

Stark, Oded; Fan, C. Simon

Working Paper

A reluctance to assimilate

ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy, No. 111

Provided in Cooperation with:

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung / Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn

Suggested Citation: Stark, Oded; Fan, C. Simon (2006) : A reluctance to assimilate, ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy, No. 111, University of Bonn, Center for Development Research (ZEF), Bonn

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/32279>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



ZEF Bonn
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung
Center for Development Research
Universität Bonn

Oded Stark, C. Simon Fan

Number
111 **A Reluctance to Assimilate**

ZEF – Discussion Papers on Development Policy
Bonn, October 2006

The CENTER FOR DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH (ZEF) was established in 1995 as an international, interdisciplinary research institute at the University of Bonn. Research and teaching at ZEF aims to contribute to resolving political, economic and ecological development problems. ZEF closely cooperates with national and international partners in research and development organizations. For information, see: <http://www.zef.de>.

ZEF – DISCUSSION PAPERS ON DEVELOPMENT POLICY are intended to stimulate discussion among researchers, practitioners and policy makers on current and emerging development issues. Each paper has been exposed to an internal discussion within the Center for Development Research (ZEF) and an external review. The papers mostly reflect work in progress.

Oded Stark, C. Simon Fan: A Reluctance to Assimilate, ZEF – Discussion Papers on Development Policy No. 111, Center for Development Research, Bonn, October 2006, pp. 12.

ISSN: 1436-9931

Published by:

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF)

Center for Development Research

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3

D – 53113 Bonn

Germany

Phone: +49-228-73-1861

Fax: +49-228-73-1869

E-Mail: zef@uni-bonn.de

<http://www.zef.de>

The authors:

Oded Stark, Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany (contact: ostark@uni-bonn.de).

C. Simon Fan, Lingnan University, Tuen Mun, Hong Kong (contact: fansimon@ln.edu.hk).

Contents

Acknowledgements	
Abstract	1
Kurzfassung	2
1 Introduction	3
2 Analysis	5
3 Conclusion	10
References	11

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to Donald Cox, Ewa Kepinska, Maja Micevska, and Anna Zylicz for enlightening comments.

Abstract

Quite often, migrants appear to exert little effort to absorb the mainstream culture and to learn the language of their host society, even though the economic returns (increased productivity and enhanced earnings) to assimilation are high. We show that when interpersonal comparisons affect individuals' wellbeing and when a more intensive assimilation results in migrants' comparing themselves more with the richer natives and less with fellow migrants, then the effort extended to assimilate will be muted.

Kurzfassung

Migranten scheinen recht häufig nur geringe Anstrengungen zu unternehmen, die Kultur und die Sprache ihres Gastlandes anzunehmen bzw. zu erlernen, obwohl die ökonomischen Gewinne der Assimilation (gesteigerte Produktivität und höhere Einkommen) hoch sind. Wir zeigen, dass die erhöhte Anstrengung sich zu assimilieren, dadurch gedämpft wird, dass Migranten es im Hinblick auf zwischenmenschliche Vergleiche, die das Wohlergehen von Individuen beeinflussen, vorziehen, sich stärker mit den anderen Migranten und weniger mit den wohlhabeneren Einheimischen zu vergleichen.

1 Introduction

Common culture and common language facilitate communication and interaction between individuals. Consequently, migrants' assimilation into the mainstream culture of their host country is likely to increase their productivity and earnings. There is considerable empirical research on the relationship between migrants' assimilation and their earnings, and this literature often finds that migrants exert little effort to absorb the mainstream culture and language, even though the economic returns to assimilation are high.¹ For example, Lazear (1999, p. S96) concludes: "Multiculturalism seems to be on the rise in the United States..... In 1900, 85 percent of immigrants were fluent in English. Surprisingly, in 1990 the fluency rate among immigrants was only 68 percent, despite dramatic improvements in communication during the century." This is puzzling. In a recent compilation (Friedman and Randeria, 2004) the failure of migrants to integrate, conform, and assimilate is portrayed quite extensively. The contributors to the compilation express concern and explore possible means of transforming migrants into "ethnics" and "nationals." However, the proposed policies do not allow that migrants could optimally elect *not* to assimilate.

In the received literature, rigorous theoretical analyses of assimilation are rare, which contrasts with the related empirical literature.² A notable exception is Lazear, who argues that assimilation may not occur when the migrants' own community is large and when it is costly for them to learn the host country's culture and language. In this paper we present a new model of assimilation that draws on the literature of relative deprivation and interpersonal comparisons.

Our model assumes that, to an extent, the reference groups of an individual are chosen endogenously.³ This assumption is in line with the recent literature that explores the social and psychological repercussions of individual behavior, cf. Akerlof's (1997) concept of "social distance," Agarwal and Vercelli (2005), Austen-Smith and Fryer (2005) and the research reviewed therein. For example, Akerlof (p. 1010) writes: "I shall let individuals occupy different locations in social space. Social interaction ... will increase with proximity in this space. Current social location is acquired and dependent on the [individual's] decision" Drawing on this literature, we posit that the more effort a migrant exerts in assimilating into the mainstream culture, the closer he will be in social space to the natives and the farther away he will be from

¹ See, for example, Borjas (1995), Chiswick (2005), and Cutler, Glaeser, and Vigdor (2005). Borjas, Bronars, and Trejo (1992) provide estimates of the average hourly earnings of young international migrants in the United States. Looking at a cross-section of individuals between the ages of 21 and 29 from the 1980 U.S. Census, they find sizable returns to speaking English.

² While occasionally the substantial empirical studies point to interesting theoretical arguments and explanations (cf. Chiswick, 2005), these arguments and explanations are mostly not formalized rigorously.

³ To the best of our knowledge, the current paper provides a rare model of the endogenous formation of reference groups. Hence, the paper adds to the literature on relative deprivation.

his fellow migrants. We show that when interpersonal comparisons affect individuals' wellbeing and when a more intensive assimilation results in migrants' comparing themselves more with the richer natives and less with their fellow migrants, then the effort extended to assimilate is muted. Regarding the empirical content of our model we predict, inter alia, that the larger the income gap between the natives and the migrants, the weaker the effort to assimilate.

2 Analysis

Consider a set of migrants who originate from a poor country and who are in a rich country. To focus on essentials, we assume that in all relevant respects the migrants are identical.⁴ Each migrant decides how much effort to exert in order to assimilate himself into the mainstream culture of the host country. If a migrant is better assimilated into the mainstream culture, he will earn a higher income. However, upon assimilating more, he will become closer to the (rich) natives when making interpersonal comparisons. Formally, let a migrant's utility function be

$$u = Y(x) - RD - C(x) \quad (1)$$

$$Y'(x) > 0, Y''(x) < 0; \quad C'(x) > 0, C''(x) > 0$$

where “ Y ” denotes the migrant's income,⁵ “ RD ” denotes the relative deprivation of the migrant which arises from interpersonal comparisons,⁶ “ x ” denotes the migrant's effort to assimilate, and “ C ” is the cost of extending this effort. We assume that $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} Y'(x) = \infty$, namely that the Inada condition holds, thereby ensuring that in the analysis that follows the solutions of x are interior.

The relative deprivation of an individual is defined as follows

$$F(I, R) \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial I} < 0, \frac{\partial F}{\partial R} > 0$$

where “ I ” is the individual's own income, and “ R ” is the average income of his reference group. We assume that a migrant's set of reference groups consists of the natives and of his fellow migrants. The average income of the natives is denoted by “ Z ” and is assumed to be higher than the migrant's earnings no matter how hard the migrant tries to assimilate into the mainstream

⁴ However, even if there is some heterogeneity within the group of migrants, the results that follow will go through. What is critical for our argument is the persistent prevalence of an earnings gap between the natives and the migrants, not the homogeneity of the group of migrants.

⁵ We can also interpret Y more generally to encompass the utility from “consuming” the mainstream culture of the host country.

⁶ The idea that relative income impinges on welfare dates back at least to Veblen (1924). For pioneering and recent writings on the analytics of relative deprivation see, respectively, Yitzhaki (1979), and Walker and Smith (2002). For writings on relative deprivation and migration see Stark (1993), and Stark and Wang (2005). For recent empirical studies that demonstrate the importance of relative deprivation see, for example, Eibner and Evans (2005) and Luttmer (2005).

culture; the average income of the other migrants is denoted by \bar{Y} which, in turn, is determined by other migrants' average effort to assimilate into the mainstream culture, \bar{x} .

We assume that the more a migrant assimilates into the mainstream culture, the more he will compare himself with the natives and the less he will compare himself with his fellow migrants. The relative weight of his being affiliated with the natives and thereby comparing himself with them is p ($0 \leq p \leq 1$), and the corresponding weight pertaining to the migrant's fellow migrants is $1-p$. Formally, we define

$$\begin{aligned} p &\equiv p(x) \\ p'(x) &> 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Then, we can express the migrant's relative deprivation, "RD", as a weighted sum

$$RD = p(x)F[Y(x), Z] + [1 - p(x)]F[Y(x), \bar{Y}] \tag{4}$$

Inserting (4) into (1), we get

$$u = Y(x) - p(x)F[Y(x), Z] - [1 - p(x)]F[Y(x), \bar{Y}] - C(x) \tag{5}$$

Note that a standard utility formulation captures the tension between the unpleasant exertion of effort aimed at acquiring productive "tools," and the consequent pleasing derivation of income (the last and first terms on the right hand-side of (5), respectively). The added RD terms (the middle terms on the right hand-side of (5)) introduce an additional dimension of tension: the effort to acquire productive "tools" results in a reduction in the displeasure that arises from a relatively low income within both reference groups, yet it increases the weight that is accorded to the natives as a reference group within which comparisons result in substantial discontent.^{7, 8}

In writing p as $p(x)$, we are making two important assumptions: first, that the set of reference groups is determined endogenously (by x) and that *it is not* predetermined on the basis of ethnicity, origin, and so on. And second, that the exertion of the assimilation effort and the proximity to the natives are intertwined. Hence, for example, it is not possible to choose to exert a high level of assimilation effort while at the same time to exclude the natives as a reference group.

⁷ Recall the assumption that even if a migrant tries very hard to assimilate into the mainstream culture, he will still earn an income that is lower than that of the natives.

⁸ For simplicity's sake, we assume away possible repercussions of the selection of the assimilation effort by the migrants upon the relative deprivation of the natives. However, even if such repercussions were to be considered then, if the relative deprivation of the natives is defined in a manner akin to that of the migrants, and if the income gap between the natives and the migrants remains large - the assimilation effort of the migrants notwithstanding., the relative deprivation of the natives will not be affected by the migrants' choice of the assimilation effort.

The migrant's optimization problem is to choose x such as to bring his utility in (5) to a maximum (given his belief about the value of \bar{x} and hence about the value of \bar{Y}). The first-order condition for a maximum of (5) is

$$Y'(x) - p'(x)F[Y(x), Z] - p(x)Y'(x)F_1[Y(x), Z] + p'(x)F[Y(x), \bar{Y}] - [1 - p(x)]Y'(x)F_1[Y(x), \bar{Y}] - C'(x) = 0 \quad (6)$$

Since all migrants are identical, in equilibrium we must have that $x = \bar{x}$ and hence

$$Y(x) = \bar{Y} \quad (7)$$

Naturally, we assume that

$$F[Y(x), Y(x)] = 0 \quad (8)$$

When migrants are identical and each migrant extends the same level of effort as any other migrant, then there is no difference in incomes within the group of migrants and hence no relative deprivation arises from intra-group comparisons.

Inserting (7) and (8) into (6), we get

$$Y'(x) - p'(x)F[Y(x), Z] - p(x)Y'(x)F_1[Y(x), Z] - [1 - p(x)]Y'(x)F_1[Y(x), Y(x)] - C'(x) = 0 \quad (9)$$

Clearly, if the solution to (9) is unique, then there exists a unique Nash equilibrium for the level of effort that a migrant exerts in assimilating into the mainstream culture.⁹ If the solution to (9) is not unique, then there exist multiple Nash equilibria.

The analysis yields an interesting implication. Suppose that the solution to (9) is not unique, so that there exist multiple Nash equilibria. Then, for two similar (in all relevant respects) groups of migrants in a host country with a given mainstream culture, members of one group may exert more effort to assimilate to the mainstream culture than members of the other group.

⁹ A sufficient condition for the existence of a unique Nash equilibrium is that the derivative of the left hand-side of (9) with respect to x is negative.

Had interpersonal comparisons not been considered - a special case of (1) - the migrant's utility function would have become

$$u = Y(x) - C(x) \quad (10)$$

The first-order condition for a maximum of (10) is

$$Y'(x) - C'(x) = 0 \quad (11)$$

We denote the solution to (11) by x_1^* .

We now denote the (largest) solution to (9) by x_2^* . Then, from comparing (11) with (9), we derive the following proposition which provides a condition under which the concern for interpersonal comparisons mutes the optimal assimilation effort.

Proposition 1: $x_2^* < x_1^*$ if

$$\begin{aligned} & p'(x_2^*)F[Y(x_2^*), Z] + p(x_2^*)Y'(x_2^*)F_1[Y(x_2^*), Z] \\ & + [1 - p(x_2^*)]Y'(x_2^*)F_1[Y(x_2^*), Y(x_2^*)] > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Proof. We prove the claim by contradiction. Suppose otherwise, namely that $x_2^* \geq x_1^*$. From (11) we have

$$Y'(x_1^*) - C'(x_1^*) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Since $Y''(x) - C''(x) < 0$ then from (13) and $x_2^* \geq x_1^*$ we have

$$Y'(x_2^*) - C'(x_2^*) \leq 0 \quad (14)$$

Thus, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & Y'(x_2^*) - p'(x_2^*)F[Y(x_2^*), Z] - p(x_2^*)Y'(x_2^*)F_1[Y(x_2^*), Z] \\ & - [1 - p(x_2^*)]Y'(x_2^*)F_1[Y(x_2^*), Y(x_2^*)] - C'(x_2^*) \\ & = [Y'(x_2^*) - C'(x_2^*)] - \{p'(x_2^*)F[Y(x_2^*), Z] + p(x_2^*)Y'(x_2^*)F_1[Y(x_2^*), Z] \\ & + [1 - p(x_2^*)]Y'(x_2^*)F_1[Y(x_2^*), Y(x_2^*)]\} \\ & < 0 \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

which contradicts (9). Thus, we have proved the proposition. ■

We can rewrite (12) as

$$p'(x_2^*)F[Y(x_2^*), Z] > -\{p(x_2^*)F_1[Y(x_2^*), Z] + [1 - p(x_2^*)]F_1[Y(x_2^*), Y(x_2^*)]\}Y'(x_2^*) \quad (16)$$

Clearly, the larger $p'(x_2^*)$ and $F[Y(x_2^*), Z]$, the smaller the absolute values of $F_1[Y(x_2^*), Z]$ and $F_1[Y(x_2^*), Y(x_2^*)]$, and the smaller $Y'(x_2^*)$, the more likely will inequality (16) (and hence inequality (12)) be satisfied.

Note that the left-hand side of (16) represents the marginal (dis)utility cost, due to an increase in x , that arises from the sensing of relative deprivation upon a comparison with the natives, whereas the right-hand side of (16) represents the marginal utility benefit, due to an increase in x , of increasing a migrant's standing among other migrants and of narrowing the income gap between him and the natives. Thus, inequality (16) (and hence inequality (12)) is more likely to be satisfied if more intensive assimilation results in a relatively high cost - from a migrant's comparing himself more with the richer natives - and a relatively low benefit - from his increasing his standing among fellow migrants. Hence, under the stated condition, the proposition implies that the choice of social proximity to reference groups and interpersonal comparisons entails migrants' having a weak incentive to accumulate the skills that would enhance their productivity.

Our analysis yields interesting empirical implications. For example, it is observed that migrants' segregation in the United States has increased since 1970, and it has been suggested that the increased segregation is due to greater racial dissimilarity with the American majority population (Cutler, Glaeser, and Vigdor, 2005). An explanation of the said segregation trend is that "Greater linguistic differences between immigrants' native tongues and English has created a greater demand for enclave communities that offer opportunities to reduce communication costs" (Cutler, Glaeser, and Vigdor, 2005, p.29). But why is it that the linguistic differences were not narrowed by means of an assimilation effort (language acquisition)? Our analysis suggests that it is the rising income divide between the native population and the migrants that could *account* for the growing reluctance to assimilate and *consequently* for the increased segregation.

This analysis is also related to Akerlof and Kranton (2000), who inquire how identity, that is, a person's sense of self, affects economic outcomes. In particular, they propose a utility function in which identity is associated with different social categories, and they proceed to analyze how people in these categories behave. The analysis in our paper examines how an important dimension of an individual's identity can be determined endogenously: we investigate a key aspect of social interactions viz., interpersonal comparisons. Thus, our analysis complements the analysis of Akerlof and Kranton.

3 Conclusion

The pursuit of migration is an extreme example of the severing of ties with, or a distancing from, one's friends and home. The "failure" of migrants to assimilate cannot plausibly be attributed merely to an urge to stay close to their friends, or they might not have migrated to begin with. Non-assimilation arises from a fear of enhanced relative deprivation if they reduce their distance from the natives as a reference group. Fundamentally, migration is a change of those with whom people associate. But it would be wrong to infer that a change of associates crowds out a change of behavior, given the associates. Through their actions, migrants can elect to associate more with some groups, less with others. However, when actions to keep in check the weight accorded to the rich natives as a reference group are not viable, the very choice of migration destination could be affected in an unexpected way: a country that is not so rich could be preferable to a country that is rich; migrants will protect themselves from an unfavorable comparison by not migrating to where the comparison, when unavoidable, would be highly unfavorable. Relatedly, the variance in the assimilation effort of migrants across host countries could arise from the variance in the income distance with the natives: the richer the natives, the weaker the effort to assimilate, other things held the same.

There is a fast growing literature documenting the importance of intra-group comparisons in the determination of happiness (cf. the selection in Bruni and Porta, 2005). Inter alia, the evidence suggests that very often people tend to be quite happy, except when they live in situations or settings in which they are brought, or made to compare themselves with a group that is much better off. The new literature is somewhat shy of analyzing the actions people can take in order to shield themselves from depressing exposure. We have sought to help fill this void.

References

- Agarwal, Bina and Vercelli, Alessandro (eds.) (2005): *Psychology, Rationality and Economic Behavior*. Houndmills: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Akerlof, George A. (1997): Social Distance and Social Decisions. *Econometrica* 65(5): 1005-1027.
- Akerlof, George A. and Kranton, Rachel E. (2000): Economics and Identity. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 115(3): 715-753.
- Austen-Smith, David and Fryer, Roland G. Jr. (2005): An Economic Analysis of 'Acting White'. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 120(2): 551-583.
- Borjas, George J. (1995): Assimilation and Changes in Cohort Quality Revisited: What Happened to Immigrant Earnings in the 1980s? *Journal of Labor Economics* 13(2): 201-45.
- Borjas, George J., Bronars, Stephen G., and Trejo, Stephen J. (1992): Assimilation and the Earnings of Young Internal Migrants. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 74(1): 170-75.
- Bruni, Luigino and Porta, Pier Luigi (eds.) (2005): *Economics and Happiness: Framing the Analysis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chiswick, Barry R. (2005): *The Economics of Immigration: Selected Papers of Barry R. Chiswick*. Cheltenham, U.K.: Elgar.
- Cutler, David M., Glaeser, Edward L. and Vigdor, Jacob L. (2005): Is the Melting Pot Still Hot? Explaining the Resurgence of Immigrant Segregation. Harvard University, Cambridge MA: Harvard Institute of Economic Research, Discussion Paper No. 2071.
- Eibner, Christine and Evans, William N. (2005): Relative Deprivation, Poor Health Habits, and Mortality. *Journal of Human Resources* 40(3): 591-620.
- Friedman, Jonathan and Randeria, Shalini (eds.) (2004): *Worlds on the Move: Globalization, Migration and Cultural Security*. London: I.B. Tauris.
- Lazear, Edward P. (1999): Culture and Language. *Journal of Political Economy* 107 (6): S95-S126.
- Luttmer, Erzo F. P. (2005): Neighbors as Negatives: Relative Earnings and Well-Being. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 120(3): 963-1002.
- Stark, Oded (1993): *The Migration of Labor*. Oxford and Cambridge, MA: Blackwell.

- Stark, Oded and Wang, You Qiang (2005): Towards a Theory of Self-Segregation as a Response to Relative Deprivation: Steady-State Outcomes and Social Welfare. In: Bruni, Luigino and Porta, Pier Luigi (eds.): *Economics and Happiness: Framing the Analysis*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 223-242.
- Veblen, Thorstein (1924): *The Theory of the Leisure Class: An Economic Study of Institutions*. London: Allen & Unwin.
- Walker, Iain and Smith, Heather J. (2002): *Relative Deprivation: Specification, Development, and Integration*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Yitzhaki, Shlomo (1979): Relative Deprivation and the Gini Coefficient. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 93(2): 321-24.

The following papers have been published so far:

- | | | |
|--------|--|--|
| No. 1 | Ulrike Grote,
Arnab Basu,
Diana Weinhold | Child Labor and the International Policy Debate
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1998, pp. 47. |
| No. 2 | Patrick Webb,
Maria Iskandarani | Water Insecurity and the Poor: Issues and Research Needs
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
Oktober 1998, pp. 66. |
| No. 3 | Matin Qaim,
Joachim von Braun | Crop Biotechnology in Developing Countries: A
Conceptual Framework for Ex Ante Economic Analyses
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
November 1998, pp. 24. |
| No. 4 | Sabine Seibel,
Romeo Bertolini,
Dietrich Müller-Falcke | Informations- und Kommunikationstechnologien in
Entwicklungsländern
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 1999, pp. 50. |
| No. 5 | Jean-Jacques Dethier | Governance and Economic Performance: A Survey
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 1999, pp. 62. |
| No. 6 | Mingzhi Sheng | Lebensmittelhandel und Kosumtrends in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 1999, pp. 57. |
| No. 7 | Arjun Bedi | The Role of Information and Communication Technologies
in Economic Development – A Partial Survey
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 1999, pp. 42. |
| No. 8 | Abdul Bayes,
Joachim von Braun,
Rasheda Akhter | Village Pay Phones and Poverty Reduction: Insights from
a Grameen Bank Initiative in Bangladesh
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 1999, pp. 47. |
| No. 9 | Johannes Jütting | Strengthening Social Security Systems in Rural Areas of
Developing Countries
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 1999, pp. 44. |
| No. 10 | Mamdouh Nasr | Assessing Desertification and Water Harvesting in the
Middle East and North Africa: Policy Implications
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
July 1999, pp. 59. |
| No. 11 | Oded Stark,
Yong Wang | Externalities, Human Capital Formation and Corrective
Migration Policy
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 17. |

- No. 12 John Msuya Nutrition Improvement Projects in Tanzania: Appropriate Choice of Institutions Matters
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 36.
- No. 13 Liu Junhai Legal Reforms in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 90.
- No. 14 Lukas Menkhoff Bad Banking in Thailand? An Empirical Analysis of Macro Indicators
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 38.
- No. 15 Kaushalesh Lal Information Technology and Exports: A Case Study of Indian Garments Manufacturing Enterprises
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 1999, pp. 24.
- No. 16 Detlef Virchow Spending on Conservation of Plant Genetic Resources for Food and Agriculture: How much and how efficient?
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1999, pp. 37.
- No. 17 Arnulf Heuermann Die Bedeutung von Telekommunikationsdiensten für wirtschaftliches Wachstum
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1999, pp. 33.
- No. 18 Ulrike Grote,
Arnab Basu,
Nancy Chau The International Debate and Economic Consequences of Eco-Labeling
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 1999, pp. 37.
- No. 19 Manfred Zeller Towards Enhancing the Role of Microfinance for Safety Nets of the Poor
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 1999, pp. 30.
- No. 20 Ajay Mahal,
Vivek Srivastava,
Deepak Sanan Decentralization and Public Sector Delivery of Health and Education Services: The Indian Experience
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2000, pp. 77.
- No. 21 M. Andreini,
N. van de Giesen,
A. van Edig,
M. Fosu,
W. Andah Volta Basin Water Balance
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 29.
- No. 22 Susanna Wolf,
Dominik Spoden Allocation of EU Aid towards ACP-Countries
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 59.

- No. 23 Uta Schultze Insights from Physics into Development Processes: Are Fat Tails Interesting for Development Research?
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 21.
- No. 24 Joachim von Braun,
Ulrike Grote,
Johannes Jütting Zukunft der Entwicklungszusammenarbeit
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 25.
- No. 25 Oded Stark,
You Qiang Wang A Theory of Migration as a Response to Relative Deprivation
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2000, pp. 16.
- No. 26 Doris Wiesmann,
Joachim von Braun,
Torsten Feldbrügge An International Nutrition Index – Successes and Failures in Addressing Hunger and Malnutrition
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2000, pp. 56.
- No. 27 Maximo Torero The Access and Welfare Impacts of Telecommunications Technology in Peru
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2000, pp. 30.
- No. 28 Thomas Hartmann-
Wendels
Lukas Menkhoff Could Tighter Prudential Regulation Have Saved Thailand's Banks?
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
July 2000, pp. 40.
- No. 29 Mahendra Dev Economic Liberalisation and Employment in South Asia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2000, pp. 82.
- No. 30 Noha El-Mikawy,
Amr Hashem,
Maye Kassem,
Ali El-Sawi,
Abdel Hafez El-Sawy,
Mohamed Showman Institutional Reform of Economic Legislation in Egypt
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2000, pp. 72.
- No. 31 Kakoli Roy,
Susanne Ziemek On the Economics of Volunteering
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2000, pp. 47.
- No. 32 Assefa Admassie The Incidence of Child Labour in Africa with Empirical Evidence from Rural Ethiopia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2000, pp. 61.
- No. 33 Jagdish C. Katyal,
Paul L.G. Vlek Desertification - Concept, Causes and Amelioration
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2000, pp. 65.

- No. 34 Oded Stark On a Variation in the Economic Performance of Migrants by their Home Country's Wage
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2000, pp. 10.
- No. 35 Ramón Lopéz Growth, Poverty and Asset Allocation: The Role of the State
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2001, pp. 35.
- No. 36 Kazuki Taketoshi Environmental Pollution and Policies in China's Township and Village Industrial Enterprises
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2001, pp. 37.
- No. 37 Noel Gaston,
Douglas Nelson Multinational Location Decisions and the Impact on Labour Markets
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2001, pp. 26.
- No. 38 Claudia Ringler Optimal Water Allocation in the Mekong River Basin
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2001, pp. 50.
- No. 39 Ulrike Grote,
Stefanie Kirchhoff Environmental and Food Safety Standards in the Context of Trade Liberalization: Issues and Options
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2001, pp. 43.
- No. 40 Renate Schubert,
Simon Dietz Environmental Kuznets Curve, Biodiversity and Sustainability
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2001, pp. 30.
- No. 41 Stefanie Kirchhoff,
Ana Maria Ibañez Displacement due to Violence in Colombia: Determinants and Consequences at the Household Level
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2001, pp. 45.
- No. 42 Francis Matambalya,
Susanna Wolf The Role of ICT for the Performance of SMEs in East Africa – Empirical Evidence from Kenya and Tanzania
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2001, pp. 30.
- No. 43 Oded Stark,
Ita Falk Dynasties and Destiny: On the Roles of Altruism and Impatience in the Evolution of Consumption and Bequests
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2001, pp. 20.
- No. 44 Assefa Admassie Allocation of Children's Time Endowment between Schooling and Work in Rural Ethiopia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2002, pp. 75.

- No. 45 Andreas Wimmer,
Conrad Schetter Staatsbildung zuerst. Empfehlungen zum Wiederaufbau und zur Befriedung Afghanistans. (German Version)
State-Formation First. Recommendations for Reconstruction and Peace-Making in Afghanistan. (English Version)
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2002, pp. 27.
- No. 46 Torsten Feldbrügge,
Joachim von Braun Is the World Becoming A More Risky Place?
- Trends in Disasters and Vulnerability to Them –
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2002, pp. 42
- No. 47 Joachim von Braun,
Peter Wobst,
Ulrike Grote “Development Box” and Special and Differential Treatment for
Food Security of Developing Countries:
Potentials, Limitations and Implementation Issues
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2002, pp. 28
- No. 48 Shyamal Chowdhury Attaining Universal Access: Public-Private Partnership and
Business-NGO Partnership
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2002, pp. 37
- No. 49 L. Adele Jinadu Ethnic Conflict & Federalism in Nigeria
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 2002, pp. 45
- No. 50 Oded Stark,
Yong Wang Overlapping
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
August 2002, pp. 17
- No. 51 Roukayatou Zimmermann,
Matin Qaim Projecting the Benefits of Golden Rice in the Philippines
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 2002, pp. 33
- No. 52 Gautam Hazarika,
Arjun S. Bedi Schooling Costs and Child Labour in Rural Pakistan
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
October 2002, pp. 34
- No. 53 Margit Bussmann,
Indra de Soysa,
John R. O Neal The Effect of Foreign Investment on Economic Development
and Income Inequality
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2002, pp. 35
- No. 54 Maximo Torero,
Shyamal K. Chowdhury,
Virgilio Galdo Willingness to Pay for the Rural Telephone Service in
Bangladesh and Peru
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2002, pp. 39
- No. 55 Hans-Dieter Evers,
Thomas Menkhoff Selling Expert Knowledge: The Role of Consultants in
Singapore’s New Economy
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
October 2002, pp. 29

- No. 56 Qiuxia Zhu
Stefanie Elbern Economic Institutional Evolution and Further Needs for Adjustments: Township Village Enterprises in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
November 2002, pp. 41
- No. 57 Ana Devic Prospects of Multicultural Regionalism As a Democratic Barrier Against Ethnonationalism: The Case of Vojvodina, Serbia´s "Multiethnic Haven"
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2002, pp. 29
- No. 58 Heidi Wittmer
Thomas Berger Clean Development Mechanism: Neue Potenziale für regenerative Energien? Möglichkeiten und Grenzen einer verstärkten Nutzung von Bioenergieträgern in Entwicklungsländern
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2002, pp. 81
- No. 59 Oded Stark Cooperation and Wealth
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2003, pp. 13
- No. 60 Rick Auty Towards a Resource-Driven Model of Governance: Application to Lower-Income Transition Economies
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2003, pp. 24
- No. 61 Andreas Wimmer
Indra de Soysa
Christian Wagner Political Science Tools for Assessing Feasibility and Sustainability of Reforms
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2003, pp. 34
- No. 62 Peter Wehrheim
Doris Wiesmann Food Security in Transition Countries: Conceptual Issues and Cross-Country Analyses
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2003, pp. 45
- No. 63 Rajeev Ahuja
Johannes Jütting Design of Incentives in Community Based Health Insurance Schemes
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2003, pp. 27
- No. 64 Sudip Mitra
Reiner Wassmann
Paul L.G. Vlek Global Inventory of Wetlands and their Role in the Carbon Cycle
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2003, pp. 44
- No. 65 Simon Reich Power, Institutions and Moral Entrepreneurs
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2003, pp. 46
- No. 66 Lukas Menkhoff
Chodechai Suwanaporn The Rationale of Bank Lending in Pre-Crisis Thailand
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
April 2003, pp. 37

- No. 78 Eric T. Craswell
Ulrike Grote
Julio Henao
Paul L.G. Vlek Nutrient Flows in Agricultural Production and International Trade: Ecology and Policy Issues
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 62
- No. 79 Richard Pomfret Resource Abundance, Governance and Economic Performance in Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 20
- No. 80 Anil Markandya Gains of Regional Cooperation: Environmental Problems and Solutions
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 24
- No. 81 Akram Esanov,
Martin Raiser,
Willem Buiter Gains of Nature's Blessing or Nature's Curse: The Political Economy of Transition in Resource-Based Economies
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 22
- No. 82 John M. Msuya
Johannes P. Jütting
Abay Asfaw Impacts of Community Health Insurance Schemes on Health Care Provision in Rural Tanzania
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 26
- No. 83 Bernardina Algieri The Effects of the Dutch Disease in Russia
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2004, pp. 41
- No. 84 Oded Stark On the Economics of Refugee Flows
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2004, pp. 8
- No. 85 Shyamal K. Chowdhury Do Democracy and Press Freedom Reduce Corruption? Evidence from a Cross Country Study
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
March 2004, pp. 33
- No. 86 Qiuxia Zhu The Impact of Rural Enterprises on Household Savings in China
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
May 2004, pp. 51
- No. 87 Abay Asfaw
Klaus Frohberg
K.S.James
Johannes Jütting Modeling the Impact of Fiscal Decentralization on Health Outcomes: Empirical Evidence from India
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
June 2004, pp. 29
- No. 88 Maja B. Micevska
Arnab K. Hazra The Problem of Court Congestion: Evidence from Indian Lower Courts
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
July 2004, pp. 31

- No. 89 Donald Cox
Oded Stark On the Demand for Grandchildren: Tied Transfers and the Demonstration Effect
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
September 2004, pp. 44
- No. 90 Stefanie Engel
Ramón López Exploiting Common Resources with Capital-Intensive Technologies: The Role of External Forces
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
November 2004, pp. 32
- No. 91 Hartmut Ihne Heuristic Considerations on the Typology of Groups and Minorities
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 24
- No. 92 Johannes Sauer
Klaus Frohberg
Heinrich Hockmann Black-Box Frontiers and Implications for Development Policy – Theoretical Considerations
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 38
- No. 93 Hoa Ngyuen
Ulrike Grote Agricultural Policies in Vietnam: Producer Support Estimates, 1986-2002
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 79
- No. 94 Oded Stark
You Qiang Wang Towards a Theory of Self-Segregation as a Response to Relative Deprivation: Steady-State Outcomes and Social Welfare
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
December 2004, pp. 25
- No. 95 Oded Stark Status Aspirations, Wealth Inequality, and Economic Growth
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
February 2005, pp. 9
- No. 96 John K. Mduma
Peter Wobst Village Level Labor Market Development in Tanzania: Evidence from Spatial Econometrics
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn,
January 2005, pp. 42
- No. 97 Ramon Lopez
Edward B. Barbier Debt and Growth
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
March 2005, pp. 30
- No. 98 Hardwick Tchale
Johannes Sauer
Peter Wobst Impact of Alternative Soil Fertility Management Options on Maize Productivity in Malawi's Smallholder Farming System
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
August 2005, pp. 29

ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy

- No. 99 Steve Boucher
Oded Stark
J. Edward Taylor A Gain with a Drain? Evidence from Rural Mexico on the
New Economics of the Brain Drain
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
October 2005, pp. 26
- No. 100 Jumanne Abdallah
Johannes Sauer Efficiency and Biodiversity – Empirical Evidence from
Tanzania
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
November 2005, pp. 34
- No. 101 Tobias Debiel Dealing with Fragile States – Entry Points and
Approaches for Development Cooperation
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
December 2005, pp. 38
- No. 102 Sayan Chakrabarty
Ulrike Grote
Guido Lüchters The Trade-Off Between Child Labor and Schooling:
Influence of Social Labeling NGOs in Nepal
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
February 2006, pp. 35
- No. 103 Bhagirath Behera
Stefanie Engel Who Forms Local Institutions? Levels of Household
Participation in India's Joint Forest Management
Program
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
February 2006, pp. 37
- No. 104 Roukayatou Zimmermann
Faruk Ahmed Rice Biotechnology and Its Potential to Combat
Vitamin A Deficiency: A Case Study of Golden Rice
in Bangladesh
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
March 2006, pp. 31
- No. 105 Adama Konseiga Household Migration Decisions as Survival Strategy:
The Case of Burkina Faso
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 36
- No. 106 Ulrike Grote
Stefanie Engel
Benjamin Schraven Migration due to the Tsunami in Sri Lanka – Analyzing
Vulnerability and Migration at the Household Level
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 37
- No. 107 Stefan Blum East Africa: Cycles of Violence, and the Paradox of Peace
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 42
- No. 108 Ahmed Farouk Ghoneim
Ulrike Grote Impact of Labor Standards on Egyptian Exports with
Special Emphasis on Child Labor
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
April 2006, pp. 50

ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy

- | | | |
|---------|----------------------------|---|
| No. 109 | Oded Stark | Work Effort, Moderation in Expulsion,
and Illegal Migration
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
May 2006, pp. 11 |
| No. 110 | Oded Stark
C. Simon Fan | International Migration and "Educated Unemployment"
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
June 2006, pp. 19 |
| No. 111 | Oded Stark
C. Simon Fan | A Reluctance to Assimilate
Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), Bonn
October 2006, pp. 12 |

ISSN: 1436-9931

Printed copies of ZEF Discussion Papers on Development Policy up to No.91 can be ordered free of charge from:

Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF)
Center for Development Research
Walter-Flex-Str. 3
D – 53113 Bonn, Germany

Phone: +49-228-73-1861
Fax: +49-228-73-1869
E-Mail: zef@uni-bonn.de
<http://www.zef.de>

The issues as from No.92 are only available as pdf-download at ZEF Website.