

Stark, Oded

Working Paper

Work effort, moderation in expulsion, and illegal migration

ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy, No. 109

Provided in Cooperation with:

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung / Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Stark, Oded (2006) : Work effort, moderation in expulsion, and illegal migration, ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy, No. 109, University of Bonn, Center for Development Research (ZEF), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/32292>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



ZEF Bonn
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung
Center for Development Research
Universität Bonn

Oded Stark

Number
109

**Work Effort,
Moderation in Expulsion,
and Illegal Migration**

ZEF – Discussion Papers on Development Policy
Bonn, May 2006

The CENTER FOR DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH (ZEF) was established in 1995 as an international, interdisciplinary research institute at the University of Bonn. Research and teaching at ZEF aims to contribute to resolving political, economic and ecological development problems. ZEF closely cooperates with national and international partners in research and development organizations. For information, see: <http://www.zef.de>.

ZEF – DISCUSSION PAPERS ON DEVELOPMENT POLICY are intended to stimulate discussion among researchers, practitioners and policy makers on current and emerging development issues. Each paper has been exposed to an internal discussion within the Center for Development Research (ZEF) and an external review. The papers mostly reflect work in progress.

Oded Stark: Work Effort, Moderation in Expulsion, and Illegal Migration, ZEF – Discussion Papers On Development Policy No. 109, Center for Development Research, Bonn, May 2006, pp. 11.

ISSN: 1436-9931

Published by:

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF)

Center for Development Research

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3

D – 53113 Bonn

Germany

Phone: +49-228-73-1861

Fax: +49-228-73-1869

E-Mail: zef@uni-bonn.de

<http://www.zef.de>

The author:

Oded Stark, Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany
(contact: ostark@uni-bonn.de).

Contents

Acknowledgements

Abstract 1

Kurzfassung 2

1 The General Argument 3

2 Detailed Reasoning 4

3 Concluding Remarks 9

References 11

Acknowledgements

I am indebted to Walter Hyll for helpful advice and to E. Kwan Choi for enlightening comments.

Abstract

Illegal migrants supply a valuable productive input: effort. But their status as illegals means that these migrants face a strictly positive probability of expulsion. A return to their country of origin entails reduced earnings when the wage at origin is lower than the wage at destination. This prospect induces illegal migrants to exert more work effort than comparable workers who face no such prospect. The lower the probable, alternative earnings, the harsher the penalty that illegal migrants will be subjected to upon their return, for a *given* probability of expulsion, and the higher the level of effort they will exert at destination. While the home-country wage that awaits the illegal migrants upon their return is exogenous to the host country, the *probability* of their return is not. Given the home-country wage, a higher probability of expulsion will induce illegal migrants to supply more effort. Hence, different combinations of probabilities of expulsion and home-country wages yield the same level of effort. Thus, variation in the extent to which receiving countries undertake measures aimed at apprehending and expelling illegal migrants can be attributed not to characteristics of the illegal migrants themselves but to a feature that pertains to the illegal migrants' country of origin.

Kurzfassung

Illegale Migranten liefern einen wertvollen produktiven Input: Anstrengung. Aber ihr Status als Illegale bedeutet, dass die Wahrscheinlichkeit ihrer Ausweisung strikt positiv ist. Eine Rückkehr in ihre Herkunftsländer bringt dann ein geringeres Einkommen mit sich, wenn der Arbeitslohn dort niedriger ist als im Zielland. Diese Aussicht führt bei illegalen Migranten dazu, stärkere Arbeitsanstrengungen zu unternehmen als vergleichbare Arbeiter, die solchen Aussichten nicht ausgesetzt sind. Je niedriger die zu erwartenden, alternativen Einkünfte sind, desto herber fallen die Nachteile für die Migranten bei einer *gegebenen* Wahrscheinlichkeit der Ausweisung nach ihrer Rückkehr aus und desto stärker sind deshalb ihre Anstrengungen in den Zielländern. Zwar hat das Gastland keinen Einfluss auf die Höhe des Einkommens, welches die illegalen Migranten nach ihrer Rückkehr erwartet, aber auf die *Wahrscheinlichkeit* ihrer Rückkehr kann es schon einwirken. Bei gegebenem Arbeitslohn im Herkunftsland wird eine höhere Wahrscheinlichkeit der Ausweisung zu mehr Arbeitsanstrengungen durch die illegalen Migranten führen. Folglich führen unterschiedliche Kombinationen von Wahrscheinlichkeiten der Ausweisung und von Arbeitslöhnen in den Herkunftsländern zu einem gleichen Maß an Anstrengung. Deshalb können Schwankungen hinsichtlich des Umfangs, mit dem Zielländer Maßnahmen zur Festnahme und Ausweisung illegaler Migranten durchführen, nicht auf Charakteristika illegaler Migranten selbst zurückgeführt werden, sondern auf eine im Herkunftsland des illegalen Migranten anzutreffende Situation.

1 The General Argument

Countries differ in the extent to which they are lenient or harsh toward the illegal migrants in their midst, and particular countries appear to treat such migrants differently at different times. Most of the countries of southern Europe, whose illegal migrants come largely from North Africa where wages are very low, have been much more lenient than the countries of northern Europe whose illegal migrants have often come largely from southern Europe where wages are not so low. Illegal migrants in Israel have lately been treated very harshly - a special government authority was set up to interdict and expel illegal migrants - a policy shift that closely follows a compositional change in the population of illegal migrants from workers coming largely from the West Bank and Gaza Strip to workers who increasingly originate from eastern Europe. While there could be cultural, sociological, or political reasons for this diversity, there may be an economic explanation for the apparent variation in the degree of moderation in expulsion policy, henceforth referred to as the “tolerance” accorded to illegal migrants.

Illegal migrants supply a valuable productive input: effort. But their status as illegals means that they face a strictly positive probability of expulsion. A return to their country of origin entails reduced earnings for them when the wage at origin is lower than the wage at destination. This prospect induces illegal migrants to exert more effort than comparable workers who face no such prospect. The lower the probable alternative home-country earnings, the harsher the penalty for illegal migrants on their return - for a *given* probability of expulsion - and the harder they will work at destination. While the home-country wage that awaits the illegal migrants upon their return is exogenous to the host country, the *probability* of their return is not. Given the home-country wage, a higher probability of expulsion will induce illegal migrants to apply more effort. Hence, different combinations of probabilities of expulsion and home-country wages yield the same level of effort. In particular, a high home-country wage combined with a high probability of return will elicit the same level of effort as will a low home-country wage combined with a low probability of return.

Similarly, a change in the composition of the group of illegal migrants by country of origin, or a change in the wage rate in a given country of origin, will induce a corresponding shift in enforcement policy in the receiving country. Thus, variation in the extent to which receiving countries undertake measures aimed at apprehending and expelling illegal migrants can be attributed not to characteristics of the illegal migrants themselves, but to a feature of the illegal migrants’ countries of origin.

2 Detailed Reasoning

An advantage associated with the “admission” of illegal migrants is that they supply more effort for a given destination wage than legal migrants (Proposition 1 below). The reason for the differential supply response is that while by definition legal migrants have permission to stay, illegal migrants face a strictly positive probability of expulsion, and consequently a strictly positive probability of losing the high wages that they enjoy at present. Even if the probability that legal migrants will be asked or be compelled to leave is not zero, this probability is likely to be lower than the corresponding probability for illegal migrants. (When the downswing of a business cycle hits hard, legal migrants are often induced, requested, or even pressured to return to their home country. Similarly, social pressures by an alienated indigenous population can compel return migration.) Given a strictly positive probability of expulsion, a lower wage at origin will elicit greater effort at destination (Proposition 2 below). The reason for this relationship is that since a lower home-country wage inflicts a harsher penalty upon expulsion, the response aimed at mitigating the adverse outcome is stronger.

Let W_F be the wage rate at the destination country, and let W_H be the wage rate at the home country, such that $W_F > W_H$. Let e be the level of work effort, henceforth effort, exerted by illegal migrants at destination, and let $-U(e)$ be the twice differentiable disutility of effort, measured in money terms, such that the marginal utility from exerting effort is positive and rising: $\frac{\partial U}{\partial e} > 0$; $\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial e^2} > 0$. Let t be a measure of the tolerance of the government of the country of destination toward the illegal migrants in the country, and let $P(e, t)$ be the twice differentiable probability of *not* being expelled, such that the first order effects of e and t on P are positive, and the second order effects are negative, namely the impact of effort exertion on the probability of not being expelled is positive¹, $\frac{\partial P}{\partial e} > 0$, and declining, $\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e^2} < 0$; the impact of the level of tolerance on the probability of not being expelled is positive, $\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} > 0$, and declining $\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial t^2} < 0$; and, since the impact of both the degree of effort exertion and the level of tolerance on the probability of not being expelled is positive, the effect of an increase in the level of tolerance on the impact that effort bears on the probability of not being expelled is assumed to attenuate

¹ Illegal migrants who work diligently and hard (say put in more hours a day) are less likely to be fired, be unemployed, be lured into unproductive activities, get into trouble with the law, or constitute a burden to the society that hosts them.

this impact, $\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e \partial t} < 0$. For simplicity's sake, let the level of effort exerted by the illegal migrant at the home country be normalized at zero.

The illegal migrant seeks to maximize his net earnings, that is, his expected wage minus the cost (disutility) of effort. The net earnings per illegal migrant function associated with effort level e is thus

$$V(e) = P(e, t)W_F + [1 - P(e, t)]W_H - U(e). \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$V(e) - W_H = P(e, t)(W_F - W_H) - U(e) \quad (1')$$

where the left-hand side of (1') is the net gain to the illegal migrant from working in the destination country. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V(e) - W_H \geq 0$.

The decision problem of the illegal migrant is how much effort to exert. In this setting, since

$$\frac{\partial V(e)}{\partial e} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial e}(W_F - W_H) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial e},$$

the illegal migrant's chosen level of effort², $e^*(t, W_H, W_F)$, is implicitly given by

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial e}(W_F - W_H) - \frac{\partial U}{\partial e} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Proposition 1. Illegal migrants supply more effort for a given destination wage rate than legal migrants.³

² From the properties $\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e^2} < 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial e^2} > 0$ it follows that the second-order condition for a maximum,

$\frac{\partial^2 V(e)}{\partial e^2} = \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e^2}(W_F - W_H) - \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial e^2} < 0$, holds.

³ Clearly, if all the migrants are to be treated equally and if the migrants' continued stay in the country of destination is independent of their effort, then all the migrants will exert the same effort and their home-country wage will play no role in determining their effort.

Proof. Legal migrants can be characterized by a large t , while illegal migrants can be characterized by a small t . Since from (2),

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e^2} de^* + \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e \partial t} dt \right) (W_F - W_H) = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial e^2} de^*,$$

we have that

$$\frac{de^*}{dt} = \frac{-\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e \partial t} (W_F - W_H)}{\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e^2} (W_F - W_H) - \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial e^2}} < 0.$$

Hence the proposition follows. \square

Proposition 2. Given a strictly positive probability of expulsion, a lower wage rate at origin elicits a larger effort at destination.

Proof. Since from (2),

$$\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e^2} (W_F - W_H) de^* - \frac{\partial P}{\partial e} dW_H = \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial e^2} de^*,$$

we have that

$$\frac{de^*}{dW_H} = \frac{\frac{\partial P}{\partial e}}{\frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial e^2} (W_F - W_H) - \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial e^2}} < 0.$$

Hence the proposition follows. \square

Corollary. The same level of effort by illegal migrants will be elicited by a combination of a low wage at origin and a low probability of expulsion as by a high wage at origin and a high probability of expulsion.

Apprehending and expelling illegal migrants is costly. While (ordinarily) the government of the host country cannot affect the wage rate that prevails in the illegal migrants' home country, it can, at least to some extent, choose the level of resources it allocates to interdiction. From the perspective of the host-country government, the sanction of expulsion is an effective but not costless policy tool to procure a desirable degree of effort. Since expulsion lowers earnings, illegal migrants seek to dampen the probability of their expulsion by exerting more

effort. Yet maintaining any positive level of the probability of expulsion requires outlays on apprehension and deportation. Suppose that the balance of benefits and costs associated with the “production” of expulsion probability $1 - P(e, t)$ yields an optimal level of effort (from the perspective of the government of the host country), \tilde{e} , for a given home-country wage \tilde{W}_H . From the Corollary it follows that a destination country that is anxious to encourage the efforts of its illegal migrants can “buy off” the desirable effort \tilde{e} more cheaply when the illegal migrants’ home-country wage is lower than \tilde{W}_H .

To see how the optimal outlay on apprehension and deportation of illegal migrants rises in tandem with the illegal migrants’ home-country wage or, put differently, how a lower home-country wage enables the host country to economize on the optimal cost of interdiction as a device for eliciting desirable effort, consider the following framework.

The host country and the illegal migrant play a Stackelberg game in which the host country is the leader while the illegal migrant is the follower. In the first step of the game, the host country sets and announces the tolerance level t . In the second step, the illegal migrant chooses the effort level e . The host country finds the optimal tolerance level by backward induction. If the host country sets a “target” level \tilde{e} , then the corresponding tolerance level \tilde{t} is the solution to the equation $\tilde{e} = e^*(\tilde{t}, \tilde{W}_H, W_F)$. Specifically, let $C(t)$ be the cost of migration law enforcement per illegal migrant in the host country at the tolerance level t , $\frac{\partial C}{\partial t} < 0$. The host country’s economy benefits from the illegal migrant’s exertion of effort, e . Let the benefit be $B(e)$ with $\frac{\partial B}{\partial e} > 0$. Thus, the host country will have a net benefit (economic rent) of $B(e) - C(t)$ per illegal migrant in terms of its GDP. For simplicity, let us assume that the host country maximizes the surplus $B(e) - C(t)$. The first-order condition is

$$\frac{\partial B(e)}{\partial e} \frac{\partial e^*}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial C}{\partial t}. \quad (3)$$

Solving (3) yields the host country’s optimal tolerance level \tilde{t} ; and then at \tilde{t} , the illegal migrant’s optimal level of effort $\tilde{e} = e^*(\tilde{t}, \tilde{W}_H, W_F)$ for a given home-country wage \tilde{W}_H . With a higher \tilde{W}_H , \tilde{t} has to fall to elicit the same level of effort $\tilde{e} = e^*(\tilde{t}, \tilde{W}_H, W_F)$, that is,

$$\left. \frac{\partial \tilde{t}}{\partial \tilde{W}_H} \right|_{d\tilde{e}=0} < 0. \quad \text{Hence we have that} \quad \left. \frac{\partial C(\tilde{t})}{\partial \tilde{W}_H} \right|_{d\tilde{e}=0} > 0.$$

This consideration suggests that a country that hosts illegal migrants from poorer countries will be more tolerant of illegal migration than a country whose illegal migrants originate from countries that are less poor. An apparent warm compassion could be the outcome

of cool consideration. Likewise, a country that seeks to elicit a particular level of effort from its labor force of illegal migrants and that faces a rise in the share of migrants from poorer countries, can relax its apprehension and deportation policy. While this approach gives the appearance of benevolent tolerance, the underlying reason for the policy shift is a recognition that it is possible to procure toil more cheaply.

This result relates to the interesting issue of the role and prevalence - or absence - of altruism as a motive in human and economic affairs. Let us refer to illegal migrants who originate from a country in which the wage rate is relatively low as poor, and to illegal migrants who originate from a country with a relatively high wage rate as less poor. A straightforward implication of altruistic inclinations is to accord the poor a more generous treatment than the less poor. The inference from conduct to motive suggests that altruism is at work when a country with poor illegal migrants is more lenient toward the illegal migrants than a country with less poor illegal migrants. The reason provided in this paper for the differential treatment of the poor and the less poor implies that inferring from consequence to reason requires caution; seemingly altruistic acts can emanate from pure self (country) interest.

3 Concluding Remarks

By and large, wage rates have featured in migration research in one of two ways: explaining migration, and explaining labour market outcomes. The explanatory variable of migration that has been studied most closely is the wage differential. There has also been considerable interest in the wages that migrants earn. Presumably this interest has arisen because these wages are seen as a measure of migrants' relative and absolute success and as determinants of their consumption (savings), their remittances, and their capacity to self-finance human capital investments. Correspondingly the productive characteristics of migrants as determinants of their wage earnings were studied closely. A related interest has been the effect of the arrival of migrants on the wage earnings of native-born workers. Recently attention has been drawn to the wages that migrants do *not* earn as determinants of migrants' performance. This line of work is prompted by the idea that although the economic performance of migrants in the host country undoubtedly depends on qualifications, it is also affected by inclinations. Given the probability of return migration, a behavioral link has been established between the incentive of migrants to save in their country of destination and the prevailing wage rate in their home country such that migrants coming from a low-wage country have been shown to optimally save more than migrants from a high-wage country (Stark, 2002). It has further been shown that the relationship between the home-country's wage and the optimal level of savings at destination can shed fresh light on the intertemporal variation in the economic performance of successive cohorts of migrants from a given country of origin. Much of the interesting literature, eloquently reviewed by Lalonde and Topel (1997), on the convergence of the earnings of migrants and those of the native-born views the observed pattern as an artifact; the pattern arises not from an upgrading of the skills of a given cohort of migrants but from a change in the unobserved skills of successive cohorts of migrants. Suppose that cohort $k+1$ is drawn from a section of the home-country distribution of unobserved skills that is to the left of the section from which cohort k is drawn. If skills, productivity, and earnings correlate positively, the cohort k migrants will outperform the cohort $k+1$ migrants, giving rise to the false impression that the performance of migrants improves over time spent at destination. The finding that a lower wage at origin prompts higher savings at destination suggests a new explanation of the observed pattern. Presumably, in time, the home-country's wage rises. The finding implies that the incentive facing the cohort $k+1$ migrants differs from the incentive that the cohort k migrants had faced, such that the optimal savings and thereby the mean income of the cohort $k+1$ migrants are *lower* than those of the cohort k migrants. The variation in the economic performance of migrants may thus be explained by neither skills nor assimilation but rather by incentives.

The present paper continues this line of research. It shows how the wage at origin *after* migration, that is, the wage that migrants have given up but would earn if compelled to return,

affects behavior at destination, and how variation in this wage interacts with a variation in the degree of tolerance accorded to illegal migrants by the host country. The complete story of how pre-migration wages impinge on post-migration preferences, choices, and outcomes is yet to be written.

References

Lalonde, Robert J. and Robert H. Topel (1997): Economic Impact of International Migration and the Economic Performance of Migrants, in: Mark R. Rosenzweig and Oded Stark (eds.): *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*, Amsterdam: North-Holland.

Stark, Oded (2002): On a Variation in the Economic Performance of Migrants by their Home Country's Wage, *Pacific Economic Review* 7: 115-121.

The following papers have been published so far:

- | | | |
|--------|--|--|
| No. 1 | Ulrike Grote,
Arnab Basu,
Diana Weinhold | Child Labor and the International Policy Debate
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1998, pp. 47. |
| No. 2 | Patrick Webb,
Maria Iskandarani | Water Insecurity and the Poor: Issues and Research Needs
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
Oktober 1998, pp. 66. |
| No. 3 | Matin Qaim,
Joachim von Braun | Crop Biotechnology in Developing Countries: A
Conceptual Framework for Ex Ante Economic Analyses
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
November 1998, pp. 24. |
| No. 4 | Sabine Seibel,
Romeo Bertolini,
Dietrich Müller-Falcke | Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologien in
Entwicklungsländern
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 1999, pp. 50. |
| No. 5 | Jean-Jacques Dethier | Governance and Economic Performance: A Survey
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 1999, pp. 62. |
| No. 6 | Mingzhi Sheng | Lebensmittelhandel und Kosumtrends in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 1999, pp. 57. |
| No. 7 | Arjun Bedi | The Role of Information and Communication Technologies
in Economic Development – A Partial Survey
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 1999, pp. 42. |
| No. 8 | Abdul Bayes,
Joachim von Braun,
Rasheda Akhter | Village Pay Phones and Poverty Reduction: Insights from
a Grameen Bank Initiative in Bangladesh
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 1999, pp. 47. |
| No. 9 | Johannes Jütting | Strengthening Social Security Systems in Rural Areas of
Developing Countries
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 1999, pp. 44. |
| No. 10 | Mamdouh Nasr | Assessing Desertification and Water Harvesting in the
Middle East and North Africa: Policy Implications
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
July 1999, pp. 59. |
| No. 11 | Oded Stark,
Yong Wang | Externalities, Human Capital Formation and Corrective
Migration Policy
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 17. |

- No. 12 John Msuya Nutrition Improvement Projects in Tanzania: Appropriate Choice of Institutions Matters
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 36.
- No. 13 Liu Junhai Legal Reforms in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 90.
- No. 14 Lukas Menkhoff Bad Banking in Thailand? An Empirical Analysis of Macro Indicators
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 38.
- No. 15 Kaushalesh Lal Information Technology and Exports: A Case Study of Indian Garments Manufacturing Enterprises
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 24.
- No. 16 Detlef Virchow Spending on Conservation of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: How much and how efficient?
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1999, pp. 37.
- No. 17 Arnulf Heuermann Die Bedeutung von Telekommunikationsdiensten für wirtschaftliches Wachstum
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1999, pp. 33.
- No. 18 Ulrike Grote,
Arnab Basu,
Nancy Chau The International Debate and Economic Consequences of Eco-Labeling
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1999, pp. 37.
- No. 19 Manfred Zeller Towards Enhancing the Role of Microfinance for Safety Nets of the Poor
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 1999, pp. 30.
- No. 20 Ajay Mahal,
Vivek Srivastava,
Deepak Sanan Decentralization and Public Sector Delivery of Health and Education Services: The Indian Experience
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2000, pp. 77.
- No. 21 M. Andreini,
N. van de Giesen,
A. van Edig,
M. Fosu,
W. Andah Volta Basin Water Balance
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 29.
- No. 22 Susanna Wolf,
Dominik Spoden Allocation of EU Aid towards ACP-Countries
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 59.

- No. 23 Uta Schultze Insights from Physics into Development Processes: Are Fat Tails Interesting for Development Research?
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 21.
- No. 24 Joachim von Braun,
Ulrike Grote,
Johannes Jütting Zukunft der Entwicklungszusammenarbeit
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 25.
- No. 25 Oded Stark,
You Qiang Wang A Theory of Migration as a Response to Relative
Deprivation
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 16.
- No. 26 Doris Wiesmann,
Joachim von Braun,
Torsten Feldbrügge An International Nutrition Index – Successes and Failures
in Addressing Hunger and Malnutrition
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2000, pp. 56.
- No. 27 Maximo Torero The Access and Welfare Impacts of Telecommunications
Technology in Peru
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2000, pp. 30.
- No. 28 Thomas Hartmann-
Wendels
Lukas Menkhoff Could Tighter Prudential Regulation Have Saved Thailand's
Banks?
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
July 2000, pp. 40.
- No. 29 Mahendra Dev Economic Liberalisation and Employment in South Asia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2000, pp. 82.
- No. 30 Noha El-Mikawy,
Amr Hashem,
Maye Kassem,
Ali El-Sawi,
Abdel Hafez El-Sawy,
Mohamed Showman Institutional Reform of Economic Legislation in Egypt
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2000, pp. 72.
- No. 31 Kakoli Roy,
Susanne Ziemek On the Economics of Volunteering
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2000, pp. 47.
- No. 32 Assefa Admassie The Incidence of Child Labour in Africa with Empirical
Evidence from Rural Ethiopia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2000, pp. 61.
- No. 33 Jagdish C. Katyal,
Paul L.G. Vlek Desertification - Concept, Causes and Amelioration
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2000, pp. 65.

- No. 34 Oded Stark On a Variation in the Economic Performance of Migrants by their Home Country's Wage
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2000, pp. 10.
- No. 35 Ramón Lopéz Growth, Poverty and Asset Allocation: The Role of the State
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2001, pp. 35.
- No. 36 Kazuki Taketoshi Environmental Pollution and Policies in China's Township and Village Industrial Enterprises
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2001, pp. 37.
- No. 37 Noel Gaston,
Douglas Nelson Multinational Location Decisions and the Impact on Labour Markets
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2001, pp. 26.
- No. 38 Claudia Ringler Optimal Water Allocation in the Mekong River Basin
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2001, pp. 50.
- No. 39 Ulrike Grote,
Stefanie Kirchhoff Environmental and Food Safety Standards in the Context of Trade Liberalization: Issues and Options
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2001, pp. 43.
- No. 40 Renate Schubert,
Simon Dietz Environmental Kuznets Curve, Biodiversity and Sustainability
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2001, pp. 30.
- No. 41 Stefanie Kirchhoff,
Ana Maria Ibañez Displacement due to Violence in Colombia: Determinants and Consequences at the Household Level
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2001, pp. 45.
- No. 42 Francis Matambalya,
Susanna Wolf The Role of ICT for the Performance of SMEs in East Africa – Empirical Evidence from Kenya and Tanzania
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2001, pp. 30.
- No. 43 Oded Stark,
Ita Falk Dynasties and Destiny: On the Roles of Altruism and Impatience in the Evolution of Consumption and Bequests
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2001, pp. 20.
- No. 44 Assefa Admassie Allocation of Children's Time Endowment between Schooling and Work in Rural Ethiopia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2002, pp. 75.

- No. 45 Andreas Wimmer,
Conrad Schetter Staatsbildung zuerst. Empfehlungen zum Wiederaufbau und zur Befriedung Afghanistans. (German Version)
State-Formation First. Recommendations for Reconstruction and Peace-Making in Afghanistan. (English Version)
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2002, pp. 27.
- No. 46 Torsten Feldbrügge,
Joachim von Braun Is the World Becoming A More Risky Place?
- Trends in Disasters and Vulnerability to Them –
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2002, pp. 42
- No. 47 Joachim von Braun,
Peter Wobst,
Ulrike Grote “Development Box” and Special and Differential Treatment for
Food Security of Developing Countries:
Potentials, Limitations and Implementation Issues
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2002, pp. 28
- No. 48 Shyamal Chowdhury Attaining Universal Access: Public-Private Partnership and
Business-NGO Partnership
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2002, pp. 37
- No. 49 L. Adele Jinadu Ethnic Conflict & Federalism in Nigeria
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 2002, pp. 45
- No. 50 Oded Stark,
Yong Wang Overlapping
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2002, pp. 17
- No. 51 Roukayatou Zimmermann,
Matin Qaim Projecting the Benefits of Golden Rice in the Philippines
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 2002, pp. 33
- No. 52 Gautam Hazarika,
Arjun S. Bedi Schooling Costs and Child Labour in Rural Pakistan
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
October 2002, pp. 34
- No. 53 Margit Bussmann,
Indra de Soysa,
John R. O Neal The Effect of Foreign Investment on Economic Development
and Income Inequality
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2002, pp. 35
- No. 54 Maximo Torero,
Shyamal K. Chowdhury,
Virgilio Galdo Willingness to Pay for the Rural Telephone Service in
Bangladesh and Peru
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2002, pp. 39
- No. 55 Hans-Dieter Evers,
Thomas Menkhoff Selling Expert Knowledge: The Role of Consultants in
Singapore’s New Economy
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2002, pp. 29

- No. 56 Qiuxia Zhu
Stefanie Elbern Economic Institutional Evolution and Further Needs for Adjustments: Township Village Enterprises in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
November 2002, pp. 41
- No. 57 Ana Devic Prospects of Multicultural Regionalism As a Democratic Barrier Against Ethnonationalism: The Case of Vojvodina, Serbia´s "Multiethnic Haven"
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2002, pp. 29
- No. 58 Heidi Wittmer
Thomas Berger Clean Development Mechanism: Neue Potenziale für regenerative Energien? Möglichkeiten und Grenzen einer verstärkten Nutzung von Bioenergieträgern in Entwicklungsländern
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2002, pp. 81
- No. 59 Oded Stark Cooperation and Wealth
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2003, pp. 13
- No. 60 Rick Auty Towards a Resource-Driven Model of Governance: Application to Lower-Income Transition Economies
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2003, pp. 24
- No. 61 Andreas Wimmer
Indra de Soysa
Christian Wagner Political Science Tools for Assessing Feasibility and Sustainability of Reforms
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2003, pp. 34
- No. 62 Peter Wehrheim
Doris Wiesmann Food Security in Transition Countries: Conceptual Issues and Cross-Country Analyses
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2003, pp. 45
- No. 63 Rajeev Ahuja
Johannes Jütting Design of Incentives in Community Based Health Insurance Schemes
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2003, pp. 27
- No. 64 Sudip Mitra
Reiner Wassmann
Paul L.G. Vlek Global Inventory of Wetlands and their Role in the Carbon Cycle
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2003, pp. 44
- No. 65 Simon Reich Power, Institutions and Moral Entrepreneurs
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2003, pp. 46
- No. 66 Lukas Menkhoff
Chodechai Suwanaporn The Rationale of Bank Lending in Pre-Crisis Thailand
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2003, pp. 37

- | | | |
|--------|---|--|
| No. 67 | Ross E. Burkhardt
Indra de Soysa | Open Borders, Open Regimes? Testing Causal Direction
between Globalization and Democracy, 1970-2000
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2003, pp. 24 |
| No. 68 | Arnab K. Basu
Nancy H. Chau
Ulrike Grote | On Export Rivalry and the Greening of Agriculture – The Role
of Eco-labels
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2003, pp. 38 |
| No. 69 | Gerd R. Rücker
Soojin Park
Henry Ssali
John Pender | Strategic Targeting of Development Policies to a Complex
Region: A GIS-Based Stratification Applied to Uganda
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2003, pp. 41 |
| No. 70 | Susanna Wolf | Private Sector Development and Competitiveness in Ghana
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2003, pp. 29 |
| No. 71 | Oded Stark | Rethinking the Brain Drain
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2003, pp. 17 |
| No. 72 | Andreas Wimmer | Democracy and Ethno-Religious Conflict in Iraq
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2003, pp. 17 |
| No. 73 | Oded Stark | Tales of Migration without Wage Differentials: Individual,
Family, and Community Contexts
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 2003, pp. 15 |
| No. 74 | Holger Seebens
Peter Wobst | The Impact of Increased School Enrollment on Economic
Growth in Tanzania
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2003, pp. 25 |
| No. 75 | Benedikt Korf | Ethnicized Entitlements? Property Rights and Civil War
in Sri Lanka
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
November 2003, pp. 26 |
| No. 76 | Wolfgang Werner | Toasted Forests – Evergreen Rain Forests of Tropical Asia under
Drought Stress
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2003, pp. 46 |
| No. 77 | Appukkuttannair
Damodaran
Stefanie Engel | Joint Forest Management in India: Assessment of Performance
and Evaluation of Impacts
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2003, pp. 44 |

- No. 78 Eric T. Craswell
Ulrike Grote
Julio Henao
Paul L.G. Vlek Nutrient Flows in Agricultural Production and International Trade: Ecology and Policy Issues
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 62
- No. 79 Richard Pomfret Resource Abundance, Governance and Economic Performance in Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 20
- No. 80 Anil Markandya Gains of Regional Cooperation: Environmental Problems and Solutions
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 24
- No. 81 Akram Esanov,
Martin Raiser,
Willem Buiter Gains of Nature's Blessing or Nature's Curse: The Political Economy of Transition in Resource-Based Economies
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 22
- No. 82 John M. Msuya
Johannes P. Jütting
Abay Asfaw Impacts of Community Health Insurance Schemes on Health Care Provision in Rural Tanzania
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 26
- No. 83 Bernardina Algieri The Effects of the Dutch Disease in Russia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 41
- No. 84 Oded Stark On the Economics of Refugee Flows
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2004, pp. 8
- No. 85 Shyamal K. Chowdhury Do Democracy and Press Freedom Reduce Corruption? Evidence from a Cross Country Study
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2004, pp. 33
- No. 86 Qiuxia Zhu The Impact of Rural Enterprises on Household Savings in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2004, pp. 51
- No. 87 Abay Asfaw
Klaus Frohberg
K.S.James
Johannes Jütting Modeling the Impact of Fiscal Decentralization on Health Outcomes: Empirical Evidence from India
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2004, pp. 29
- No. 88 Maja B. Micevska
Arnab K. Hazra The Problem of Court Congestion: Evidence from Indian Lower Courts
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
July 2004, pp. 31

- No. 89 Donald Cox
Oded Stark On the Demand for Grandchildren: Tied Transfers and the Demonstration Effect
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 2004, pp. 44
- No. 90 Stefanie Engel
Ramón López Exploiting Common Resources with Capital-Intensive Technologies: The Role of External Forces
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
November 2004, pp. 32
- No. 91 Hartmut Ihne Heuristic Considerations on the Typology of Groups and Minorities
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 24
- No. 92 Johannes Sauer
Klaus Frohberg
Heinrich Hockmann Black-Box Frontiers and Implications for Development Policy – Theoretical Considerations
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 38
- No. 93 Hoa Ngyuen
Ulrike Grote Agricultural Policies in Vietnam: Producer Support Estimates, 1986-2002
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 79
- No. 94 Oded Stark
You Qiang Wang Towards a Theory of Self-Segregation as a Response to Relative Deprivation: Steady-State Outcomes and Social Welfare
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 25
- No. 95 Oded Stark Status Aspirations, Wealth Inequality, and Economic Growth
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2005, pp. 9
- No. 96 John K. Mduma
Peter Wobst Village Level Labor Market Development in Tanzania: Evidence from Spatial Econometrics
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2005, pp. 42
- No. 97 Ramon Lopez
Edward B. Barbier Debt and Growth
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
March 2005, pp. 30
- No. 98 Hardwick Tchale
Johannes Sauer
Peter Wobst Impact of Alternative Soil Fertility Management Options on Maize Productivity in Malawi's Smallholder Farming System
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
August 2005, pp. 29

ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy

- No. 99 Steve Boucher
Oded Stark
J. Edward Taylor A Gain with a Drain? Evidence from Rural Mexico on the New Economics of the Brain Drain
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
October 2005, pp. 26
- No. 100 Jumanne Abdallah
Johannes Sauer Efficiency and Biodiversity – Empirical Evidence from Tanzania
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
November 2005, pp. 34
- No. 101 Tobias Debiel Dealing with Fragile States – Entry Points and Approaches for Development Cooperation
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
December 2005, pp. 38
- No. 102 Sayan Chakrabarty
Ulrike Grote
Guido Lüchters The Trade-Off Between Child Labor and Schooling: Influence of Social Labeling NGOs in Nepal
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
February 2006, pp. 35
- No. 103 Bhagirath Behera
Stefanie Engel Who Forms Local Institutions? Levels of Household Participation in India's Joint Forest Management Program
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
February 2006, pp. 37
- No. 104 Roukayatou Zimmermann
Faruk Ahmed Rice Biotechnology and Its Potential to Combat Vitamin A Deficiency: A Case Study of Golden Rice in Bangladesh
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
March 2006, pp. 31
- No. 105 Adama Konseiga Household Migration Decisions as Survival Strategy: The Case of Burkina Faso
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 36
- No. 106 Ulrike Grote
Stefanie Engel
Benjamin Schraven Migration due to the Tsunami in Sri Lanka – Analyzing Vulnerability and Migration at the Household Level
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 37
- No. 107 Stefan Blum East Africa: Cycles of Violence, and the Paradox of Peace
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 42
- No. 108 Ahmed Farouk Ghoneim
Ulrike Grote Impact of Labor Standards on Egyptian Exports with Special Emphasis on Child Labor
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 50

No. 109 Oded Stark

Work Effort, Moderation in Expulsion,
and Illegal Migration
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
May 2006, pp. 11

ISSN: 1436-9931

Printed copies of ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy up to No.91 can be ordered free of charge from:

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF)
Center for Development Research
Walter-Flex-Str. 3
D – 53113 Bonn, Germany

Phone: +49-228-73-1861
Fax: +49-228-73-1869
E-Mail: zef@uni-bonn.de
<http://www.zef.de>

The issues as from No.92 are only available as pdf-download at ZEF Website.