

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Fidrmuc, Jan

# Working Paper Democracy in transition economies: Grease or sand in the wheels of growth?

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 09-2001

**Provided in Cooperation with:** ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

*Suggested Citation:* Fidrmuc, Jan (2001) : Democracy in transition economies: Grease or sand in the wheels of growth?, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 09-2001, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/39506

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Jan Fidrmuc

Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth? **orkinc** B 09 2001

# Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?<sup>\*</sup>

Jan Fidrmuc<sup>†</sup>

Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI), University of Bonn; Centre for Economic Policy Research (CEPR), London; and William Davidson Institute, University of Michigan Business School.

June 2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> Previous version was entitled *Pitfalls and Virtues of Simultaneous Economic and Political Transitions*. I benefited from helpful comments and suggestions from Nauro Campos, Ariye Hillman, Chris Hurst, Klarita Gërxhani, Sylviane Guillaumont, Jürgen von Hagen, Philipp Harms, Mathilde Maurel, Ariane Tichit, Patrick Paul Walsh and Charles Wyplosz, as well as members of the EIB Prize Jury.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Address: ZEI, Walter-Flex-Strasse 3, 53113 Bonn, Germany. Email: JFidrmuc@uni-bonn.de. Phone: +49-228-73-1821, Fax: +49-228-73-1809. Web: http://www.tbns.net/fidrmuc/.

# Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?

### Abstract

The post-communist countries of Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union introduced, at least initially, political and economic reforms simultaneously. This paper explores the consequences of simultaneous implementation of economic and political liberalization for economic growth. It is found that democracy indeed had a negative marginal effect on growth during early phases of transition (1990-93). Nevertheless, democracy reinforces progress in economic liberalization, which in turn has a strongly positive effect on growth. When accounting for this indirect effect, the overall effect of democracy on growth turns out overwhelmingly positive.

<u>Keywords</u>: Democracy, Liberalization, Economic Growth. <u>JEL codes</u>: E63, O11, P26, P27

## 1 Introduction

After the communist regimes collapsed throughout Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, they were replaced (at least initially) by relatively wide-ranging democracy. Measured by the indices of political freedom and civil liberties published by the Freedom House (see the Annex for more details), by 1993—two to three years after political liberalization began—the Czech Republic, Hungary and Slovenia attained the same extent of democracy as the United Kingdom or Germany. Although other countries did not democratize as rapidly as the three front-runners, they also made considerable progress. Between 1989 and 1991, the average of the two Freedom-House indices rose from 0.26 to 0.57, on a scale from zero (no democracy) to one (full democracy).

This speed of political liberalization reflected not only the desire of these countries' citizens to live in democracy, but also the pressure from Western governments, international organizations such as the IMF and the World Bank, as well as the European Union (which made democracy an explicit precondition for accession negotiations). This approach, based on simultaneous implementation of political and economic reforms, stands in sharp contrast with the experience of countries such as Chile, Taiwan and South Korea, where democratization followed only after economic liberalization proved successful, or with the current Chinese approach based on economic liberalization without democratization.

Ten years later, democracy and prosperity are far from being the norm in the former communist countries. Overall, the outcomes in terms of economic performance and political developments have been very diverse. While some countries have been successful in sustaining the reform momentum and eventually resuming growth, others experienced reform reversals, reemergence of authoritarian regimes and/or protracted periods of economic decline. The objective of this paper is to examine the economic consequences of simultaneous implementation of economic and political reforms in the post-communist countries. Did the early introduction of democracy help or hinder growth of the advanced reformers? Did the countries that postponed or reversed political liberalization in turn achieve higher growth?

The literature offers an abundance of opinions but no consensus on the impact of democracy on economic growth. On the one hand, North (1990, 1993) and Olson (2000) argue that democracy is a precondition for sustained long-term growth and prosperity, because it guarantees the protection and enforcement of property rights. Similarly, Rodrik (2000) posits that democracy is a *meta-institution* that helps create growth-enhancing

institutions. On the other hand, empirical studies based on large cross sections of countries suggest that the relationship may be negative (Helliwell, 1994) or at best hump-shaped (Barro, 1996, 1997), but not robustly so (see Przeworski and Limongi, 1993). Barro explains the negative effect of democracy on growth (beyond an intermediate level of democracy) by pointing out that democratic countries typically implement excessive redistribution programs. Democracy can also lead to inefficient policy outcomes, especially in case of economically costly policies. Fernandez and Rodrik (1991) show that rational voters may choose not to support efficiency-enhancing reforms because of individual uncertainty about payoffs. Similarly, Alesina and Drazen (1991) illustrate how war of attrition over asymmetric payoffs may lead to efficiency-enhancing reforms being delayed. Finally, governments facing elections may pursue policies that maximize the prospects of reelection, even if these are detrimental to long-term economic growth.

Experience of the post-communist countries can shed some new light on the relationships between democracy and growth. The transition process can be seen as a natural experiment, comprising a group of 25 countries starting off with little or no democracy and being ex-ante similar (though not identical) in terms of economic development. Subsequently, the paths followed by individual countries in terms of economic and political liberalization diverged dramatically, with some introducing democracy and economic freedom essentially at level with Western Europe, and others reverting to authoritarian rule and central planning. By observing the variety of approaches to democratization, as well as the outcomes in terms of economic growth, one can infer new insights about the importance of democracy for economic performance.

There is already a sizeable literature exploring the relationship between progress in economic liberalization and economic growth during transition, spurred by the initial contribution of De Melo et al. (1996). In general, the evidence suggests that progress in economic liberalization leads to better growth performance (see Havrylyshyn et al, 1998, Berg et al., 1999), although the progress in liberalization may in part be predetermined by initial conditions (see Krueger and Ciolko, 1998, and Heybey and Murrel, 1999). The literature, however, says little about the effect of political liberalization on growth. Nonetheless, De Melo et al. (1996) and Dethier et al. (1999) observe that the extent of democracy is positively correlated with the progress in liberalization and suggest that democratization reinforces economic liberalization and, in this way, has an indirect positive effect on growth. The direct effect of democracy on growth has been left unexplored though.

The paper at hand attempts to fill this gap. The analysis explores the effect of democracy on growth, both indirectly (through facilitating economic liberalization) and directly. Moreover, the paper studies the direction of causality between democracy and economic liberalization (the previous contributions merely pointed out the positive correlation) and shows that democracy indeed causes liberalization rather than the other way around. The results obtained with a sample of 25 transition economies suggest that democracy is good for growth, but only because it reinforces economic liberalization (which in turn has a positive effect on growth). The marginal effect of democracy after controlling for progress in economic liberalization, in contrast, is negative, at least during the initial transition period. Hence, democracy alone, if unaccompanied by a correspondingly far-reaching liberalization, actually appears to hinder growth.

## 2 Patterns of Growth during Transition

The initial response of output to economic reforms was similar across all post-communist economies—output declined sharply in the first few years of transition. The subsequent patterns of growth, however, turned out to be very diverse. While some countries bottomed out and resumed growth after two to four years of *transitional recession*, others experienced protracted periods of stagnation or continued decline. According to official statistics, the average transition economy saw its real output shrink cumulatively by 42% (this corresponds to the lowest point on the output trajectory, i.e. not taking account of the subsequent rebound of output). The range of decline was between 17% (Uzbekistan) and 75% (Georgia), for comparison, US GNP fell by 34 % during the Great Depression. By 1998, the average transition economy experienced only a ten-percent cumulative recovery. Only in Poland and Slovenia output exceeded the pre-transition level of output by 1998. In contrast, Russia, Ukraine, Moldova and Kazakhstan, reported essentially no recovery as of 1998. Table 1 presents summary statistics on growth as well other variables of interest for the 25 countries covered by the analysis in the present paper.

### Enter Table 1 about here.

It is generally accepted that official statistics exaggerate the severity of output fall. The statistics directly measure the production of medium-sized and large firms but only estimate

the output of small firms, which make up most of the new and growing private sector. Overreporting under communism (for political reasons) and under-reporting at present (for tax purposes) also play a role. The official statistics only imperfectly estimate the transfer of economic activity from the official to the unofficial economy. Finally, part of the measured output fall is due to the elimination of undesired production, reduction of waste, and fall in inventories as the shortage economy turned into a surplus one, all of which are in fact efficiency enhancing development, even though they show up with a negative sign in output statistics. Nonetheless, even if overestimated by the official statistics, the reform-induced output fall in CEE and FSU was undoubtedly severe.

Several theoretical explanations have been suggested to account for the output fall. Calvo and Coricelli (1993) blame it on the credit crunch—credit restrictions and high real interest rates—due to overly restrictive monetary policy. Blanchard and Kremer (1997), and Roland and Verdier (1999) develop supply-side explanations based on disorganization of production (supplier-buyer) relationships due to asymmetric information about outside options in bargaining, or search frictions and relation-specific investment, respectively. Hillman (1999) and Hillman and Ursprung (2000) suggest that the output fall occurred because economic and political reforms were not accompanied by a change of political culture. Accordingly, the political culture of rent seeking remained in place, and time and resources spent for rentseeking activities even increased, thus precipitating the output fall (see Shleifer, 1998, for comparisons of political elites in Poland and Russia).

## 3 Democracy and Growth during Transition

Post-communist countries generally implemented, at least initially, economic and political reforms simultaneously. This approach may have affected their economic performance in several ways. First, democracy brings about political constraints (see Roland, 2000) that may limit the government's ability to proceed with far-reaching economic liberalization and, in turn, harm economic performance during transition. Second, democracy increases uncertainty, as future governments may not necessarily continue policies and honor commitments introduced by the previous government. Third, new democracies without stable institutions and deep democratic traditions may prove to be easy pray to populists and nationalists. On the other hand, as emphasized by North (1991, 1993), Olson (2000) and others, democracy

ensures that property rights are guaranteed and is therefore a necessary condition for sustained long-term growth.

As discussed in the Introduction, the direct relationship between democracy and growth during transition has not been explored in the literature. Nevertheless, De Melo et al. (1996) and Dethier et al. (1999) point out that the extent of democracy among post-communist countries is positively correlated with the progress in economic liberalization. They argue therefore that democracy facilitates economic liberalization and thus has a positive, albeit indirect, effect on growth. Yet, they leave the precise nature of this relationship, as well as the possible direct impact of democracy on growth, unexplored.

The relationship between progress in economic liberalization and economic growth during transition has already received considerable attention in the literature (see the discussion in section 1). Although the discussion is still ongoing, the evidence appears to be largely supportive of a positive impact of liberalization on growth (although initial conditions also played an important role). The effect of liberalization on growth can be demonstrated by means of regression analysis (the sample includes 25 post-communist countries in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union for which data are available, see Table 1). When the average growth rate of per-capita GDP is regressed on the liberalization index<sup>1</sup>, its sign is positive and strongly significant and the regression produces an adjusted R<sup>2</sup> of 0.57 (in a regression pooling together observations on average growth over 1990-93 and 1994-98; besides the liberalization index, the regression also includes the intercept and dummy for 1994-98). When additional explanatory variables are added, the following regression equation obtains (heteroskedasticity-robust t-statistics in parentheses, see the Technical Annex for more details on the estimation and Table A1 for additional results):

Growth = 1.41 (1.11) +3.94 (2.88)\*D9498 -41.25 (4.75)\*LI +57.00 (5.84)\*LI<sup>2</sup> -0.43 (1.16)\*BRU +0.18 (2.89)\*SEC -9.35 (5.80)\*WAR +4.73 (2.65)\*WARlagged -3.28 (3.00)\*GNP89 adj.  $R^2 = 0.802$ , 50 observations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The liberalization index used in the analysis is based on the index constructed by De Melo at al. (1996), and extended by Havrylyshyn et al. (1998) using the progress-in-transition indicators published annually by the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD). The index measures progress in implementation of economic reforms. It is scaled to range between zero (an unreformed socialist economy) and one (a liberal market economy such as the US. Among the transition economies, Hungary achieved the highest value (0.88) of this index in 1998, followed by the Czech Republic, Estonia and Poland (0.82). In contrast, Belarus and Uzbekistan appear almost unreformed (with 0.34 and 0.35, respectively).

where *Growth* is the average growth rate of per-capita GDP over 1990-93 and 1994-98 (the regression thus pools observations over the two sub-periods), *D9498* is a dummy variable for the second sub-period, *LI* is the liberalization index, *BRU* is the distance from Western Europe (Brussels) used as a proxy for initial conditions (thought to be correlated with social, cultural and religious legacies and institutions, initial economic development, as well as the costs of engaging in trade with Western Europe)<sup>2</sup>, *SEC* is the secondary school enrollment (as a percentage of the relevant age category, taken from Denizer, 1997), *WAR* is a dummy variable denoting countries that engaged in military conflicts (internal or external) during 1990-93 whereas *WARlagged* denotes the same countries during 1994-98 (when most conflicts ended or subsided), and, finally, GNP89 is the log of per-capita GNP in 1989 in purchasing power parity (from De Melo, 1996).

The results suggest that the effect of liberalization on growth is positive and strongly significant, although it appears better approximated by a non-linear (U-shaped) relationship (see also the results reported in the Annex, Table A1, obtained with different regression specifications). Accordingly, liberalization hinders growth at low levels but improves growth after a moderate level has been attained. Either no liberalization or complete liberalization is better than intermediate liberalization. The minimum effect of liberalization is attained with liberalization index around 0.36, which is close to the average levels of liberalization attained by Azerbaijan, Belarus, Ukraine and Uzbekistan during 1990-98. Once the minimum level has been exceeded, there are increasing returns to further liberalization. When considering patterns of growth over 1990-93 and 1994-98 separately (see Table A1 in the Annex), the Ushaped relationship appears particularly pronounced during the early transition period (1990-93). In contrast, growth during 1994-98 is better explained by a linear pattern. Importantly, the results are sustained also when the liberalization index is instrumented by its lagged value, a quadratic transition-time trend, and measures reflecting initial conditions (initial GNP per capita, number of years under communism, and the war dummy—see the technical Annex for more details). The instrumentation is intended to remedy the possible endogeneity of the liberalization index, as countries that experienced favorable economic performance may have found it easier to implement radical reforms. Hence, the results suggest that economic liberalization indeed has had a strong a positive effect on growth. Next, the analysis proceeds

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Of course, better measures of initial conditions would be desirable. However, reliable data are hard to find, in part because many historical data are not available for the individual successor countries of the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia. Moreover, given the low number of degrees of freedom, inclusion of many more additional variables would dramatically reduce the feasibility of estimation.

examine the effect of democracy on growth—directly, as well as via the effect that democracy may have had on the progress in economic liberalization.

At a first sight, democracy seems associated with better growth performance among the transition economies. The countries that introduced widest democracy (as measured by the indices of political freedom and civil liberties<sup>3</sup> achieved the best results in terms of economic performance. In contrast, some of the countries that implemented only moderate democracy (for example Russia, Ukraine and Moldova) saw their economies plunge with little signs of subsequent recovery. This pattern is unlikely to be merely due to reverse causality—faster growing countries being able to introduce greater democracy—in fact, the countries of Central Europe and the Baltics introduced relatively wide democracy already at the outset of transition, before the resumption of growth.

Estimating the effect of democracy on growth during transition is complicated by the high correlation between democracy and liberalization (the correlation coefficient between annual values of the liberalization and democracy indices over 1990-98 is 0.66). Democracy then appears with a positive and significant coefficient when entered in a growth regression without controlling for the progress in liberalization (see Popov, 2000). However, the result is strikingly different when democracy is entered alongside liberalization (heteroskedasticity-robust t-statistics in parentheses):

Growth = 8.31 (0.75) -1.83 (0.55)\*D9498 -26.64 (2.36)\*LI +44.89 (4.04)\*LI<sup>2</sup> +1.42 (0.38)\*DI -8.71 (2.05)\*DI\*D9093 -0.36 (0.75)\*BRU +0.16 (2.92)\*SEC -10.11 (5.77)\*WAR +4.91 (2.70)\*WARlagged -2.72 (2.16)\*GNP89 adj. R<sup>2</sup> = 0.802, 50 observations

with DI denoting the democracy index and DI\*D9093 standing for the product of the democracy index and a dummy for 1990-93—this interaction variable thus captures the differentiated effect of democracy on growth during the first sub-period (see the Annex for additional details and Table A2 for further results). Since the regression equation holds the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The democracy index is the average of indices of political freedom and civil liberties reported annually by the Freedom House (see www.freedomhouse.org). The former reflects freedoms pertaining to electoral processes while the latter focuses on personal freedoms such as the freedom of expression and association. The average index has been re-scaled so as to range between zero (no democracy) and one (complete democracy). For example, the US and The Netherlands are examples of countries with full democracy. Iraq is an example with no democracy at all. In 1988, Albania, Romania and Bulgaria attained a value of 0.0, the former Soviet Union, while still non-democratic, scored 0.25, while Hungary was moderately democratic with 0.42. By 1998, the Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland and Slovenia all attained the same level of democracy as Germany or the UK (0.92), while Turkmenistan, Uzbekistan and Belarus effectively reinstated dictatorships (with 0.0, 0.8 and 0.17, respectively).

progress in liberalization constant, the coefficient estimate of the democracy index captures the *marginal effect* of democracy on growth. Over the entire transition period, the marginal effect of democracy on growth appears insignificant. Nevertheless, the effect turns out negative and significant during the first part of transition, as reflected in the negative coefficient on an interaction term between the democracy index and a dummy for 1990-93, with the overall effect remaining insignificant. This pattern is confirmed also by the separate regression for 1990-93 (see Table A2 in the Annex), although only with a marginally significant coefficient (this can largely be attributed to the smaller sample size). Hence, after controlling for progress in economic liberalization, democracy was apparently harmful to growth, at least during the early transition period.

However, the *overall* effect of democracy on growth need not be negative. As argued by De Melo et al. (1996) and Dethier et al. (1999), democracy may reinforce progress in economic liberalization and, because liberalization has a positive effect on growth, the total effect of democracy may in fact be positive. Indeed, a simple Granger-causality test reveals democracy does cause liberalization rather than the other way around (see technical notes in the Annex for details).

To account for the indirect effect of democracy on growth through its impact on economic liberalization, the liberalization index is replaced by an index of *residual liberalization*, constructed as the residual from a regression relating the progress in economic liberalization to the extent of democracy (see the Technical Annex for details). The index of residual liberalization so constructed measures the progress in economic liberalization that exceeds the level that can be attributed to prevailing democracy and political freedom. With this procedure, the coefficient obtained for the democracy index measures the *overall effect* of democracy on growth, i.e. including the indirect effect going through the positive impact of democracy on liberalization. (heteroskedasticity-robust t-statistics in parentheses, see Table A3 in the Annex additional results):

Growth = -0.87 (0.8) -9.05 (2.44)\*D9498 +20.80 (4.22)\*ResLI +27.52 (1.95)\*ResLI<sup>2</sup> +10.10 (3.48)\*DI -20.02 (4.71)\*DI\*D9093 -0.11 (0.20)\*BRU +0.11 (1.89)\*SEC -10.63 (5.22)\*WAR +4.50 (2.64)\*WARlagged -1.58 (1.17)\*GNP89 adj. R<sup>2</sup> = 0.771, 50 obs

where *ResLI* stands for residual liberalization. The effect of residual liberalization remains positive and significant at least at the 10 percent level—additional liberalization, beyond the

level attributable to the extent of democracy, is beneficial for growth. Importantly, the *overall effect* of democracy turns out positive and strongly significant.

In summary, democracy indeed has exerted a positive overall effect on growth during transition through its positive impact on progress in economic liberalization. However, democracy alone, when not accompanied by correspondingly far-reaching liberalization, has had a negative marginal effect on growth during the initial transition period. The negative marginal effect can be ascribed to two factors (at least). First, democracy is associated with greater political uncertainty, as democratic governments are faced with political backlash in the wake of short-term adverse effects of the reforms. Such uncertainty may reduce the incentives for economic agents to engage in long-term profit-seeking activities. Second, governments facing election may pursue short-term political aims or implement policies that constrain actions of the future government (see Chapter 2 in Roland, 2000) even if the outcome of such actions is detrimental to economic performance. Both factors become less important during the later phase of the transition, as economic and political developments consolidate.

## 4 Conclusions

This paper investigates the repercussions of political liberalization and democratization on growth during the post-communist transitions in Central and Eastern Europe. Previous literature pointed out that democracy facilitates economic liberalization, which in turn has a positive effect on growth. The effect attributed to democracy thus is only indirect, whereas the direct effect has been left largely unexplored. The present paper explores the specific nature of the impact democracy has had on growth during post-communist transition, accounting for the direct as well as the indirect effect of democracy. The results confirm that democratization indeed reinforces economic liberalization—in the sense of Granger causality, democracy causes liberalization, not the other way around. Economic liberalization, in turn, improves growth performance. Because of the reinforcing effect of democracy on liberalization, its overall effect on growth therefore is overwhelmingly positive. Nevertheless, the marginal effect of democracy—when holding progress in liberalization constant—appears negative, although only during the early transition period (1990-93). In other words, democracy that is not accompanied by economic liberalization has had a negative effect on growth during the initial transition period. Hence, rapid democratization without simultaneous economic

liberalization may worsen economic performance—possibly because of increasing uncertainly about future political developments and/or creating incentives for the government to pursue measures aimed at increasing its political support rather implementing sound economic policies. Nevertheless, the joint effect of democratization and economic liberalization is unambiguously positive.

These results have important policy implications. In particular, they show that simultaneous implementation of economic and political reforms in the post-communist countries did not bring about lower growth. On the contrary, democracy has a positive effect of growth because it facilitates progress in economic liberalization. This is an important lesson for those post-communist countries that retained or reinstated autocratic regimes (Belarus, much of Central Asia, and until recently Serbia) or may currently be doing so (Russia), in the hope of improving economic performance.

## References

- Alesina, Alberto, and Allan Drazen (1991), "Why Are Stabilizations Delayed?" *American Economic Review* **81** (5), 1170-1188.
- Barro, Robert J. (1996), "Democracy and Growth," Journal of Economic Growth 1 (1), 1-27.
- Barro, Robert J. (1997), *Determinants of Economic Growth: A Cross-Country Empirical Study.* MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Berg, Andrew, Eduardo Borensztein, Ratna Sahay, and Jeromin Zettelmeyer (1999), *The Evolution of Output in Transition Economies: Explaining the Differences*, IMF Working Paper WP/99/73.
- Blanchard, Olivier and Michael Kremer (1997), "Disorganization," Quarterly Journal of Economics (November), 1091-1126.
- Calvo, Guillermo A., and Fabrizio Coricelli (1993), "Output Collapse in Eastern Europe: The Role of Credit," IMF Staff Papers 40 (1).
- Campos, Nauro (1999), Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Economies Reconsidered, William Davidson Institute Working Paper No. 229, Ann Arbor, MI.
- De Melo, Martha, Cevdet Denizer, and Alan Gelb (1996), "Patterns of Transition from Plan to Market," *World Bank Economic Review* **10** (3), 397-424.
- De Melo, Martha, Cevdet Denizer, Alan Gelb and Stoyan Tenev (1997), "Circumstance and Choice: The Role of Initial Conditions and Policies in Transition Economies," World Bank Policy Research Paper, The World Bank.
- Denizer, Cevdet (1997), Stabilization, Adjustment and Growth Prospects in Transition Economies, Policy Research Working Paper No. 1855, The World Bank, Washington, DC.
- Dethier, Jean-Jacques, Hafez Ghanem and Edda Zoli (1999), "Does Democracy Facilitate the Economic Transition? An Empirical Study of Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union," *Journal for Institutional Innovation, Development and Transition (IB Review)* 3, 15-30. Also available as Policy Research Working Paper No. 2194, The World Bank.
- Fernandez, Raquel and Dani Rodrik (1991), "Resistance of Reform: Status Quo Bias in the Presence of Individual-Specific Uncertainty," American Economic Review 81, 1146-1155.
- Fischer, Stanley, and Sahay, Ratna (2000), "The Transition after Ten Years," IMF Working Paper WP/00/30, February 2000.
- Havrylyshyn, Oleh, Ivailo Izvorski, and Ron van Rooden (1998), "Recovery and Growth in Transition Economies 1990-97: A Stylized Regression Analysis," IMF Working Paper WP/98/141.
- Helliwell, John F. (1994), "Empirical Linkages between Democracy and Economic Growth," *British Journal of Political Science* **24**, 225-248.
- Heybey, Berta, and Peter Murrel (1999), "The Relationship between Economic Growth and the Speed of Liberalization During Transition," *Journal of Policy Reform* **3** (2).
- Hillman, Arye L. and Heinrich W. Ursprung (2000), "Political Culture and Economic

Decline," European Journal of Political Economy 16, 189-213.

- Krueger, Gary, and Marek Ciolko (1998), "A Note on Initial Conditions and Liberalization during Transition," *Journal of Comparative Economics* **26**, 718-734.
- North, Douglass C. (1990), *Institution, Institutional Change and Economic Performance*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- North, Douglass C. (1993), "The Paradox of the West," Economics Working Paper Archive, Washington University—St. Louis, Missouri.
- Olson, Mancur (2000), Power and Prosperity: Outgrowing Communist and Capitalist Dictatorships. Basic Books, New York, NY.
- Popov, Vladimir (2000), "Shock Therapy Versus Gradualism: The End of the Debate (Explaining the Magnitude of Transformational Recession)," *Comparative Economic Studies* **42** (1), 1-57.
- Przeworski, Adam, and Fernando Limongi (1993), "Political Regimes and Economic Growth," *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **7** (3), 51-69.
- Rodrik, Dani (2000), *Institutions For High-Quality Growth: What They Are And How To Acquire Them.* CEPR Discussion Paper No. 2370. Centre for Economic Policy Research, London.
- Roland, Gérard (2000), *Transition and Economics: Politics, Markets, and Firms*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Roland, Gérard, and Thierry Verdier (1999), "Transition and the Output Fall," Economics of Transition 7 (1), 1-28.
- Shleifer, Andrei (1998), "Government in Transition," *European Economic Review* **41** (3-5), 385-410.
- Wolff, Holger C. (1999), *Transition Strategies: Choices and Outcomes*, Princeton Studies in International Finance No. 85 (June), Department of Economics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ.

Liberalizat	ion, Dem	ocracy, a	nd Initia	al Condit					
	Avg. Growth	Avg. Growth	Output Fall	Output Recovery	GNP p.c. [USD]	Liberal. Index	Liberal. Index	Democr. Index	Democr. Index
	1990-93	1994-98	1990-98	1990-98	1989	1990-93	1994-98	1990-93	1994-98
Czech Rep.	-3.65	2.28	85.24			0.68	0.83	0.854	0.917
Slovakia	-6.83	5.86	74.97		7600	0.66	0.00	0.004	0.733
Hungary	-4.78	3.08	81.89		6810	0.73	0.84	0.854	0.917
Poland	-3.05	6.00	82.21	34.94	5150	0.76	0.81	0.833	0.900
Slovenia	-4.08	4.28	82.04		9200	0.73	0.79	0.729	0.917
Bulgaria	-7.40	-1.94	63.69		5000	0.58	0.63	0.729	0.783
Romania	-6.45	0.18	74.99		3470	0.40	0.65	0.396	0.717
Albania	-8.83	5.68	60.38		1400	0.40	0.63	0.479	0.517
Croatia	-12.35	5.50	58.58		6171	0.69	0.75	0.500	0.500
Macedonia	-13.05	0.86	55.11	4.09	3394	0.68	0.67	0.563	0.600
Estonia	-11.23	4.16	60.76	14.98	8900	0.49	0.80	0.646	0.867
Latvia	-14.33	3.06	50.97	8.27	8590	0.40	0.72	0.625	0.850
Lithuania	-12.05	2.30	53.47	12.12	6430	0.45	0.74	0.688	0.900
Russia	-7.80	-4.82	55.89	0.00	7720	0.31	0.67	0.563	0.567
Ukraine	-10.63	-10.02	36.76	0.00	5680	0.13	0.52	0.563	0.583
Belarus	-5.35	-0.10	62.69	15.06	7010	0.17	0.41	0.479	0.250
Moldova	-12.33	-9.90	32.36	0.00	4670	0.26	0.62	0.375	0.567
Armenia	-22.98	5.68	31.00	9.84	5530	0.25	0.57	0.479	0.483
Azerbaijan	-14.53	-2.86	36.96	6.65	4620	0.16	0.45	0.313	0.250
Georgia	-25.80	3.08	25.38	7.42	5590	0.23	0.55	0.354	0.483
Kazakhstan	-6.38	-4.16	61.26	0.00	5130	0.22	0.58	0.375	0.250
Kyrgyzstan	-9.25	-1.32	50.39	9.99	3180	0.25	0.70	0.500	0.483
Tajikistan	-12.18	-5.76	39.19	2.78	3010	0.15	0.41	0.313	0.067
Turkmenistan	-4.50	-11.38	41.99	1.76	4230	0.09	0.31	0.188	0.000
Uzbekistan	-3.08	0.44	83.36	6.23	2740	0.16	0.54	0.208	0.050
Average	-9.71	0.01	57.66	10.08	5432	0.401	0.640	0.535	0.566

Table 1CountriesinTransition:IndicatorsofEconomicPerformance,Liberalization, Democracy, and Initial Conditions

**Sources:** EBRD Transition Report (various issues), de Melo et al. (1996, 1997), Freedom House, World Bank World Development Report 1996.

**Notes:** Output Fall is the lowest level of GDP attained between 1990 and 1998, with 1989=100. Output Recovery is the cumulative increase in GDP since reaching the lowest level. GNP per capita in 1989 is in US\$ at purchasing power parity as reported by de Melo et al. (1996). Liberalization Index is the unweighted mean of the indices constructed by de Melo et al., as extended by Havrylyshyn et al. (1998) using the EBRD progress-in-transition indicators. The index ranges between zero (no liberalization) and one (complete liberalization). Democracy Index is the average of political rights and civil liberties, respectively, both constructed by the Freedom House, re-scaled to range between zero (no democracy) and one (complete democracy).

## **Technical Annex**

As is standard in growth literature, most of the regressions are estimated with the growth rate of per-capita GDP as the dependent variable. Nevertheless, since the previous literature on growth patterns during transition typically used the growth rate of GPD, results with this dependent variable are presented as well. Since the objective of the analysis is to investigate long-term patterns of growth rather than annual fluctuations, the regressions are estimated with averages of all variables over four or five year periods rather than annual observations (cf. Havrylyshyn et al., 1998; Berg et al., 1999; and Wolf, 1999, who use annual data). This approach should minimize the *noise* component in the data originating from measurement errors or short-term fluctuations caused by external factors, at the cost of having fewer degrees of freedom. The transition period is split for the purposes of the analysis into two subperiods: 1990-93 and 1994-98. This increases the sample size when running pooled regressions with both sub-periods, and, on the other hand, allows separate analysis of growth determinants during early transition (when virtually all countries experienced dramatic output contractions) and the later period, characterized by stabilization and recovery (albeit not in all countries).

The explanatory variables are the liberalization index, the distance from the country's capital to Western Europe (Brussels) as a proxy for initial conditions<sup>4</sup>, a dummy for countries engaging in military conflicts, secondary school enrollment, and initial income per capita. Several other variables were tried, most notably primary school enrollment and the investment, but proved insignificant. The distance from Western Europe is used instead of the common dummy for the former Soviet Union because it offers a continuous measure of initial conditions—undoubtedly, initial conditions in Estonia were dramatically different from those in Tajikistan.

The results are summarized in Table A1. Columns (1) through (4) were obtained by pooled regressions over 1990-93 and 1994-98 whereas columns (5) and (6) present results separate regressions over the two sub-periods. The variable of interest—the liberalization index—appears to exert a significant and strongly positive effect on growth, although the effect may be non-linear (U-shaped), especially during 1990-93. Nevertheless, even when

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan, the distance to Brussels is estimated as 6,000 km.

allowing for non-linearity, the *worst* impact of liberalization is attained at a relatively low level (0.35-0.39) and full liberalization is clearly superior to no liberalization.

### Insert Table A1 about here.

Regressions reported in columns (7) through (9) of Table 2 were estimated with the liberalization index instrumented by its lagged value ( $LI_{t-1}$ ), the initial GNP per capita (GNP), the number of years under communism (YrsCom), the conflict dummy and a quadratic transition-time trend (the first-stage regression is thus estimated with annual observations). The resulting fist-stage regression (with heteroskedasticity-robust t-statistics in parentheses) is:

$$\label{eq:LIt} \begin{split} LI_t &= 0.343~(5.72)~+0.607~(7.85)^* LI_{t-1}~+0.010~(2.53)^* GNP~-0.004~(4.43)^* YrsCom \\ &-0.014~(0.93)^* Conflict~+0.053~(3.63)^* t~-0.006~(4.32)^* t^2 \qquad [adj.~R^2 = 0.875] \end{split}$$

The transition-time trend, t, is set to zero for years preceding the onset of transition. The starting date of transition is defined following Fischer and Sahay (2000, Figure 1).

The results on the *marginal* effect of democracy on growth (i.e. the effect of democracy when holding liberalization constant) are reported in Table A2. The regressions are analogous to those discussed above and reported in Table A1, with the addition of the democracy index among explanatory variables. Columns (1) through (3) report the results of regressions pooling data over 1990-93, whereas columns (4) and (5) contain results of separate regressions for the two sub-periods. The marginal effect of democracy is insignificant in the regressions spanning the entire period but appears negative and significant during the first sub-period. This is evidenced by the negative and significant coefficient on the interaction variable in column (2) and marginally significant coefficient on the democracy index in the regression for 1990-93 (column 4).

### Insert Table A2 about here.

The specific nature of the relationship between democracy and liberalization is explored by means of a simple Granger-causality test. When regressing annual observations of the democracy index on the liberalization index, and vice versa, the following results obtain<sup>5</sup>:  $LI_t = 0.108 (8.12) + 0.720 (15.58)*LI_{t-1} + 0.166 (3.73)*DI_{t-1}$  [adj. R2 = 0.884]

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Heteroskedasticity-robust t-statistics in parentheses. The regressions were estimated with 225 observations (i.e. 9 years and 25 countries). Because of the short length of the series, only one lag was included.

 $DI_t = 0.109 (4.32) -0.068 (-1.37)*LI_{t-1} + 0.921 (21.89)*DI_{t-1}$  [adj. R2 = 0.771]

where  $LI_t$  and  $DI_t$  stand for the liberalization and democracy indices, respectively. The results clearly show that whereas the lagged value of the democracy index is significant as a determinant of subsequent liberalization, the lagged value of the liberalization index *does not cause* subsequent democracy. The results are analogous when additional variables (initial percapita GNP, years under communism, military conflict dummy and quadratic time trend) are included (not reported). Hence, the causality indeed runs from democracy to liberalization rather than the other way around.

Finally, to examine the overall effect of democracy on growth, a two-step procedure is implemented. First, the liberalization index is regressed on the democracy index. This yields the following estimates (t-statistics in parentheses):

1990-98:	Liberalization = 0.185 (5.42) + 0.632 (12.45)*Democracy	$(Adj.R^2: 0.759)$
1990-93:	Liberalization = -0.111 (2.70) + 0.956 (15.34)*Democracy	$(Adj.R^2: 0.662)$
1994-98:	Liberalization = 0.393 (11.06) + 0.435 (8.78)*Democracy	$(Adj.R^2: 0.752)$

Second, the residual is used as an explanatory variable, denoted *residual liberalization*, alongside the democracy index. This residual liberalization measures liberalization beyond, or falling short of, the extent corresponding to the level of democracy. The results are reported in Table A3. Again, columns (1) through (3) report results pooling observations over 1990-93 and 1994-98, while columns (4) and (5) contain regressions estimated separately for the two sub-periods. The *overall* effect of democracy on growth appears clearly positive, although it was apparently weaker during 1990-93 (as reflected in the negative coefficient on the interaction term in columns 2 and 3).

Insert Table A3 about here.

Table A1 Economic Liberalization, Initial Conditions and Growth

Period:	1990-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-93	t-stat	1994-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-93	t-stat	1994-98	t-stat
Growth Rate of:	GDPpc		GDPpc		GDPpc		GDP		GDPpc									
	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)		(6)		(7)		(8)		(9)	
Constant	-7.438	-0.68	-2.335	-0.21	11.409	1.11	18.264	1.64	16.048	1.46	-15.582	-0.88	6.427	0.61	19.632	1.62	-21.395	-1.23
Dummy 1994-98	4.160	2.92	-8.479	-1.64	3.937	2.88	3.926	2.85					1.768	1.18				
Liberalization Index	12.941	4.08	8.752	2.57	-41.252	-4.75	-51.148	-5.44	-40.539	-2.98	22.675	3.13	-29.365	-2.87	-52.121	-2.63	28.103	3.79
Liberalization Squared					56.998	5.84	66.337	6.40	58.712	4.09			48.758	4.45	74.249	3.66		
Liberalization 1994-98			20.657	3.12														
Dist. fr. Brussels [ths km]	-0.356	-0.83	-0.145	-0.30	-0.427	-1.16	-0.194	-0.51	0.037	0.08	-0.796	-1.47	-0.021	-0.05	-0.112	-0.20	-0.263	-0.45
Sec. School Enrollment	0.1389	1.57	0.097	1.39	0.175	2.89	0.164	2.79	0.088	1.36	0.225	2.73	0.173	3.08	0.083	1.49	0.256	3.62
War Dummy	-8.404	-4.02	-9.011	-4.49	-9.346	-5.80	-9.529	-5.73	-10.037	-6.71			-9.518	-5.58	-10.218	-6.60		
War Dummy (lagged)	2.865	1.55	4.056	2.44	4.725	2.65	4.981	2.93			4.567	2.92	4.978	2.65			5.079	3.03
1989 GNP p.c. [log, ths \$]	-1.908	-1.47	-1.957	-1.61	-3.275	-3.00	-3.779	-3.11	-3.183	-2.93	-1.939	-1.00	-3.082	-2.75	-3.222	-2.81	-2.196	-1.13
Adj.R <sup>2</sup>	0.705		0.757		0.802		0.793		0.735		0.546		0.800		0.723		0.576	
Joint Sign. Liberalization					0.000		0.000		0.000				0.000					
Number of observations	50		50		50		50		25		25		50		25		25	
Min/Max effect at:					0.36		0.39		0.35				0.30		0.35			

**Notes:** Estimated by OLS with heteroskedasticity robust t-statistics, for the 25 countries included in Table 1. Dependent variable is the growth rate of GDP per capita (GDPpc), or the growth rate of GDP. Columns (1) through (4) are estimated with observations for 1990-93 and 1994-98 pooled together. In columns (7) through (9), the liberalization index has been instrumented by its lagged value, initial GNP, years under communism, conflict dummy, and quadratic time trend (see the Technical Annex for details). The liberalization index is the average annual liberalization index over the respective period, as constructed by de Melo et al. (1996) and extended by Havrylyshyn et al. (1998). Liberalization 1994-98 is an interaction term between the liberalization index and the dummy for 1994-98. The conflict dummy equals one for Croatia, Macedonia, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia and Tajikistan. The initial per capita GNP is in purchasing power parity terms, in US dollars. The distance from Brussels for Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan is estimated as 6,000 km. Secondary school enrolment is according to Deniter (1997), in percent. Joint Significance Liberalization is the joint significance level of the liberalization index and its squared value. Minimum/Maximum effects refer to the level where the effect of liberalization reaches its minimum or maximum in the non-linear specification.

 Table A2
 Democracy and Growth: Marginal Effect

Period:	1990-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-93	t-stat	1994-98	t-stat
Growth Rate of:	GDPpc		GDPpc		GDP		GDPpc		GDPpc	
	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)	
Constant	11.284	1.10	8.308	0.75	15.876	1.32	12.312	1.25	-14.138	-0.82
Dummy 1994-98	4.024	3.05	-1.828	-0.55	-0.965	-0.27				
Liberalization Index	-40.846	-4.73	-26.635	-2.36	-39.631	-3.32	-35.507	-2.78	16.307	1.61
Liberalization Squared	56.046	5.83	44.886	4.04	57.663	4.97	57.623	4.40		
Democracy	0.854	0.22	1.423	0.38	-0.029	-0.01	-8.016	-1.56	4.861	0.90
Democracy 1990-93			-8.709	-2.05	-7.203	-1.58				
Dist. fr. Brussels [ths km]	-0.385	-0.82	-0.357	-0.75	-0.196	-0.41	-0.120	-0.25	-0.567	-0.87
Sec. School Enrollment	0.176	2.95	0.162	2.92	0.152	2.75	0.089	1.22	0.267	2.91
War Dummy	-9.274	-5.40	-10.109	-5.77	-10.263	-5.93	-10.732	-6.61		
War Dummy (lagged)	4.791	2.54	4.910	2.70	5.042	2.82			4.912	2.90
1989 GNP p.c. [log, ths \$]	-3.340	-2.96	-2.724	-2.16	-3.231	-2.30	-2.388	-2.20	-2.453	-1.23
Adj.R <sup>2</sup>	0.798		0.802		0.789		0.739		0.530	
Joint Sign. Liberalization	0.000		0.000		0.000		0.000			
Number of observations	50		50		50		25		25	
Min/Max effect at:	0.36		0.30		0.34		0.31			

Notes: Estimated by OLS with heteroskedasticity robust t-statistics, for the 25 countries included in Table 1. See also Notes to Table A1. Democracy Index is the based on the average of political rights and civil liberties according to the Freedom House and normalized so that it ranges between zero and unity. The indices used in the regressions are the averages for the respective periods. Joint Significance Liberalization is the joint significance level of the liberalization index and its squared value. Minimum/Maximum effect refers to the level where the effect of liberalization reaches its minimum or maximum in the non-linear specification.

 Table A3
 Democracy and Growth: Overall Effect

Period:	1990-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-98	t-stat	1990-93	t-stat	1994-98	t-stat
Growth Rate of:	GDPpc		GDPpc		GDP		GDPpc		GDPpc	
	(1)		(2)		(3)		(4)		(5)	
Constant	-3.897	-0.38	-0.869	-0.06	2.925	0.22	-6.848	-0.64	-7.725	-0.45
Dummy 1994-98	4.820	3.31	-9.049	-2.44	-10.004	-2.36				
Residual Liberalization	9.961	2.47	20.796	4.22	20.651	3.98	17.213	3.85	16.307	1.61
Res. Liberalization Sqrd.	16.967	1.08	27.522	1.95	29.028	1.92	35.741	1.76		
Democracy	13.815	3.23	19.099	3.48	18.811	3.36	9.130	1.60	11.947	2.87
Democracy 1990-93			-20.015	-4.71	-21.412	-4.23				
Dist. fr. Brussels [ths km]	0.000	0.00	-0.112	-0.20	0.121	0.21	0.703	1.09	-0.567	-0.87
Sec. School Enrollment	0.142	1.65	0.111	1.89	0.092	1.37	0.012	0.18	0.267	2.91
War Dummy	-8.022	-3.60	-10.631	-5.22	-10.853	-4.95	-11.331	-5.35		
War Dummy (lagged)	3.714	2.05	4.496	2.64	4.492	2.73			4.912	2.90
1989 GNP p.c. [log, ths \$]	-2.679	-2.02	-1.580	-1.17	-1.774	-1.10	-1.056	-0.88	-2.453	-1.23
Adj.R <sup>2</sup>	0.712		0.771		0.730		0.617		0.530	
Joint Sign. Liberalization	0.048		0.000		0.000		0.001			
Number of observations	50		50		50				25	
Min/Max effect at:	-0.29		-0.38		-0.36		-0.24			

Notes: Estimated by OLS with heteroskedasticity robust t-statistics, for the 25 countries included in Table 1. See also Notes to Table A1. Democracy Index is the based on the average of political rights and civil liberties according to the Freedom House and normalized so that it ranges between zero and unity. The indices used in the regressions are the averages for the respective periods. Joint Significance Liberalization is the joint significance level of the liberalization index and its squared value. Minimum/Maximum effect refers to the level where the effect of liberalization reaches its minimum or maximum in the non-linear specification.

2000		
<b>2008</b> B01-08	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
<b>2007</b>	Luio-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "wirtschartsregierung	Wartin Seider
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2006		
B03-06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluc- tuations	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	Martin Seidel
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni- fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate	Panu Poutvaara
	and Teaching to Stay?	
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
2004		
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
D22 04	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun- tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
001 01	De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	Surgen von Hugen, Sizhong Zhou
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
	und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich-	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
	keiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem	
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
D07.04	ses	
B27-04	Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni- on with the EU	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger Elbam Mafi Kraft Stavan F. Kraft
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Cri-	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
	ses	
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas-	Martin Seidel
	sungsvertrag	

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in	Iulia Traistaru
B17-04	an Enlarged EMU Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
D17-04	Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	Subluey Togan, Masan Ersei
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel
210 01	traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	Wu
B15-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Ex-	Christian Volpe Martincus
	plain Local Patterns?	
B14-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	Jiri Jonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of	Sami Yläoutinen
	Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Coun-	
_	tries	
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte-	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
D11 04	gration	
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi-	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru,
B10-04	ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition	Christian Volpe Martincus Laura Resmini
D10-04	Countries	
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
205 01	Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Conver-	Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan
	gence	
B07-04	The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
	Human Well-being in Africa	Lutz
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
<b>D a a a a</b>	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	Lutz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for	Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc
B03-04	EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera-	Ulrich Bindseil
D03-04	tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	Officit Billasen
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok
	for EU Enlargement	Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Bei-	Martin Seidel
	trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen	
	durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	
2003		
B29-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	Jürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
D07 02	Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	ner M. Yigit Karstin Barnath, Juargan von Ha
B27-03	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha- ren
	of International Finance)	gen
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
210 00	Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat-	Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe
	terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Martincus
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung	Martin Seidel
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for	William Pyle
	Inter-Firm Communication	
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi
B19-03	from Asia and the Americas An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Pri-	A. Alwosabi Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed
00-610	macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on Export of Ukrainian Firms	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B17-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and	Iulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
D10-03	Policy Challenges	Tuna Traistatu, Jurgen von Tragen
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
	to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
	a Puzzle?	
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
	Stability and Growth Pact	6 6
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B04-03 B03-03		
	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	ra
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	ra Stefan H. Lutz
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	ra Stefan H. Lutz
B03-03 B02-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03 B02-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b>	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b>	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul,
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: <i>Institutional</i> Vs. <i>Economic</i> Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 <b>2002</b> B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi-	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
	tion Countries	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Ac- cession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	lulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Scha- ber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
Dac a:	That of the Europaen Central Bank	
B20-01	An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
B17-01	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner Mark Hallarhaur, Batvick Mavier
D17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline in Latin American and Carribean Countries	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
	Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European	<u> </u>
B15-01	Union Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD	Christian E. Weller
B15-01 B14-01	Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen
01101	a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	non na otradon, surgen von nagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
D10.01	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets. Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wa-	Lilia Cavallar
211 01	ge Setters	
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
D00.01	Empirical Evidence	
B09-01	Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?	Jan Fidrmuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne
		Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate Countries and the European Union	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
	Markets	tan Deutech Französischer Mürt
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda. The Future of Banking.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac-
	. ,	Culloch
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac-
D00.01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir- ty	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Ha- gen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
	licy	
<b>2000</b> B20-00	Broakin up a Nation From the Inside	Etionno Espragua
B20-00 B19-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, ge-	Etienne Farvaque Jens Hölscher
D15 00	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner,
		Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung	Martin Seidel
	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	
B16-00	meinschaftsrechts The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso
210 00		Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
_	ration	
B14-00	Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Eco-	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
B13-00	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos
DT0-00	nomies Reconsidered	Nauro I. Campos

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli-	Martin Seidel
B11-00	chen Europäischen Währung A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	, Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during	Jan Fidrmuc
200 00	Transition	
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	Helmut Seitz
B19-99	The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies	Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?	Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Sup- ply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning	Volker Wieland
B08-99	The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-
	Production Function Approach	Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B16-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU- Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi-	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker
	nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Study of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98 B08-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla-	Robert L. Hetzel Bernd Hayo
B07-98	den) Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Ver- sion zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	, Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
<b>1997</b> B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does	Kenneth Kletzer
004-91	European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins- urance or Federalism?	
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 D-53113 Bonn Fax: +49-228-73-1809 Germany www.zei.de