

Vasilev, Aleksandar

**Working Paper**

## The Economics of an Import Tariff in the Keynesian Model: An Intermediate-Macroeconomics Treatment

*Suggested Citation:* Vasilev, Aleksandar (2025) : The Economics of an Import Tariff in the Keynesian Model: An Intermediate-Macroeconomics Treatment, ZBW - Leibniz Information Centre for Economics, Kiel, Hamburg

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/334354>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

# The Economics of an Import Tariff in the Keynesian Model: An Intermediate-Macroeconomics Treatment

Aleksandar Vasilev\*

February 13, 2025

## Abstract

The standard textbook treatment of expansionary fiscal policy at intermediate macroeconomics level, e.g., Blanchard (2024), Burda and Wyplosz (2023), only considers taxes affecting the economy through the consumption function, by increasing the level of disposable income. Motivated by recent events - the import tariffs introduced in the US by Trump administration - in this paper we introduce such tariffs to explore how they work in the Keynesian cross framework. As expected, an increase in import tariffs stimulates aggregate demand, which is the "import substitution effect" from the trade literature. There is also a multiplier effect, which we refer to the "import tariff multiplier effect." This possible stimulus effect on the domestic (US) economy from an increase in the import tariff rate is of interest to policy-makers, and in developing countries with a public finance model organized around trade taxation, or countries that follow an export-led growth model by discouraging imports.

**Keywords:** Keynesian framework, expansionary fiscal policy, import tariff, stimulus effect

*JEL Classification:* A2, C650, E62

---

\*Senior Lecturer, Lincoln International Business School, UK. Em-mail:alvasilev@yahoo.com

# Introduction and Motivation

The standard textbook treatment of expansionary fiscal policy at intermediate macroeconomics level, e.g., Blanchard (2024), Burda and Wyplosz (2023), only considers tax changes affecting the economy through the consumption function, by changing the level of disposable income. Motivated by recent events - the import tariffs introduced in the US by Trump administration, in this paper we introduce such tariffs to explore how they work in the Keynesian cross framework. As expected, an increase in import tariffs stimulates aggregate demand, which is the "import substitution effect" from the trade literature. There is also a multiplier effect, which we refer to the "import tariff multiplier effect." This possible stimulus effect on the domestic (US) economy from an increase in the import tariff rate is of interest to policy-makers, and in developing countries with a public finance model organized around trade taxation, or countries that follow an export-led growth model by discouraging imports.

We will focus on the short- to medium run, and abstract away from deficits and debt considerations. Thus in this paper we aim to fill a clear gap in the international macroeconomics literature, and at the same time the work could be a good teaching case to students. The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Next section evaluates the effect of a the import tariff under different scenarios and assumptions on the functional forms of the main components of aggregate demand. Finally, the paper concludes with some policy recommendations and suggestions for future research.

## Model Setups

In this section, we present a battery of models, starting from the simplest case, and then extending the setup, one element at a time. The basic setup is a standard open-economy Keynesian model with import tariffs. Hence

$$Y = AE = AD = C + I + G + X - (1 - \tau)M, \tag{1}$$

where  $Y$  denotes output,  $AE$  is aggregate expenditure,  $AD$  refers to aggregate demand,  $C$  is consumption,  $I$  is investment,  $G$  is government expenditure. Note that we need to net out import tariff revenue because it is not part of real expenditure, it goes to the government.

That adds to the leakage caused by the spending on imports. In other words, when the tariff rate increases, the effective spending on imports falls.

The consumption function is standard, with  $0 < b < 1$  denoting the marginal propensity to consume (MPC), and  $T$  denoting taxes. Next, to simplify the algebra, we model the consumption function without an intercept; the presence of the intercept does not change the main results, as it only adds to the autonomous demand expenditure.

$$C = b(Y - T), \quad (2)$$

The import function is presented below, with  $0 < m < 1$  denoting the marginal propensity to import (MPI). Again, this function is modelled without an intercept; as with the consumption function, the presence of an intercept does not change the main results.

$$M = m(Y - T), \quad (3)$$

Also note that  $b > m$  due to the so called "home bias" phenomenon - that in data, most of the expenditure is domestic goods and services.

We start by postulating the case with lump-sum taxes, and exogenous  $I, G$ , and then endogenise each in turn, and as combination. To simplify the algebra further, we combine all exogenous terms into autonomous components, and call it  $ED (= I + G + X$  in this setup)

$$Y = [b - (1 - \tau)m]Y + ED \quad (4)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - [b - (1 - \tau)m]} ED \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{dY}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\{1 - [b - (1 - \tau)m]\}^2} m ED > 0 \quad (6)$$

as long as the denominator is positive, i.e.,  $1 - b + (1 - \tau)m > 0$ , which is the case, given the parameter restrictions, an increase in the import tariff rate increases aggregate demand (by discouraging spending on foreign products, and substituting towards domestic ones), and thus increases  $Y$ . In addition, we also obtain a new multiplier - the import tariff multiplier.

Is this result robust to alternative specifications? In the next scenario we endogenise income

taxes, or now  $T = tY$ ,  $0 < t < 1$ . Solving for  $Y$ :

$$Y = [b - (1 - \tau)m](1 - t)Y + ED \quad (7)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]}ED \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{dY}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\{1 - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]\}^2}(1 - t)mED > 0 \quad (9)$$

as long as the denominator is positive, which tends to be the case. Again, an increase in the import tax rate increases  $Y$ , and there is a multiplier effect, which is now smaller. (subtract less in the denominator, hence the denominator is larger, or the multiplier is smaller)

Now, as in Blanchard (2021), we endogenous investment. In particular, we focus on the dependence on output/sales, or  $I = iY$ , where  $0 < i < 1$ . This is referred to as the "augmented investment function" in Blanchard (2021). Again, for the sake of simplicity, we set the autonomous investment component to zero. Solve for output to obtain

$$Y = [b - (1 - \tau)m](1 - t)Y + iY + ED \quad (10)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - i - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]}ED \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{dY}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\{1 - i - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]\}^2}(1 - t)mED > 0 \quad (12)$$

as long as the denominator is positive. Again, an increase in the import tariff rate increases  $Y$ , and there is a multiplier effect, which is now larger. (subtract more in the denominator, hence the denominator is now smaller, hence the multiplier is larger.)

Of course, we can also endogenize government purchases (keeping investment exogenous), and make it dependent on output, or  $G = gY$ , where  $0 < g < 1$ . The interpretation is that often the size of the budget is set relative to the size of the economy, and this degree of redistribution should be below 40 percent in many EU countries. Then solving for output yields

$$Y = [b - (1 - \tau)m](1 - t)Y + gY + ED \quad (13)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - g - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]}ED \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{dY}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\{1 - g - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]\}^2}(1 - t)mED > 0 \quad (15)$$

as long as the denominator is positive, which tends to be the case. Again, an increase in the import tariff rate increases  $Y$ , and there is a multiplier effect, which - depending on how  $g$  compares to  $i$ , could be now either larger or smaller, when compared to the case with endogenous investment and exogenous government spending.

Finally, if we combine the presence of both endogenous investment, endogenous government purchases, and proportional taxes, then

$$Y = [b - (1 - \tau)m](1 - t)Y + iY + gY + ED \quad (16)$$

$$Y = \frac{1}{1 - i - g - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]}ED \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{dY}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{\{1 - i - g - (1 - t)[b - (1 - \tau)m]\}^2}(1 - t)mED > 0 \quad (18)$$

as long as the denominator is positive, which tends to be the case in data. Again, an increase in the import tariff rate increases  $Y$ , and there is a multiplier effect, which is now larger due to the combined effect from both investment and government purchases being endogenous.

## Conclusions and policy recommendations

The standard textbook treatment of expansionary fiscal policy at intermediate macroeconomics level (and specifically implemented via a tax reduction), e.g., Blanchard (2024), Burda and Wyplosz (2023), only considers tax cuts affecting the economy through the consumption function, by increasing the level of disposable income. Motivated by recent events, in this paper we introduce a tariff on imports in the Keynesian cross framework and study the effects of an increase in those taxes. As expected, an increase in the import tariff rate stimulates aggregate demand. There is also a multiplier effect, which we refer to the "import tariff multiplier effect." Our findings are novel in the macro-trade literature and could be of interest both to policy makers, as well as economists interested in economic education and teaching.

Still, we suggest the readers take the results with a grain of salt. After all, the model is ad hoc, and the calculations are back-of-an-envelope type. There is definitely need for more detailed modelling, preferably a micro-founded one, and within a general-equilibrium frame-

work - to consider retaliation by the main trading partner, and or to respond to the Lucas critique, as these simple parameters in the Keynesian models might not be structural, but determined within the model instead. For example, due to the tariffs prices may increase, if the economy is importing vital inputs, which cannot be produced domestically (yet), thus decreasing consumption and aggregate demand. Finally, in the presence of tax fraud - like smuggling the imports and thus avoiding the tariff - may undermine further any positive effects on the domestic economy from imposing a tariff on imports.

## References

- Blanchard, O., Amighini, A. and Giavazzi, F. (2024) *Macroeconomics: A European Perspective*, 4th ed. Pearson: London, UK.
- Burda, M. and C. Wyplosz (2022) *Macroeconomics: A European Text*, 8th ed. Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK.