chart 3 shows country-specific average bilateral trade intensity¹² with the euro area countries and the CE-EU-8 countries.

Chart 3 here

The initial EU founders (France, Germany, Belgium, Italy, the Netherlands) and Spain have the highest bilateral trade intensity with the euro area. In comparison to this group of countries,

¹¹ Weighted averages calculated using population weights

¹² Average bilateral trade intensities are weighted averages calculated using population weights

Austria, Portugal and Greece have lower bilateral intensities with the euro area. Germany and Austria have higher bilateral trade intensities with the CE-EU-8 countries compared with the other euro area countries. Bilateral trade intensities of CE-EU-8 countries with the euro area countries are relatively low. With respect to the CE-EU-8 countries, bilateral trade intensities of these countries are also low except Slovakia and the Czech Republic.

7 Empirical Results

How synchronized are business cycles between the CE-EU-8 countries and the current euro area members? Table 5 shows the results of the OLS estimation of Eq. 1. The first column shows the estimation results obtained using all country-pairs. As a robustness check, I estimate the same model excluding in three steps the following countries: Greece and Portugal; Germany; Poland.

Table 5 here

The estimated coefficients indicate whether and to what extent bilateral correlations of business cycles between the CE-EU-8 countries and euro area members differ when compared to the bilateral business cycles correlations between euro area countries and between the CE-EU-8 countries, respectively. The bilateral correlations of business cycles between euro area countries are significantly higher compared to the reference country-pairs group. When Greece and Portugal are excluded, the coefficient for the bilateral correlations of business cycles between the euro area countries is higher in comparison to the coefficient obtained with all country pairs suggesting that these two countries are less correlated with the CE-EU-8. Estimated coefficients for the bilateral correlations between euro area country-pairs are also obtained when Germany and Poland are excluded. The bilateral correlations of business cycles between the CE-EU-8 countries are not significantly different from the bilateral correlations of business cycles between the case when Poland is excluded. In this later case, the bilateral correlations of business cycles between the case when Poland is excluded. In this later case, the bilateral correlations of business cycles between the ce-EU-8 countries appear significantly higher in comparison to the bilateral correlations for the reference country bilateral correlations of business cycles between the ce-EU-8 countries are and the CE-EU-8 countries except the case when Poland is excluded. In this later case, the bilateral correlations of business cycles between the ce-EU-8 countries appear significantly higher in comparison to the bilateral correlations for the reference group.

The next set of regressions uncover the impact of bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity on bilateral correlations of business cycles. I estimate the models described in section 4 for all country pairs (results are shown in Table 6) and as a robustness check excluding Greece and Portugal (see Table 8), Germany (see Table 10) and Poland (see Table 12) using first OLS and, after checking for endogeneity, an instrumental variables procedure (see Tables 7, 9, 11 and 13 for the estimations using instrumental variables).

Tables 6-13 here

The results are consistent to different groups of country-pairs and different estimation techniques and indicate that similarity of economic structures and higher bilateral trade intensity are associated with higher correlations of business cycles.

Columns 1 in the above tables show the results of the OLS estimations testing the impact of sectoral specialization on bilateral correlations of business cycles. The negative and significant estimated coefficients for the specialization index indicate that similarity of economic structures is associated with higher correlations of business cycles. As discussed above, sectoral specialization and business cycles correlations might be endogenous in the context of economic and monetary integration. The result of the endogeneity test indicate that this is indeed the case. I then re-estimate the model (1) using instrumental variables for the bilateral specialization index. The results of the estimations using instrumental variables are shown in Columns (2). The estimated coefficients for bilateral sectoral specialization are negative and significant and even higher.

Columns 3 in the above tables show the coefficients for the bilateral trade intensity estimated with OLS and indicate that the bilateral trade intensity is positively and significantly associated with the correlations of business cycles. As suggested by Frankel and Rose (1998) bilateral trade intensity and bilateral correlations of business cycles might be endogenous. The endogenity test indicates that this is indeed the case with the exception of the country pairs group excluding Greece and Portugal. The estimated coefficients of bilateral trade intensity using instrumental variables shown in columns (4) support the conclusion that the higher the bilateral trade intensity is the higher the bilateral correlations of business cycles.

The last set of regressions tests for the impact of bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity included in the same model. The OLS estimations shown in columns (5) of the above tables are in line with the previous results and indicate that similarity of economic structures and bilateral trade intensity are positively and significantly associated with bilateral correlations of business cycles. The estimated coefficient for sectoral specialization decreases when Germany is excluded. The performed Durbin-Wu-Hausman test indicates that the explanatory variables and the dependent variable are endogenous. I therefore re-estimate the model using instrumental variables for bilateral sectoral specialization and bilateral trade intensity. The estimated coefficients in the model using instrumental variables are shown in columns (6) and indicate that similarity of economic structures and bilateral trade intensity are positively and significantly associated with bilateral correlations of business cycles. However, when Germany is excluded the coefficient for bilateral sectoral specialization is no longer significant.

8 Conclusion

Countries wishing to join monetary unions should weight the benefits of lower transaction costs and elimination of exchange rates volatility between the participants and the costs of losing monetary policy as a stabilizing tool. On the one hand, the higher the degree of economic integration, the higher the benefits of joining a common currency area are. On the other hand, the more similar the countries are, the more similar their response to external shocks are, and thus the lower the cost of foregoing an independent monetary policy.

In this paper I investigated the bilateral correlations of business cycles between the CE-EU-8 countries and the current euro area members over the period 1990-2003. I find that asymmetries of business cycles between the CE-EU-8 and the euro area members are significant. Among these countries, average correlations of business cycles with the euro area are the highest in the cases of Poland, Slovenia, and Hungary. This result is similar to the findings of Artis et al (2003), Darvas and Szapary (2003) and Süppel (2003). In comparison with the current euro area countries, the CE-EU-8 countries have lower bilateral trade intensities and less similar economic structures. The results of the empirical analysis in this paper indicate that similar economic structures and bilateral trade intensity are positively and significantly associated with the bilateral correlations of business cycles, in line with previous studies on industrial countries (Clark and van Wincoop, 2001; Rose and Engel, 2002), and developing countries (Calderon, Chong and Stein, 2003).

This paper provides empirical evidence suggesting that an immediate extension of a common monetary policy to the new EU countries might have asymmetric effects. This policy implication needs however two qualifications: the cost of adopting a common monetary policy depends first, on the extent to which the exchange rate can be used as an efficient shock absorber and second, on the extent to which monetary policy can be used effectively to stabilizing economic activity. The relationships between similarity of economic structures, bilateral trade intensity, on the one hand, and, business cycles synchronization, on the other hand, are found endogenous suggesting that, in the long term convergence of economic structures and trade growth are expected. If the adoption of the euro will be well prepared it will bring significant benefits to the new EU countries.

References

- Artis, M., M. Marcellino, T. Proietti (2002) "Dating the Euro area Business Cycle, *CEPR Discussion Paper* No 3696 and *EUI Working Paper*, ECO 2002/24
- Artis, M., M. Marcellino, T. Proietti (2003) "Characterising the Business Cycle for the Accession Countries", European Forecasting Network, Autumn 2003 Report, http://www.efn.unibocconi.it/Annex_to_chapter_4.pdf
- Alesina, A., R. Barro, and S. Tenreyro (2002) "Optimal Currency Area", NBER Working Ppaer No. 9702
- Babetsky, J., L. Boone, and M. Maurel (2002) "Exchange Rates Regimes and Supply Shocks Asymmetry: The Case of the Accession Countries", CEPR Discussion Paper No. 3408, London: Center for Economic Policy Research
- Baxter, M. and R. G. King (1999) "Measuring Business Cycles: Approximate Band- Pass Filters for Economic Time Series", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 81, 575-593
- Bergman, M. (2003) "How Synchronized are European Buisness Cycles?", paper presented at the 4th EUROSTAT and DG ECFIN Colloquium on "Modern Tools for Business Cycle Analysis: Growth and Cycle in the Euro-Zone"
- Boone, L., and M. Maurel (1999) "An Optimal Currency area Perspective of the EU Enlargement to the CEECs", CEPR Discussion Paper No. 2119, London: Center for Economic Policy Research
- Burns, A. M., and W. C. Mitchell (1946) *Measuring Business Cycles*, New York: National Bureau of Economic Research
- Calderon, C., A. Chong, E. Stein (2003) "Trade Intensity and Business Cycle Synchronization: Are Developing Countries Any Different?", IADB Working Paper No. 478, Washington D.C.: Inter-American Development Bank
- Canzoneri, M., J. Vallés and J. Vinals (1996) "Do Excahge Rate Move to Address International Macroeconomic Imbalances?", CEPR Discussion Ppaer no. 1498, London: Center for Economic Policy Research
- Clark, T.E., E. van Wincoop (2001) "Borders and Business Cycles", *Journal of International Economics*, 55, 59-85
- Coe, D. T., and E. Helpman (1995) "International R & D Spillovers", *European Economic Review*, 39, 859-887
- Corden, W. M. (1972) "Monetary Integration. Essays in International Finance", Princeton University

- Darvas and Szapary (2003) "Business Cycles Synchronisation in the Enlarged EU: Comovements in the New and Old Members", paper presented to the 2004 ASSA Congress, San Diego
- Davidson, R. and J. G. MacKinnon (1993) *Estimation and Inference in Econometrics*, New York: Oxford University Press
- De Grauwe, P. (2003) *Economics of Monetary Union*, Fifth Edition, Oxford: Oxford University Press
- European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) (2003) *Transition report 2003: Integration and regional cooperation*, London: European Bank for Reconstruction and Development
- European Commission (2004) "Spring 2004 Economic Forecasts", *European Economy* No. 2, Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the EC
- Frankel, F. and A. Rose (1998) "The Endogeneity of the Optimum Currency Area Criteria", *The Economic Journal*, 108, 1009-1025
- Fidrmuc (2001) "The Endogeneity of Optimum Currency area Criteria, Intra-Industry Trade and EURO AREA Enlargement", Bank of Finland, Discussion Paper No. 8, Helsinki
- Ishihama, (1975) "The Theory of Optimum Currency Areas: A Survey", IMF Staff Papers, 22, 344-383, Washington D.C.: International Monetary Fund
- Kalemli-Ozcan, Sørensen and Yosha (2001) "Economic Integration, Industrial Specialization, and the Asymmetry of Macroeconomic Fluctuations", *Journal of International Economics*
- Kenen, P. (1969) "The Theory of optimum Currency Areas: An Eclectic View", in Mundell, R. andA. K. Swoboda (eds.) Monetary Problems of the International Economy, University ofChicago Press, 41-60
- Krugman, P. (1991) Geography and Trade, Cambridge: MIT Press
- Krugman, P. (1993) "Lessons of Massachusetts or EURO AREA", in T. Giavazzi, and F. Torres, (eds.), Adjustment and Growth in the Economic and Monetary Union in Europe, New York: Cambridge University Press, 241-261
- McKinnon, R. (1963) "Optimum Currency Areas", American Economic Review, vol. 52, 717-725
- Mongelli, F. P. (2002) "New Views on the Optimum Currency Area Theory: What is EURO AREA Telling Us?", ECB Working Paper No. 138, Frankfurt: European Central Bank
- Mundell, R. (1961) "A Theory of Optimum Currency Area", American Economic Review, vol. 51, 657-665

- Rose, A. and C. Engel (2002) "Currency Unions and International Integration", Journal of money, Credit and Banking, vol 34 (4), 1067- 1087
- Sachs, J. and C. Wyplosz (1986) "The Economic Consequences of President Mitterand", *Economic Policy*, 2
- Süppel, R. (2003) "Comparing Economic Dynamics in the EU and CEE Accession Countries", ECB Working Paper No. 267, Frankfurt: European Central Bank
- Tower, E. and T. Willet (1976) "The Theory of Optimum Currency Areas and Exchange Rate Flexibility", Princeton University

Table 1:	Summary Statistics for Business Cycles Correlations between the euro
	area and CE-EU-8 countries, 1990:1-2003:3

Country pairs	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Min	Max
All pairs	153	0.2010	0.4078	-0.7225	0.9251
EURO_AC	80	0.2787	0.4067	-0.5521	0.9251
EURO	45	0.5960	0.2043	0.0987	0.9251
AC	28	0.1121	0.3816	-0.7225	0.9073

Source: Own calculations based on EUROSTAT data

- EURO: Belgium, Germany, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, The Netherlands, Austria, Portugal, Finland
- AC: the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Lithuania, Latvia, Poland, Slovenia, Slovakia

Table 2 :Summary Statistics for Sectoral Specialization between the euro area and
CE-EU-8 countries, 1990:1-2003:3

Country pairs	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Min	Max
All pairs	153	0.2450	0.1081	0.0660	0.5236
EURO_AC	80	0.2628	0.1264	0.0635	0.5236
EURO	45	0.1687	0.0651	0.0743	0.3329
AC	28	0.1852	0.0634	0.0660	0.2784

Source: Own calculations based on EUROSTAT data

- EURO: Belgium, Germany, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, The Netherlands, Austria, Portugal, Finland
- AC: the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Lithuania, Latvia, Poland, Slovenia, Slovakia

Table 3:Sectoral shares differentials in the euro area and CE-EU-8 countries ,
1990-2003

			in percent
NACE_6 sectors	EURO	AC	EURO + AC
a+b	3.13	6.36	4.84
c+d+e	23.54	29.56	26.74
f	6.02	6.06	6.06
g+h+i	22.48	25.94	24.33
j+k	23.01	14.87	18.70
l+m+n+o+p	21.81	17.48	19.49

Source: Own calculations based on EUROSTAT data

EURO: Belgium, Germany, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, The Netherlands, Austria, Portugal, Finland

AC: the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Lithuania, Latvia, Poland, Slovenia, Slovakia

a+b: Agriculture, hunting and forestry; Fishing

c+d+e: Mining, quarring; Manufacturing; Electricity, gas, and water supply

f: Construction

g+h+i: Wholesale and retail trade; Repair of motor vehicles, motocycles and personal and household goods; Hotels and restaurants; Transport, storage and communication

j+k: Financial intermediation; Real estate, renting and business activities

I+m+n+o+p: Public administration and defence, Compulsory social security; Education; Health and social work; Other community, social, personal service activities; Private households with employed persons

Table 4:	Summary Statistics for Bilateral Trade Intensity between the euro area and
	CE-EU-8 countries, 1990:1-2003:3

Country pairs	Observations	Mean	Standard deviation	Min	Max
All pairs	153	0.0133	0.0268	0.0001	0.1833
EURO_AC	80	0.0035	0.0053	0.001	0.0271
EURO	45	0.0325	0.0407	0.0023	0.1833
AC	28	0.0102	0.0186	0.0001	0.0713

Source: Own calculations based on EUROSTAT data



B = Belgium; D = Germany; EL = Greece; E = Spain; F = France; I = Italy; NL = the Netherlands; A = Austria; P = Portugal; FIN = Finland; CZ = the Czech Republic; EE = Estonia; HU = Hungary; LT = Lithuania; LV = Latvia; PL = Poland; SI = Slovenia; SK = Slovakia



B = Belgium; D = Germany; EL = Greece; E = Spain; F = France; I = Italy; NL = the Netherlands; A = Austria; P = Portugal; FIN = Finland; CZ = the Czech Republic; EE = Estonia; HU = Hungary; LT = Lithuania; LV = Latvia; PL = Poland; SI = Slovenia; SK = Slovakia



Belgium; D = Germany; EL = Greece; E = Spain; F = France; I = Italy; NL = the Netherlands; A = Austria; P = Portugal; FIN = Finland; CZ = the Czech Republic; EE = Estonia; HU = Hungary; LT = Lithuania; LV = Latvia; PL = Poland; SI = Slovenia; SK = Slovakia

	Euro_10 + AC_8	Euro_8, AC_8 Greece and Portugal excluded	Euro_9, AC_8 Germany excluded	Euro_10, AC_7 Poland excluded
EURO _{ij}	0.5862***	0.6382***	0.6268***	0.6612***
	(0.0491)	(0.0528)	(0.0502)	(0.0462)
AC _{ij}	0.1023	0.0724	0.1105	0.2298**
	(0.0813)	(0.0840)	(0.0818)	(0.0926)
Constant	0.0098	0.0396	0.0016	-0.0652*
	(0.0386)	(0.0438)	(0.0396)	(0.0348)
Ν	153	120	136	136
R^2	0.4021	0.4022	0.4245	0.5260

Table 5: OLS estimates for bilateral correlations of business cycles, various country-pairs

Robust standard errors in parentheses. *, **, *** denote significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent levels

Table C.	Estimates for hildered convolutions of husiness sucles	
	Estimates for bilateral correlations of business cycles	, all country-pairs

	(1) OLS	(2) IV	(3) OLS	(4) IV	(5) OLS	(6) IV
In SPEC _{ij}	-0.3462*** (0.0637)	-0.5810*** (0.1030)			-0.2015*** (0.0685)	-0.2223* (0.1269)
L n TRADE _{ij}			0.1078*** (0.0139)	0.1550*** (0.0193)	0.0843*** (0.0167)	0.1225*** (0.0263)
Constant	-0.3220*** (0.1028)	-0.6767*** (0.1642)	-0.8210*** (0.0867)	1.0921*** (0.1123)	0.3812** (0.1861)	0.5696* (0.3184)
Durbin-Wu- Hausman test		F (1, 150) = 12.86*** Prob >F =0.0005		F(1,150) = 13.66*** Prob >F = 0.0003		F(2,148) = 5.81*** Prob > F=0.0037
Ν	153	153	153	153	153	153
R ²	0.1620	0.0875	0.2310	0.1868	0.2749	0.2416

Cyclical components of real GDP obtained with the Baxter- King filter

	In SPEC _{ii}	
EURO _{ii}	-0.8507***	1.5933***
	(0.0892)	(0.2832)
In POP _i *POP _i	0.0094***	-0.0181**
	(0.0036)	(0.0085)
In GDP _i *GDP _i	0.0830***	0.2385***
	(0.0144)	(0.0648)
Ln DIST _{ij}	0.0865	-0.7115***
-	(0.0562)	(0.2288)
BORDER _{ij}	-0.2820***	0.8774**
-	(0.1094)	(0.3758)
Constant	-3.8976***	-6.2797**
	(0.5096)	(2.4322)
Ν	153	153
R ²	0.4410	0.6014

Table 7: Estimates for bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity, all country-pairs

Robust standard errors in parentheses. *, **, *** denote significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent levels

	(1) OLS	(2) IV	(3) OLS	(4) IV	(5) OLS	(6) IV
	(-)	(-)	(-)	()	(-,	(,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
In SPEC _{ij}	-0.3338***	-0.5543***			-0.1845***	-0.3532***
	(0.0740)	(0.1110)			(0.0768)	(0.1265)
Ln TRADE _{ij}			0.1150***	0.1257***	0.0935***	0.0831***
			(0.0166)	(0.0206)	(0.0167)	(0.0255)
Constant	-0.3016**	-0.6366***	0.8771***	0.9398***	0.4713**	0.1547
	(0.1193)	(0.1780)	(0.1029)	(0.1265)	(0.2138)	(0.3183)
Durbin-Wu-		F (1, 117) =		F(1,117) =		F(2,115) =
Hausman test		10.48***		0.69		3.00**
		Prob >F		Prob >F =		Prob >
		=0.0016		0.4069		F=0.0539
Ν	120	120	120	120	120	120
R ²	0.1530	0.0862	0.2489	0.2468	0.2870	0.2536

Table 8: Estimates for bilateral correlations of business cycles, Greece and Portugal excluded

Cyclical components of real GDP obtained with the Baxter- King filter

Table 9:	Estimates for bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity, Greece
	and Portugal excluded

	In SPEC _{ii}	
EURO _{ii}	-0.9753***	1.3048***
	(0.0956)	(0.2747)
InPOP _i *POP _i	0.0089**	-0.0140*
	(0.0037)	(0.0073)
In GDP _i *GDP _i	0.0938***	0.2976***
	(0.0151)	(0.0644)
InDIST _{ii}	0.0413	-1.1656***
	(0.0691)	(0.2336)
BORDER _{ij}	-0.2709**	0.4518
-	(0.1171)	(0.3723)
Constant	-3.8170***	-4.5151*
	(0.5396)	(2.5346)
Ν	120	120
R^2	0.4666	0.6829

Robust standard errors in parentheses. *, **, *** denote significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent levels

Table 10:	Estimates for bilateral correlations of b	ousiness cycles, Germany excluded
		······································

	(1) OLS	(2) IV	(3) OLS	(4) IV	(5) OLS	(6) IV
In SPEC _{ii}	-0.3371***	-0.5804***			-0.1864**	-0.1929
	(0.0688)	(0.1102)			(0.0782)	(0.1464)
In TRADE _{ii}			0.1065***	0.1620***	0.0827***	0.1286***
,			(0.0160)	(0.0245)	(0.0204)	(0.0352)
Constant	-0.3256***	-0.6980***	0.8212***	1.1498***	0.3949*	0.6570
	(0.1119)	(0.1761)	(0.1040)	(0.1485)	(0.2275)	(0.4052)
Durbin-Wu-		F(1,133) =		F(1,133) =		F(2,131) =
Hausman test		11.82***		11.92***		4.65**
		Prob>F =		Prob > F=		Prob > F =
		0.0008		0.0007		0.0112
Ν	136	136	136	136	136	136
R ²	0.1479	0.0709	0.2106	0.1535	0.2453	0.2046

Cyclical components of real GDP obtained with the Baxter- King filter

Table 11: Estimates for bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity, Germany excluded

	In SPEC _{ij}	In TRADE _{ij}
EURO _{ii}	-0.8241***	1.5793***
	(0.0896)	(0.2972)
InPOP _i *POP _i	0.0124***	-0.0171*
	(0.0034)	(0.0089)
In GDP _i *GDP _i	0.0682***	0.2332***
	(0.0154)	(0.0715)
InDIST _{ij}	0.0995*	-0.7020***
	(0.0586)	(0.2398)
BORDER _{ij}	-0.2889**	1.0040**
-	(0.1163)	(0.4510)
Constant	-3.7504***	-6.2631**
	(0.5150)	(0.4510)
Ν	136	136
R ²	0.4568	0.5580

Robust standard errors in parentheses. *, **, *** denote significance at 10, 5 and 1 percent levels

	(1) OLS	(2) IV	(3) OLS	(4) IV	(5) OLS	(6) IV
In SPEC _{ij}	-0.5054***	-0.8713***			-0.3709***	-0.5216***
	(0.0584)	(0.1163)			(0.0727)	(0.1700)
In TRADE _{ii}			0.1010***	0.1685***	0.0626***	0.0877***
,			(0.0139)	(0.0197)	(0.0173)	(0.0310)
Constant	0.5889***	-1.1522***	0.8269***	1.1661***	-0.0190	-0.1051
	(0.0913)	(0.1811)	(0.0868)	(0.1139)	(0.1964)	(0.4167)
Durbin-Wu-		F(1,133)=		F(1,133) =		F(2, 133) =
Hausman test		25.33***		24.73***		10.67***
		Prob >F=		Prob > F =		Prob>F =
		0.0000		0.0000		0.0001
Ν	136	136	136	136	136	136
R²	0.3232	0.1537	0.2574	0.1846	0.3836	0.3208

Table 12: Estimates for bilateral correlations of business cycles, Poland excluded

Cyclical components of real GDP obtained with the Baxter- King filter

Table 13: Estimates for bilateral sectoral specialization and trade intensity, Poland excluded

	In SPEC _{ij}	In TRADE _{ij}
EURO _{ii}	-0.7610***	1.8850***
	(0.0100)	(0.3222)
InPOP _i *POP _i	0.0087**	-0.0168*
	(0.0037)	(0.0088)
In GDP _i *GDP _i	0.0650***	0.1944***
	(0.0165)	(0.0741)
InDIST _{ii}	0.0698	-0.7415***
	(0.0581)	(0.2425)
BORDER _{ij}	-0.3075**	0.8470**
-	(0.1251)	(0.4377)
Constant	-3.3845***	-5.2578*
	(0.5427)	(2.6712)
Ν	136	136
R^2	0.4037	0.6182

Appendix

A1: Time Coverage for Gross Domestic Product and Sectoral Gross Value Added Data

Country	Gross Domestic Product (GDP) quarterly, 1995 prices, million Euro	Gross Value Added (GVA), NACE_6 sectors, quarterly, 1995 prices, million national currency
Belgium	1990:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003:2
Germany	1991:1-2003.3	1991:1-2003:3
Greece	1990:1-2003:3	1995-2000 ^a
Spain	1990:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003:3
France	1990:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003:2
Italy	1990:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003:2
The Netherlands	1990:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003:3
Austria	1990:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003:2
Portugal	1995:1-2003:3	1995-2000 ^a
Finland	1990:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003:2
The Czech Republic	1994:1-2003.2	1994:1-2003:2
Estonia	1993:1-2003:3	1993:1-2003:2
Hungary	1995:1-2002:4	1995.1-2002:2
Lithuania	1993:1-2003:3	1995:1-2003:2
Latvia	1993:1-2003:3	1990:1-2003.2
Poland	1995:1-2003:2	1995:1-2002:2
Slovenia	1992:1-2003:2	1992:1-2003:2
Slovakia	1992:1-2003:3	1994:1-2003:2

^a: annual data Data source: EUROSTAT

A2: Codes and Description of the NACE_6 Sectors

Codes	Sector Description
a + b	Agriculture, hunting, and forestry; Fishing
c + d + e	Mining and quarrying; Manufacturing; Electricity, gas and water supply
f	Construction
g + h + i	Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles, motorcycles and personal household goods; Hotels and restaurants; Transport, storage and communication
j + k	Financial intermediation; Real estate, renting, and business activities
l + m + n+ o +p	Public administration and defence, compulsory social security; Education; Health and social work; Other community, social, personal service activities; Private households with employed persons.

2008		
B01-08 2007	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
2006	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2000 B03-06	Financial Frictions Capital Reallocation and Aggregate Fluc-	lürgen von Hagen Haining Zhang
200 00	tuations	Surgen von Hugen, Hulping Zhung
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	Martin Seidel
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni- fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05 2004	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun- tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	Martin Seidel
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich- keiten der einheitlichen Geldnelitik im Eurocystem	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
B27_04	ses Firm Performance and Privatization in Ilkraine	Caluna Crygorenko, Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni-	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
R25_04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucian T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas- sungsvertrag	Martin Seidel

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in	Iulia Traistaru
_	an Enlarged EMU	
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
	Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	
B10-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pedussel
	traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	VVU Christian Value Martineus
D13-04	plain Local Datterns?	Christian Voipe Martineus
B14-04	Furn Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	liri lonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of	Sami Yläoutinen
010 01	Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Coun-	
	tries	
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte-	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
	gration	
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi-	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru,
	ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition	Laura Resmini
	Countries	
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
D a a a	Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	-
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Conver-	Taner M. Yıgıt, Alı M. Kutan
	gence	Mine Delienseure Lute Stafen II
B07-04	Human Woll being in Africa	Ivina Ballamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz Stefan H
D00 04	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	l utz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucian T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for	Orla Dovle, Jan Fidrmuc
	EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera-	Ulrich Bindseil
	tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok
_	for EU Enlargement	Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Bei-	Martin Seidel
	trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Storungen	
2002	durch die neuen witgliedstaaten	
2003 R20_03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	lürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign	losef C Brada Ali M Kutan Ta-
D20 00	Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version	gen
	of International Finance)	
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna lara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat-	Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe
	terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Martincus
B22-03	Reformzwange innernalb der EU angesichts der Usterweiterung	IVIAITIN Seidel
D21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for	vviiliam Pyle
B20-03	Ilrhan Primacy Gigantism and International Trade: Evidence	Ronald I Moomaw Mohammod
020-00	from Asia and the Americas	A Alwosabi
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Pri-	Ronald L. Moomaw Mohammed
	macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
	Export of Ukrainian Firms	ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and	lulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
	Policy Challenges	
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
	to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
	a Puzzle?	
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
		enen
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B04-03 B03-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul,
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B01-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B25-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B24-02 B24-02 B24-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B01-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02 B23-02 B23-02 B23-02 B23-02 B23-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B22-02 B23-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi- tion Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Scha- ber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Havo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-01	I NAT OF THE EUROPAEN CENTRAL BANK An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
520 01	Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
_	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
D1C 01	in Latin American and Carribean Countries	
B10-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and	Selanattin Diboogiu, Ali Wi. Kutan
	Hungary: implications for Full Membership in the European	
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OFCD	Christian F. Weller
B13-01	Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R Strauch lürgen von Hagen
01101	a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	non n. ettaden, surgen von nagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wa-	Lilia Cavallar
	ge Setters	
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Alı M. Kutan, Nıına Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
R00.01	Empirical Evidence	Ion Fidrmuc
D09-01	Wheels of Growth?	Jan Flumnuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	lürgen von Hagen Susanne
200 01		Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
	Countries and the European Union	
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
	Markets	tan
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
D04.01	The Future of Banking.	schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Kafael di Tella, Kobert J. Mac-
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from	Rafael di Tella Robert I Mac-
200 01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir-	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Ha-
	ty	gen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
	licy	
0000		
2000 R20.00	Broakin up a Nation From the Inside	Etionno Eonroque
B20-00 B10_00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process ge-	Luenne Farvaque Jens Hölscher
D19-00	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart. Rov Gardner.
		Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung	Martin Seidel
	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	
	meinschaftsrechts	
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso
		Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jurgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
R1/ 00	ration Sources of Roal Exchange Rate Eluctuations in Transition Fee	Salahattin Diboogly Ali M Kutan
D14-00	SUBJES OF NEAL EXCHANGE NALE FINCTUATIONS IN TRANSITION ECO-	JEIAHALLIH DIDUUYIU, AH IVI, NULAH
	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	
B13-00	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli- chen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition	Jan Fidrmuc
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99 B10.00	Subnational Government Ballouts in Germany	Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B19-99 B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor-
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von
_	Wrong in Central European Banking?	Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Sup- ply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99 B08-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Volker Wieland Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B10-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi- nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Stu- dy of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB	Robert L. Hetzel
B08-98	Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla- den)	Bernd Hayo
B07-98	Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Ver- sion zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
1997		
B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins- urance or Federalism?	Kenneth Kletzer
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 D-53113 Bonn Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 Fax: +49-228-73-1809 www.zei.de