

Blanchflower, David G.; Oswald, Andrew J.

Working Paper

The wage curve: an entry written for the new palgrave, 2nd edition

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 2138

Provided in Cooperation with:

IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Blanchflower, David G.; Oswald, Andrew J. (2006) : The wage curve: an entry written for the new palgrave, 2nd edition, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 2138, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/34176>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

IZA DP No. 2138

**The Wage Curve:
An Entry Written for the New Palgrave,
2nd Edition**

David G. Blanchflower
Andrew J. Oswald

May 2006

The Wage Curve: An Entry Written for the New Palgrave, 2nd Edition

David G. Blanchflower

*Dartmouth College, NBER
and IZA Bonn*

Andrew J. Oswald

*University of Warwick
and IZA Bonn*

Discussion Paper No. 2138
May 2006

IZA

P.O. Box 7240
53072 Bonn
Germany

Phone: +49-228-3894-0
Fax: +49-228-3894-180
Email: iza@iza.org

Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the institute. Research disseminated by IZA may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent nonprofit company supported by Deutsche Post World Net. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its research networks, research support, and visitors and doctoral programs. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

ABSTRACT

The Wage Curve: An Entry Written for the New Palgrave, 2nd Edition *

This paper summarizes evidence for the existence of a wage curve – a downward-sloping relationship between the level of pay and the local unemployment rate – in modern micro data. At the time of writing, the curve has been found in 40 nations. Its elasticity is approximately -0.1.

JEL Classification: J3, J4, J6, E24, E31

Keywords: wage determination, unemployment, wage curve, Phillips curve

Corresponding author:

Andrew J. Oswald
Department of Economics
University of Warwick
Coventry CV4 7AL
United Kingdom
Email: andrew.oswald@warwick.ac.uk

* We thank Bruce Weinberg for helpful suggestions.

The Wage Curve: An Entry Written for the New Palgrave, 2nd Edition

The wage curve is a statistical regularity or empirical ‘law’ of economics. It traces out, as in Figure 1, a downward-sloping relationship between wages and local unemployment. The curve’s elasticity is approximately -0.1.

As an example, consider two regions within a country. Assume Region A’s unemployment rate is double that in Region B. The wage-curve finding then states that a worker’s wage will then be 10% lower in Region A than the wage of an identical worker in Region B.

To understand the wage curve’s place in intellectual history, it is useful to go back to one of the oldest questions in economics, namely, that of how the price of labor is affected by the unemployment rate. Following an empirical tradition begun by the New Zealand economist A.W. Phillips (1958), this issue has traditionally been studied with aggregate time-series methods. Although its robustness is still questioned, the Phillips Curve, which is a relationship between wage growth and unemployment, has become part of the bedrock of macroeconomics textbooks. Sargan (1964) pointed out that it was possible to view the Phillips Curve as being consistent with a steady-state solution where the level of pay depends on the level of unemployment.

Blanchflower and Oswald (in, for example, 1994 and 1995) were among the first to argue instead for the use of microeconomic data in such a setting. Their book does not study the Phillips Curve, nor use aggregate data. Instead, using samples of individual workers, the authors’ work documents the existence of a logarithmic curve -- what physicists would call a power law -- linking the level of the wage to the unemployment rate in the local area. Their book’s conclusion is that, in sixteen nations, including the United States, the data are well described by a wage curve with an unemployment elasticity of approximately -0.1.

Since then, those conclusions have been checked, and largely replicated, by other researchers and on different nations’ data. Examples include Hodinott (1996) for the Cote d’Ivoire; Janssens and Konings (1998) for Belgium; Sabin (1999) for China; Bellmann and Blien (2001) for Germany; and Garcia-Mainar and Montuenga-Gomez (2003) for Spain. A recent study by Sanz-de-Galdeano and Turunen (2006) has used a large longitudinal data set on workers across the Euro Area and, once again, obtained a similar elasticity.

Evidence for a wage-curve pattern has been found in more than 40 countries. Its existence in the United States, however, is currently viewed as somewhat more controversial. One reason is that Blanchard and Katz (1997) argue for a Phillips Curve, rather than a wage curve, in United States data. Staiger, Stock and Watson (2002) and Card and Hyslop (1997) also report a high level of auto-regression in U.S. wages. In contrast, Hines, Hoynes and Krueger (2001) conclude that a wage curve specification has a more natural theoretical interpretation and fits the data (hours as well as wages) better than the Phillips Curve specification. Hines et al (2001) produce evidence of wage curves using annual and hourly earnings from the 1977-2000 March Current Population Survey files. The authors also uncover wage curves in the Panel Study of Income Dynamics. Using the PSID, Hines et al suggest that a 3 percentage point decline in the unemployment rate is associated with a 4 per cent increase in real wages, which translates into an elasticity similar to

the Blanchflower-Oswald number. Recently, Blanchflower and Oswald (2005) returned to the topic of the wage curve, and, in modern US data, argued that the United States has a long-run wage curve with the usual elasticity of -0.1 but that their previous book should have paid more attention to the high degree of auto-regression in US state wages.

The wage curve seems relevant beyond its implications for labor economics. First, macroeconomic analysis has for some decades stressed the need for microeconomic foundations. Second, some macroeconomics textbooks make extensive theoretical use of a wage curve (at the aggregate level), but do not provide evidence for it.

Wage curves have been reported for Argentina, Australia, Austria, Belarus, Belgium, Brazil, Bulgaria, Burkina Faso, Canada, Chile, China, Côte d'Ivoire, Czech Republic, Denmark, East Germany, Estonia, Finland, France, Great Britain/UK, Holland, Hungary, India, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, New Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, Slovakia, Slovenia, South Africa, South Korea, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Taiwan, Turkey, USA, and West Germany. These studies are summarized in Blanchflower and Oswald (2005). A meta-analysis - on a sample of 208 wage/unemployment wage curve elasticities from the literature -- by Nijkamp and Poot (2005) concludes that

"the wage curve is a robust empirical phenomenon ... but there is ... evidence of publication bias. There is indeed an uncorrected mean estimate of about -0.1 for the elasticity. After controlling for publication bias by means of two different methods, we estimate that the 'true' wage curve elasticity at the means of study characteristics is about -0.07".


Why the wage curve exists, however, is not so well-understood. One way to rationalize such a pattern is to appeal to non-competitive theories of the labor market -- for example to the idea of a no-shirking condition or a Nash bargaining-power locus. According to this kind of analytical framework, high local unemployment makes life tougher for workers (because, for example, they will find it harder to obtain work if laid off by their current employer), and therefore it is not necessary for employers to remunerate them so generously. The wage curve is then potentially an important element of a theory of equilibrium in the labor market such as in Shapiro and Stiglitz (1984) or Pissarides (2000).

Whatever the correct theoretical interpretation, new empirical results continue to emerge. Even in South Africa, where unemployment rates have run as high as 30%, Kingdon and Knight (2006) recently concluded that there is a wage curve with an elasticity of -0.1. Although its conceptual foundations will go on being debated, and more research, especially for the United States, is required, the wage curve appears to be a pattern that holds in many nations.

Figure 1

The Wage Curve

wage



**local
unemployment
rate**

References

- Bellmann, L. and U. Blien (2001), "Wage curve analyses of establishment data from Western Germany", Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 54 (4), 2001, 851-63.
- Blanchard, O. and L.F. Katz (1997), "What we know and do not know about the natural rate of unemployment", Journal of Economic Perspectives, Winter, 11(1), 51-72.
- Blanchflower, D.G. and A.J. Oswald (1994), The wage curve, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Blanchflower, D.G. and A.J. Oswald (1995), "An introduction to the wage curve", Journal of Economic Perspectives, Summer, 9(3), 153-167.
- Blanchflower, D.G. and A.J. Oswald (2005), "The wage curve reloaded", National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper #11338, Cambridge, MA.
- Card, D. and D. Hyslop (1997), "Does inflation 'grease the wheels' of the labor market?", in Reducing inflation: motivation and strategy, edited by C.D. Romer and D.H. Romer, NBER Studies in Business Cycles, vol. 30. University of Chicago Press, Chicago and London.
- Garcia-Mainar, I. and V. Montuenga-Gomez (2003), "The Spanish wage curve: 1994-1996", Regional Studies, 37 (9), 929-45.
- Hines, J., H. Hoynes and A.B. Krueger (2001), "Another look at whether a rising tide lifts all boats", in The roaring nineties: Can full employment be sustained? edited by A.B. Krueger and R.M. Solow. Russell Sage Foundation, New York.
- Hoddinott, J. (1996), "Wages and unemployment in an urban African labour market", Economic Journal, 106, 1610-1626.
- Janssens, S. and J. Konings (1998), "One more wage curve: the case of Belgium", Economics Letters, 60, 223-227.
- Kingdon, G.G. and Knight, J. (2006), "How flexible are wages in response to local unemployment in South Africa?", Industrial and Labor Relations Review, 59, 471-495.
- Nijkamp, P. and J. Poot (2005), "The last word on the wage curve? A meta-analytic assessment", Journal of Economic Surveys, 19, 421-450.
- Phillips, A.W. (1958), "The relation between unemployment and the rate of change of money wage rates in the United Kingdom, 1861-1957", Economica, 25, 283-299.
- Pissarides, C.A. (2000), Equilibrium unemployment theory. 2nd Edition. MIT Press, Cambridge Mass.
- Sabin, L. (1999), "The development of urban labour markets: China's urban wage curve, 1980-92", Journal of Development Studies, 35(3), 134-52.

Sanz-de-Galdeano, A. and J. Turunen (2006), "The Euro Area wage curve", Economics Letters, forthcoming.

Sargan, J.D. (1964), "Wages and prices in the United Kingdom: A study in econometric methodology", in D.F. Hendry and K.F. Wallis (eds.). Econometrics and quantitative economics. 2000. Basil Blackwell, New York.

Shapiro, C. and J. Stiglitz (1984), "Equilibrium unemployment as a worker discipline device", American Economic Review, 74, 433-444.

Staiger, D., J. H. Stock and M.W. Watson (2002), "Prices, wages and the U.S. NAIRU in the 1990s", in A. Krueger and R. Solow, Eds., The roaring nineties: Can full employment be sustained?, Russell Sage Foundation, New York.