

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Khatib, Saleh F. A.; Hendrawaty, Ernie; Bazhair, Ayman Hassan; Rahma, Ibraheem A. Abu; Al Amosh, Hamzeh

Article

Financial inclusion and the performance of banking sector in Palestine

Economies

Provided in Cooperation with:

MDPI - Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, Basel

Suggested Citation: Khatib, Saleh F. A.; Hendrawaty, Ernie; Bazhair, Ayman Hassan; Rahma, Ibraheem A. Abu; Al Amosh, Hamzeh (2022): Financial inclusion and the performance of banking sector in Palestine, Economies, ISSN 2227-7099, MDPI, Basel, Vol. 10, Iss. 10, pp. 1-15, https://doi.org/10.3390/economies10100247

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/328547

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.







Article

Financial Inclusion and the Performance of Banking Sector in Palestine

Saleh F. A. Khatib ^{1,*}, Ernie Hendrawaty ², Ayman Hassan Bazhair ³, Ibraheem A. Abu Rahma ⁴ and Hamzeh Al Amosh ^{5,*}

- ¹ Azman Hashim International Business School, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Johor Bahru 81310, Malaysia
- Department of Management in Economic and Business Faculty, University of Lampung, Bandar Lampung 35141, Indonesia
- Department of Economic and Finance, Faculty of Business Administration College, Taif University, Taif 21944, Saudi Arabia
- ⁴ Business Administration Department, Faculty of Administrative and Financial Sciences, Gaza University, P.O. Box 1113, Gaza P920, Palestine
- ⁵ Ministry of Education and Higher Education Qatar, Doha 35111, Qatar
- * Correspondence: f1991@graduate.utm.my (S.F.A.K.); hamza_omosh@yahoo.com (H.A.A.); Tel.: +60-111-773-6582 (S.F.A.K.)

Abstract: Despite evidence on the social and economic importance of financial inclusion (FI), the relationship between FI and bank profitability remains unclear. In this research, we evaluated the association between financial inclusion and the performance of banks in Palestine using dynamic panel analysis applied to a sample of 11 banks, with two econometric models representing profitability indicators over a nine-year period (2012–2020). In addition to linear regression models, the generalized method of moments estimator was utilized. The results showed that access to financial services (e.g., the number of automated teller machines (ATMs) and the number of bank branches), service delivery (including the average costs to maintain a current account), and the quality of the products improve banks' profitability. However, point-of-sale terminals have no impact on profitability. Additionally, financial service utilization reflected in bank account number sand credit to small and medium-sized enterprises do not affect bank profitability, and among bank-specific variables, the nonperforming loan ratios, the cost-to-income ratios, and liquidity were found to be the main drivers of profitability. Policymakers in Palestine must prioritize FI by adopting rules that encourage lending to practices of financial institutions.

Keywords: bank performance; Palestine; ATMs; branches; financial inclusion



Citation: Khatib, Saleh F. A., Ernie Hendrawaty, Ayman Hassan Bazhair, Ibraheem A. Abu Rahma, and Hamzeh Al Amosh. 2022. Financial Inclusion and the Performance of Banking Sector in Palestine. *Economies* 10: 247. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/economies10100247

Academic Editor: Robert Czudaj

Received: 17 August 2022 Accepted: 26 September 2022 Published: 9 October 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Financial inclusion refers to the availability and utilization of affordable and useful financial products and services provided by businesses or individuals to meet needs, such as transactions, credit payments, and savings, and the delivery of these services to them in a responsible and sustainable manner. It has been suggested that better inclusion improves the effectiveness and availability of financial services while being a safe, convenient, secure, and cost-effective approach (Vo and Nguyen 2021; Ikram and Lohdi 2015). There is growing evidence that progress in financial inclusion enhances financial stability and contributes to banks' economic growth, financial efficiency, and performance. The government also immensely benefits from increased local economic activities that decrease economic inequality at the macroeconomic level (Chikalipah 2017). Despite an increased focus on the development of initiatives to promote FI in Asian countries, fostering it continues to present challenges in the region (Marcelin et al. 2022; Le et al. 2019). Policymakers have recently focused on attracting the "unbanked" populations into existing financial systems. A lack of access to finance has been found to have adverse effects on economic growth

Economies 2022, 10, 247 2 of 15

and the reduction of poverty. In low-development markets, populations face difficulties accumulating savings, investing in a project generating income, and building their assessment approach to minimize risk. Khatib et al. (2021b) highlighted several advantages of low financial constraints, as they encourage entrepreneurial individuals to positively contribute to growth by investing more and taking risks. Their study showed that entrepreneurship has a large influence on per capita GDP (Neaime and Gaysset 2018). In Middle East countries, including Palestine, there has not been success in decreasing the presence of financial exclusion and poverty despite the expansion of bank branches and the increasing presence of microfinance institutions. Limited accessibility to essential financial services remains a significant barrier for populations in these countries. Policymakers are increasingly recognizing the limitations that exist for banks to reach underprivileged populations despite significant growth in profitability and efficiency. Therefore, we examined the interrelationship between FI and bank performance in this study.

Palestine is a developing nation with an emerging economy that exhibits certain characteristics that set it apart from other economies (Awad and Al Karaki 2019). Among these characteristics is a lack of local currency and a reliance on the three main currencies of the Israeli shekel, Jordanian dinar, and the US dollar. Additionally, no effective strategy has been developed by Palestinian officials to consistently redirect resources from unproductive to productive sectors, thus lowering unemployment and increasing aggregate demand and GDP growth in the long term. In Palestine, small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) are essential for enhancing the GDP, lowering unemployment, and encouraging domestic investment. These firms account for nearly 99% of Palestinian businesses and 82% of all jobs. According to the 2018 Establishment Census, there were 151,066 enterprises in Palestine, with 102,344 of them located in the West Bank and 48,722 located in the Gaza Strip (Ahmad and Ramadan 2018).

Furthermore, the Palestinian economy is reliant on international assistance and is classified as a service economy. However, the banking sector appears to be relatively sound (Awwad and El Khoury 2021). Palestine's financial system is still in its infancy, with 17 banks and 232 branches and offices; one is a foreign bank, nine are Arab banks, and seven are domestic banks (Abusharbeh 2020; Awwad and El Khoury 2021). The bank industry in Palestine dominates the financial system; the collective balance sheet of banks exceeds the stock capitalization by more than twofold. In the last five years, however, the performance of the Palestinian banks has experienced instability. It is therefore critical to evaluate factors that enhance the performance of this sector for better management and policy implementations that eventually promote the country's market development.

Empirically, the majority of research on FI has focused on the definition, measurements, and antecedents of financial inclusion (Allen et al. 2014; Patwardhan 2018; Jegede 2014; Kumar 2013). Bank-specific determinants include nonperforming loans, liquidity, bank capital, bank efficiency, cost management, and bank size. Nevertheless, FI's influence on bank performance is currently unknown (Kumar et al. 2021; Le et al. 2019). There have been a few studies on it in some countries (Chikalipah 2017), especially Palestine. However, contemporary developments have the potential to nurture or, at the very least, change Palestine's financial inclusion position, including the rise of mobile banking and increased economic growth. Additionally, there have been relatively few studies on the association between FI and the performance of banks (Shihadeh et al. 2018; Bhattacharyya et al. 2021; Kumar et al. 2021; Vo and Nguyen 2021). It has been reported that financial inclusion significantly influences the performance of banks. However, prior studies have applied few proxies of financial inclusion. For instance, Shihadeh (2021) only used two out of three dimensions of FI (utilization and access to financial service) with four FI indicators. Kumar et al. (2021) only utilized four proxies to measure this variable. Nevertheless, the Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion (GPFI) has developed and identified three significant dimensions—service delivery and quality of products, the usage of financial services, and access to financial services (GPFI 2012)—with several measurements.

Economies 2022, 10, 247 3 of 15

Unlike prior studies, we used all three attributes of financial inclusion—quality, access, and usage of financial services and delivery (Goel and Sharma 2017; Sarma and Pais 2008; Ajefu et al. 2020)—with six indicators for a sample of eleven banks during the years of 2012–2020 in the current research. The indicators are: the average cost of maintaining a primary bank current account, the number of point-of-sale (POS) terminals per 100,000 inhabitants, the number of automated teller machines (ATMs) per 100,000 adults, the number of branches per 100,000 adults, the percentage of SMEs with outstanding loans or lines of credit, the number of individuals with deposit accounts per 1000, and the number of adults with deposit accounts per 1000.

This study contributes to the body of knowledge on the subject matter since there has been no previous examination of the impact of the variables employed here (the previously discussed financial inclusion indicators). Understanding the role of all indicators would encourage financial institutions to offer superior financial services at a reasonable price and contribute to the objective of FI and the country's financial development. Our study adds to the continuing discussion in favor of FI as a viable tool for poverty reduction in developing and impoverished nations. Furthermore, in this study, we used a GMM dynamic panel technique model following the work of Kumar et al. (2021). Finally, Palestine, a developing country, is a suitable case to study because of the important role financial inclusion can play in addressing urgent problems and issues such as high levels of poverty, unemployment, low economic growth rates, and economic and social differences between individuals. In addition, the contribution of FI can enhance the levels of financial stability.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

FI, defined as monetary services, significantly impacts economic development. Individuals not impacted by financial exclusion have the ability to create businesses and invest in education, which is attributed to reducing poverty and increasing economic growth. This occurs as a result of providing individuals with an opportunity to have a secure place to save, thereby encouraging financial stability resulting from high levels of bank deposits being utilized to contribute to a stable deposit base for banks (Fungáčová and Weill 2015). According to the financial intermediation theory, the financial service institutions offer is seen as a means to connect surplus spenders to deficit units within an economic space. As Diamond (1984) argued, financial mediators serve as designated agents of savers and can attain economies of scale. As a result, those who save entrust their funds to these intermediaries to be invested in whatever ventures they deem viable, such as digital credit, with investors possessing the ability to fund withdrawals at any time via predetermined circumstances.

As mentioned earlier, few empirical studies have been devoted to the investigation of financial inclusion performance outcomes. Nevertheless, attention toward this topic has increased in recent years, with emerging literature focusing on understanding the impact of FI on financial stability (Ramzan et al. 2021; Ozili 2018), the determinants of FI (Abel et al. 2018; Kumar 2013; Chikalipah 2017), and FI's development (Arun and Kamath 2015). It has been reported that the reduced access to banking services in underprivileged populations has been displayed in countries where the belief is that FI can only increase GDP by growing the income of the privileged and leaving the underprivileged behind. The authors of different studies have used different measures and indicators of financial inclusion. Most previous studies have applied few proxies of financial inclusion. To measure this variable, Kumar et al. (2021) only utilized four proxies. Nevertheless, the Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion (GPFI) designed and identified three significant attributes—quality, access, and usage of services (GPFI 2012)—with several measurements. Additionally, Shihadeh (2021) only used two out of three attributes of FI (utilization and access to funds services), with four financial inclusion indicators. Generally, financial inclusion can be measured by three attributes: access, usage, and quality of financial services and delivery (Goel and Sharma 2017; Sarma and Pais 2008; Ajefu et al. 2020), and it was considered here in terms of seven indicators for a panel of 11 banks from 2012 to 2020.

Economies 2022, 10, 247 4 of 15

The number of bank branches is an indicator of access to finance (Kumar et al. 2021). This variable is suggested to positively and significantly influence bank profitability (Kumar et al. 2021) and CSR activities (Ramzan et al. 2021). Goel and Sharma (2017) argued that ignoring the absence of nearby branches or a dearth of items fit the weaker part's requirements. Bank branches are critical for underserved segments of society, and eliminating branches limits the amount of credit available to small businesses. It should be noted that increased branch presence results in a rise in client base, boosting deposit and loan portfolios and diversifying risk.

Regarding the number of ATMs, Ramzan et al. (2021) suggested that the more a bank invests in CSR activities, the greater its inclusion in the form of additional banks. Banks must create extensive branch and ATM networks in order to reach and service a greater number of consumers and to consequently increase their performance (Shihadeh 2021). Byukusenge (2021) argued that adding ATMs is a critical and very successful strategy since they enable clients to access their accounts to withdraw or deposit money, just as digital banking, debit cards, and smart cards do. However, the authors of some studies have reported that ATMs do not influence bank performance (Kumar et al. 2021), suggesting that transaction and direct expenses may be sufficient to counterbalance the increased revenue from extra loan accounts.

Banks grow their customers via branch locations, ATMs, point-of-sale (POS) locations, and other electronic terminals (Shihadeh and Liu 2019). This expansion is expected to enhance the performance of banks as it leads to more customers, although point-of-sale terminals might increase the capital expenditure and profit might not be significantly high as a result of cost increment (Shihadeh et al. 2018), banks use branches, ATMs, and POS locations to increase customer reach, attract deposits, and offer services (Shihadeh et al. 2018).

Almaleeh (2020) argued that bank goals might be accomplished by enabling SMEs' access to funds resources. Despite the large percentage of SMEs in the Palestinian economy, comprising 97% of total businesses, they suffer from financing problems. According to the World Bank, the share of SMEs in Palestine's total banking credit portfolio does not exceed 10% because banks are conservative in lending to them and consider them high-risk projects. Regarding the number of adult deposit accounts in Palestine, the percentage is still low, at no more than 24% of the adult population. Shihadeh et al. (2018) argued that Jordanian banks are uninterested in lending to small and medium-sized businesses.

Deposit accounts are important sources of funds that financial institutions use for loans and generating profit. Ozili (2021) suggested that more financial sector concentration is connected with increased access to deposit accounts and loans, and nations with regulatory frameworks that let banks participate in a larger range of activities have a higher level of FI. In India, however, while deposit accounts have improved over time, their penetration has not kept pace with population increase over the research period (Kumar 2013). Although it is expected that the greater the number of deposit accounts, the greater the bank performance, some studies have failed to support this association, such as those by Akhisar et al. (2015) and Almaleeh (2020). Finally, the average cost of maintaining a basic current bank account significantly positively impacts profitability (Trujillo-Ponce 2013). Based on the assumption of financial intermediation theory, where financial intermediation to support investment in the economy (Ratnawati 2020), as well as the abovementioned arguments, the following hypotheses were tested:

- **H1.** Banks' performance is positively affected by the number of bank branches.
- **H2.** *Banks' performance is positively affected by the number of ATMs.*
- **H3.** Banks' performance is positively affected by the number of POS.
- **H4.** Banks' performance is positively affected by credit for SMEs.
- **H5.** *Banks' performance is positively affected by the number of customer deposit accounts.*

Economies 2022, 10, 247 5 of 15

H6. Banks' performance is positively affected by the average cost of maintaining a basic current bank account.

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Sample Population

In this study, 13 banks operating in the Palestinian market were initially included in the analysis: 10 conventional banks and three Islamic banks. The study sample comprised the entire Palestinian banking sector. Two conventional small banks were excluded due to their newness and insufficient data. Using the financial statements of banks, the reports of the Palestinian Monetary Authority, and the Association of banks in Palestine, the data were collected during 2012–2020 after Palestine joined the Alliance for Financial Inclusion (AFI) in 2010. All data sources used in the current study were considered reliable, and the time period of the research sample was selected due to data availability.

3.2. Variable Measurements

3.2.1. Dependent Variable

In line with prior studies (Angori et al. 2019; Banda 2021; Khatib et al. 2022a, 2022b; Gupta and Mahakud 2020; Mbekomize and Mapharing 2017), we used return on assets (ROA) and net interest margin (NIM) as measures of bank profitability. ROA is an indicator of a bank's efficiency in utilizing assets and producing net income, while NIM is a measure of the net return on a bank's earning assets. These measures effectively indicate a bank's efficiency in using its total assets (Hay et al. 2018). Table 1 provides a summary of the research variables.

Table 1. Summar	y of the variables em	ployed in the research.

Variable	Measurement	Symbol	Dimension	
	Dependent variables			
Return on assets	Net income/total assets	ROA		
Net interest margin	Net interest income/earning assets	NIM		
Return on equity	Net income/total equity	ROE		
	Independent variables			
Number of branches	Number of branches per 100,000 adults	FIN_BRANCH	Access	
Number of ATMs	Number of ATMs per 100,000 adults	FIN_ATM	Access	
Number of POS terminals	Number of POS terminals per 100,000 inhabitants	FIN_POS	Access	
Credit for SMEs	Credit for SMEs/total outstanding loans	FIN_SME	Usage	
Number of customer deposit accounts	Number of customer accounts per 1000 adults	FIN_DEPOSIT	Usage	
Average cost of maintaining a basic current bank account (annual fees)	ost of maintaining a basic Logarithm of the amount of annual fees		Quality	
	Control variables			
Nonperforming loan ratio	Nonperforming loans/total loans	NPLR		
Liquidity ratio	Liquid assets/total assets	LAT		
Cost efficiency ratio	Cost-to-income ratio	CIR		
Capital adequacy ratio	Tier 1 capital and tier 2 capital/risk-weighted assets	CAR		

Note: ROA, Branch, ATMs, POS, SMEs, CAR, Quality, and CAR were directly taken from the Bankscope database, while NIM, NPLR, LAT, and CIR were self-calculated with the help of data taken from Bankscope.

3.2.2. Independent Variables

Following the Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion (GPFI) recommendations of the basic set of financial inclusion indicators, which represent the three central dimensions of financial inclusion (access, usage, and quality of financial services and delivery), we employed six independent variables that represent the financial inclusion dimensions in this study. The number of branches per 100,000 adults, the number of ATMs per 100,000 adults, and the number of POS terminals per 100,000 inhabitants represent the access to financial services dimension, while the number of customer deposit accounts per 1000

Economies 2022, 10, 247 6 of 15

adults and credit for SMEs represent the usage of financial services dimension. Finally, the average cost of maintaining a primary bank current account (annual fees) represents the quality of products and service delivery dimension. It should be noted that the authors of some previous studies only used four indicators of financial inclusion (Kumar et al. 2021).

3.2.3. Control Variables

We used a set of bank-specific variables that have robust effects on profitability, namely, nonperforming loan ratio (NPLR), liquidity ratio (LAT), cost-to-income ratio (CIR), and capital adequacy ratio (CAR). Based on the assumption that increased exposure of a bank to credit risk is associated with decreased profitability, CAR stands for capital adequacy ratio, which is defined as a proportion of tier 1 and tier 2 capital to risk-weighted assets (Forcadell et al. 2020; Kumar et al. 2021). Though previous studies have indicated that CAR has an effect on bank profitability, the direction of this association is unknown (Kumar et al. 2021). Researchers often use NPLR as a metric to evaluate credit risk management. Y.-K. Chen et al. (2018) and Tran and Nguyen (2020) found that NPLR reduced bank profitability. The liquid-assets-to-total-assets ratio (LAT) is commonly used as a liquidity indicator. There have been mixed findings regarding the impact of liquidity on bank profitability. Alshatti (2015) found a negative effect on profitability, while Islam and Nishiyama (2016) found a positive relationship between both factors. CIR is often used to measure cost efficiency. The authors of many studies have employed this ratio as a determinant of bank profitability (Neves et al. 2021; Ch 2017), and most suggest that it has a negative effect. Finally, CAR is often used as a credit risk management indicator. CAR is the ratio of a bank's capital in relation to its risk-weighted assets and is determined by the country's central bank. Capital adequacy is an indicator of a bank's ability to absorb potential shocks and losses before becoming insolvent. Again, there is no consensus regarding the impact of CAR on bank profitability. Islam and Nishiyama (2016) found a positive impact on profitability measured with ROA, while Bitar et al. (2018) found a negative relationship.

3.3. Model Specification

The regression models used in this study are specified below. The models test the association between FI and bank performance.

$$\begin{split} ROA_{it} &= \beta 0 + \beta 1 FIN_BRANCH_{it} + \beta 2 FIN_ATM_{it} + \beta 3 FIN_POS_{it} + \\ \beta 4 FIN_SME_{it} + \beta 5 FIN_DEPOSIT_{it} + \beta 6 FIN_COST_{it} + \beta 7 NPLRit + \beta 8 LAT_{it} + \\ \beta 9 CIR_{it} + \beta 10 CAR_{it} + \mu_{it} \end{split} \tag{1}$$

NIMit =
$$\beta 0 + \beta 1$$
FIN_BRANCH_{it} + $\beta 2$ FIN_ATM_{it} + $\beta 3$ FIN_POS_{it} + $\beta 4$ FIN_SME_{it} + $\beta 5$ FIN_DEPOSIT_{it} + $\beta 6$ FIN_COST_{it} + $\beta 7$ NPLR_{it} + $\beta 8$ LAT_{it} + $\beta 9$ CIR_{it} + $\beta 10$ CAR_{it} + μ_{it} (2)

where i indicates an individual bank; t refers to the time period (year); $\beta 0$ is the constant intercept; ROA and NIM are the profitability proxies of the bank; FIN_BRANCH, FIN_ATM, FIN_POS, FIN_SME, FIN_DEPOSIT, and FIN_COST measure the FI indicators; NPLR, LAT, CIR, and CAR are the bank-specific control variables; $\beta 1$ – $\beta 10$ are the coefficients of the function; and μ_{it} is the error term. The research models were developed based on relevant prior studies (Chauvet and Jacolin 2017; Shihadeh 2020; Al-Eitan et al. 2022; Almaleeh 2020; Kumar et al. 2021).

When the sample size is small and data consist of a mixture of time series, pooled panel data analysis is the most appropriate tool to utilize. In this study, we tested three models to choose that which fit the analysis: the fixed-effect model, the random effect model, and pooled ordinary least square estimation. Hausman and Breusch–Pagan tests were run to validate the model specification.

The results of the Hausman test indicated a significant *p*-value of 0.008, which led to the conclusion that the fixed-effect model would be more appropriate. The results showed a

Economies 2022, 10, 247 7 of 15

p-value of 0.014, which was less than the significance level of 5%. Thus, the null hypotheses were rejected, which meant that the fixed model best fit the sample data.

Furthermore, endogeneity issues, including dynamic endogeneity, simultaneity, and time-invariant unobserved heterogeneity among banks, plague panel regression studies. The two-step system GMM estimator is commonly utilized to mitigate the endogeneity problem in banking datasets (Kabara et al. 2022). Several researchers have suggested that GMM is a good analytical tool to overcome the endogeneity problem (Zamil et al. 2021; Hazaea et al. 2022; Khatib et al. 2021a, 2022a). The idea is to find an instrument that is simultaneously correlated with its corresponding endogenous variables (financial inclusion) and uncorrelated with bank performance. Here, we used the strategy provided by Schultz et al. (2010), in which chosen lags are associated with the regressors but uncorrelated with contemporaneous error. As a result, we used the instrumental variable estimate approach to circumvent the endogeneity issue. More precisely, we utilized the age of the bank as an instrumental variable and the first lag of the independent variable to control for potential endogeneity issues. The age of organization has been commonly utilized by prior studies to control for this problem (Zhang et al. 2020; Alsaifi et al. 2020; Bhattacharyya et al. 2021). The Sargan test, which evaluates instruments' overall validity by assessing the sample analogue of the moment circumstances employed in the estimate procedure, was used in this study to test for over-identification issues (Lee and Chang 2009). Both the Sargan test and the Hansen test of over-identification of restrictions were not found to be statistically significant (p-value > 0.10), implying the chosen instruments' validity. Additionally, in all models, the coefficient of delayed bank performance was substantial, confirming the study's use of a dynamic model. The AR (2) had *p*-values less than 10%, suggesting that the instruments were valid and the findings were dependable. The regression results showed that the null hypothesis for AR (1) was rejected because of the presence of first-order autocorrelation, and the null hypothesis for AR (2) was not rejected because of the absence of second-order correlation.

4. Empirical Results and Discussion

4.1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 2 summarizes the descriptive statistics for the variables used in the research; it contains precise information about the independent and dependent variables in the form of standard deviation, mean, minimum, and maximum. The findings suggested that there were differences in the mean and standard deviation of ROA and NIM. The results indicated a variation between the mean values and standard deviation of ROA and NIM as profitability measures from 2012 to 2020 among Palestinian banks. It was revealed that the average loan to SMEs was 11%, which was extremely low compared with the total credit. This implied that Palestinian banks were conservative in extending credit to SMEs, which negatively affected the economy. Palestinian banks had a varied number of ATMs, ranging between 0.38 and 5.90. The ATM average was 2.1 for every 100,000 adults. Similarly, there was one bank branch for every 100,000 adults, with a standard deviation of only 0.635. Regarding the average cost of maintaining a basic current bank account (annual fees), the mean was 13.9, which was relatively high compared with the total revenue.

Table 2. Descriptive statistics.

Variable	Obs.	Min	Max	Mean	St. Dev.
Profitability measurements (dependent variables)					
ROA	99	-0.01	0.02	0.0089	0.00494
NIM	99	0.03	0.09	0.0506	0.01577
Independent variables					
FIN_BRANCH	99	0.23	3.21	1.0245	0.63561
FIN_ATM	99	0.38	5.90	2.1138	1.39870

Economies 2022, 10, 247 8 of 15

Table 2. Cont.

Variable	Obs.	Min	Max	Mean	St. Dev.
FIN_POS	99	199.00	232.00	219.6667	14.63330
FIN_SME	99	0.00	0.25	0.1176	0.04858
FIN_DEPOSIT	99	1104.00	1234.00	1177.5000	49.24765
FIN_COST	99	11.51	15.73	13.9068	1.14972
Control variables					
NPLR	99	0.01	0.08	0.0365	0.01846
LAT	99	0.21	0.57	0.3781	0.06809
CIR	99	0.49	0.99	0.7238	0.10526
CAR	99	0.11	0.34	0.1829	0.06580
Valid N (listwise)	99				

4.2. Correlation Matrix

Multicollinearity diagnostics were conducted with both VIF and tolerance tests to provide a more reliable and accurate study. The correlation between the variables was quite good in the absence of a high correlation between the variables and, hence, the lack of a multicollinearity issue, as shown in Table 3. Additionally, the Durbin–Watson test was conducted to test for autocorrelation. As seen in Table 4, no autocorrelation was detected.

Table 3. Correlation matrix and multicollinearity diagnostics.

Variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)
ROA (1)	1											
ROE (2)	0.523	1										
FIN_BRANCH (3)	0.489	0.398	1									
FIN_ATM (4)	-0.331	-0.423	-0.416	1								
FIN_POS (5)	0.065	-0.142	0.089	0.160	1							
FIN_SME (6)	-0.417	-0.579	-0.375	0.244	0.216	1						
FIN_DEPOSIT (7)	0.021	-0.058	-0.041	0.135	0.014	-0.026	1					
FIN_COST (8)	0.473	0.464	0.394	-0.298	0.138	-0.407	-0.061	1				
NPLR (9)	-0.309	-0.507	-0.411	0.392	0.146	0.513	0.065	-0.302	1			
LAT (10)	0.063	0.044	0.066	-0.114	0.039	-0.116	-0.033	0.158	-0.013	1		
CIR (11)	-0.216	0.096	-0.083	0.147	-0.126	0.217	-0.096	-0.088	-0.066	-0.201	1	
CAR (12)	-0.381	0.258	0.094 ***	-0.122**	0.213 *	-0.191 **	-0.313**	-0.057 **	0.196 **	0.065	-0.126	1
				Di	agnostics of	multicollineari	ty					
VIF	-	-	1.26	1.29	1.16	1.62	1.02	1.39	1.53	1.04	1.87	1.63
Tolerance	-	-	1.51	0.77	0.86	0.61	0.97	0.71	0.65	0.95	0.67	0.66

Note: *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1%, respectively.

Table 4. Full sample regression results.

Variables	Pane RO		Panel B NIM		
valiables	(1) Fixed Effect	(2) GMM	(1) Fixed Effect	(2) GMM	
Constant	0.000 ***	0.021 **	0.009 ***	0.083 *	
L_ROA	(2.205)	(3.244) 0.002 *** (1.067)	(1.598)	(4.347) 0.089 * (2.087)	
FIN_BRANCH	0.003 *** (0.1429)	0.049 ** (0.1273)	0.024 ** (0.1921)	0.081 * (0.7691)	
FIN_ATM	0.002 ***	0.001 ***	0.081 *	0.1563	
FIN_POS	(0.1573) 0.140 (0.07314)	(0.1231) 0.132 (0.0482)	(0.1343) 0.4398 (0.1096)	(0.2823) 0.2367 (0.4398)	
FIN_SME	(0.07314) 0.341	0.154	0.3671	0.9521	
FIN_DEPOSIT	(0.1031) 0.642 (0.1173)	(0.1598) 0.3273 (0.2854)	(0.0156) 0.3761 (0.1041)	(0.2671) 0.6341 (0.4129)	

Economies 2022, 10, 247 9 of 15

Table 4. Cont.

Variables	Pane RO		Panel B NIM		
variables	(1) Fixed Effect	(2) GMM	(1) Fixed Effect	(2) GMM	
FIN_COST	0.064 * (0.1061)	0.123 (0.3154)	0.0710 * (0.1485)	0.093 * (0.6132)	
NPLR	0.007 *** (-0.3244)	-0.082 * (0.2210)	0.0031 *** (-0.2901)	0.0412 ** (0.2319)	
LAT	0.024 ** (0.1342)	0.039 ** (0.6132)	0.5419 (0.3861)	0.1634 (0.6598)	
CIR	0.026 ** (-0.2910)	-0.076 * (0.3121)	0.002 *** (-0.2387)	-0.058 * (0.3992)	
CAR	0.069 * (-0.022)	-0.1834 (0.8653)	0.6123 (-0.0923)	-0.2671 (-0.1527)	
R-squared	0.706	,	0.406	` ′	
Adj R-squared	0.565		0.304		
F-stat	13.945		3.963		
Prob F-stat	0.000		0.007		
Durbin-Watson	1.932		1.884		
Year Fixed Effects	Yes		Yes		
AR1 (<i>p</i> -value)		0.003		0.005	
AR2 (<i>p</i> -value)		0.380		0.414	
Sargan Test (<i>p</i> -value)		0.414		0.521	
Difference-in-Hansen Test (<i>p</i> -value)		0.829		0.560	

Note: *, **, and *** indicate statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1%, respectively. Coefficient value between brackets.

4.3. Regression Results

Table 3 presents the empirical results of the panel data estimation methods for both econometric models, with ROA (panel A) and NIM (panel B) as the dependent variables. In addition, both estimation results for each model are presented (GMM and fixed-effect regression). The results indicated that the number of branches (FIN_BRANCH) significantly impacts bank profitability. Therefore, consistent with the work of Akhisar et al. (2015), Y.-K. Chen et al. (2018), and Shihadeh (2021), we accept H1: "There is a positive relationship between the number of bank branches and the profitability of banks." In this context, it should be mentioned that the Palestinian Monetary Authority (PMA) has implemented many activities and measures to enhance financial inclusion and awareness. Regarding developments in the use of electronic financial and banking services, the number of branches and offices has continued to increase, reaching 379 by the end of 2020, accompanied by 703 ATMs spread across different provinces (PMA 2020). All these have led to an improvement in the degree of competitiveness of the Palestinian banking market in terms of both the deposit and credit markets, which have positively affected profitability. Banks encourage their clients to use electronic delivery channels, such as ATMs, POS, card payments, and other tools, because these channels have lower costs compared with human tellers, thus decreasing bank costs (PMA 2020).

Regarding the number of ATMs (FIN_ATM), the analysis revealed a significant impact on bank profitability, which was in line with the second hypothesis (H2). Although bank ATMs and ATM services have related costs, such as maintenance and securities, these services increase a bank's profitability as they attract more customers. Therefore, bank management should enhance ATM inclusion despite the fact that such services do not provide direct revenues for banks because basic services are usually offered without fees. Studies conducted by Akhisar et al. (2015), F.W. Chen et al. (2018), and Shihadeh (2021) support this finding. Hence, ATMs are critical and very successful since they enable clients to access their accounts in order to withdraw or deposit money for digital banking or other purposes (Byukusenge 2021).

Economies 2022, 10, 247 10 of 15

In all of the models, the coefficients for the number of point-of-sale terminals (FIN POS) were shown to be positive but not statistically significant for either of the performance measures (ROA or NIM), implying that banks expand their networks through point-of-sale terminals to increase customer reach, attract deposits, and offer services. However, capital expenditure will grow as a consequence of this strategy, profit margins may not be as high as anticipated due to cost inflation (Shihadeh et al. 2018), and the majority of banks are unable to outperform this short-term trend.

Similarly, a positive but not statistically significant relationship was found between credit to SMEs (FIN_SME) and bank profitability measures ROA and NIM. Hypothesis H3 was therefore rejected. This finding could have been due to the lack of interest among Palestinian banks in lending SMEs in the market. In similar context, Shihadeh et al. (2018) argued that Jordanian banks are uninterested in lending to small and medium-sized businesses. Taking the positive coefficient into count, through the issuance of various rules and regulations, government financial authorities such as central banks encourage banks and other financial institutions to deliver financial services that meet the interests of both people and small and medium-sized organizations. Almaleeh (2020) argued that bank objectives could be achieved by facilitating the access of SMEs to financial sources. Despite the large percentage of SMEs in the Palestinian economy, comprising 97% of total businesses, they suffer from financing problems. According to the World Bank, the share of SMEs in Palestine's total banking credit portfolio does not exceed 10% because banks are conservative in lending to them and consider them high-risk projects. Regarding the number of adult deposit accounts in Palestine, the percentage remains low at no more than 24% of the adult population.

Furthermore, the number of deposit accounts (FIN_DEPOSIT) were found to exert a positive but insignificant effect on bank profitability measures (ROA and NIM), suggesting that these variables do not affect the profitability of banks in Palestine. Therefore, we rejected hypothesis H5: "There is a positive relationship between the number of customer deposit accounts and the profitability of banks." These findings are consistent with those of Akhisar et al. (2015) and Almaleeh (2020). Finally, the average cost of maintaining a basic current bank account (FIN_COST) was found to significantly positively impact both profitability measures, ROA and NIM. Therefore, we accepted H6: "There is a positive relationship between the average cost of maintaining a basic current bank account and the profitability of banks." These findings are consistent with those of prior studies, such as that by Trujillo-Ponce (2013).

These findings are also in line with the financial intermediaries theory, under which intermediaries with higher financial inclusion serve to reduce transaction costs and informational asymmetries, thus leading to higher performance. Kinyua and Omagwa (2020) supported the financial intermediaries theory and found that financial inclusion promoted the financial stability of the banking industry.

Among the bank-specific control variables, our results suggest that credit risk management indicators (NPLR) and cost-efficiency indicators (CIR) are the key factors underlying bank profitability. The coefficients of NPLR and CIR were found to be negative and statistically significant for both models' profitability measures (ROA and NIM). There is strong evidence that banks with high-risk-taking behavior are exposed to higher levels of nonperforming loans, which reduces their profitability. Moreover, the higher the costs of collecting funds from the market, accompanied by increases in other operating expenses, lower a bank's profitability. These findings support the previous studies of Chowdhury and Rasid (2016), Dietrich and Wanzenried (2011), Inegbedion et al. (2020), and Neves et al. (2021). Despite plenty of controversy regarding CAR and its impact on profitability, there is no consensus about the nature of this relationship. The coefficient of CAR was found to be negative and significant in the first model, where ROA measures profitability, while in the second model, CAR was shown to have an insignificant negative impact on NIM. These results show that capital is not significant in influencing bank profitability since most banks operating in Palestine are small in size and capital. One of the justifications for this negative

Economies 2022, 10, 247 11 of 15

relationship is that Palestinian banks are conservative in granting loans with relatively high levels of risk, which leads to improvements in CAR and the simultaneous weakening of profits. Regarding liquidity (LAT), our findings revealed a significant positive impact on bank profitability in the first model and an insignificant impact in the second model. These findings are consistent with those of Islam and Nishiyama (2016) and Tran et al. (2016). The positive relationship between LAT, ROA, and NIM can be explained by the 35% liquidity ratio of Palestinian banks in 2020. This high ratio of liquid assets added stability since liquid assets represent a cushion against liquidity shocks, and this liquidity can be wisely invested. A final note on this positive relationship: banks with better liquidity positions can charge an extra margin on their extended credit.

4.4. Additional Analysis

Table 4 shows the findings of the robustness check. To check the robustness of the results, we used another indicator of bank performance, namely, return on equity (ROE); the results are presented in panel B. As shown in Table 5, we also reanalyzed regression models 1 and 2 with additional control variables, as presented in panel A. The authors of past studies of financial inclusion/performance relationships also employed bank size (B_SIZE) and bank age (B_AGE) as control variables. As another robustness check, we re-estimated our models by adding these factors as additional control variables. We found similar financial inclusion and bank performance results, confirming our regression results for ROA and NIM.

Table 5. Robustness regression results.

	Panel A		Panel B
Variables _	ROA	NIM	ROE
variables =	(1) Fixed Effect	(1) Fixed Effect	(1) Fixed Effect
· ·	0.000 ***	0.000 ***	0.031 **
Constant	(3.505)	(1.819)	(2.515)
EINI DDANICH	0.062 *	0.036 **	0.004 ***
FIN_BRANCH	(0.9153)	(0.2104)	(0.3831)
EINI ATM	0.001 ***	0.032 **	0.0971 *
FIN_ATM	(0.731)	(0.435)	(0.1473)
EINI DOC	0.201	0.3982	0.4543
FIN_POS	(0.735)	(0.2196)	(0.257)
EINI CME	0.152	0.6810	0.1624
FIN_SME	(0.321)	(0.1665)	(0.0643)
EIN DEDOCIT	0.417	0.4121	0.7662
FIN_DEPOSIT	(0.738)	(0.4011)	(0.6241)
EINI COCT	0.041 **	0.0611 *	0.0814 *
FIN_COST	(0.283)	(0.2451)	(0.8523)
NIDI D	0.005 ***	0.0022 ***	0.0001 ***
NPLR	(-0.472)	(-0.981)	(-0.3201)
TATE	0.018 **	0.4181	0.9263
LAT	(0.4220)	(0.6122)	(0.1234)
CID	0.035 **	0.001 ***	0.002 ***
CIR	(-0.9101)	(-0.8712)	(-0.7543)
CAD	0.045 *	0.3312	0.2783
CAR	(-0.143)	(-0.1731)	(-1.2876)
D CIZE	0.0710 *	0.000 ***	
B_SIZE	(0.1485)	(0.289)	
D ACE	0.081 *	0.049 **	
B_AGE	(0.1343)	(0.031)	
Year Fixed Effects	Yes	Yes	Yes
R-squared	0.1206	0.4326	0.606
Adj R-squared	0.551	0.464	0.6234
F-stat	12.451	3.7131	4.963
Prob F-stat	0.000	0.004	0.000

Note: *, ***, and *** indicate statistical significance at 10%, 5%, and 1%, respectively. The coefficient values are between brackets.

Economies 2022, 10, 247 12 of 15

5. Conclusions and Recommendation

In this study, we examined the relationship between FI and bank performance in Palestine by applying dynamic panel analysis to a sample of 11 banks and using two econometric models representing profitability indicators over a nine-year period (2012– 2020). In addition to the linear regression models, the generalized method of moments estimator was utilized. Return on assets (ROA) was defined as a profitability measurement in the first model, and net interest margin (NIM) was defined as a profitability measurement in the second model. Unlike previous studies, we employed six independent variables to represent the financial inclusion dimensions in this research. The number of branches per 100,000 adults, the number of ATMs per 100,000 adults, and the number of POS terminals per 100,000 inhabitants represented the access to financial services dimension. In contrast, the number of customer deposit accounts per 1000 adults and credit for SMEs represented the usage of the financial services dimension. Finally, the average cost of maintaining a basic current bank account (annual fees) represented the quality of products and service delivery dimension. A set of bank-specific variables with robust effects on profitability, namely, the nonperforming loan ratio, the liquidity ratio, the cost-to-income ratio, and the capital adequacy ratio, was employed. This study has established that financial inclusion is important and very effective because it facilitates customers' access to bank services. This enables banks to increase sales and influence their financial performance.

Our findings indicated that access to funds (the number of ATMs and the number of branches), product quality, and the manner in which it is delivered (average cost of maintaining a bank's current account) improve a banks' profitability, but POS terminals were found to have no impact on profitability. Furthermore, the usage of financial services, which was reflected in the number of bank accounts and credit to SMEs, was not found to affect the performance of banks. Among the bank-specific variables, NPLR, CIR, and LAT were the main drivers of performance.

One implication of this study is that officials in Palestine should prioritize FI by enacting legislation that encourages lending practices by financial institutions. Furthermore, banks must encourage customers to diversify their assets rather than just relying on cash and deposits. Hence, the findings of this research may contribute to the development of more effective financial sector reform policies by illustrating how expanding access to banking services may directly affect a bank's performance. The Palestinian banking sector is the main source of credit in the domestic market; however, it still does not fulfil its required developmental role. Although SMEs in Palestine represent more than 95% of total business and play an important developmental role, the loans granted to them are still very low and do not exceed 11% of total loans. Most banks operating in Palestine have small capital and may not be able to expand and spread, which contradicts the philosophy of financial inclusion that is based on providing financial services for everyone at a reasonable cost. Policymakers should be aware of these circumstances and encourage banks to merge in order to expand and spread so that all parties can benefit.

This study had certain limitations that future studies can address. The research sample size was small; further research might consider a larger sample size. Moreover, researchers should be encouraged to use a cross-country dataset in order to highlight the role of differences in government regulations on this topic. In this study, we only used two accounting performance measurements; therefore, the authors of future studies may use a market-based measurement (e.g., Tobin's Q) or the performance efficiency of banks.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, A.H.B. and I.A.A.R.; methodology, S.F.A.K.; software, I.A.A.R. and E.H.; validation, I.A.A.R., H.A.A. and E.H.; formal analysis, S.F.A.K.; investigation, S.F.A.K.; resources, A.H.B., H.A.A. and E.H.; data curation, A.H.B.; writing—original draft preparation, S.F.A.K.; writing—review and editing, S.F.A.K.; visualization, I.A.A.R. and E.H.; supervision, I.A.A.R. and H.A.A.; project administration, E.H. and H.A.A.; funding acquisition, A.H.B. and E.H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Economies 2022, 10, 247 13 of 15

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Abel, Sanderson, Learnmore Mutandwa, and Pierre Le Roux. 2018. A Review of Determinants of Financial Inclusion. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues* 8: 1–8.

Abusharbeh, Mohammed T. 2020. The Financial Soundness of the Palestinian Banking Sector: An Empirical Analysis Using the CAMEL System. *Banks and Bank Systems* 15: 85–97. [CrossRef]

Ahmad, Saari Bin, and Hashem Ismail Ramadan. 2018. The Relationship among Distinctive Capabilities, Business Strategy, Environment and Performance: A Proposed Model of Manufacturing SMEs in Palestine. *Journal for Global Business Advancement* 11: 610–29. [CrossRef]

Ajefu, Joseph B., Ayse Demir, and Houra Haghpanahan. 2020. The Impact of Financial Inclusion on Mental Health. SSM Population Health 11: 100630. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Akhisar, İlyas, K. Batu Tunay, and Necla Tunay. 2015. The Effects of Innovations on Bank Performance: The Case of Electronic Banking Services. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 195: 369–75. [CrossRef]

Al-Eitan, Ghaith N., Bassam Al-Own, and Tareq Bani-Khalid. 2022. Financial Inclusion Indicators Affect Profitability of Jordanian Commercial Banks: Panel Data Analysis. *Economies* 10: 38. [CrossRef]

Allen, Franklin, Elena Carletti, Robert Cull, Jun Qian, Lemma Senbet, and Patricio Valenzuela. 2014. The African Financial Development and Financial Inclusion Gaps. *Journal of African Economies* 23: 614–42. [CrossRef]

Almaleeh, Nisreen Mohammed Said. 2020. Financial Inclusion in Egypt: Does It Affect Banks' Profitability and Liquidity? *International Finance and Banking* 7: 73. [CrossRef]

Alsaifi, Khaled, Marwa Elnahass, and Aly Salama. 2020. Carbon Disclosure and Financial Performance: UK Environmental Policy. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 29: 711–26. [CrossRef]

Alshatti, Ali Sulieman. 2015. The Effect of the Liquidity Management on Profitability in the Jordanian Commercial Banks. *International Journal of Business and Management* 10: 62. [CrossRef]

Angori, Gabriele, David Aristei, and Manuela Gallo. 2019. Determinants of Banks' Net Interest Margin: Evidence from the Euro Area during the Crisis and Post-Crisis Period. *Sustainability* 11: 3785. [CrossRef]

Arun, Thankom, and Rajalaxmi Kamath. 2015. Financial Inclusion: Policies and Practices. *IIMB Management Review* 27: 267–87. [CrossRef]

Awad, Ibrahim M., and Mohammed S. Al Karaki. 2019. The Impact of Bank Lending on Palestine Economic Growth: An Econometric Analysis of Time Series Data. *Financial Innovation* 5: 14. [CrossRef]

Awwad, Bahaa, and Rim El Khoury. 2021. Information Technology Governance and Bank Performance: Evidence from Palestine. *Journal of Decision Systems*. Available online: https://doi.org/10.1080/12460125.2021.2005860 (accessed on 28 May 2022).

Banda, Lloyd George. 2021. Determinants of Commercial Banks' Performance in Malawi: An Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) Approach. *National Accounting Review 3*: 422–38. [CrossRef]

Bhattacharyya, Asit, Sue Wright, and Md Lutfur Rahman. 2021. Is Better Banking Performance Associated with Financial Inclusion and Mandated CSR Expenditure in a Developing Country? *Accounting and Finance* 61: 125–61. [CrossRef]

Bitar, Mohammad, Kuntara Pukthuanthong, and Thomas Walker. 2018. The Effect of Capital Ratios on the Risk, Efficiency and Profitability of Banks: Evidence from OECD Countries. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 53: 227–62. [CrossRef]

Byukusenge, Elysée. 2021. Financial Inclusion Strategies and Performance of Commercial Banks in Rwanda; A Case of I&M Bank in Rwanda. *Journal of Finance and Accounting* 5: 23–34. [CrossRef]

Ch, Irwan. 2017. The Effect of Financial Ratios on Islamic Rural Bank Performance in Indonesia. *International Journal of Scientific & Technology Research* 6: 384–90.

Chauvet, Lisa, and Luc Jacolin. 2017. Financial Inclusion, Bank Concentration, and Firm Performance. World Development 97: 1–13. [CrossRef]

Chen, Feng Wen, Yuan Feng, and Wei Wang. 2018. Impacts of Financial Inclusion on Non-Performing Loans of Commercial Banks: Evidence from China. *Sustainability* 10: 3084. [CrossRef]

Chen, Yi-Kai, Chung-Hua Shen, Lanfeng Kao, and Chuan-Yi Yeh. 2018. Bank Liquidity Risk and Performance. *Review of Pacific Basin Financial Markets and Policies* 21: 1850007. [CrossRef]

Chikalipah, Sydney. 2017. What Determines Financial Inclusion in Sub-Saharan Africa? *African Journal of Economic and Management Studies* 8: 8–18. [CrossRef]

Chowdhury, Mohammad Ashraful Ferdous, and Mohamed Eskandar Shah Mohd Rasid. 2016. Determinants of Performance of Islamic Banks in GCC Countries: Dynamic GMM Approach. In *Advances in Islamic Finance, Marketing, and Management*. Bingley: Emerald Group Publishing Limited, pp. 49–80. [CrossRef]

Economies 2022, 10, 247 14 of 15

Diamond, Douglas W. 1984. Financial Intermediation and Delegated Monitoring. *The Review of Economic Studies* 51: 393. [CrossRef] Dietrich, Andreas, and Gabrielle Wanzenried. 2011. Determinants of Bank Profitability before and during the Crisis: Evidence from

- Switzerland. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money* 21: 307–27. [CrossRef]
 Forcadell, Francisco Javier, Elisa Aracil, and Fernando Úbeda. 2020. The Impact of Corporate Sustainability and Digitalization on
- International Banks' Performance. Global Policy 11: 18–27. [CrossRef]
 Fungáčová, Zuzana, and Laurent Weill. 2015. Understanding Financial Inclusion in China. China Economic Review 34: 196–206.

 [CrossRef]
- Goel, Sweta, and Rahul Sharma. 2017. Developing a Financial Inclusion Index for India. *Procedia Computer Science* 122: 949–56. [CrossRef]
- GPFI. 2012. Global Partnership for Financial Inclusion, G20 Financial Inclusion Indicators. Available online: http://www.gpfi.org/(accessed on 25 April 2022).
- Gupta, Neeraj, and Jitendra Mahakud. 2020. Ownership, Bank Size, Capitalization and Bank Performance: Evidence from India. Edited by David McMillan. *Cogent Economics & Finance* 8: 1808282. [CrossRef]
- Hay, Dima Abdul, Nashwa Shaker Ragab, and Wagdy Hegazy. 2018. The Determinants of Human Capital Voluntary Disclosures in the Lebanese Commercial Banks. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal* 22: 1–18.
- Hazaea, Saddam A., Jinyu Zhu, Saleh F. A. Khatib, Ayman Hassan Bazhair, and Ahmed A. Elamer. 2022. Sustainability Assurance Practices: A Systematic Review and Future Research Agenda. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research* 29: 4843–64. [CrossRef]
- Ikram, Iqra, and Samreen Lohdi. 2015. Impact of Financial Inclusion on Banks Profitability: An Empirical Study of Banking Sector of Karachi, Pakistan. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research* 4: 88–98.
- Inegbedion, Henry, Bello Vincent, and Eseosa Obadiaru. 2020. Risk Management and the Financial Performance of Banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Financial Research* 11: 115. [CrossRef]
- Islam, Md Shahidul, and Shin-Ichi Ichi Nishiyama. 2016. The Determinants of Bank Net Interest Margins: A Panel Evidence from South Asian Countries. *Research in International Business and Finance* 37: 501–14. [CrossRef]
- Jegede, C.A. 2014. Effects of Automated Teller Machine on the Performance of Nigerian Banks. *American Journal of Applied Mathematics and Statistics* 2: 40–46. [CrossRef]
- Kabara, Ali Shariff, Saleh F. A. Khatib, Ayman Hassan Bazhair, and Hamid Ghazi H Sulimany. 2022. The Effect of the Board's Educational and Gender Diversity on the Firms' Performance: Evidence from Non-Financial Firms in Developing Country. Sustainability 14: 11058. [CrossRef]
- Khatib, Saleh F. A., Dewi Fariha Abdullah, Ahmed A. Elamer, and Raed Abueid. 2021a. Nudging toward Diversity in the Boardroom: A Systematic Literature Review of Board Diversity of Financial Institutions. *Business Strategy and the Environment* 30: 985–1002. [CrossRef]
- Khatib, Saleh F. A., Dewi Fariha Abdullah, Ernie Hendrawaty, and Ahmed A. Elamer. 2021b. A Bibliometric Analysis of Cash Holdings Literature: Current Status, Development, and Agenda for Future Research. *Management Review Quarterly, in press.* [CrossRef]
- Khatib, Saleh F. A., Dewi Fariha Abdullah, Hamzeh Al Amosh, Ayman Hassan Bazhair, and Ali Shariff Kabara. 2022a. Shariah Auditing: Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future Auditing. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research* 13: 791–818. [CrossRef]
- Khatib, Saleh F.A., Dewi Fariha Abdullah, Ahmed Elamer, and Saddam A. Hazaea. 2022b. The Development of Corporate Governance Literature in Malaysia: A Systematic Literature Review and Research Agenda. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society* 22: 1026–53. [CrossRef]
- Kinyua, Daisy Wairimu, and Job Omagwa. 2020. Financial Inclusion and Bank Stability of Commercial Banks Listed in Nairobi Securities Exchange, Kenya. *International Journal of Current Aspects in Finance, Banking and Accounting* 2: 64–81. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, Nitin. 2013. Financial Inclusion and Its Determinants: Evidence from India. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy* 5: 4–19. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, Vijay, Sujani Thrikawala, and Sanjeev Acharya. 2021. Financial Inclusion and Bank Profitability: Evidence from a Developed Market. *Global Finance Journal* 2020: 100609. [CrossRef]
- Le, Thai Ha, Anh Tu Chuc, and Farhad Taghizadeh-Hesary. 2019. Financial Inclusion and Its Impact on Financial Efficiency and Sustainability: Empirical Evidence from Asia. *Borsa Istanbul Review* 19: 310–22. [CrossRef]
- Lee, Chien Chiang, and Chun Ping Chang. 2009. FDI, Financial Development, and Economic Growth: International Evidence. *Journal of Applied Economics* 12: 249–71. [CrossRef]
- Marcelin, Isaac, Aklesso Y.G. Egbendewe, Djoulassi K. Oloufade, and Wei Sun. 2022. Financial Inclusion, Bank Ownership, and Economy Performance: Evidence from Developing Countries. *Finance Research Letters* 46: 102322. [CrossRef]
- Mbekomize, Christian John, and Mogotsinyana Mapharing. 2017. Analysis of Determinants of Profitability of Commercial Banks in Botswana. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences* 7: 131–144. [CrossRef]
- Neaime, Simon, and Isabelle Gaysset. 2018. Financial Inclusion and Stability in MENA: Evidence from Poverty and Inequality. *Finance Research Letters* 24: 199–220. [CrossRef]
- Neves, Maria Elisabete, Carla Henriques, and João Vilas. 2021. Financial Performance Assessment of Electricity Companies: Evidence from Portugal. *Operational Research* 21: 2809–57. [CrossRef]
- Ozili, Peterson K. 2018. Impact of Digital Finance on Financial Inclusion and Stability. Borsa Istanbul Review 18: 329–40. [CrossRef]
- Ozili, Peterson K. 2021. Financial Inclusion Research around the World: A Review. Forum for Social Economics 50: 457–79. [CrossRef]

Economies 2022, 10, 247 15 of 15

Patwardhan, Anju. 2018. Financial Inclusion in the Digital Age. In *Handbook of Blockchain, Digital Finance, and Inclusion*. Amsterdam: Elsevier, vol. 1, pp. 57–89. [CrossRef]

- PMA. 2020. Palestine Monetary Authority, Annual Report 2020. Ramallah—Palestine. Available online: https://www.pma.ps/en/Publications//AnnualReports (accessed on 20 May 2022).
- Ramzan, Muhammad, Muhammad Amin, and Muhammad Abbas. 2021. How Does Corporate Social Responsibility Affect Financial Performance, Financial Stability, and Financial Inclusion in the Banking Sector? Evidence from Pakistan. *Research in International Business and Finance* 55: 101314. [CrossRef]
- Ratnawati, Kusuma. 2020. The Influence of Financial Inclusion on MSMEs' Performance Through Financial Intermediation and Access to Capital. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business* 7: 205–18. [CrossRef]
- Sarma, Mandira, and Jesim Pais. 2008. Financial Inclusion and Development: A Cross Country Analysis. In Annual Conference of the Human Development and Capability Association, New Delhi 168: 1–30. [CrossRef]
- Schultz, Emma L., David T. Tan, and Kathleen D. Walsh. 2010. Endogeneity and the Corporate Governance-Performance Relation. *Australian Journal of Management* 35: 145–63. [CrossRef]
- Shihadeh, Fadi. 2020. The Influence of Financial Inclusion on Banks' Performance and Risk: New Evidence from MENAP. *Banks and Bank Systems* 15: 59–71. [CrossRef]
- Shihadeh, Fadi. 2021. Financial Inclusion and Banks' Performance: Evidence from Palestine. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations* 18: 126–38. [CrossRef]
- Shihadeh, Fadi, and Bo Liu. 2019. Does Financial Inclusion Influence the Banks Risk and Performance? Evidence from Global Prospects. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal* 23: 1–12.
- Shihadeh, Fadi Hassan, Azzam Mohammed Tayseer Hannon, Jian Guan, Ihtisham Ul Haq, and Xiuhua Wang. 2018. Does Financial Inclusion Improve the Banks' Performance? Evidence from Jordan. *Research in Finance* 34: 117–38. [CrossRef]
- Tran, Son Hung, and Liem Thanh Nguyen. 2020. Financial Development, Business Cycle and Bank Risk in Southeast Asian Countries. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business* 7: 127–35. [CrossRef]
- Tran, Vuong Thao, Chien-Ting Lin, and Hoa Nguyen. 2016. Liquidity Creation, Regulatory Capital, and Bank Profitability. *International Review of Financial Analysis* 48: 98–109. [CrossRef]
- Trujillo-Ponce, Antonio. 2013. What Determines the Profitability of Banks? Evidence from Spain. *Accounting & Finance* 53: 561–86. [CrossRef]
- Vo, Duc H., and Nhan T. Nguyen. 2021. Does Financial Inclusion Improve Bank Performance in the Asian Region? *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature* 35: 123–35. [CrossRef]
- Zamil, Izdihar Abdullah, Suresh Ramakrishnan, Noriza Mohd Jamal, Majeed Abdulhussein Hatif, and Saleh F.A. Khatib. 2021. Drivers of Corporate Voluntary Disclosure: A Systematic Review. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting, ahead of print*. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, Lu, Yuan George Shan, and Millicent Chang. 2020. Can CSR Disclosure Protect Firm Reputation During Financial Restatements? *Journal of Business Ethics* 173: 157–84. [CrossRef]