

Fidrmuc, Jan

Working Paper

Migration and adjustment to shocks in transition economies

ZEI Working Paper, No. B 23-2001

Provided in Cooperation with:

ZEI - Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Fidrmuc, Jan (2001) : Migration and adjustment to shocks in transition economies, ZEI Working Paper, No. B 23-2001, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn, Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/10419/39504>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung
Center for European Integration Studies
Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Jan Fidrmuc

**Migration and Adjustment
to Shocks in Transition
Economies**

Working Paper

**B 23
2001**

MIGRATION AND ADJUSTMENT TO SHOCKS IN TRANSITION ECONOMIES^{*}

JAN FIDRMUC[†]

CENTER FOR EUROPEAN INTEGRATION STUDIES (ZEI), UNIVERSITY OF BONN;
CEPR, LONDON; AND WILLIAM DAVIDSON INSTITUTE, MICHIGAN.

September 2001

Abstract:

Does migration serve as an effective channel of regional adjustment to idiosyncratic shocks in transition economies? If so, one should find a strong relationship between regional unemployment and average wages on the one hand, and migration flows on the other. Yet, the evidence from transition economies indicates that the efficacy of migration in reducing inter-regional unemployment and wage differentials has been low. High wages appear to stimulate overall mobility rather than encourage a net immigration, and the effect of unemployment is statistically weak and not robust. In addition, the effect of unemployment and wages on net migration flows is economically insignificant and the overall level of migration has fallen during transition.

Keywords: Migration, Unemployment, Regional Shocks

JEL Categories: F22, J61, P23

^{*} This research was initiated while I was visiting the CPB Netherlands Bureau for Economic Policy Analysis in The Hague, whose hospitality I gratefully acknowledge. I benefited from comments and suggestions from Marco Bos, Nauro Campos, Jean-Louis Guérin, Ruud de Mooij and Ashok Parikh, as well as participants at ZEI/CEPR Workshop on "Labor Markets, Work, and Welfare during the Transition and Integration Processes" in Vilnius, CPB/OCFEB workshop on "Economic Impact of EU Enlargement" in The Hague, NOBE/CEPS workshop on "Eastern Enlargement and Migration" in Brussels, ESPE 2001 conference in Athens, and the CEPR/WDI International Conference on Transition Economics in Portoroz. Dalia Grigonyte provided excellent research assistance. I am grateful to Peter Huber for kindly providing some of the data used in this paper. This research was undertaken with support for the European Community's Phare ACE Program 1998.

[†] Address: ZEI, Walter-Flex-Strasse 3, 53113 Bonn, Germany. Email: JFidrmuc@uni-bonn.de. Phone: +49-228-73-1821, Fax: +49-228-73-1809. ZEI, Walter-Flex-Strasse 3, 53113 Bonn, Germany. Phone: +49-228-73-1821, Fax: +49-228-73-1809, Web: <http://www.tbns.net/fidrmuc/>.

1 Introduction

Migration, or labor mobility¹, is an important economic phenomenon. Migrants flow from regions with high unemployment and/or low incomes to more prosperous regions, attracted by higher wages and/or better employment prospects. In this way, migration facilitates regional adjustment to adverse shocks: excess labor leaves depressed regions, thus gradually diminishing inter-regional differentials in wages and unemployment. In a hypothetical economy with perfect factor mobility, regions would adjust to asymmetric shocks immediately. When factor mobility is low and prices and wages rigid, however, the effects of asymmetric shocks persist and regional economies have to rely on other mechanisms, such as inter-regional fiscal redistribution, to deal with them.²

The post-communist in Central and Eastern Europe started economic reforms with essentially zero (official) unemployment and very egalitarian wage distribution. The subsequent transition from central planning to a market economy was associated with a dramatic increase in regional disparities. The widening gap between prosperous and depressed regions increases the need for regional adjustment, and raises the incentives for workers in depressed regions to relocate to regions with more favorable economic conditions. This paper assesses the efficacy of inter-regional migration as a channel of regional adjustment in several transition economies: the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia and Lithuania. To facilitate comparison with (European) market economies, similar results for selected EU countries – Italy, Spain, Portugal and the Netherlands – are also presented. In particular the comparison with Southern European countries should be instructive as these countries are closer to the post-communist transition economies in terms of income levels and labor-market developments.

The analysis relates gross and net migration rates (gross and net migration flows scaled by the region's population) to regional unemployment rates and average wages. The main finding is that the efficacy of labor mobility as a channel of regional adjustment to idiosyncratic shocks has been rather limited in the transition economies. In general, regional

¹ The terms migration and labor mobility are used interchangeably in the present paper.

² The typical comparison in this context is that between the US and continental Europe. In the US, labor mobility is high and plays an important role in reducing unemployment and wage differentials between regions (see Blanchard and Katz, 1992). In contrast, continental European countries often display persistent economic differences between regions such as North and South Italy or East and West Germany. In continental Europe, extensive inter-regional redistribution appears counterproductive in that it reduces incentives for migration.

unemployment rate and average wage affect net migration in the expected way – net immigration is positively related to the average wage and negatively to the unemployment rate prevailing in the region. However, results obtained with gross migration flows are more disappointing. Regions with high wages tend to display both high immigration and emigration (rather than high immigration and low emigration). This pattern appears quite universally across all transition economies included in the analysis. In some transition economies, the effect of unemployment on gross migration flows is similar – high unemployment discourages not only immigration to but also emigration from depressed regions. This pattern implies that migration affects predominantly regions with relatively favorable economic conditions. Depressed regions, in contrast, show low mobility and thus remain locked in with low average wages and high unemployment. Furthermore, the response of net migration flows to regional economic conditions is economically small (even though it often appears statistically significant), and migration flows have been declining since the onset of transition.

After briefly reviewing the theoretical and empirical literature on migration in the following section, the data and recent labor market development in transition economies are discussed in sections 3 and 4, respectively. The results of the empirical analysis are then presented in section 5. Although studying migration is interesting in its own right, analysis of labor mobility in transition economies is particularly interesting for two additional reasons. First, it is feared that the next round of EU enlargement will bring about massive East-West migration flows. Such fears are particularly prominent in Austria and Germany – the two *front-line* countries. Second, the efficacy of migration as a shock-absorbing mechanism will have important repercussions for the transition economies' future membership in the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU). If the new entrants continue to be exposed to asymmetric shocks (compared to those affecting the EMU core countries), giving up autonomous monetary policy will increase the need for alternative adjustment mechanisms, such as labor mobility. These implications are discussed in section 6. Finally, the main conclusions of the present paper are summarized in the last section.

2 The Theories and Empirics of Migration

Theoretical foundations of modern migration literature³ were laid by Todaro (1969), and Harris and Todaro (1970). Their main insight was that migration is motivated by expected earnings differentials, i.e. wage differential between home and destination, adjusted for the probability of employment at destination. Accordingly, the higher the wage (the lower the unemployment rate) in the region of destination, the greater will be immigration to that region. Faini and Venturini (1994) argue, however, that the effect of wages in the region of origin need not be linear because migration from poor regions is limited by liquidity constraints. With rising home-region wages, emigration from poor regions may in fact increase rather than decline as the liquidity constraint ceases to be binding. Only for relatively affluent regions do rising wages at home reduce the incentive for migration. Borjas (1987) points out that migration responds not only to average wages but also to their dispersion reflecting underlying inter-regional differences in rewards to skills. In particular, regions (countries) with relatively egalitarian wage distribution will attract primarily low-skilled workers, whereas high-skilled workers will choose to migrate to regions with more uneven wage distribution, where the returns to skills are higher (Borjas, 1987). Stark (1991) moves the focus away from wage differentials. In particular, he explores the role of migration as a means for intra-family risk sharing—by moving to regions with imperfectly correlated income shocks, the members of a family can reduce the variance of family income. Finally, Burda (1995) likens migration to investment decisions under uncertainty and argues that potential migrants may postpone migration because of option value of waiting, which he shows is positive. Accordingly, the prospects of an improvement at home and the option to migrate later in case of a further deterioration may in fact induce potential migrants to stay put.

Most of the empirical literature focuses, in line with Harris and Todaro's insights, on the role of wages and employment prospects (typically proxied by unemployment) in explaining migration patterns. Often, social and demographic variables, as well as measures of various amenities and/or quality of life are included as well. Pissarides and McMaster (1990) find that relative unemployment and wages (both expressed as ratios to national mean values) significantly affect inter-regional migration in Great Britain, but the resulting regional adjustment to shocks is very slow. Jackman and Savouri (1992), who also study British migration, obtain a similar finding for unemployment and vacancy rates but find the opposite

result for wages (migration from high to low wage regions). Decressin (1994) in his analysis of migration among West German Federal States finds results similar to those of Pissarides and McMaster.

An important aspect of migration is its capacity to facilitate regional adjustment to idiosyncratic shocks.⁴ Regions hit by permanent demand shocks respond by changes in stocks of labor or capital and/or by price (and wage) adjustment. Blanchard and Katz (1992) assess these three channels of regional adjustment using US state-level data and conclude that the bulk of adjustment occurs via labor mobility (after an initial increase in unemployment) rather than capital mobility or price and wage adjustment. Moreover, the adjustment is relatively fast, with the effect of a shock disappearing completely after five to seven years. Hence, labor in the US is highly mobile and responds readily to idiosyncratic economic shocks. In contrast, Decressin and Fatas (1995) find that in Western Europe, the effects of such shocks are absorbed mainly by changes in labor-force participation rather than migration. Indeed, Bentivogli and Pagano (1999) argue that the responsiveness of migration to unemployment and wage differentials is much lower in the EU compared to the US. As a result, wage and unemployment differentials are generally greater and more persistent in Europe than in the US. This lack of labor mobility is often seen as a potential threat to the stability of the EMU (see Eichengreen, 1993, 1998; Braunerhjelm et al., 2000). When idiosyncratic shocks have permanent or highly persistent effects, pressure for accommodating policy measures in affected regions or countries intensifies. The ability of individual countries in Europe to implement such measures is, however, limited because of the loss of monetary autonomy and the constraints on fiscal policy imposed by the Maastricht criteria.

Migration in transition economies received little attention so far, in part perhaps because of lack of suitable data. The main exception is the former East Germany (see Burda, 1999, and Hunt, 2000). In the wake of the reunification, the expected massive outflow of East Germans to the Western States did not materialize. On the contrary, by mid 1990s, the flows between the former East and West approximately equalized, with the number of migrants moving to the East matching that leaving for West Germany. The lack of massive migration is often attributed to the rapid (partial) convergence of wages in the new Federal States to the West German level and the transfers from the West (see Sinn, 1999), or the expectation of such convergence (Burda, 1995). The empirical analysis of Burda (1999) and Hunt (2000)

³ See Borjas (1994), and Ghatak and Levine (1998) for recent surveys of literature.

confirms the importance of wage and unemployment differentials, but also highlights the generally low labor mobility in Germany (East and West).

3 Data

Migration data for several transition economies were collected: the Czech Republic, Hungary, Lithuania, Poland, and Slovakia. To facilitate comparison with (European) market economies, the analysis was also performed for the following EU countries: Italy, Spain, Portugal and the Netherlands. Due to data limitations, the periods covered by the data differ somewhat. The data for the transition economies cover between three and seven years during 1990s. The data for the EU countries span from late 1980s to mid 1990s, covering between seven and twelve years. The data differ also in terms of size of the regions. In general, regions in transition economies are smaller, with average population ranging between 66 thousand in Lithuania to 790 thousand in Poland. In contrast, average population of regions in the EU countries ranges between 1.4 million in The Netherlands and 4.3 million in Spain. Clearly, smaller regions offer better approximation of the local labor market conditions. On the other hand, data pertaining to smaller regions also capture greater fraction of migration flows that are not labor-market related – e.g. moving from the city to the suburbs, or moving between two adjacent districts without changing employment.

The analysis utilizes data on overall immigration and emigration per region (without distinguishing the regions of origin or destination of migrants). The data are based on records from municipal population registers. Obviously, this may cause problems when interpreting the results, because population migration does not distinguish between employment-related migration and non-labor migration (because of marriage or divorce, education, retirement, and the like). This, however, is a general problem of most migration studies, as typically only population-migration data are available. Parikh and van Leuvensteijn (2000) compare population and labor migration data for Germany and find that regressions that use population and labor migration yield similar results, as long as migration figures are normalized by population and labor force, respectively.

⁴ This role of migration is emphasized by the optimum currency area literature, as initiated by Mundell (1961) and McKinnon (1963).

4 Labor Market Developments in Transition Economies

The transition from central planning to a market economy had dramatic labor-market repercussions. The formerly socialist countries set out to reform their economies with essentially no (official) unemployment and very egalitarian distribution of wages. In the course of transition, overall unemployment as well as regional disparities in unemployment and wages increased rapidly, as Table 1 shows.

Insert Table 1 about here.

The pattern of regional distribution of unemployment and wages appears to be strongly persistent: the correlation coefficients between regional unemployment rates (wages) in 1991 and 1996 are 0.52 (0.70) for the Czech Republic, 0.45 (0.80) for Slovakia, 0.92 (0.93) for Poland and 0.74 (0.85) for Hungary (between 1991 and 1997). Hence, regions that were stricken by high unemployment and low wages at the outset of transition in general remained economically depressed five years later. In addition, unemployment rates and average wages are negatively correlated across districts, with the following correlation coefficients obtained for 1996: -0.10 for the Czech Republic, -0.68 for Slovakia, -0.62 for Hungary and -0.41 for Poland.

In the presence of substantial regional disparities, workers in depressed regions stand to gain by moving to regions with higher wages and better employment opportunities. If this mechanism is effective, migration will eventually smooth away the effects of idiosyncratic shocks. Nevertheless, even with effective migration response to economic incentives, one may still observe persistent wage and unemployment differentials in equilibrium. This can be the case when high unemployment is matched by high wages, thus resulting in zero equilibrium migration (this, however, implies positive correlation between unemployment and wages, which is not the case in transition economies). Nevertheless, despite sizeable and growing gap between prosperous and depressed regions, migration in transition economies in fact declined in the course of reforms (see Table 1). This may be attributed to rising nationwide unemployment and worsening employment prospects associated with it (as argued by Decressin, 1994). Because of the different size of regions, direct comparison of the extent of labor mobility in transition economies and Western European countries is not possible (in general, the smaller the regions, the greater should be the extent of migration across regional boundaries). Nevertheless, when considering the smaller size of regions, labor mobility in transition countries does not appear high in international comparison.

5 Migration as Adjustment to Shocks

Migration is one of the principal mechanisms (alongside capital mobility and price flexibility) for absorbing adverse effects of asymmetric shocks. Consider a region hit by a permanent negative demand shock. As a result of such shock, unemployment rises and wages fall in the region. The region can adjust to the effects of this shock in a number of ways. First, worsening labor-market situation may induce the region's residents to leave and take up employment in regions with better economic conditions. Second, lower wages and plentiful labor may induce new firms to move into the region. And finally, the relative price level can adjust (either by falling wages and prices or by currency depreciation, if the region has its own currency) sufficiently so that demand for the region's products rises again. This paper investigates the efficacy of the first channel of regional adjustment – migration – and its responsiveness to regional economic characteristics: unemployment rates and average wages.

The dependent variable is gross and net migration, both normalized by population – so that the dependent variables measure migration rates rather than flows. The data only record the total number of migrants (inbound and outbound) per district, without identifying the regions of origin or destination. Gross inflow and outflow rates are strongly correlated—with correlation coefficients of 0.78 for the Czech Republic, 0.77 for Slovakia and 0.92 for Poland (the correlations are measured over the entire available period for each country, only net migration flows are available for Hungary). This implies that if some variables affect both inflows and outflows in the same direction, the coefficients estimated for net immigration rate may be biased (see Bauer and Zimmerman, 1995). Therefore, it is important to consider both gross and net migration. Gross migration flows appear strongly persistent (much more so than net migration), the correlation coefficients for gross migration rates in 1992 and 1996 are between 0.6 and 0.8 for the Czech Republic, Slovakia and Poland.

The analysis covers between three and seven years for the transition countries and between seven and twelve years for the EU countries (the choice of periods is mandated primarily by data availability). Observations for individual years are pooled together. All regressions include year dummies, with the first available year as the reference year. The results are reported in Tables 2 through 6. The focus of the analysis is on the impact of regional unemployment rates and average wages on inter-regional migration. Therefore, the most parsimonious regressions are estimated only with these two variables. The wage is

normalized by the nation-wide average wage to eliminate the effects of wage inflation. Both unemployment and wages are lagged by one year because of their possible endogeneity in migration. These results are reported in the first panel of each Table.

Clearly, many other factors besides unemployment and wages affect migration: the quality of infrastructure and amenities, the regions' social, cultural and demographic characteristics, and the like. Therefore, I also estimated regressions containing additional demographic and socio-economic variables. Regressions reported in the second panel of each Table contain also the (log of) population density (as a measure of urbanization, as well as congestion), and a dummy variable for suburban districts (i.e. those immediately adjacent to city districts⁵). Finally, the regressions reported in the third panel of each Table (except for Lithuania, for which the additional data were not available) contain further socio-economic and demographic variables: a measure of the size of the nascent private sector proxied by the number of small private unincorporated entrepreneurs (excluding farmers) as the percentage of population; employment in the industry and agriculture, as the percentage of total employment; the share of persons with university education; the share of Roman Catholics (in the Czech Republic and Slovakia); the share of persons above retirement age; and the shares of main ethnic minorities—the Romany (Gypsies) in the Czech and Slovak Republics and the Hungarian minority in Slovakia.

The results suggest that although unemployment rates and average wages indeed affect migration patterns in transition economies, the pattern is only imperfectly consistent with the role of migration as a mechanism of regional adjustment to shocks. Migration is most effective as a channel of regional adjustment when gross (and net) immigration is positively related to average wages and negatively to unemployment, while gross emigration is positive related to unemployment and negatively to average wages. However, this is not the pattern that obtains for migration in the transition economies. On the one hand, unemployment and average wages have the correct signs in regressions with net migration and are usually at least marginally significant. Hence, it appears that high wages and low unemployment indeed encourage net immigration. On the other hand, unemployment apparently discourages overall migration (except in Poland), i.e. not only immigration but also emigration. Similarly, high wages tend to be associated with higher overall migration, inbound and outbound. Hence,

⁵ The city districts (along with the names of their respective suburban districts) are *Prague (Prague East and Prague West)*, *Brno (Brno-vicinity)*, *Plzen (Plzen South, Plzen North and Rokycany)* and *Ostrava (Frydek Myster, Karvina and Novy Jicin)* in the Czech Republic; *Bratislava (Bratislava-vicinity)* and *Kosice (Kosice-vicinity)* in Slovakia; and *Budapest (Pest)* in Hungary.

instead of facilitating relocation of labor from depressed districts to the more prosperous ones, migration largely involves mobility of labor among regions with relatively favorable economic conditions.

Insert Tables 2 through 6 about here.

The additional socio-economic and demographic variables appear to exert important effect on migration as well. Urbanization (measured by the log of population density) discourages net immigration (possibly because of congestion). Its effect on gross flows differs across the individual countries though. The dummy for suburbs turns out to be quite important in explaining migratory flows – suburban districts tend to have higher overall migration and receive a net inflow of migrants.

The number of entrepreneurs in the region, intended as a measure of the emerging private sector, is significantly and positively related to the inflow and outflow of migrants (except in Poland). It also appears to encourage net immigration. Hence, districts with a large and vibrant private sector tend to display higher labor mobility and attract a net migration inflow. This result is similar to that obtained for average wages, and may indeed reflect the same underlying pattern – the nascent private sector likely attracts more productive and better skilled workers, and these workers are in turn more mobile relative to the rest of the population. As with wages, however, the bulk of migration flows again appears to be among districts with relatively favorable economic conditions. In order to better facilitate regional adjustment, entrepreneurial activity should encourage immigration and discourage rather than encourage emigration. The only transition economy where this appears to be the case is Poland, where the effect of entrepreneurial activity on immigration is insignificant and the effect on emigration is negative.

Hence, the evidence suggests that migration flows in transition economies indeed respond to regional differences in unemployment rates and wages. However, the pattern of migration is only imperfectly consistent with migration facilitating regional adjustment to idiosyncratic shocks. The positive effect of wages on the immigration inflow and emigration implies that higher average wages are associated with greater overall mobility in prosperous regions rather than with net flow of labor from poor regions to those with more favorable conditions. Low mobility in depressed regions may be attributed to several factors. First, there are fixed costs of migration (because of search and information costs, costs of moving, etc.). The costs may be sufficiently high to prevent low-wage earners and the unemployed from migrating. Second, employment prospects for high-skilled labor may be generally better so that the pool of

migrants may consist largely of high-skilled workers earning relatively high wages. Finally, the low mobility in depressed regions may be due to structural factors. If workers' human capital is not transferable to different industries, then the unemployed workers in regions that were traditionally dominated by communist-era industries may have little options other than staying put.

Even more importantly, the potential effect of labor mobility on reducing regional differences in unemployment and wages is rather small. According to the regressions estimated with unemployment, wages, population density and dummies for suburbs, as reported in the middle panels of Tables 2 through 6, a ten percentage-point increase in the unemployment rate should give rise to a marginal net outflow between 0.03 and 0.25 percentage point of a district's population annually (0.66 if the insignificant coefficient obtained for Lithuania is considered). Similarly, an increase of average wages by 10 percentage points relative to the national average is associated with an increase in the annual net migrant inflow between 0.03 and 0.08 percentage point (recall that wages are expressed in ratios to national average rather than in levels or logs). With the pace of adjustment this slow, it is not at all surprising that regional differences in unemployment rates and wages in the transition economies have been highly persistent.

Finally, it is instructive to compare transition economies with market economies, especially those in Southern Europe that are closer to post-communist countries in terms of incomes levels and labor-market developments. Tables 7 through 10 present regression results obtained for Italy, Spain, Portugal and the Netherlands. The results are mixed. Italy⁶ and Portugal indeed display the desirable pattern of migration. In particular, gross immigration is positively related to average wages and negatively to unemployment, while gross emigration is positively related to unemployment (the effect of average on gross emigration appears negative for Portugal and positive for Italy but the coefficients are insignificant for both countries). The effects of unemployment and wages on net migration are also consistent with migration facilitating regional adjustment to shocks and strongly significant.

The results for Spain and the Netherlands are more disappointing. Unemployment seems to discourage gross migration flows in Spain, although its effect on net migration has the correct sign. The effect of average wages appears insignificant or with incorrect sign for gross

⁶ The result for Italy is rather surprising, as Italy is often brought up as an example of a country with very immobile labor force and persistent economic differences across regions. Nevertheless, even though the coefficients are significant and have the correct signs, the estimated effects are economically small.

as well as net flows. In the Netherlands, unemployment appears to encourage overall migration whereas average wages discourage it.⁷

Insert Tables 7 through 10 about here.

6 Implications for EU and EMU Enlargement

Recently, mobility of Eastern European labor received considerable attention also for a different reason – accession to the European Union will eventually introduce the possibility for East Europeans to seek employment throughout the Union. Some current EU member countries, especially the *frontline* countries, Austria and Germany, are concerned about the prospects of a large influx of migrants from the East. In particular, it is feared that scores of migrants will be attracted by high Western European wages, increasing unemployment and driving down wages of the incumbent workers (see Bauer and Zimmermann, 1999, and Boeri and Bruecker, 2000, for assessment of potential post-enlargement migration).

While the empirical results presented in this paper do not facilitate a forecast of post-enlargement migration, several lessons can be drawn. First, labor mobility in the accession-candidate countries has been low and falling, despite often large (and increasing) wage and unemployment differentials across regions. Second, migration appears to occur chiefly among relatively prosperous regions rather than from depressed ones to those with favorable economic conditions. This may be because a large fraction of migrants are high-wage earners. If this pattern continues after the candidate countries' entry to the EU, free mobility of labor may actually have adverse effects for the new entrants (and positive effects for the current members) in as much as it may involve mainly migration of high-skilled workers. Finally, the response of net migration to regional economic characteristics, while statistically significant, is not significant in economic sense. Indeed, even sizeable differentials in average wages and unemployment rates give rise only to very modest net migration flows.

The present paper also yields some implications with respect to the eventual participation of the transition economies in the EMU, following their accession to the EU. It is envisaged that the new members will join the EMU in due course after becoming members of the EU. This, however, will be an important policy decision, with potentially far-reaching economic

⁷ This results for the Netherlands may reflect the fact that workers tend to commute to work, often over considerable distances, rather than migrate.

implications for the accession countries as well as the incumbent EMU members (in particular, premature admission of new members may undermine the stability of the union as a whole). In the course of intensifying integration, the accession countries should eventually become exposed to similar shocks as the core EMU countries. However, this process can be lengthy and in the meantime the accession countries are likely to continue experiencing shocks that are different from those affecting the EMU core. Indeed, Frenkel et al. (1999) suggest that between 1992 and 1998, the shocks affecting the accession-candidate countries were little correlated with those experienced by Germany and France (some of the correlation coefficients even turned out to be negative).

Joining the EMU implies relinquishing autonomy over monetary policy as well as submitting to important restrictions on fiscal policy (due to the Maastricht criteria imposing ceilings on public deficit and debt). Hence, the set of tools available for dealing with asymmetric shocks will be severely reduced by EMU membership. If the accession countries are exposed to asymmetric shocks and their labor markets cannot adjust easily enough to such shocks, then early participation in the EMU may not be the optimum exchange-rate regime for these countries. By retaining their separate currencies, the accession countries will be better able to cope with idiosyncratic shocks. Indeed, given the low responsiveness of labor mobility to regional unemployment and wages, it appears that the candidate countries may not be well equipped to deal with adverse effects of asymmetric shocks. Transitional barriers to labor mobility in the wake of the enlargement would in fact only aggravate this problem. Hence, an early entry to the EMU would make the monetary union more fragile and be potentially costly—both in economic and political terms.

7 Conclusions

Labor mobility can serve as an important channel for regional adjustment to idiosyncratic shocks. Emigration from depressed regions helps reduce inter-regional differentials in unemployment rates and average wages. This paper assesses the efficacy of migration as a channel of regional adjustment in several post-communist countries in transition. Economic reforms have had largely asymmetric repercussions in these countries, resulting in large and persistent unemployment and wage differentials across regions, thus increasing the need for effective regional adjustment. However, the efficacy of labor mobility as a channel of regional adjustment has been limited in the transition economies. While migration indeed responds to

inter-regional wage differentials, its responsiveness to unemployment is more limited (and less robust to changes in regression specification). Moreover, the effect of wage differentials on migration is only partially consistent with regional adjustment occurring via migration. Although wages have a positive effect on net migration, they are positively correlated with overall mobility—both gross immigration and emigration. Hence, depressed regions experience low overall mobility (inbound and outbound) rather than an outflow of migrants. The effect of unemployment is, to some extent, similar (although as emphasized above it is less robust to changes in regression specifications). When comparing post-communist transition economies with Southern European countries, migration patterns in Italy and especially Portugal appear much more effective in facilitating regional adjustment.

Yet, gross migration flows in transition countries were not negligible – in 1996, gross migration flows (as a percentage of the countries' populations) ranged between 0.6% in Slovakia and 2.3% in Lithuania. However, gross immigration and emigration are strongly correlated and hence the resulting net migration is tiny. This pattern can also be traced in the regression results presented above—while several socio-economic and demographic factors significantly affect gross migration flows, they often have a similar effect on inflows and outflows. Even though net migration is found to respond to regional economic characteristics, the effects are economically small and large inter-regional differentials in unemployment and average wages only give rise to modest net migration flows.

The pattern of labor mobility prevailing in transition economies has several important implications. First, regional differentials in unemployment and wages will not be smoothed away by migration easily. An appropriate policy response may be needed in order to avert creation of new *Mezzogiorni*. Second, given the low labor mobility in the accession countries, it seems unlikely that there will be a massive East-West migration flows in the wake of the next EU enlargement. East Europeans do not move readily even within their own countries, despite sizeable wage and unemployment differentials. Although wage differentials with respect to the current EU members are larger, so are migration costs and informal (e.g. linguistic and cultural) barriers to migration. Third, because of the low efficacy of migration in smoothign away inter-regional differentials in unemployment and wages, an early membership in the EMU is not necessarily an optimal policy choice for the accession countries. EMU membership stipulates loss of autonomous monetary policy and imposes important limitations on counter-cyclical fiscal policy. As the transition countries will likely

continue to face different shocks than the EMU core, at least in medium term, they may indeed benefit from retaining the option to adjust their exchange rates.

References

- Bauer, Thomas, and Zimmermann, Klaus F., "Modeling International Migration: Economic and Econometric Issues." In: Rob van der Erf and Liesbeth Heering, Eds., *Causes of International Migration. Proceedings of a workshop, Luxembourg, 14-16 December, 1994*, pp. 95-115. Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities, 1995.
- Bauer, Thomas, and Klaus F. Zimmermann (1999), *Assessment of Possible Migration Pressure and its Labour Market Impact Following EU Enlargement to Central and Eastern Europe*, IZA, Bonn.
- Bentivogli, Chiara, and Patrizio Pagano (1999), "Regional Disparities and Labor Mobility: The Euro-11 versus the USA," *Labour* **13** (3), 737-760.
- Blanchard, Olivier Jean and Lawrence F. Katz, "Regional Evolutions," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 1:1992, 1-61.
- Boeri, Tito, and Herbert Bruecker (2000), *The Impact of Eastern Enlargement on Employment and Labour Markets in the EU Member States*, European Integration Consortium: DIW, CEPR, FIEF, IAS, IGIER, Berlin and Milano.
- Borjas, George J. (1987), "Self-Selection and the Earnings of Immigrants," *American Economic Review* **77** (4), 531-553.
- Borjas, George J. (1994), "The Economics of Immigration," *Journal of Economic Literature*, **XXXII** (December), 1667-1717.
- Braunerhjelm, Pontus, Riccardo Faini, Victor Norman, Frances Ruane, and Paul Seabright (2000), *Integration and the Regions of Europe: How the Right Policies can Prevent Polarization*, MONITORING EUROPEAN INTEGRATION 10, Centre for Economic Policy Research, London.
- Brücker, Herbert, Gil S. Epstein, Barry McCormick, Gilles Saint-Paul, Alessandra Venturini and Klaus Zimmermann (2001), *Managing Mig in the European Welfare State*. Paper presented at Fondazione Rodolfo De Benedetti, June 2001, Trieste.
- Burda, Michael (1995), "Migration and the Option Value of Waiting." *Economic and Social Review* **27**, 1-19.

- Decressin, Jörg W. (1994), "Internal Migration in West Germany and Implications for East-West Salary Convergence," *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv* **130** (2), 231-257.
- Decressin, Jörg W., and Antonio Fatas (1995), "Regional labor market dynamics in Europe." *European Economic Review* **39** (9), 1627-1655.
- Eichengreen, Barry, "Labor Markets and European Monetary Unification." In: Paul R. Masson and Mark P. Taylor, Eds., *Policy Issues in the Operation of Currency Unions*. pp. 130-162. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- Eichengreen, Barry, "European Monetary Unification: a *Tour d'Horizon*." *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* **14**, 3:24-40, Autumn 1998.
- Faini, Riccardo, and Alessandra Venturini (1994), "*Migration and Growth: The Experience of Southern Europe*," CEPR Discussion Paper No. 964, Center for Economic Policy Research, London, UK.
- Fidrmuc, Jan (2001), *EU Enlargement: Open Hearts, or Closed Doors?* Center for European Integration Studies, University of Bonn, mimeo.
- Frenkel, Michael, Christiane Nickel, and Günther Schmidt, (1999), *Some Shocking Aspects of EMU Enlargement*, WHU Koblenz, mimeo.
- Friedberg, Rachel M., and Jennifer Hunt (1995), "The Impact of Immigrants of Host Country Wages, Employment and Growth," *Journal of Economic Perspectives* **9** (2), 23-44.
- Gandal, Neil, Gordon H. Hanson, and Matthew J. Slaughter (2000), *Technology, Trade and Adjustment to Immigration in Israel*, NBER Working Paper No. 7962, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- Ghatak, Subrata and Paul Levine (1998), "Migration Theories and Evidence: An Assessment," *Journal of Economic Surveys* **10** (2), 159-198.
- Harris, J.R. and M.P. Todaro (1970), "Migration, Unemployment and Development: A Two Sector Analysis," *American Economic Review* **60** (1), 120-142.
- Hunt, Jennifer (2000), *Why Do People Still Live in East Germany*, IZA Discussion Paper No. 123. Institute for the Study of Labor, Bonn.
- Jackman, Richard, and Savvas Savouri (1992), "Regional Migration in Britain: An Analysis of Gross Flows Using NHS Central Register Data," *The Economic Journal* **102**, (415), 1433-1450.
- McKinnon Ronald I., "Optimum Currency Areas." *American Economic Review* **53**, 717-725, September 1963.
- Mundell Robert A., "A Theory of Optimum Currency Areas." *American Economic Review* **51**, 657-665, September 1961.

- Parikh, Ashok, and Michiel van Leuvenstijn (2000), *How Different Are the Determinants of Population and Labor Migration in Germany?* Centraal Planbureau, The Hague, mimeo.
- Pissarides, Christopher A., and Ian McMaster (1990), "Regional Migration, Wages and Unemployment: Empirical Evidence and Implications for Policy," *Oxford Economic Papers* **42**, 812-831.
- Sinn, Hans Werner (1999), *EU Enlargement, Migration and Lessons from German Unification*. CEPR Discussion Paper No. 2174, Centre for Economic Policy Research, London.
- Stark, Oded (1991) *The Migration of Labor*, Blackwell Publishers: Cambridge, MA.
- Todaro, Michael P. (1969), "A Model of Labor Migration and Urban Unemployment in Less Developed Countries." *American Economic Review* **59** (1), 138-148.

Table 1A Labor-Market Developments and Migration: Czech Republic

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Unemployment	4.62	2.9	3.89	3.38	3.08	3.79	5.63	7.81
Standard Deviation	1.88	1.41	1.94	1.73	1.7	1.91	2.53	3.06
Coeff. Of Variation [%]	40.7%	48.6%	49.9%	51.2%	55.2%	50.3%	44.9%	39.2%
Wages [CZK]	3745	4571	5551	6411	7661	9056	9757	11239
Standard Deviation	234	361	446	575	656	786	958	1365
Coeff. of Variation [%]	6.2%	7.9%	8.0%	9.0%	8.6%	8.7%	9.8%	12.1%
Gross Migration [%]	n.a.	1.26	1.15	1.01	1.00	0.94	0.98	0.98
Standard Deviation		0.30	0.27	0.27	0.28	0.28	0.33	0.41
Maximum		2.43	2.31	2.29	2.33	2.33	2.57	3.32
Minimum		0.82	0.73	0.62	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.59

Table 1B Labor-Market Developments and Migration: Slovakia

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Unemployment	12.89	11.82	16.57	17.7	14.56	14.56	n.a.	n.a.
Standard Deviation	3.23	3.65	4.91	5.89	4.79	5.06		
Coeff. of Variation [%]	25.1%	30.9%	29.6%	33.3%	32.9%	34.8%		
Wages [SKK]	3635	4185	5026	5747	6640	7267	n.a.	n.a.
Standard Deviation	163	323	408	529	664	862		
Coeff. of Variation [%]	4.5%	7.7%	8.1%	9.2%	10.0%	11.9%		
Gross Migration [%]	n.a.	0.85	0.82	0.73	0.62	0.61	n.a.	n.a.
Standard Deviation		0.21	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.23		
Maximum		1.51	1.41	1.39	1.23	1.40		
Minimum		0.42	0.44	0.34	0.29	0.28		

Table 1C Labor-Market Developments: Poland

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Unemployment	12.7	14.9	18.2	n.a.	16.8	14.9	11.9	12.0
Standard Deviation	3.6	4.4	5.6	n.a.	5.1	4.8	4.2	4.1
Coeff. of Variation [%]	28.1%	29.5%	31.0%	n.a.	30.3%	32.4%	35.3%	34.5%
Wages [PLZ]	167.73	269.15	358.39	479.2	632.6	797.5	965.7	1115.2
Standard Deviation	13.07	23.31	35.22	54.0	70.5	87.5	109.7	126.9
Coeff. of Variation [%]	7.8%	8.7%	9.8%	11.3%	11.1%	11.0%	11.4%	11.4%
Gross Migration [%]	n.a.	1.3	1.2	n.a.	n.a.	1.1	1.1	n.a.
Maximum	n.a.	1.7	1.6	n.a.	n.a.	1.5	1.6	n.a.
Minimum	n.a.	0.7	0.7	n.a.	n.a.	0.7	0.7	n.a.

Table 1D Labor-Market Developments: Hungary

	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
Unemployment	9.7	9.2	13.1	11.4	11.3	11.6	11.5	10.1
Standard Deviation	3.5	3.2	3.8	3.4	3.4	4.0	4.2	3.9
Coeff. of Variation [%]	35.5%	35.2%	29.1%	29.5%	30.3%	34.0%	36.0%	38.8%
Wages [HUF]		20,315.8	24,753.8	30,960.7	36,200.9	43,080.6	52,458.3	61,338.2
Standard Deviation		2,180.3	2,561.1	3,275.4	4,032.6	5,046.9	6,771.0	8,619.4
Coeff. of Variation [%]		10.7%	10.3%	10.6%	11.1%	11.7%	12.9%	14.1%
Net Migration [%]								
Maximum				-0.5	-0.6	-0.4	-0.6	-0.5
Minimum				1.4	1.4	1.2	1.5	1.5

Table 1E Labor-Market Developments: Lithuania

	1996	1997	1998	1999
Unemployment	7.84	6.49	7.65	9.70
Standard Deviation	3.24	2.35	2.82	3.30
Coeff. of Variation [%]	41.3%	36.3%	36.9%	34.0%
Wages [LIT]	532.59	672.39	807.57	849.43
Standard Deviation	126.63	144.78	153.21	158.43
Coeff. of Variation [%]	23.8%	21.5%	19.0%	18.7%
Gross Migration [%]	2.26	2.20	1.77	1.58
Maximum	4.73	4.63	4.04	3.29
Minimum	0.82	0.94	0.61	0.58

Table 1F Labor-Market Developments: Netherlands

	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Unemployment	9.76	8.73	7.69	5.88	5.98	6.58	7.11	7.33
Standard Deviation	1.76	1.55	1.79	0.95	1.06	1.01	0.96	1.02
Coeff. of Variation [%]	18.0%	17.8%	23.3%	16.2%	17.8%	15.4%	13.5%	14.0%
Wages [ECU]	1995.95	2004.19	2090.13	2185.16	2317.98	2505.45	2585.95	2709.06
Standard Deviation	45.67	46.90	50.77	51.48	59.03	64.22	60.52	64.84
Coeff. of Variation [%]	2.3%	2.3%	2.4%	2.4%	2.5%	2.6%	2.3%	2.4%
Gross Migration [%]	1.61	1.66	1.61	1.59	1.54	1.53	1.53	1.53
Maximum	2.74	2.75	2.66	2.66	2.71	2.76	2.82	2.73
Minimum	1.11	1.12	1.12	1.09	0.95	0.98	0.95	0.98

Note: All observations for *Flevoland* were dropped because of data problems.

Table 1G Labor-Market Developments: Italy

	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995
Unemployment	11.31	10.40	9.35	9.13	8.75	10.49	11.54	12.26
Standard Deviation	6.34	6.44	6.16	5.80	4.68	5.48	6.20	6.95
Coeff. of Variation [%]	56.0%	61.9%	65.9%	63.5%	53.4%	52.3%	53.7%	56.7%
Wages [ECU]	1579.92	1747.42	1928.57	2088.01	2126.98	1913.33	1895.32	1785.86
Standard Deviation	139.21	164.17	178.69	190.60	196.74	169.94	173.72	158.35
Coeff. of Variation [%]	8.8%	9.4%	9.3%	9.1%	9.2%	8.9%	9.2%	8.9%
Gross Migration [%]	0.53	0.56	1.86	0.49	0.53	0.50	0.49	0.50
Maximum	1.30	1.36	3.06	1.14	1.24	1.12	1.20	1.22
Minimum	0.33	0.32	0.18	0.28	0.35	0.30	0.31	0.31

Table 1H Labor-Market Developments: Spain

	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994
Unemployment	19.08	18.63	16.39	15.39	15.16	16.96	20.90	22.95
Standard Deviation	5.27	4.84	5.24	5.45	5.28	5.21	5.49	5.21
Coeff. of Variation [%]	27.6%	26.0%	32.0%	35.4%	34.8%	30.7%	26.2%	22.7%
Wages [ECU]	956.92	1130.42	1396.54	1676.73	1956.65	2212.69	2098.76	2033.41
Standard Deviation	107.09	119.53	130.15	148.64	153.65	185.62	189.49	172.41
Coeff. of Variation [%]	11.2%	10.6%	9.3%	8.9%	7.9%	8.4%	9.0%	8.5%
Gross Migration [%]	0.45	0.57	0.65	0.64	0.38	0.53	0.55	0.60
Maximum	1.44	2.25	2.26	1.47	1.11	1.28	1.17	1.00
Minimum	0.19	0.27	0.32	0.41	0.21	0.28	0.29	0.34

Table 1I Labor-Market Developments: Portugal

	1986	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993
Unemployment	8.30	6.70	6.17	5.06	4.57	4.16	3.87	5.36
Standard Deviation	3.93	3.30	4.26	3.32	2.66	2.30	1.76	1.82
Coeff. of Variation [%]	47.37	49.30	68.99	65.62	58.28	55.27	45.52	33.91
Wages [ECU]	402.92	435.47	471.27	518.11	601.05	721.68	859.86	851.72
Standard Deviation	58.37	48.49	64.86	75.12	56.60	69.16	87.61	89.79
Coeff. of Variation [%]	14.49	11.13	13.76	14.50	9.42	9.58	10.19	10.54
Gross Migration [%]	0.20	0.14	0.20	0.50	0.54	0.18	0.33	n.a.
Maximum	0.65	0.29	0.56	1.10	1.24	0.50	1.02	n.a.
Minimum	0.08	0.03	0.09	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.09	n.a.

Table 2 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Czech Republic 1992 - 1998

	Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows		Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows		Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	
Constant	0.792	(4.59)	0.772	(7.04)	0.020	(0.14)	0.670	(3.97)	0.678	(5.54)	-0.009	(0.07)	0.951	(3.20)	1.068	(4.80)	-0.126	(0.52)
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	-0.039	(4.40)	-0.016	(2.94)	-0.023	(3.65)	-0.032	(4.32)	-0.012	(2.36)	-0.020	(3.53)	-0.007	(1.14)	-0.005	(1.02)	-0.002	(0.44)
Wage Ratio (lagged)	0.692	(3.75)	0.535	(4.94)	0.156	(1.07)	1.390	(5.89)	1.016	(6.30)	0.376	(2.26)	0.840	(3.76)	0.458	(3.45)	0.385	(2.10)
Population Density [log]							-0.132	(6.54)	-0.088	(5.54)	-0.046	(3.11)	-0.129	(4.47)	-0.036	(1.61)	-0.091	(4.03)
Suburb							0.315	(5.97)	0.163	(4.91)	0.152	(4.57)	0.361	(7.70)	0.209	(6.93)	0.152	(4.66)
Entrepreneurs													0.020	(2.51)	0.011	(1.96)	0.009	(1.67)
Industrial Employment													-0.007	(3.24)	-0.005	(3.54)	-0.002	(1.32)
Agricult. Employment													0.009	(1.13)	0.016	(5.70)	-0.012	(3.51)
University Educated													0.003	(0.27)	0.013	(1.79)	-0.010	(1.03)
Catholics													-0.006	(7.40)	-0.007	(9.22)	-0.001	(1.38)
Retirees													0.021	(3.29)	-0.005	(1.00)	0.026	(4.78)
Romany Minority													0.079	(1.26)	0.186	(4.07)	-0.111	(2.37)
Dummy 1993	-0.175	(3.71)	-0.097	(2.25)	-0.078	(2.75)	-0.161	(3.73)	-0.088	(2.27)	-0.073	(2.44)	-0.121	(3.29)	-0.043	(1.36)	-0.078	(2.53)
Dummy 1994	-0.254	(5.71)	-0.262	(6.44)	0.009	(0.33)	-0.226	(5.44)	-0.243	(6.54)	0.016	(0.62)	-0.203	(5.47)	-0.211	(6.72)	0.010	(0.31)
Dummy 1995	-0.275	(6.13)	-0.293	(7.36)	0.018	(0.66)	-0.229	(5.35)	-0.261	(7.16)	0.033	(1.11)	-0.229	(6.26)	-0.283	(10.09)	0.055	(1.85)
Dummy 1996	-0.328	(6.97)	-0.364	(9.31)	0.037	(1.21)	-0.284	(6.32)	-0.334	(9.36)	0.052	(1.59)	-0.293	(7.60)	-0.360	(12.79)	0.066	(2.13)
Dummy 1997	-0.249	(4.93)	-0.339	(8.80)	0.090	(2.63)	-0.195	(4.10)	-0.302	(8.32)	0.107	(3.09)	-0.215	(5.10)	-0.292	(9.44)	0.076	(2.27)
Dummy 1998	-0.146	(2.28)	-0.287	(7.35)	0.142	(2.93)	-0.102	(1.77)	-0.257	(6.94)	0.156	(3.32)	-0.193	(3.84)	-0.267	(7.41)	0.074	(1.75)
Adj. R ²	0.170		0.311		0.099		0.348		0.403		0.182		0.536		0.612		0.262	

Notes: Number of observations: 526 (76 districts, average population 135,900 in 1994). T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variables are the gross inflow and outflow rates and net inflow rate as a percentage of the region's end-year population, respectively. The unemployment rate, the wage ratio and population density are lagged by one year. The unemployment rate and the wage ratio are lagged by one year. Entrepreneurs, Roman Catholics, retirees, university educated and the Romany minority are expressed as the percentage of district population. Employment in the industry and agriculture is the percentage of total employment.

Table 3 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Slovakia 1992 - 1996

	Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows		Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows		Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows	
	(10)	(11)	(11)	(12)	(12)	(13)	(13)	(14)	(14)	(15)	(15)	(16)	(16)	(17)	(17)	(18)	(18)	
Constant	0.019	(0.10)	0.481	(3.23)	-0.464	(2.57)	-0.080	(0.54)	0.444	(3.47)	-0.526	(3.15)	-0.895	(4.21)	0.174	(1.03)	-1.077	(4.90)
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	-0.004	(1.13)	-0.001	(0.27)	-0.003	(1.37)	-0.004	(1.74)	-0.001	(0.33)	-0.003	(1.60)	-0.006	(2.29)	0.000	(0.15)	-0.006	(2.18)
Wage Ratio (lagged)	0.878	(5.37)	0.428	(3.25)	0.451	(2.74)	0.957	(5.08)	0.298	(2.00)	0.664	(3.73)	0.562	(3.20)	0.263	(1.58)	0.305	(1.92)
Population Density [log]							0.000	(0.02)	0.031	(2.03)	-0.032	(1.35)	0.006	(0.22)	0.077	(3.82)	-0.072	(3.23)
Suburb							0.547	(7.92)	0.388	(12.68)	0.156	(3.02)	0.499	(7.67)	0.323	(9.12)	0.173	(3.57)
Entrepreneurs													0.043	(3.78)	0.013	(1.45)	0.030	(3.19)
Industrial Employment													0.005	(2.14)	-0.004	(2.68)	0.008	(4.11)
Agricult. Employment													0.007	(3.55)	0.000	(0.14)	0.007	(4.50)
University Educated													0.017	(2.01)	-0.018	(2.33)	0.035	(5.06)
Catholics													-0.001	(0.99)	-0.002	(2.53)	0.001	(0.98)
Retirees													0.038	(10.55)	0.020	(5.93)	0.018	(4.89)
Hungarian Minority													0.001	(0.77)	-0.002	(3.81)	0.002	(4.04)
Romany Minority													0.026	(3.67)	-0.002	(0.32)	0.028	(3.36)
Dummy 1993	-0.001	(0.03)	-0.103	(3.37)	0.101	(2.84)	0.002	(0.05)	-0.106	(4.52)	0.110	(3.15)	-0.016	(0.58)	-0.103	(5.25)	0.092	(3.08)
Dummy 1994	-0.102	(2.23)	-0.253	(7.65)	0.149	(4.59)	-0.100	(2.82)	-0.255	(10.85)	0.154	(4.87)	-0.098	(3.93)	-0.256	(12.04)	0.158	(6.41)
Dummy 1995	-0.191	(4.12)	-0.303	(9.20)	0.116	(3.95)	-0.187	(5.26)	-0.313	(13.12)	0.126	(4.34)	-0.186	(7.12)	-0.316	(14.48)	0.126	(5.49)
Dummy 1996	-0.200	(4.17)	-0.319	(10.11)	0.119	(3.44)	-0.197	(5.56)	-0.323	(14.26)	0.127	(3.92)	-0.206	(7.22)	-0.325	(15.64)	0.119	(4.57)
Adj. R ²	0.277		0.507		0.157		0.571		0.734		0.213		0.737		0.787		0.436	

Notes: Number of observations: 190 (38 districts, average population 141,300 in 1995). T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variables are the gross inflow and outflow rates and net inflow rate as a percentage of the region's end-year population, respectively. The unemployment rate and wage ratio are lagged by one year. Entrepreneurs, Roman Catholics, retirees, university educated and national minorities are expressed as the percentage of district population. Employment in the industry and agriculture is the percentage of total employment.

Table 4 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Poland 1992–1993 and 1996–1997

	Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows		Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows		Inflows		Outflows		Net Inflows	
					(1)						(2)						(3)	
Constant	1.342	(7.89)	1.637	(9.62)	-0.541	-4.90	2.049	(14.35)	2.450	(20.91)	-0.760	-6.97	2.253	(7.66)	2.296	(9.14)	0.026	0.24
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	0.018	(6.21)	0.022	(7.34)	-0.008	-5.25	0.008	(1.36)	0.008	(2.52)	-0.008	-2.52	0.001	(0.48)	0.008	(1.95)	-0.008	-6.09
Wage Ratio (lagged)	-0.221	(1.49)	-0.503	(3.49)	0.591	6.13	0.714	(5.42)	0.571	(5.29)	0.301	3.34	0.690	(4.31)	0.754	(6.17)	-0.141	-2.38
Population density [log]							-0.307	(16.07)	-0.358	(20.17)	0.098	7.03	-0.297	(9.83)	-0.298	(10.83)	0.001	0.09
Entrepreneurs [%]													-0.014	(0.82)	-0.024	(1.74)	0.017	2.75
Industrial Employment													-0.004	(0.99)	-0.008	(2.10)	0.007	4.21
Agricult. Employment													-0.003	(1.50)	-0.004	(2.02)	0.000	0.42
University Educated													-0.008	(1.07)	-0.024	(3.40)	0.032	8.14
Retirees													0.007	(0.92)	0.017	(2.50)	-0.020	-6.82
Dummy 1993	-0.098	(2.29)	-0.178	(3.88)	0.018	0.76	-0.068	(2.40)	-0.138	(5.45)	0.008	0.31	-0.062	(2.27)	-0.138	(5.93)	0.014	1.19
Dummy 1996	-0.228	(5.50)	-0.311	(7.17)	0.051	2.41	-0.167	(5.94)	-0.241	(9.19)	0.032	1.64	-0.160	(5.57)	-0.241	(9.44)	0.047	3.98
Dummy 1997	-0.270	(6.94)	-0.298	(7.12)	0.040	1.94	-0.237	(8.17)	-0.260	(10.44)	0.030	1.52	-0.238	(7.96)	-0.260	(10.74)	0.037	2.89
Adj. R ²	0.288		0.377		0.372		0.668		0.781		0.478		0.658		0.807		0.812	

Notes: Number of observations: (49 districts, average population 788,600 in 1996). T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variable is the net inflow rate as a percentage of the region's end-year population. The unemployment rate and wage ratio are lagged by one year. The entrepreneurs, retirees, industrial employment and agricultural employment refer to 1993, population density is as of 1994 and the percentage of district residents with university degree is as of 1996. Entrepreneurs, retirees and university educated are expressed as the percentage of district population. Employment in the industry and agriculture is the percentage of total employment.

Data for 1994 and 1995 were not available. Results obtained with separate regressions over 1992-93 and 1996-97 were similar to those above and are therefore not reported.

Table 5 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Hungary 1994–1998

	Net Inflows		Net Inflows		Net Inflows	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	2.364	4.51	0.685	3.48	0.877	2.10
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	-0.065	-5.11	-0.025	-5.75	-0.040	-8.56
Wage Ratio (lagged)	-1.668	-3.95	0.832	2.62	0.680	1.99
Population Density [log]			-0.254	-7.31	0.002	0.03
Dummy Pest			1.418	27.49	1.124	10.29
Entrepreneurs [%]					-0.004	-0.41
Industrial Employment					-0.001	-0.17
Agricult. Employment					0.002	0.25
University Educated					-0.101	-2.06
Retirees					-0.021	-1.94
Dummy 1995	-0.085	-0.86	-0.021	-0.54	-0.047	-1.14
Dummy 1996	-0.121	-1.19	-0.043	-1.03	-0.071	-1.61
Dummy 1997	-0.066	-0.63	0.002	0.05	-0.021	-0.51
Dummy 1998	-0.103	-0.93	-0.015	-0.39	-0.041	-1.04
Adj. R ²	0.210		0.888		0.906	

Notes: Number of observations: 100 (20 districts, average population 512,300 in 1995). T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variable is the net inflow rate as a percentage of the region's end-year population. The unemployment rate and wage ratio are lagged by one year. The entrepreneurs, retirees, industrial employment and agricultural employment refer to 1995, while the population density is as of 1994. Entrepreneurs, retirees and university educated are expressed as the percentage of district population. Employment in the industry and agriculture is the percentage of total employment.

Table 6 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Lithuania 1997–1999

	Gross Inflow		Gross Outflow		Net Inflow	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	2.936	(10.17)	2.410	(9.99)	0.527	(3.09)
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	-0.107	(0.96)	-0.066	(0.71)	-0.041	(0.63)
Wage Ratio (lagged)	70.074	(0.86)	50.640	(0.74)	19.434	(0.41)
Population Density [log]	-0.123	(3.65)	-0.040	(1.43)	-0.083	(4.17)
Suburb	0.506	(3.97)	0.229	(2.15)	0.277	(3.68)
Dummy 1998	-0.435	(1.82)	-0.351	(1.75)	-0.084	(0.60)
Dummy 1999	-0.468		-0.507		0.040	
Adj. R ²	0.298		0.228		0.186	

Notes: Number of observations: 168 (56 districts, average population 66,300 in 1996). T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variables are the gross inflow and outflow rates and the net inflow rate, as percentages of the region's end-year population. The unemployment rate, wage ratio and population density are lagged by one year.

Table 7 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Italy 1984-1995

	Gross Inflow		Gross Outflow		Net Inflow	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	0.756	(4.41)	0.683	(3.55)	0.073	(0.53)
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	-0.006	(2.26)	0.018	(5.99)	-0.023	(13.16)
Wage Ratio (lagged)	0.785	(5.57)	0.100	(0.56)	0.684	(5.78)
Population Density [log]	-0.170	(7.25)	-0.076	(3.58)	-0.094	(7.17)
R ²	0.401		0.241		0.645	

Notes: Number of observations: 219 (20 regions, average population 2,863,400 in 1995). The observation for *Valle d'Aosta* in 1994 was dropped because of missing data; all observations pertaining to 1990 were dropped because of data problems. T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variables are the gross inflow and outflow rates and the net inflow rate, as percentages of the region's end-year population. The unemployment rate, wage ratio and population density are lagged by one year.

Table 8 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Spain 1984-1994

	Gross Inflow		Gross Outflow		Net Inflow	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	11.298	(6.35)	9.647	(5.15)	1.650	(1.04)
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	-0.113	(2.40)	-0.058	(1.35)	-0.055	(1.63)
Wage Ratio (lagged)	-3.132	(1.97)	-0.267	(0.16)	-2.865	(2.18)
Population Density [log]	-0.084	(0.40)	-0.589	(2.83)	0.505	(3.03)
R ²	0.045		0.062		0.045	

Notes: Number of observations: 187 (17 regions, average population 2,293,650 in 1994). Observations for *Ceuta* y *Melilla* were dropped. T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variables are the gross inflow and outflow rates and the net inflow rate, as percentages of the region's end-year population. The unemployment rate, wage ratio and population density are lagged by one year.

Table 9 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Portugal 1987-1992

	Gross Inflow		Gross Outflow		Net Inflow	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	-0.786	(1.36)	2.583	(2.54)	-3.369	(2.93)
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	-0.026	(2.22)	0.081	(1.22)	-0.107	(1.67)
Wage Ratio (lagged)	2.692	(4.01)	-1.487	(0.93)	4.179	(2.41)
Population Density [log]	-0.278	(4.07)	-0.240	(1.41)	-0.0378	(0.22)
R ²	0.446		0.364		0.394	

Notes: Number of observations: 32 (7 regions, average population 1,408,610 in 1992). Several observations pertaining to 1987 and 1988 were dropped because of missing wage or unemployment data. T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variables are the gross inflow and outflow rates and the net inflow rate, as percentages of the region's end-year population. The unemployment rate, wage ratio and population density are lagged by one year.

Table 10 Determinants of Inter-regional Migration: Netherlands 1989–1995

	Gross Inflow		Gross Outflow		Net Inflow	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	5.921	(3.11)	5.886	(3.07)	0.037	(0.05)
Unempl. Rate (lagged)	0.070	(2.47)	0.044	(1.61)	0.026	(2.28)
Wage Ratio (lagged)	-3.410	(1.89)	-3.397	(1.74)	-0.014	(0.02)
Population Density [log]	-0.217	(2.82)	-0.182	(2.25)	-0.036	(1.43)
Adj. R ²	0.174		0.097		0.115	

Notes: Number of observations: 77 (11 provinces, average population 1,378,300 in 1995). All observations for *Flevoland* were dropped because of data problems. T-statistics (heteroskedasticity robust) are reported in parentheses. The dependent variables are the gross inflow and outflow rates and the net inflow rate, as percentages of the region's end-year population. The unemployment rate, wage ratio and population density are lagged by one year.

2008		
B01-08	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame „Wirtschaftsregierung“	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
2007		
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutional Basis of a European Referendum.	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
2006		
B03-06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluctuations	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang</i>
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	<i>Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	<i>Gabriel González-König</i>
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicore World?	<i>Christian Volpe Martincus, Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Dinger</i>
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	<i>Panu Poutvaara</i>
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	<i>Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle</i>
B01-05	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru</i>
2004		
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Tanner M. Yigit</i>
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries: A Multinomial Panel Analysis	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Analysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglichkeiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem	<i>Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger</i>
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Causes	<i>Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B27-04	Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	<i>Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz</i>
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Union with the EU	<i>Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz</i>
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	<i>Lucjan T. Orlowski</i>
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B22-04	Non-Discretionary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?	<i>Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft</i>
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage and Employment Effects in Business R+D	<i>Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho</i>
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfassungsvertrag	<i>Martin Seidel</i>

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in an Enlarged EMU	<i>Iulia Traistaru</i>
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	<i>Sübüdey Togan, Hasan Ersel</i>
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	<i>Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B15-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Explain Local Patterns?	<i>Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B14-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	<i>Jiri Jonas</i>
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Countries	<i>Sami Yläoutinen</i>
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Integration	<i>Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activities: Evidence from MERCOSUR	<i>Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition Countries	<i>Laura Resmini</i>
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Sovereign Bond Markets: A Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	<i>Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Convergence	<i>Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B07-04	The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to Human Well-being in Africa	<i>Mina Balamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	<i>Mina Balamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	<i>Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Operations Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	<i>Ulrich Bindseil</i>
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications for EU Enlargement	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok Yang</i>
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Beitrittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
2003		
B29-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann</i>
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit</i>
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version of International Finance)	<i>Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen</i>
B26-03	Sovereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	<i>Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Hagen, Ludger Schulknecht</i>
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	<i>Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru</i>
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	<i>Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann</i>
B23-03	Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Patterns: Evidence from Mercosur	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe Martincus</i>
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for Inter-Firm Communication	<i>William Pyle</i>
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence from Asia and the Americas	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi</i>
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Primacy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	<i>Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed A. Alwosabi</i>

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on Export of Ukrainian Firms	<i>Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talavera, Sang-Min Park</i>
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	<i>Mihails Hazans</i>
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and Policy Challenges	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	<i>Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans</i>
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	<i>Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann</i>
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there a Puzzle?	<i>Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann</i>
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	<i>Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic</i>
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zentralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine rechtliche Analyse	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	<i>Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík</i>
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analysis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European Monetary Union	<i>Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger</i>
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Exchange Rate Regimes Play?	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und Prioritäten für die Zukunft	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the Stability and Growth Pact	<i>Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles Converging?	<i>Michael Massmann, James Mitchell</i>
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	<i>Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talavera</i>
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	<i>Stefan H. Lutz</i>
B02-03	Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	<i>Mihails Hazans</i>
B01-03	Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und politischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
2002		
B30-02	An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance	<i>Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel</i>
B29B-02	Trade Agreements as Self-protection	<i>Jennifer Pédussel Wu</i>
B29A-02	Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B28-02	Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B27-02	Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	<i>Debajyoti Chakrabarty</i>
B26-02	Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candidate Countries	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B25-02	Trade Policy: <i>Institutional Vs. Economic</i> Factors	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B24-02	The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	<i>Stefan Lutz</i>
B23-02	Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B22-02	Der Staat als <i>Lender of Last Resort</i> - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	<i>Otto Steiger</i>
B21-02	Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Transition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit</i>
B20-02	The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers on Russian Financial Markets	<i>Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan</i>

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transition Countries	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff</i>
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries	<i>Laura Resmini</i>
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	<i>Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Simonetta Longhi</i>
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	<i>Matthias Brückner, Andreas Schaber</i>
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	<i>Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch</i>
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	<i>Jiri Jonas</i>
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	<i>Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger</i>
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	<i>Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo</i>
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou</i>
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi-Country Evidence	<i>Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou</i>
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	<i>Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo</i>
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou</i>
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	<i>Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz</i>
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	<i>Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	<i>Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Kutan, Michael L. Wyzan</i>
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Returns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	<i>Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	<i>Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	<i>Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	<i>Matthias Brückner</i>
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With That of the European Central Bank	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B20-01	An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	<i>Anna Rubinchik-Pessach</i>
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evidence	<i>R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan</i>

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central Bank in the Early Years	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias Brückner</i>
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline in Latin American and Caribbean Countries	<i>Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier</i>
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European Union	<i>Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD	<i>Christian E. Weller</i>
B14-01	Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experience and Possible Lessons for EMU	<i>Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Challenges	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch</i>
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets. Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wage Setters	<i>Lilia Cavallar</i>
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and Empirical Evidence	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol</i>
B09-01	Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the Wheels of Growth?	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne Mundschenk</i>
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate Countries and the European Union	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial Markets	<i>Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda. The Future of Banking.	<i>Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	<i>Rafael di Tella, Robert J. McCulloch</i>
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from Surveys of Happiness	<i>Rafael di Tella, Robert J. McCulloch, Andrew J. Oswald</i>
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thirty	<i>Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Hagen</i>
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Policy	<i>Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec</i>
2000		
B20-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside	<i>Etienne Farvaque</i>
B19-00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, general Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	<i>Jens Hölscher</i>
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	<i>Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner, Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser</i>
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Gemeinschaftsrechts	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	<i>Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso Padoa-Schioppa</i>
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Federation	<i>Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp</i>
B14-00	Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Economies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	<i>Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B13-00	Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Economies Reconsidered	<i>Nauro F. Campos</i>

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitlichen Europäischen Wahrung	<i>Martin Seidel</i>
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Economies	<i>Lucjan T. Orłowski</i>
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	<i>Marc Hallerberg</i>
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert McCulloch</i>
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	<i>Kenneth Kletzer</i>
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	<i>Deutsch-Franzosisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	<i>Bernd Hayo</i>
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition	<i>Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	<i>Christa Randzio-Plath</i>
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	<i>Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc</i>
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	<i>Josef C. Brada, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	<i>Kenneth Kletzer, Jurgen von Hagen</i>
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	<i>Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini</i>
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	<i>Bernd Hayo</i>
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	<i>Robert McCulloch</i>
B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert McCulloch</i>
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	<i>Rafael Di Tella, Robert McCulloch</i>
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	<i>Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan</i>
B20-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	<i>Helmut Seitz</i>
B19-99	The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies	<i>Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada</i>
B18-99	Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?	<i>Christian E. Weller, Bernard Morzuch</i>
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	<i>Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc</i>
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	<i>Christian E. Weller and Mark J. Scher</i>
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Circumstances or Structural Weakness?	<i>Christian E. Weller</i>
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	<i>Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock</i>
B13-99	Financial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?	<i>Christian E. Weller and Jurgen von Hagen</i>
B12-99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	<i>Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian</i>
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	<i>Deutsch-Franzosisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum</i>
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland	<i>Christian Weller</i>
B09-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning	<i>Volker Wieland</i>
B08-99	The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	<i>Christian Weller</i>

- B07-99 **Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evidence from the OECD** *Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-Uwe Wächter*
- B06-99 **Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach** *Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-Uwe Wächter*
- B05-99 **Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria** *Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch*
- B04-99 **The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evidence for Poland from Panel Data** *Christian Weller*
- B03-99 **The Macroeconomics of Happiness** *Rafael Di Tella, Robert McCulloch and Andrew J. Oswald*
- B02-99 **The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data** *Rafael Di Tella and Robert McCulloch*
- B01-99 **The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?** *Robert B.H. Hauswald*
- 1998**
- B16-98 **Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B15-98 **Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?** *Stefan Lutz*
- B14-98 **Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU-Russian Trade** *Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell*
- B13-98 **Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing** *Jürgen von Hagen*
- B12-98 **Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nominal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero** *Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland*
- B11A-98 **Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanzlage" der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU** *Rolf Strauch*
- B11-98 **Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Study of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997** *Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas*
- B10-98 **Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union** *Martin Seidel*
- B09-98 **U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB** *Robert L. Hetzel*
- B08-98 **Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Analysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)** *Bernd Hayo*
- B07-98 **Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: Some Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program** *John W. Maxwell*
- B06-98 **Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues** *Kenneth Kletzer*
- B05-98 **Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)** *Bernd Hayo*
- B04-98 **The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B03-98 **Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System** *Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender*
- B02-98 **Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality** *Jaleel Ahmad*
- B01-98 **Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline** *Jürgen von Hagen*
- 1997**
- B04-97 **Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Insurance or Federalism?** *Kenneth Kletzer*
- B-03-97 **Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunications: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry** *Tom Lyon / John Mayo*
- B02-97 **Employment and EMU** *Deutsch-Französisches Wirtschaftspolitisches Forum*
- B01-97 **A Stability Pact for Europe** *(a Forum organized by ZEI)*

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung
Center for European Integration Studies
Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3
D-53113 Bonn
Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732
Fax: +49-228-73-1809
www.zei.de