

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Fratianni, Michele; von Hagen, Jürgen

Working Paper

The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 02-2001

Provided in Cooperation with:

ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Fratianni, Michele; von Hagen, Jürgen (2001): The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 02-2001, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39515

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies

Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Hagen

The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty

B 02 2001

The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty

by

Michele Fratianni and Jürgen von Hagen h.b.c This draft: May 2000

- ^a Kelley School of Business, Indiana University
- ^b ZEI, University of Bonn,
- ^c CEPR

Correspondence email: fratiann@indiana.edu

Department of Business Economics

Kelley School of Business, Bloomington, IN 47405-1701, USA

Abstract

Founded by the late Karl Brunner in 1970, the Konstanz Seminar celebrated its thirtieth anniversary in 1999. Brunner started the Seminar with two objectives, to close the gap in the quality of research and teaching of economics between the United States and Europe, Germany and Switzerland in particular, and to provide an alternative to the dominant Keynesian paradigm to European monetary policy makers. Thirty years ago the Konstanz Seminar was at the fringe of the economics profession; today it is part of the mainstream. This paper reviews the academic and policy accomplishments of the Konstanz Seminar.

JEL classification: B22. E40, E50, and F41.

Keywords: Monetary theory; Monetary policy; History of economic thought

1. Introduction

The Strandhotel Löchnerhaus on the Reichenau island near Konstanz, Germany, attracts tourists for sun, beauty, and South German hospitality. Once a year, the island's tranquillity is disrupted by a group of monetary economists and policy makers who gather there for the Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy. The 1999 (3-6 June) meeting marked the 30th anniversary of this conference, now a venerable institution in European monetary economics.

The Konstanz Seminar was started by the late Karl Brunner (1916-1989), an eminent scholar and accomplished academic entrepreneur who, in addition to this conference, founded the *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, the *Journal of Monetary Economics*, the *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series*, the Shadow Open Market Committee, the European Shadow Open Market Committee, and the Interlaken Conference on Analysis and Ideology. With the exception of the latter two, these enterprises continue to thrive.

The first Konstanz Seminar took place in 1970 (June 24-26) at the University of Konstanz, where Brunner held a chair in economics. The conference was moved to the island of Reichenau in 1971 and has remained there. Manfred J. M. Neumann, an economist at the Bundesbank before starting to work with Brunner, and today professor of economics at the University of Bonn, soon became the Seminar's codirector, and its sole organizer after Brunner's death in May of 1989. The Seminar's format has hardly changed through the years: it is as close to a steady-state equilibrium as economists ever see.

A typical Konstanz Seminar program consists of eight papers and a policy session. A distinctive feature is the unusual amount of time devoted to discussion: Authors get 15 minutes, discussants 20 minutes; and general discussion the remaining 40 minutes. The Seminar's discussions are extremely lively. Participants are expected to contribute to the debate; only those who perform are invited again. It is no secret that Brunner and Neumann kept lists of inactive individuals. Competition to be heard is fierce and the sessions chairs often resort to strong tactics to cut off long-winded questions and answers.

From 1970 to 1999, 262 papers were presented and discussed at Konstanz. Apart from the first conference volume (Brunner, 1972), the Seminar never made an effort to publish its own proceedings, leaving it up to the authors to find their publication outlets. Nevertheless, a flavor of the highlights of the Konstanz Seminar can be gleaned from a reading of the collected papers in Brunner and Neumann (1979) and Neumann (1986) as well as the review article for the 25th anniversary of

the conference written by Laidler (1995). As of September, 1999, 211 of the 262 papers presented at the Seminar had been published: 56 in top-tier journals, 46 in second-tier journals, and 109 in other journals and books. A total of 514 individuals from 31 different countries attended the Konstanz Seminar over the span of 30 years. An important characteristic of the Konstanz Seminar is the regular participation of policy makers from Europe and the United States. Over the years, central banks and treasuries from many countries have sent representatives to the conference, often contributing to the program.

2. Objectives and Achievements of the Konstanz Seminar

2.1. Objectives

Brunner started the Konstanz Seminar to bring sound monetary theory and monetary policy analysis to Europe, in particular to Germany and Switzerland. In the introduction to the published proceedings of the first conference Brunner outlined the Konstanz scientific manifesto (1972, p. 7):

"The Conference was designed to encourage empirical and analytic work in Europe and particularly in Germany in the field of monetary policy and monetary analysis....The division of 'monetary theory' and 'monetary policy' into separate unrelated realms of discourse still persists in Europe to a large extent. But theory without application to our environment is useless and policy discussion or judgments not based on analysis are dangerous."

Allan Meltzer - Brunner's long-time friend, collaborator and co-founder of many activities - recalls that Brunner was struck by the large quality gap in economic research and teaching between the US and Germany. Manfred Neumann remembers that at the time, in Germany as in most other European countries, analytical and empirical research in monetary economics were poorly

_

¹ Laidler's (1995) review focuses on the development of monetarism as a school of thought in macroeconomics and, largely, in North America. In contrast, this paper focuses on the influence of the Konstanz Seminar on modern European macroeconomics and on European monetary policy making.

² We count among top-tier journals the American Economic Review, the Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series, Econometrica, the Economic Journal, the International Economic Review, the Journal of Economic Literature, the Journal of Finance, the Journal of Political Economy, the Journal of Monetary Economics, the Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking, the Journal of International Economics, and the Quarterly Journal of Economics.

³ Our list of second-tier journals includes Applied Economics, Economic Inquiry, Economica, the European Economic Review, the European Journal of Political Economy, the Journal of Banking and Finance, the Journal of Business and Economic Statistics, the Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, the Journal of International Money and Finance, the Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics, the Journal of Policy Modelling, Kyklos, the Manchester School of Economics and Social Studies, and Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv.

⁴ Private communication to the authors dated 30 March 1999.

developed...Monetary theory and monetary policy were taught in the universities as separate topics. Courses on monetary policy were typically void of theoretical analysis; the focus was instead on describing institutions. As a reflection of this, the staff of central banks was barely in touch with academic research." ⁵ According to David Laidler, the Konstanz Seminar was from the beginning a "place where young Europeans were brought into contact with the best among the young North Americans, to the enormous benefit of both groups. This emphasis on bridge-building among the young has made the Konstanz Seminar unique." ⁶

A second, perhaps implicit, but no less important objective was to provide an alternative to the mainstream Keynesian paradigm, whose political appeal, as Brunner (1983, p. 58) saw it, rested in the "rationalization of activist pursuits of redistributive schemes under one guise or another". The Konstanz Seminar was to be the European counterweight to the orthodoxy of policy activism. At the 1970 seminar, Leonall Andersen of the FRB of St. Louis, an active soldier of the monetarist revolution, sketched the "properties of a monetarist model for economic stabilization" (Brunner 1972, pp. 89-125). Andersen, the first to speak at the conference, was followed by Brunner and Meltzer who presented their "Monetarist Hypothesis of Economic Fluctuations" (Brunner, 1972). The significance and symbology of the first seminar in this regard was not lost to William Wolman, who wrote in the New York Times (November 1, 1970) that:

"the First Konstanz Conference ...provided insight into not only the current state of monetarist thinking but also what new fields the monetarists – who, like all members of new scientific movements, have a streak of evangelism - would like to conquer."

The Konstanz Seminar acquired almost immediately the reputation of an intense monetarist challenge to the Keynesian orthodoxy of the time; some regarded it as a stronghold of monetarism. Brunner himself had coined that term in an article published in the Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review (Brunner 1968), describing it as a school of thought that believes in the self-stabilizing properties of the private economy, that money affects the real economy primarily through changes in relative asset prices, and that monetary fluctuations are primarily due to the erratic behavior of monetary authorities inspired by the "wrong" model of how money works in the economy. As Laidler (1991, p. 639) aptly put it: "Much (not all) of this is nowadays utterly respectable doctrine, and some of it conventional wisdom. It is hard to conceive of just how outlandish and old-fashioned a body of ideas monetarism

_

⁵ Private communication to the authors dated 9 April 1999.

seemed when Friedman, Brunner, and a few others began to propound it in the 1950s and the 1960s." Allan Meltzer (1998, p. 10) goes further: "... by the late 1970s the monetarist response had restored a version of the main propositions of classical monetary theory. The Keynesian challenge had been met. Keynesianism had been reduced mainly to the claim that labor markets (and perhaps anticipations) do not adjust without a lag and to a preference for discretionary policy actions—fiscal and, more importantly for this discussion, monetary actions. No classical economist from David Hume to Alfred Marshall would have quarreled with the statement about lags in the adjustment of labor markets or anticipations."

The objective of advancing monetarism was pursued not only by educating the young and bright European academic minds, but also by networking with the policy world. Almost every year, the Konstanz Seminar gave policy makers —primarily central bankers — or influential policy advisors the opportunity to present and discuss their views of monetary policy. This interaction between the world of academia and the world of policy making began in 1970, with a presentation of the concept of monetary theory and policy by Heinrich Irmler of the Deutsche Bundesbank (see Brunner 1972, pp. 137-164). In the same year, Dimitrije Dimitrijević of the National Bank of Yugoslavia gave a paper on the determinants of the money supply in his country (see Brunner, 1972, pp. 273-315) in a session chaired by future Bundesbank President Helmut Schlesinger.

Charles Goodhart, then an economist at the Bank of England and part of the Keynesian orthodoxy, came to Konstanz in 1971 to deliver a paper on the transmission mechanism of monetary policy and in 1972 for a study on money stock determination. Goodhart recalls that:

"I certainly received the distinct impression that I was invited from time to time, in the hopes of persuading me, in my role as a reasonably influential central bank economist, of the merits of monetarism in general, and of the version that Karl and Allan adopted in particular."

Goodhart's association with Konstanz had the profession puzzled as to whether he belonged to the Monetarist or Keynesian (Goodhart 1997, p. 400)⁹:

"Anyhow I had already found a niche in the Bank [of England], which was to try to explain internally to the Bank what the outside (monetarist) economists were arguing, while at the same time trying to explain to those same outside economists what the Bank's viewpoint was. This meant that within the Bank (and perhaps the Treasury) I was perceived as almost the resident

⁶ Private communication to the authors dated 8 April, 1999.

⁷ Franco Modigliani (1977) in his Presidential address to the American Economic Association acknowledged that Monetarism was correct on all points, except on the rule-based policies.

⁸ Private communication to the authors dated 8 April, 1999.

⁹ We thank George von Fürstenberg for bringing this passage to our attention.

'Monetarist', while to the Monetarists outside, notably at the Konstanz conferences organized by Karl Brunner and Allan Meltzer, I was seen as an 'unreconstructed Keynesian'."

Over time, a healthy environment of interaction developed, with heated debates to be sure, but ultimately with a relationship of mutual trust. Policy makers and policy advisers came to Konstanz to convince academics that what they were doing was right, but were also willing to listen to the alternatives and perhaps put them into practice.

In sum, Konstanz had two objectives, one explicit and one implicit. The explicit objective was to raise the quality of macroeconomic and monetary analysis of young European economists to the level achieved in North America. The implicit objective, of equal importance, was to influence the practice of central banking in favor of a less activist, forward looking monetary policy geared primarily at price stability.

2.2. Impact on monetary policy making in Europe

The Konstanz Seminar started as part of the Monetarist counter-revolution. Outside of a few academic institutions in the United States --such as the University of Chicago, UCLA, Carnegie-Mellon University, the Ohio State University, and the University of Rochester-- teaching, research, and policy advice by academic economists built on the traditional Keynesian paradigm (Laidler 1991, p. 639). The seminar also started in an environment where inflation was regarded as a lasting policy problem. Worries of persistent inflation had replaced earlier post-World War II fears of chronic deflation (Brunner 1969). In 1970, the Bretton Woods System was crumbling under the pressure of a rising US inflation rate and the unwillingness of some European countries, most notably Germany, to adopt the same inflationary policy via the fixed exchange rate regime. In this crisis environment, the Konstanz Seminar offered an alternative approach to macroeconomic modeling and alternative policy recommendations. As Wolman (1970) put it: "...the entire thrust of the conference was to get the Europeans to think of their problems in terms of stable growth rates of the monetary aggregates and to point out that such stabilization is an

-

¹⁰ There were some notable exceptions to Keynesianism in Europe as well, such as the University of Paris –Dauphine (where Emil Maria Claassen and Pascal Salin taught), the London School of Economics (Harry Johnson), the University of Manchester (David Laidler and Michael Parkin), the Graduate Institute of International Studies in Geneva (Alexander Swoboda), and the Catholic University of Louvain (Paul de Grauwe, Michele Fratianni, and Theo Peeters). We thank David Laidler for this observation.

achievable goal provided that central bankers use the right technique and focus on the right questions."

Money mattered at the Konstanz Seminar. According to the models presented at the first conferences, changes in the monetary base were the dominant impulses underlying the growth of the monetary aggregates. The monetary authorities had the ability to control these aggregates, not on a daily or weekly basis, but on a quarterly or a yearly basis. Monetary policy makers were advised to think less about the short run and more about the medium and long run. Inflation was basically interpreted as a monetary phenomenon; consequently, central bankers were ultimately responsible for it. While relative price and wage rigidity of the real sector gave the monetary authorities an opportunity to alter the path of output and unemployment, their actions would be anticipated by the public and affect price and wage expectations. Underestimating these reactions, activist policy makers were seen as raising the risk of creating an inflation bias. The implication was that discretionary monetary policy did not systematically raise the rate of output, but created an inflationary environment. The main tenet of the message offered by the Konstanz Seminar was that central banks ought to look at the long run and build reputation by being firmly committed to price stability. Furthermore, central bankers had to receive correct incentives for pursuing such policies: The Konstanz Seminar was an early advocate of central bank independence.

Today's landscape is radically different from the seventies. Much of the message that seemed revolutionary at a time, when old-style Keynesianism was still rampant, has long been accepted into the dominant paradigm used by economists. Inflation in the industrial world has been squelched, economic policy activism seems out-dated, and central banks in many countries have been granted independence - in fact, central bank independence became an entry condition to the European Monetary Union - or have been given explicit incentives to achieve low rates of inflation. The organizers of the Konstanz Seminar ought to be happy with these developments. But the question is: How much credit can they claim? This is a difficult question to answer with any degree of precision. Surely, the Konstanz Seminar has contributed to the dramatic change in the intellectual climate since the seventies. In what follows we try to identify some linkages between the Konstanz Seminar and monetary policy making.

A first indication of success is that a fair number of participants of the Konstanz Seminar were or became influential policy makers (see Table A in the Appendix). In this group many were already working in central banks or government when they first came to Konstanz; others were in academia and moved into the

policy world later. Among the first are Antonio Fazio, Charles Goodhart, Jerry Jordan, H. Robert Heller, Norbert Kloten, Markus Lusser, Tommaso Padoa-Schioppa, Paolo Savona, Kurt Schiltknecht, and Helmut Schlesinger. Typical of the second group are Stanley Fischer, Jacob Frenkel, Otmar Issing, Pieter Korteweg, Mario Monti, and Michael Mussa. André Fourçans and Paul de Grauwe represent a third and rare group of individuals who are both academics and policy makers.

The Konstanz Seminar probably had its largest impact on Germany's Bundesbank and the Swiss National Bank and a smaller impact on the Bank of England and the Banca d'Italia. Most Seminar participants we contacted agree on this point. Allan Meltzer speaks for all of them when he says:

"The Bundesbank and the Swiss National Bank changed the way they conducted policy. Is it an accident that they are the two most monetarist central banks? Did they contribute to and support our efforts because they agreed about objectives and were interested in improving techniques? It is hard for me to identify structural parameters of influence. We learned a lot about the problems from them as they saw them. I believe they continued their support and attendance because they found the interaction and the conference useful."¹¹

The connection between the Deutsche Bundesbank and the Konstanz Seminar existed from the very beginning. Heinrich Irmler, a member of the Bundesbank's Council kicked off the first Konstanz Seminar. Horst Bockelmann, head of the monetary division in Schlesinger's department of economics and statistics, was a regular participant of the seventies. The late Hermann Dudler replaced him at the Bundesbank and also at the Seminar in the early eighties. An important link was through Helmut Schlesinger. He first came to Konstanz in 1970 when he was heading the Research and Statistics Department, he returned in 1976 to discuss his paper "Recent Experiences with Monetary Policy in the Federal Republic of Germany," again in 1984 as deputy president of the Bundesbank to discuss his "Some Reflections on the Experience with Monetary Targeting over the Past Ten Years," and again in 1989 as a panelist on "International Monetary Cooperation: Do we Need It and in Which Way?" (together with Markus Lusser, President of the Swiss National Bank and Yoshio Suzuki, Executive Director of the Bank of Japan). In their book on the world's best central bankers, von Fürstenberg and Ulan (1998, p. 109) report that Schlesinger was "responsible for all articles of a 'principal' nature as he [Schlesinger] put it, in the official publications of the Bundesbank (Monthly Report, Annual Report) from 1964 to 1991. This gave him a

_

¹¹ Private communication to the authors dated March 30, 1999.

strong, and often decisive, behind-the-scenes influence on the policies of the Bundesbank for almost thirty years."

Until the mid-seventies the Bundesbank's operating procedure focused on the control of bank liquidity, which also acted as an indicator of monetary policy. This is clearly spelled out in Irmler (Brunner 1972, pp. 140-152). The problem with this approach is that bank liquidity is the result of optimizing choices by banks and, hence, not an appropriate indicator of monetary policy, a criticism that resonates the arguments against the use of free bank reserves as a monetary policy indicator in the United States. Schlesinger's 1976 paper is significant because it dismissed the bank liquidity approach in favor of controlling the monetary base and, ultimately, the money stock. In 1975, the Bundesbank was the world's first central bank to practice explicit monetary targeting. When Schlesinger returned to Konstanz almost 10 years later to assess a decade of experience with monetary targeting, the Bundesbank had acquired a reputation as a monetarist central bank (Bernanke et al., 1999, p. 50), which later became the role model for the new European Central Bank.

Equally close was the link between Konstanz and the Swiss National Bank. Brunner and Mark Lusser had great respect for each other. Von Fürstenberg and Ulan (p. 138) acknowledge this relationship: "When head of the Swiss National Bank (SNB), he returned regularly to the University of Berne to confer with Professors Karl Brunner ... and Jürg Niehans ... on the ins and outs of monetary economics. Brunner and his followers reinforced in him a pragmatic form of monetarism." Equally importantly, the Konstanz Seminar cultivated the research department of the Bank. The key figures were Kurt Schiltknecht, a Director of the Swiss National Bank, who was an assiduous Seminar participant in the seventies and had an important role in the adoption of monetary targeting in the mid-seventies (Schiltknecht, 1983). Like the Germans, the Swiss adopted a practice of deriving money growth targets from explicit targets for inflation, potential output, and growth of velocity (Bernanke and Mishkin 1992). Georg Rich replaced Schiltknecht at the Swiss National Bank and at the Konstanz Seminar.

More difficult is an assessment of the influence of the Konstanz Seminar on U.K. monetary policy. It is generally accepted that Brunner and Meltzer had significant influence on Alan Walters, Lord Brian Griffiths —a regular Konstanz participant in the seventies— and Patrick Minford—an audible and important "voice" in Konstanz—who, in turn, had significant influence in the UK government, especially in the Thatcher period. Regarding a possible impact on the U.K. Treasury and the

Bank of England, the assessment must be more guarded. Charles Goodhart believes that "Monetarism certainly had an influence on the practice of monetary policy in the 1970s and the early 1980s, but it would be very difficult to isolate the role of Karl and the Konstanz Seminar in particular, as compared and contrasted, for example, with Milton Friedman and Chicago." ¹³

The influence of the Konstanz Seminar on the Italian central bank is more limited but more documentable. Fazio came to the Konstanz Seminar in 1971 to argue the case for an interest rate pegging policy (in a paper co-authored with Paolo Savona), a policy pursued by the Banca d'Italia from 1966 to 1969. Not surprisingly, the paper met considerable criticism. Nonetheless, Brunner and Meltzer were invited to the Banca d'Italia to present their "monetarist" views, which had already made some converts there. This was significant in light of the fact that the leading intellectual advisor of the Banca d'Italia at the time was Franco Modigliani of MIT, who, it is fair to say, was not sympathetic to Brunner and Meltzer. Paolo Savona, Fazio's colleague at the Bank's research department, summarizes the 1971 Konstanz experience as follows:

"The discussion in Konstanz left a profound mark on Fazio and me; it was also brought to the attention of Carli [then Governor of the Banca d'Italia] who had some doubts on the sustainability of the interest rate stabilization policy. We understood that such a policy could have continued only in the presence of money illusion or only for a limited period of time... Subsequently, the scientific production of the Konstanz Seminar was followed with keen interest at the Banca d'Italia."¹⁴

In 1984, the Banca d'Italia adopted monetary targeting and began a long and gradual process of disinflation. Under Governor Fazio, the disinflation policy became more determined; to no small measure of Italy's success in qualifying for EMU is due to Fazio's policies.

In sum, while it is difficult to trace the exact impact of the Konstanz Seminar on policy making, it is clear that this institution was closely connected with the world of policy making in several European countries. The repeated exposure of policy makers to the Konstanz Seminar suggests that both parties learnt from each other. In this sense at least, we conclude that the policy objective of the Konstanz seminar was met with success.

¹² For a review of the debate within the Bundesbank leading to that decision and the academic influence like that of the Konstanz Seminar on this process see von Hagen (1999).

¹³ Private communication dated April 8, 1999. David Laidler believes that monetarism in the UK was emerging as a force even before Friedman and Brunner exercised any personal sway on Mrs. Thatcher.

2.3. Impact on academia

To analyze the impact of the Konstanz Seminar on European academia, we first consider the number and geographical origin of the conference participants over the years. The first Seminar had 68 participants, more than any other subsequently. Among these were 49 Germans and Swiss, 9 North Americans (US and Canada), and 10 participants from other European countries. The available data indicates that attendance reached a low point in 1977, with 45 persons, and peaked in 1983 and for the 25th anniversary conference in 1994, with 64 and 61 persons, respectively. In most years, the number of participants hovered around 56, a number dictated by the availability of hotel space on the Reichenau island.

The average Konstanz Seminar participant attended the conference three times. Only 28 individuals (5.4 percent of the total) were invited more than 10 times, and nine of these were not present in the 1990s. A very restricted group of eight individuals (1.6 percent of the total and 16 percent of the average number of participants) attended more than half of the conferences. ¹⁶ 57 percent of the participants attended the conference only once; that ratio is slightly lower among U.S. participants, half of whom came to Konstanz only once. Overall, there is no indication of conference attrition over the past 30 years.

Table 1 reports the geographical distribution of Konstanz participants grouped according to the location of their institutions, for selected years. The share of German and Swiss participants has remained relatively stable at approximately 60 percent since the early 1980s, while North American representation has declined in favor of representation from other European countries. Altogether, this evidence indicates that, consistent with its educational objective, the Konstanz Seminar provided a forum for academic exchange between North Americans and Europeans, particularly Germans and Swiss, and made an effort to involve a large number of individuals in this dialogue.

Table 1
Geographical Distribution of Participants (percent)

Year	North Americans	Germans and	Other	Non Europeans
		Swiss	Europeans	
1970	19	72	8	0
1977	29	44	27	0
1982	10	63	23	4

¹⁴ Private communication dated April 19, 1999.

¹⁵ Lists of participants are unavailable for the years 1971-76 and 1978-79.

¹⁶ Apart from Manfred Neumann, who has attended all conferences, the most frequent participants are Allan Meltzer (Carnegie Mellon) and Michele Fratianni (Indiana University), both 28 times.

1989	18	66	14	2
1990	18	64	16	2
1997	11	53	32	4
1998	13	60	25	2
1999	17	58	23	2
1970-99	22	52	23	3

The intended, positive educational role of the Konstanz Seminar should be reflected in a growing contribution of European economists to the conference program. Appendix Table B has some relevant information. The early conferences were clearly dominated by North American authors. This pattern changed significantly as time went on. In the late 1970s already, the distribution of papers between North Americans and Germans and Swiss was much more even, while contributions by other Europeans dropped to 20 percent. In the late 1980s and early 1990s, German and Swiss authors dominated the Konstanz Seminars. In recent years, the distribution has become more even again. Today, Konstanz is a conference whose contributions are shared roughly evenly between North Americans and Europeans, and the European share is distributed roughly evenly among Germans and Swiss and other Europeans.

Define "active participation rates" as the proportion of participants from a certain region presenting papers among all participants from that region relative to the ratio of participants from that region to all participants. These odds-rates of being a contributor from a given region differ substantially among the three groups. Over the 30 years, North Americans lead with a rate of 1.77 against 1.16 for other Europeans and 0.56 for German and Swiss participants. Nevertheless, the active participation rate of German and Swiss participants has increased over time.

In addition to authors, discussants contribute importantly to the quality of the session and of the general discussion. Appendix Table B reports the distribution of author-discussant matches according to geographical affiliations. In the early years, the most frequent match was North Americans discussing papers authored by North Americans. European participants often watched North Americans doing their thing. Matches resulting in Germans and Swiss discussing other European authors and vice versa were relatively rare; the organizers must have felt that such matches would have resulted in low learning experiences. The most frequently observed matches, apart from North Americans with North Americans, involved North Americans discussing European papers and vice versa. The table indicates a decline of the frequency of two-sided North American pairings over time, with a low reached

_

 $^{^{17}}$ To emphasize trends, the table splits the entire period into six-year intervals.

in the late 1980s. In the second half of the 1990s, the most frequent match was Germans and Swiss discussing other European authors. North Americans commenting on German and Swiss authors became relatively rare; other Europeans commenting on papers by other European authors remained consistently infrequent. Just as it was true for the distribution of authors, the distribution of matches has become more even in time.

One potential criticism is that these observations are "internal" evidence, heavily influenced by the organizers' selection of participants. A second test, therefore, is to check the publication record of the papers presented at the Konstanz Seminars. Such a test is difficult for two reasons. One is the long publication lag due to the refereeing process. This makes the comparison of relatively short periods quite meaningless. The other is that it creates a bias against continental European economists, because of their relative unfamiliarity with the English language.

We selected two rather long periods for comparison, one in the early days of Konstanz and the other in the late days to check for significant changes in publication records (see Table 6). The early sub-period goes from 1971 to 1981 and the late sub-period from 1987 to 1997. The 1970 Seminar was omitted because the papers presented in that program were published in a proceedings volume in 1972; the 1998 and 1999 conferences were omitted because of publication lags.

Table 2
Publication Record by Geographical Areas

	Top-tier journals	2 nd -tier journals	Other journals	Total papers
			and books	presented
		1971-81		
North Americans	16	4	12	50
German-Swiss	3	3	7	28
Other Europeans	4	5	7	32
Total papers	23	12	26	110
		1987-97		
North Americans	7	4	8	28
German-Swiss	2	5	12	28
Other Europeans	0	4	14	24
Total papers	9	13	34	80

Define the overall "hit rate" as the ratio of all publications to total papers in each group. The hit rate of North Americans was 0.64 in the early period and 0.68 in the later period; the German-Swiss hit rate rose from 0.46 in the early days to 0.68 in the later days. According to this indicator, German and Swiss publication records converged to those of North Americans. The difference between the two groups lies in the quality of publications, as measured by journal rankings. In the early period

North Americans published an exceptional 50 per cent of their papers in top-tier journals, a reflection that the organizers were selecting extremely well from that regional pool. The top-tier share of Germans and Swiss was 23 per cent, still quite respectable. Again, the organizers were selecting well from the general pool of monetary economists. In the later period the share of top-tier articles dropped drastically: from 50 to 37 per cent for North Americans, from 23 to 11 per cent for Germans and Swiss, and from 25 to 0 per cent for other Europeans. North Americans thus remain much more likely to hit a top-tier journal than a German or a Swiss. The latter have moved in second place in the top quality and in first place in the second-tier category.¹⁸

The data of Table 2 suggest three conclusions. First, Europeans were exposed to the very best work of their North American counterparts in the early days of the Konstanz Seminar, in line with the objectives of the conference. Second, papers presented at the Konstanz Seminar have enjoyed an increased likelihood of being published over time, a process driven mainly by the improved success rate of European participants in publishing their work in second-tier journals and other journals and books. This outcome, again, is consistent with the educational objective of the Konstanz Seminar. Finally, there remains a quality gap between North Americans and Europeans, as measured by the hit rate in top-tier journals, which reflects the more competitive nature of the academic market in North America.

These "objective" conclusions square with qualitative assessments we have received from some of the participants of the conference. For Eduard Bomhoff, the real impact of the Konstanz Seminar has been to motivate "people to pursue serious research [rather] than to lead to common points of view." For Clemens Kool, who first came to Konstanz as a Ph.D. student in the early 1980s, "Konstanz was a nursery for a generation of well-trained European-based monetary economists, who in turn spread the word within their home institutions to train subsequent generations."20 For Manfred J.M. Neumann, the Konstanz Seminar was a leading factor in the general opening up of European academia to the international arena and had a large impact particularly in Germany.²¹ Finally, for Patrick Minford, the Konstanz Seminar underscored inclusiveness:

"Infidels were ripe for conversion, technical modellers who did not understand the philosophical basis of free markets and monetary controls were also

14

¹⁸ The German and Swiss share of second-tier publications is 26 per cent against the 21 per cent of North Americans and the 22 per cent of other Europeans.

¹⁹ Private communication to the authors dated March 13, 1999. ²⁰ Private communication to the authors dated March 31, 1999.

²¹ Private communication to the authors dated April 9, 1999.

welcome and taken in hand ...This was a unique project which arguably none but Karl could have done. He undoubtedly caused the rapid transmission of ideas to a mainly German group of economists. The fruits are plainly visible today in Germany." ²²

It is also interesting to trace the career of some of those Seminar participants who first came to Konstanz as Ph.D. students. Those of the seventies were Peter van Loo, Eduard Bomhoff (both writing under Pieter Korteweg at Erasmus University), André Fourçans, Herwig Langohr, and Roland Vaubel. Van Loo is now president of a regional branch of the Dutch Central Bank, Bomhoff was a professor at Erasmus before moving to Nijenrode University. Fourçans and Langohr were Fratianni's students at Indiana University; Fourçans is professor of economics at E.S.S.E.C (and a member of the European Parliament) and Langohr is a professor of finance at INSEAD. Vaubel was a student of Herbert Giersch's at Kiel and is now professor of economics at the University of Mannheim. The eighties' generation includes Clemens Kool, Kees Koedijk, Walter Wasserfallen, Jürgen von Hagen, Suzanne Lohmann, Axel Weber, and Martin Klein. Kool and Koedijk were Bomhoff's students at Erasmus and became professors of economics at the University of Maastricht after working for the Dutch central bank. The late Walter Wasserfallen was Brunner's student at Berne and Rochester and became the director of the Swiss National Bank's Study Centre Gerzensee in Switzerland. Von Hagen, Lohmann and Klein were Neumann's students at Bonn; von Hagen became a professor at Indiana University before moving to the University of Mannheim and later to the University of Bonn; Lohmann taught at Stanford University before moving to UCLA; Klein worked for the IMF before becoming a professor of economics at the University of Halle Wittenberg. Weber, a student of Loef's in Siegen, was a professor in Bonn and is now at the University of Frankfurt.

In sum, the academic objectives of the Konstanz Seminar seem to have been amply satisfied.

3. Topics and Contributions

3.1. Topics

Konstanz papers can be classified into three broad categories: papers that have general interest, papers that relate to a specific country, and papers that study political economy. Country papers either address a policy problem or test empirical hypotheses using data from a specific country. Political economy papers in Konstanz tend to investigate monetary policy institutions such as central bank independence.

²² Private communication to the authors dated April 11, 1999.

Table 3 reports the distribution of papers according to these classifications and the geographical affiliation of the authors; institutional papers are not shown but are obtained as the remainder.

Table 3
Distribution of Papers by Type and Geographical Affiliation (percent)

Years	Paper Type	North	German	Other	Total
		Americans	Swiss	Europeans	
1970-75	General	31	5	17	56
	Country	16	16	13	44
1976-81	General	22	15	8	47
	Country	17	22	13	53
1982-87	General	35	20	14	74
	Country	6	12	10	26
1988-93	General	20	22	9	52
	Country	9	20	9	41
1994-99	General	33.5	16	10.5	60
	Country	9	5	2	16
1970-99	General	27	15	13	56
	Country	12	16	10	39

Note: institutional papers (not shown) can be obtained as a residual.

Apart from the second six-year period, general-interest papers have been more prominent than either country or institutional papers in Konstanz. Political economy papers became relevant only in the late 1980s, reflecting the growing interest of the economics profession in central bank independence; 25 per cent of all papers dealt with political economy analysis in the period from 1994 to 1999. The relatively small share of papers studying political economy reflects the division of tasks Brunner intended between the Konstanz Seminar and the Interlaken Conference, which was devoted to political economy and philosophy. As the table indicates, North American authors have had a stronger preference for general interest papers than other participants; this was particularly true in the early years. Interests have converged over time, and today the likelihood of a general-interest paper presented by a German or Swiss author is much higher than in the early days of Konstanz.

Although Konstanz has dealt with a wide range of issues, these can be arranged into the following four groups: (1) money supply and money demand, (2) monetary policy, (3) monetary macroeconomics, and (4) international macroeconomics and economic policy. A paper is included in group (1) rather than

16

²³ Buchanan (1996) recalls that Brunner was acutely interested in political economy and recognized the impact of political processes and ideologies on policy outcomes.

(3) if the focus is specifically on the demand for money function or the money supply process; it is included in (2) rather than (3) if it deals with a specific policy issue. Only very few papers escape these classifications. Table 4 reports the distribution of paper topics against the geographical affiliation of the authors; we leave out papers that do not fall under any of these categories, which explains why the sums in the table do not add up to 100 for each period.

Table 4
Distribution of Papers by Topics and Authors' Geographical Affiliation (percent)

'	Topic 1	Topic 2	Topic 3	Topic 4	
1970-75					
NA	12	8	11	11	
D-CH	5	11	3 5	2	
OE	8	8	5	11	
Total	25	27	19	24	
		1976-81			
NA	2	9	17	10	
D-CH	12	7	7	7	
OE	5	4	4	10	
Total	22	22	27	27	
		1982-87			
NA	2	6	23	10	
D-CH	2	4	4	10	
OE	0	8	6	10	
Total	4	18	33	30	
		1988-93			
NA	0	9	7	16	
D-CH	4	7		20	
OE	0	2	9	14	
Total	4	18	9	50	
		1994-99			
NA	12	17	9	2	
D-CH	0	12	2	16	
OE	0	5	5	9	
Total	12	34	16	27	
1970-99					
NA	6	10	12	11	
D-CH	5	8	4	10	
OE	3	6	4	12	
Total	14	24	20	32	

Notes: 1: money supply and money demand, 2:: monetary policy, 3: monetary macroeconomics, 4: international macroeconomics and economic policy, NA = North American authors, D-CH = German and Swiss authors, OE = other European authors.

The early Konstanz Seminars displayed a heavy dose of money-supply papers, reflecting the focus of Brunner's (and Meltzer's) own work at the time. This

topic, however, vanished completely from the programs after 1982; the more recent papers under the first category dealt exclusively with the demand for money. Monetary policy papers - which in the early years included mainly papers on monetary strategy, targets, and indicators - accounted for 27 percent of all papers in the first period, but lost importance subsequently. There was a resurgence of this topic in recent years because of the noted interest in central bank institutions. The share of papers on monetary macroeconomics rose from 19 to 30 percent over the first three periods. In this group, there were many papers on rational expectations, but only few that dealt with the new research program of real business cycles. The share of monetary macroeconomics dropped dramatically to be replaced by a rising share of papers on exchange rate policies. Studies in international macro economics had an initial share of around 25 percent, which rose to 50 percent in the late 1980s and early 1990s.

It should be stressed that the organizers of the conference put more emphasis and effort on selecting authors than on selecting specific topics, the choice of which was generally left to the authors. In this sense, topic selection was endogenous at the Konstanz Seminar and reflected the preferences of the authors and the profession at large. With this in mind, the data of Table 5 can be interpreted as being determined by two factors, one common to macroeconomics and the other specific to the Konstanz Seminar. The first is the loss of interest by the economics profession in money supply issues and the rising interest in general macro analysis in the 1980s. The second is the high and growing importance of topics of genuine relevance to Europeans, namely international macro economics and exchange rate policies. From the very beginning Konstanz emphasized the study of the open economy, much more so than a typical conference in North America. Starting in the late 1980s, the push for monetary union in Europe became another important and genuinely European topic reflected in the Konstanz program. Goodhart's (1998) contribution shows how the quest for monetary union stimulated research even in general monetary economics.

The table also reveals an interesting pattern in the selection of topics by different groups of authors. In the first period, North American papers were almost evenly distributed across the four topics, with a slight preference for money supply and demand-related papers. This preference was matched by German and Swiss contributions in the second period, with more than one third of their contributions falling under this topic. North American authors, meanwhile, had shifted mainly to the third (45 percent of their contributions) and the fourth topic (26 percent). Swiss and German authors followed suit in the third period, when half of their contributions

related to international macroeconomics. North Americans confirmed their specialization in the period 1982-87 (56 percent of their contributions in monetary macro economics, 24 percent in international macro), as did the German and Swiss group in 1988-93 with 53 percent of their papers dealing with international macroeconomics. In the late 1980s, however, North Americans' preferences shifted from monetary macroeconomics to monetary policy issues (36 percent of their contributions in 1988-93). Again, German and Swiss authors followed the North American lead in the mid-1990s, when 35 percent of their contributions dealt with the same topic. Although it is hard to establish causality and even harder to identify the causal mechanism, the table is suggestive about the responsiveness of European research interests to North American leads.

3.2 "Classical" Contributions

One may wonder whether the process of endogenous topic selection worked well not only to attract good authors, but also to attract high-quality papers. With the benefit of hindsight, one way to answer this question is to note that a number of papers presented at Konstanz Seminars later became classical pieces in the macro economics literature. While this is harder to argue for more recent conferences, we can point to a number of papers from the first 15 conferences that have stood the test of time.

The 1973 Konstanz Seminar had three such contributions. Rudiger Dornbusch's (1975) paper on the portfolio balance model of macro economic policies in open economies and Pentti Kouri's (1975) study of the hypothesis of offsetting capital flows in Germany were both published subsequently in the inaugural issue of the Journal of Monetary Economics. The third classic from this conference was Michael Mussa's (1974) study on tariffs and the distribution of income. The 1974 Konstanz Seminar featured Benjamin Friedman's (1975) paper on targets, instruments and indicators of monetary policy, also published in the Journal of Monetary Economics. Friedman came back in 1980 to present his study of the relative stability of money and credit velocities, published in Friedman (1982).

In 1976, Robert Barro presented his study of unanticipated money growth and unemployment in the United States, published in the American Economic Review the following year. At the 1977 Konstanz Seminar, Jacob Frenkel contributed his paper on the forward exchange rate and the demand for money in the German hyperinflation, to be published later in the American Economic Review (1977). The 1978 conference saw Dale Henderson's and Stephen Salant's (1978) article on market expectations and government policies in the gold market, which laid the

groundwork to Paul Krugman's (1979) seminal paper on speculative attacks on fixed exchange rates. Also in 1978, Ernst Baltensperger gave his study on alternative approaches to the banking firm, published in the Journal of Monetary Economics in 1980.

At the 1979 conference, Brunner, Meltzer and Cukierman presented a paper showing the different macro dynamics of permanent and transitory shocks, which later appeared in the Journal of Monetary Economics (1980). In 1981, Robert King and Charles Plosser presented "Money, credit, and prices in a real business cycle," one of the first attempts to wed the new approach to macro economics with monetary economics. The paper was published in the American Economic Review in 1984. Finally, Robert Barro's and David Gordon's positive theory of monetary policy in a natural rate model, published in the Journal of Political Economy in 1983, was presented at the Konstanz Seminar in 1982. This paper became the basis for much of the work on the political economy of central banking and central bank credibility, and had an important influence on the design of the European Central Bank in the 1990s.

In sum, the Konstanz Seminar attracted a good number of papers that turned out to be influential in the macroeconomics literature. As our review indicates, most of these papers were in the two fields of open economy macroeconomics and monetary policy.

4. Conclusions

The Konstanz Seminar had an academic or educational goal and a policy goal. The academic goal was explicit and aimed at closing the gap between the quality of research and teaching of economics in the United States and Europe, Germany and Switzerland in particular. The second goal, implicit perhaps, was no less important than the first: the conference was to provide an alternative to the orthodoxy of the time, the Keynesian paradigm, with its deep roots in policy activism and inattention to money. The state of the art of monetary economics at the end of the twentieth century is substantially different from what it was thirty years ago. The research and educational gap between North America and Europe has narrowed. The Keynesian paradigm has lost its orthodoxy *imprimatur*.

The evidence we have reviewed in the paper suggests that Konstanz contributed to these developments. Thirty years ago, the Konstanz Seminar was at the fringe of the economics profession in Europe; today it is part of the mainstream. The question of "how large a contribution" it has made is difficult, if not impossible, to

answer exactly. The Konstanz Seminar was part of a large movement in macroeconomics that was searching for a new paradigm. Our cautious conclusion is that it played a role in this transformation process and influenced the minds of many academics and policy makers.

Having achieved, at least in part, the initial objectives, should the Konstanz Seminar continue? Our suggestion to the organizers is to be bold and take on the new challenge of educating monetary economists and policy makers from the transforming economies of Eastern and Central Europe. The Konstanz Seminar can use its reputation to attract good and bright economists from these countries to ensure the enhancement and consolidation of the liberalization process. The dialogue between academics and policy makers is particularly important in Central and East Europe because institutions there are much more fragile than institutions in Western Europe thirty years ago. Our hope is that the next thirty years of Konstanz might be as productive as the last.

Acknowledgements

We wish to express our gratitude to Ernst Baltensperger, Wolf-Dieter Becker, Eduard Bomhoff, Michael Bordo, Marvin Goodfriend, Dale Henderson, Clemens Kool, Hans-Helmut Kotz, Charles Goodhart, David Laidler, Jacques Mélitz, Allan Meltzer, Patrick Minford, Manfred Neumann, Michael Parkin, Robert Rasche, Eric Rasmusen, Paolo Savona, Joachim Scheide, Heinrich Ursprung, Roland Vaubel, George von Fürstenberg, and Gerhard Zweig for comments and suggestions.

References

- Andersen, L. C.,1972. Properties of a Monetarist model for economic stabilization. In: Brunner, K. (Ed.), Proceedings of the First Konstanzer Seminar on Monetary Theory and Monetary Policy. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.
- Baltensperger, Ernst (1980), Alternative Approaches to the Theory of the Banking Firm. Journal of Monetary Economics 6:1-38
- Barro, Robert J. (1977), Unanticipated Money Growth and Unemployment in the United States. American Economic Review 67: 101-115
- Barro, R. J., and D. B. Gordon (1983), A Positive Theory of Monetary Policy in a Natural Rate Model. Journal of Political Economy 91: 589-610
- Bernanke, B. S., Mishkin, F. S., 1992. Central bank behavior and the strategy of monetary policy: observations from six industrialized countries. In: Blanchard, O., Fischer, S. (Eds.), NBER Macroeconomics Annual. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Bernanke, B. S., Laubach, Th., Mishkin, F. S., Posen, A.S., 1999. Inflation Targeting. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- Brunner, K., 1968. The role of money and monetary policy. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis Review, July: 9-24.
- Brunner, K., 1969. The drift into persistent inflation. Wharton Quarterly, Fall.
- Brunner, K., 1972.Introduction. In: Brunner, K. (Ed.), Proceedings of the First Konstanzer Seminar on Monetary Theory and Monetary Policy. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.

- Brunner, K., 1983. Has Monetarism failed?. Cato Journal 1:23-62.
- Brunner, K., Meltzer, A.H., 1972. A Monetarist framework for aggregative analysis. In: Brunner, K. (Ed.), Proceedings of the First Konstanzer Seminar on Monetary Theory and Monetary Policy. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.
- Brunner, K., A. Meltzer, and A. Cukierman (1980), Stagflation, Macro Economics, and the Permanence of Economic Shocks. Journal of Monetary Economics 6:467-492
- Brunner, K., Neumann, M. J. M., 1979. Inflation, Unemployment, and Monetary Control. Duncker and Humblot, Berlin.
- Buchanan, James (1996), "Karl Brunner and Economic Science." In: Thomas Lys (ed.), Economic Analysis and Political Ideology: The Selected Essays of Karl Brunner. Cheltenham: E. Elgar
- Dimitrijević, D., 1972. Determinants of the money supply in Yugoslavia. In: Brunner, K. (Ed.), Proceedings of the First Konstanzer Seminar on Monetary Theory and Monetary Policy. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.
- Dornbusch, R. (1975), A Portfolio Balance Model of the Open Economy. Journal of Monetary Economics 1: 3-20
- Fratianni, M., 1990. A scholar and a friend has died: in memoriam of Karl Brunner.

 Open Economies Review 1:297-307.
- Frenkel, J. (1977), The Forward Exchange Rate, Expectations, and the Demand for Money: The German Hyperinflation. American Economic Review 67: 653-70
- Friedman, B. (1975), Targets, Instruments, and Indicators of Monetary Policy.

 Journal of Monetary Economics 1: 443-473
- Friedman, B. (1982), Debt and Economic Activity in the United States. B. Friedman (ed.), The Changing Roles of Debt and Equity in Financing U.S. Capital Formation. Chicago: University of Chicago Press
- Goodhart, Ch. A.E., 1997. Whither now? Banca Nazionale del Lavoro Quarterly Review, 50, No. 203: 385-430.
- Goodhart, Ch. A. E. (1998), The Two concepts of Money: Implications for the Analysis of Optimum currency Areas." European Journal of Political Economy 14, 407-32
- Henderson, D. W. and S. Salant (1978), Market Anticipations of Government Policies and the Price of Gold. Journal of Political Economy 86: 627-648
- Irmler, H., 1972. "The Deutsche Bundesbank 's concept of monetary theory and monetary policy. In: Brunner, K. (Ed.), Proceedings of the First Konstanzer Seminar on Monetary Theory and Monetary Policy. Duncker & Humblot, Berlin.
- King, R., and C. Plosser (1984), Money, Credit, and Prices in a Real Business Cycle. American Economic Review 74: 363-380
- Kouri, P. (1975), The Hypothesis of Offsetting Capital Flows: A Case Study of Germany. Journal of Monetary Economics 1: 21-39
- Krugman, P. (1979), A Model of Balance-of-Payment Crises. Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking 11: 311-325.
- Laband, D. N., Piette, M.J., 1994. The relative impacts of economics journals: 1970-1990. Journal of Economic Literature, 32 (June): 640-666.
- Laidler, D., 1995. Monetarism circa 1970: a view from 1994. Kredit und Kapital, 28:323-354.
- Laidler, D., 1991. Karl Brunner's monetary economics: an appreciation. Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, 23 (4):633-658.
- Meltzer, A. H., 1998. Monetarism: the issues and the Outcome, Atlantic Economic Journal, 26, 1:8-31.
- Modigliani, F. 1977. The Monetarist controversy or, should we forsake stabilization policies?, Amercian Economic Review 67: 1-19.

- Mussa, M. (1974), Tariffs and the Distribution of Income: The Importance of Factor Specificity, Substitutability, and Intensity in the Short and Long Run. Journal of Political Economy 82: 1191-1203
- Neumann, M. J. M., 1986. Monetary Policy and Uncertainty. Nomos, Baden-Baden.
- Schlesinger, H., 1976. Recent experiences with monetary policy in the Federal Republic of Germany. Paper presented at the 1976 Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Monetary Policy.
- Schlesinger, H., 1984. Some reflections on the experience with monetary targeting over the past ten years. Paper presented at the 1976 Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Monetary Policy.
- Schiltknecht, K., 1983. Switzerland –the pursuit of monetary objectives. In: Meek, P. (Ed.), Central Bank Views on Monetary Targeting. Federal Reserve Bank of New York, New York.
- Von Fürstenberg, G., Ulan, M., 1998. Learning from the World's Best Central Bankers. Kluwer Academic Press, Dordrecht.
- von Hagen, J., 1999. Monetary targeting in Germany. Journal of Monetary Economics 43: 681-701
- Woolman, W., 1970. Is Europe ready for Friedman? New York Times. November 1.

Appendix

Table A: Active Konstanz Seminar Participants with Careers in Policy Making Institutions

Name Institutional affiliation of first High		Highest Position Achieved
INAIIIE	presence at Konstanz	nighest Position Achieved
Alphandery, Edmond	ESSEC ESSEC	Minister of Economics, France
Buiter, Willem	London School of Economics and	Member of Monetary Policy Council,
Duiter, Willem	Political Science	Bank of England
Crockett, Andrew	General Manager, BIS	General Manager, BIS
De Grauwe, Paul	Catholic University of Leuven	Senator and MP, Belgium
Fase, Martin	De Netherlandse Bank	Deputy Director, De Netherlandse
i ase, martin	De Netherlandse Bank	Bank
Fazio, Antonio	Banca d'Italia	Governor, Banca d'Italia
Fourcans, Andre	ESSEC	Member of European Parliament
Frenkel, Jacob	University of Chicago	Governor, Bank of Israel
Fischer, Stanley	M.I.T.	Deputy Director, IMF
Frisch, Helmut	University of Vienna	Director of Austrian Public Debt Board
Giavazzi, Francesco	Director General, Treasury, Italy	Director General, Treasury, Italy
Goodhart, Charles	Bank of England	Member of the Monetary Policy
·		Council, Bank of England
Heller, H. Robert	IMF	Board Member, FRS
Irmler, Heinrich	Member of the Central Bank	Member of the Central Bank Council,
	Council, Deutsche Bundesbank	Deutsche Bundesbank
Issing, Otmar	University of Würzburg	Member of the Executive Board, ECB
Jordan, Jerry	Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis	President, FRB Cleveland
Kloten, Norbert	University of Stuttgart	President, LZB Baden Württemberg
Kokoszczynski,	Board Member, National Bank of	Board Member, National Bank of
Ryszard	Poland	Poland
Korteweg, Pieter	Erasmus University	Treasury General, Netherlands
Kotz, Helmut	Deutsche Girozentrale	President, LZB Niedersachsen
Lusser, Markus	President, Swiss National Bank	President, Swiss National Bank
Monti, Mario	Università Bocconi	Commissioner, European Union
Mussa, Michael	University of Rochester	Economic Counsellor, IMF
Nabli, Mustapha	University of Tunis	Minister of Economic Development
Padoa-Schioppa,	Banca d'Italia	Member of the Executive Board, ECB
Tommaso		
Pierce, James	Board of Governors, FRS	Advisor, Board of Governors
Poole, William	Board of Governors, FRS	President, FR Bank of St. Louis
Rasche, Robert	Michigan State University	Head of Research, FR Bank of St.
		Louis
Rich, Georg	Swiss National Bank	Director, Swiss National Bank
Savona, Paolo	Banca d'Italia	Minister of Industry, Italy
Schiltknecht, Kurt	Swiss National Bank	Director, Swiss National Bank
Schlesinger Helmut	Chief Economist, Deutsche	President, Deutsche Bundesbank
	Bundesbank	
Strauss-Kahn Marc-	Banque de France	Director of International Relations,
Olivier		Banque de France, Paris
Suzuki, Yoshio	Executive Director, Bank of Japan	Executive Director, Bank of Japan
Tosovsky, Josef	President. Czech National Bank	President. Czech National Bank
Wallich, Henry	Board Member, FRS	Board Member, FRS

Note: Participants are counted as active if they presented at least one paper or led at least one policy discussion at the Konstanz Seminar.

Table B: Author-Discussant Matches (percent)

Discussant	Diocussant Materio	Author		
	North American	German-Swiss	Other European	Total
		1970-75	·	•
North American	24	13	13	50
German-Swiss	10	3	5	18
Other European	16	5	10	31
Total	49	21	30	100
		1976-81		
North American	20	11	8	39
German-Swiss	11	11	7	29
Other European	8	8	7	23
Total	39	30	22	100*
		1982-87		
North American	15	15	4	34
German-Swiss	13	13	10	36
Other European	7	13	11	31
Total	35	41	25	100
		1988-93		
North American	8	17	11	36
German-Swiss	11	12	13	36
Other European	13	13	5	31
Total	32	42	29	100*
		1994-99		
North American	15	7	9	31
German-Swiss	16	10	19	45
Other European	15	8	3	26
Total	46	25	21	100

Note: differences to 100 due to rounding errors or (*) because of authors and participants from other regions.

2008		
B01-08	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
2007		
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
D	der Europäischen Union	
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutional Residue of a Functional Residue of the Property of the Proper	Martin Seidel
2006	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
B03-06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluc-	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
D03 00	tuations	Jurgen von Hagen, Halping Zhang
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von	Martin Seidel
D10.05	Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	M .: C : I I
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05 B08-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Guntram B. Wolff Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni-
D01 03	Leonomic megration in a matteriore viola.	fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din-
	Europe	ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	and Teaching to Stay? Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	lan Fidemus Orla Davia
B02-05 B01-05	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
2004	Mucrocconomic Adjustment in the New 20 Member States	Jurgen von Hagen, fand Haistard
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
D01 04	tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	ı
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B30-04	De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
D30 04	und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	Wartin Scider
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich-	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
	keiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem	,
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
D07.61	ses	
B27-04	Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Union with the EU	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
D01.01	Economies?	V.II. D
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
B20-04	and Employment Effects in Business R+D Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Cri-	Wolff Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
D2U-U4	ses	Juigen von Hagen, Tal-Kudiig 170
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas-	Martin Seidel
	sungsvertrag	

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in an Enlarged EMU	Iulia Traistaru
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B15-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Explain Local Patterns?	Christian Volpe Martincus
B14-04 B13-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion? The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Countries	Jiri Jonas Sami Yläoutinen
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Integration	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activities: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition Countries	Laura Resmini
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Convergence	Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan
B07-04 B06-04	The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to Human Well-being in Africa Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
D00-04	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	Lutz
B05-04 B04-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	Lucjan T. Orlowski Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Operations Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	Ulrich Bindseil
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications for EU Enlargement	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Beitrittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
2003 B29-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	Jürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta- ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version of International Finance)	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03 B23-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat- terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung	Martin Seidel
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for Inter-Firm Communication	William Pyle
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence from Asia and the Americas	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Primacy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
D17.00	Export of Ukrainian Firms	ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and Policy Challenges	Iulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
D13-03	to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	Jos van Ommeren, willians Hazans
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
D13 03	a Puzzle?	Charles Goodhart, Bons Honnann
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
_	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
Dor 00	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
D04 02	De Illuminian Firms Denefit from FD12	Chafa a II I Olalisa a da Talassa
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
		ra
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	ra Stefan H. Lutz
	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	ra
B03-03 B02-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	ra Stefan H. Lutz
B03-03 B02-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul,
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02 B21-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transition Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Schaber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02 B08-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
D07.00	Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	A4 .: C : I I
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi-	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
D	Country Evidence	
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		-
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Returns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
521 01	Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With That of the European Central Bank	Sees. C. Brada, All IVI. Natali
B20-01	An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evidence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central Bank in the Early Years	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias Brückner
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline in Latin American and Carribean Countries	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European Union	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
B15-01 B14-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	Christian E. Weller Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen
B13-01	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
B12-01	lenges The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets. Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wage Setters	Lilia Cavallar
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and Empirical Evidence	Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol
B09-01	Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?	Jan Fidrmuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate Countries and the European Union	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial Markets	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda. The Future of Banking.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac- Culloch
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from Surveys of Happiness	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac- Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Hagen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Policy	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
2000		
B20-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside	Etienne Farvaque
B19-00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, general Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	Jens Hölscher
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner, Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Gemeinschaftsrechts	Martin Seidel
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Federation	Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
B14-00	Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Economies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
B13-00	Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Economies Reconsidered	Nauro F. Campos

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitlichen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Economies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during	Jan Fidrmuc
D03-00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Jan i lumuc
B04-00	Transition A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Hagen
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	Helmut Seitz
B19-99	The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies	Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor-
D10 33	else's are?	zuch
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Circumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning	Volker Wieland
B08-99	The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evidence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evidence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B16-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU-Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi-	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker
212 00	nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	der EWWU Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Study of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98 B08-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana-	Robert L. Hetzel Bernd Hayo
	lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	
B07-98	Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: Some Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
1997		
B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Insurance or Fodovaliers?	Kenneth Kletzer
B-03-97	urance or Federalism? Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunications: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry.	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Germany www.zei.de