

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Beretta, Edoardo; Neuberger, Doris

Article

Trump's Crypto Plans – A Boost for the Digital Euro?

Intereconomics

Suggested Citation: Beretta, Edoardo; Neuberger, Doris (2025): Trump's Crypto Plans – A Boost for the Digital Euro?, Intereconomics, ISSN 1613-964X, Sciendo, Warsaw, Vol. 60, Iss. 3, pp. 176-181, https://doi.org/10.2478/ie-2025-0034

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/320241

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



sciendo \$

Intereconomics, 2025, 60(3), 176-181 JEL: E42, E44, E51

Edoardo Beretta and Doris Neuberger

Trump's Crypto Plans - A Boost for the Digital Euro?

This article provides a timely update on central bank digital currencies and the digital euro in the wake of the new executive orders, signed by US President Donald Trump, which establish a strategic Bitcoin reserve and a US Digital Asset Stockpile on the one hand, and ban central bank digital currencies on the other. How are the plans for a digital euro, in turn, proceeding? What are the opportunities and challenges of a digital euro for the monetary union in light of these radical changes in US policy?

To strengthen cryptocurrencies, namely "private digital currencies" (Ennis et al., 2021), US President Donald Trump recently ordered the creation of a strategic reserve for Bitcoin and other digital assets (The White House, 2025a). Just a few weeks before, he banned (publicly issued) central bank digital currencies (CBDCs) and "prohibit[ed] the establishment, issuance, circulation, and use of a CBDC within the jurisdiction of the United States" (The White House, 2025b). His justification for this ban is "to protect Americans from the risks of Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs), which threaten the stability of the financial system, individual privacy, and the sovereignty of the United States" (The White House, 2025b). This approach seems to be diametrically opposed to the aims of the envisaged digital euro such as increasing privacy and monetary sovereignty (European Central Bank [ECB], 2025e).

In light of these antithetic positions, what are the real opportunities and risks of CBDCs – and, more generally, of digitalising a part of physically circulating currency – and what opportunities emerge from reducing dependence on physical cash? Conversely, what risks arise for the euro area from the strengthened position of cryptocurrencies in the United States and what impact might they have on the benefits of a digital euro? This article first discusses possible implications of Trump's crypto plans for the euro area. Subsequently, it provides an overview of the objectives and the current status of preparations for a digital euro. Finally, it discusses the opportunities and risks of a digital euro against the backdrop of the current US policy.

© The Author(s) 2025. Open Access: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Open Access funding provided by ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics.

Edoardo Beretta, Università della Svizzera italiana, Lugano, Switzerland.

Doris Neuberger, Universität Rostock, Germany.

Trump's crypto plans and their implications for the euro area

The European payments market is highly dependent on developments in the United States, as it is dominated by US companies such as Visa, Mastercard and PayPal (Lane, 2025). In fact, 61% of euro area card payments in 2022 were made by means of international card schemes, and 13 European countries relied entirely on them (ECB, 2025c). Table 1 presents the market shares of payment card brands in selected European countries in 2022, which confirms the insufficient autonomy of Europe in the digital payments sector. In addition, mobile app payments in Europe are dominated by US tech firms, whose digital wallets Amazon Pay, Apple Pay, Google Pay, Meta Pay and PayPal show high growth rates (Lane, 2025; Melches & Peters, 2024, 2025). According to a survey conducted between October 2023 and September 2024, 88% of respondents in Germany used PayPal to settle online payments within the preceding 12 months (Statista, 2024). Germany (46%), Italy (40%) and Spain (32%) represent the European countries where PayPal was the preferred payment option in ecommerce in 2022 (PayPal, 2022). These tech firms in Europe are still dependent on cooperation with banks to settle their payments. However, in the future they could create closed payment systems, for example, by issuing their own "platform money". One example of this is the failed Diem (also known as Libra) stablecoin initiative by Meta (Balz, 2022b; Diem Association, 2020). With X Payments, Elon Musk wants to turn his platform X into an "everything app", similar to the Chinese We-Chat, and thus eliminate the need for bank accounts (Methri, 2024). Trump's policy to strengthen the role of cryptocurrencies in the United States would further promote these developments, threatening monetary sovereignty and financial stability in Europe even more (Johansson, 2025; Lane, 2025; The Economic Times, 2025).

Crypto-assets are often unsuitable as a store of value, and central banks should not hold crypto-assets as part of their official reserves (Dunn, 2024; Joebges & Herr, 2025). In their current form, crypto-tokens remain highly volatile and risky. For instance, in 2022, the crypto-sector lost two-thirds of its

Table 1

Market share of payment card brands in selected

European countries in 2022 (%)

	Visa	Master- card	American Express	Domestic solution	Other
Belgium	7	10	1	83	-
Denmark	18	17	-	64	1
Finland	55	45	-	-	-
France	-	-	-	91	9
Germany	12	10	2	75	-
Ireland	90	10	-	-	-
Italy	32	30	1	37	-
Netherlands	5	95	-	-	-
Norway	21	24	-	55	-
Poland	53	47	-	-	-
Spain	56	42	2	-	-
Sweden	28	71	2	-	-
United Kingdom	68	31	2	-	-

Notes: Deviations from 100% are due to rounding, as reported by the original source. "Domestic solution" and/or "Other" refer to: Bancontact (Belgium); Cartes Bancaires (France); Girocard (Germany); Bancomat and Poste Italiane SpA (Italy); BankAxept (Norway). European but non-EU and/or non-euro area countries are highlighted in light green.

Sources: Statista (2025a); authors' elaboration.

market capitalisation in a sudden crash with roughly US \$2 trillion out of US \$3 trillion being wiped out (Lowrey, 2025). A crypto-platform like FTX also turned out to be exposed to dubious and potentially fraudulent schemes (Höfgen, 2025; Melches, 2025; Swissinfo.ch, 2025). In this specific regard, the digital euro is designed as a means of payment rather than as a store of value. However, private crypto-assets or stablecoins may increasingly become established as a means of payment in Europe as well and thereby increase strategic dependence on US Big Tech companies. Recently, the ECB (2024b) reported that "[t]he share of respondents in the euro area who own crypto-assets has more than doubled between 2022 and 2024, although it remains at a rather low level: 4% in 2022 versus 9% in 2024". According to Norrlöf (2025), the potential legitimisation of a rival store of value could have a negative impact on the confidence in the US dollar and shrink its global reserve-currency status. Furthermore, as reminded by the former president of the Swiss National Bank Thomas Jordan (2019), an increase in volumes of stablecoins denominated in a foreign currency circulating within the national monetary space could significantly alter the effectiveness of the central bank's policies.

The CBDC plan of the euro area

The plan for a digital euro comprises a retail CBDC for private use and a wholesale CBDC for "the settlement of interbank

transfers and related wholesale transactions in central bank reserves" (Panetta, 2022b). In contrast to the Trump Administration's libertarian approach of rolling back the state in payment transactions, the digital euro is conceived as a publicprivate partnership (Balz, 2022a). It will provide not only a new public infrastructure and means of payment developed in cooperation with the private sector, but also an innovation platform for the development of a pan-European payment solution, i.e. the European Payment Initiative (EPI) and its digital wallet called "wero" (Beau, 2025; European Payments Initiative, 2025; Panetta, 2022a; Wero, 2025). The existing network effects make it potentially difficult for European private companies to gain a foothold in the market for payment services and develop alternatives to the established US products. All attempts to create a common European card payment system have so far failed, as the national card schemes and banks did not see this as a sufficiently viable business model (ECB, 2019). According to Cipollone (2025), "one of the key objectives of central bank money - to offer the public a means of payment backed by the sovereign authority that can be used for retail transactions across the entire currency area - is not being fulfilled in the digital space". The mandatory acceptance of the digital euro might create network effects that could unify the fragmented European market. Consumers and businesses would benefit from cost reductions due to economies of scale achieved through a standardised, pan-European platform (Lane, 2025).

As shown in Figure 1, 2025 will be a particularly crucial year for the further development and potential launch of the digital euro, which is currently in its pilot phase together with 27 other CBDCs around the world (CBDC Tracker, 2025). In February 2025, the ECB (2025b) announced that it was pushing ahead with its wholesale CBDC "initiative to settle transactions recorded on distributed ledger technology (DLT) in central bank money". At the same time, with specific regard to the retail CBDC project, only a third of Europeans said they would use the digital euro (BearingPoint, 2025; Georgarakos et al., 2025). The planned design is obviously not yet attractive enough to make the digital euro a successful project.

Opportunities and risks of a digital euro

For consumers, the digital euro would offer the same advantages as cash – anonymity, security and independence from private payment providers. Anonymity would be ensured by the offline variant. In contrast to private institutions, the digital euro focuses on protecting the privacy of users (Lane, 2025). Trust in banks to collect and store digital euro transaction data is – for instance – ten times higher than in technology companies such as Apple, Google and Amazon (BearingPoint, 2025). Safety of the digital euro is guaranteed by the fact that it is public money backed by the public sector (ECB, 2025c). Dependence on private banks and payment companies in-

Figure 1

The digital euro and its next phases



Source: ECB (2025d); authors' own elaboration.

creases costs, especially for retailers (Finanzwende, 2024). Comparing the costs of using cash and non-cash payments in Germany, the costs per transaction of cash are the lowest, and the data disclosure costs of non-cash payments with debit or credit cards amount to €0.43 for each card transaction. This "underscores how sensible it is for the digital euro to be created as a low-data alternative to existing digital payment methods" (Deutsche Bundesbank, 2024; Knümann et al., 2024).

While "CBDC improves the transmission of the policy rate to households and firms" (Hess, 2025), the declining use of cash further increases the monopoly power of private payment service providers and their ability to charge even higher fees. In contrast to this, paying with the digital euro would be free of charge for everyone in the euro area, which would also strengthen financial inclusion. From a geopolitical perspective, the development of the digital euro as a financial infrastructure furthers European security ambitions (Westermeier, 2024). A digital euro would increase strategic autonomy in the European monetary system and resilience to non-European payment providers (European Commission, 2023; Balz, 2025; Lane, 2025). Moreover, it would counter the risks to financial stability from crypto-assets (Financial Stability Board, 2022) and the expansion of Big Tech companies into the European payments market (Melches & Peters, 2025). These potential benefits of a digital euro have certainly increased in light of Trump's crypto policies.

The substitution of bank deposits with the digital euro is often cited as a potential risk (Bian et al., 2021): hence, it must be designed in a way that prevents an excessive shift of bank deposits to the central bank. Holding limits – in the European case, of about €3,000 per individual – are planned to avoid this (Lambert et al., 2023). However, this creates the risk that the

digital euro is not accepted because it is not sufficiently attractive (Bofinger, 2024). This approach is also a reminder of cash payment limitations introduced autonomously by several European countries (Beretta, 2014), which ultimately led the European Parliament (2024) to establish "an EU-wide limit of EUR 10 000 on cash payments, except between private individuals in a non-professional context".

In the interest of users, a digital euro must meet certain (minimum) requirements. In particular, it should be detached from the bank account and also be usable offline, guarantee anonymity for offline use and data economy for online use and be as open as possible in terms of holding limits, distribution and user groups (Zentrum verantwortungsbewusste Digitalisierung, 2024; Finanzwende, 2024). Consumer associations demand that the need for holding limits be critically reviewed, as this might impair the attractiveness of the digital euro (Bundesverband der Verbraucherzentralen und Verbraucherverbände - Verbraucherzentrale Bundesverband, 2023; The European Consumer Organisation, 2023). Certainly, the planned limit on holdings might be in the interest of banks, but it contradicts the goal of creating a digital form of cash that does not have such a limit (because the central bank is conceived and acts as lender of last resort). A recent study by Niepelt (2024) finds that "CBDC provides liquidity more efficiently than deposits, unless deposit outflows from banks must be offset by central bank loans that generate large social costs". However, research into the macroeconomic effects of CBDCs is still in its infancy (Bindseil & Senner, 2025; Hess, 2025).

There is also the possibility that in times of high uncertainty "bank runs could happen at the click of a mouse (or a nod to a mobile phone)" (Weder Di Mauro & Fatás, 2018) and pose a significant risk to central banks and the efficacy of their monetary policy. In this specific regard, the recent collapse of the Silicon Valley Bank (SVB) because of what was called "the first Twitter-fueled bank run" (Yerushalmy, 2023) took place over a period of just two days in March 2023. Interestingly, the main problem was not represented by the ease of the digital withdraw of deposits but by the high proportion (i.e. more than 90% of the bank's liabilities) of uninsured deposits (Baker, 2023). This might justify setting the holding limit for the digital euro at the level of the current deposit guarantee, which is set at €100,000 (European Banking Authority, 2025). At up to €100,000 per person, there is no incentive to move deposits from commercial banks to the central bank. Therefore, why not raise the holding limit for the digital euro up to that level? In light of these arguments, the planned upper limit of €3,000 per person is by far too low.

The attractiveness of the digital euro could also be enhanced by a positive interest rate in normal times, which is currently not foreseen. An interest-bearing CBDC would counteract banks' market power, strengthen monetary policy transmission and could even foster bank intermediation (Niepelt, 2024; Panetta, 2022c). European banks made high profits in 2023 both from the delay in passing on ECB interest rate hikes to depositors and from their interest-bearing deposits with the ECB (Budde & Neuberger, 2024). A digital euro that pays interest to consumers could be based on the model of the French Livret A, a savings account that can be withdrawn at any time and whose interest rate is set by the central bank. With a limit of €22,950 per person, it is an attractive product that boosts competition without jeopardising financial stability (Service-public.fr, 2025; Budde & Neuberger, 2024).

The success of the digital euro is also potentially jeopardised by the excessive influence of private providers on its design. The Digital Euro Market Advisory Group, the most important ECB advisory body for the design and dissemination of the digital euro appointed in October 2021 (ECB, 2021), consists of 30 senior business professionals almost exclusively affiliated with banks or payment companies. The retail trade is underrepresented, and consumers are not represented at all. Furthermore, the Rulebook Development Group, which is preparing a rulebook for a digital euro scheme, includes 14 representatives of the European retail payments market as well as three banking associations (ECB, 2024b). While it is certainly relevant to include representatives of banks, payment companies and stakeholders of the banking and financial system, the interests of consumers and retailers must be more closely involved in the development of the digital euro (Neuberger, 2025). This appears to be crucial for ensuring a sufficient level of consumer acceptance and success, which increased whenever the key features of the digital euro were explained to consumers (Georgarakos et al., 2025).

While the ECB commissioned Kantar Public (2023) to run surveys among focus groups to assess participants' potential acceptance of the digital euro, these studies were run almost two years ago and involved a limited number of people. Hence, "these results may not be representative of the population as a whole" (Kantar Public, 2023). In addition to focusing on technical aspects, the ECB could, for instance, run a survey over several months involving a population of at least one million, as the European Commission (2018) did when carrying out the summertime consultation among 4.6 million respondents. Compared to that equally sensitive topic involving society as a whole, the survey should ensure that the participation rate in each member country is better distributed and sufficiently significant.¹

Furthermore, the digital euro should not endanger the reputation of the ECB given that it would have to "perfor[m] due diligence, including know-your-customer (KYC), AML [antimoney laundering], and CTF [counter-terrorism financing] checks, or otherwise managing customer data, transactions and complaints or other inquiries" (Dionysopoulos et al., 2024). While private digital currencies such as cryptocurrencies are at least equally at risk of being used to carry out illegal transactions, the reputational risks to central banks - if such activities were to occur through their digital currency payment systems - should not be underestimated. These risks are also higher for retail CBDCs such as the digital euro that are "available for any electronic payments in shops, online or from person to person" (ECB, 2025a), compared to wholesale CBDCs that are "to be used exclusively by central banks, commercial banks or other financial institutions to settle transactions involving tokenised assets" (Banque de France, 2024).

While the aim of the digital euro should not (necessarily) be to boost employment rates in the European banking sector, it is a matter of fact that the number of employees dropped by 22.9%, from 2.79 million in 2008 to 2.15 million in 2023 (European Banking Federation, 2024). On the contrary, the number of employees in commercial banking in the United States fluctuated between 1.44 million in 1990 and 1.38 million in 2024 (Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis, 2025), while figures for commercial banks insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation even increased from 1.67 million in 2000 to 1.97 million in 2023 (Statista, 2025b). Hence, European commercial banks could likely lobby to restrain the accessibility of the digital euro.

European policymakers should also tackle the criticisms of CBDCs, which recently included advancing socialism (Parker, 2023) because of the potential of CBDCs to reduce the influence of the commercial banking sector. These fears have been critically analysed by the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2023). Depending on the respective position (i.e. "sanctioned" versus "sanctioning" central bank), a further risk (versus opportunity) for central banks derives from the potential weaponisation of foreign-exchange reserves in times of geopolitical crises (Tajitsu, 2024). For instance, after the Russian invasion of Ukraine in 2022, the European Union froze €210 billion in assets from the Central Bank of Russia (Council of the European Union, 2025).

Overall, the digital euro may offer not only a positive net benefit from an economic perspective – although this will depend on its design – but also a significant political benefit given the current geopolitical risks and Trump's crypto policies. It is also about preserving the functioning mechanisms of European democracies, which are increasingly challenged by the influence of Big Tech and tech billionaires.

¹ In fact, for the summertime consultation, participation rates exceeding the 1% threshold were recorded solely for three (Germany, Austria and Luxembourg) out of 28 member states.

Concluding remarks

European payment transactions are classified by law in the EU as critical infrastructures and should be as independent as possible from non-European interests to ensure their protection. The digital euro could represent one policy measure to achieve this (Melches & Peters, 2025). The idea of sovereignty and the appreciation of payment transactions as a critical infrastructure can be increasingly observed in the communication of European central banks, as in the case of the Deutsche Bundesbank (2023). More precisely, "[w]hile not a single central bank speech motivated the digital euro with strategic autonomy until 2018, almost half of all speeches in 2022 referred to the strategic-autonomy-motive" (Berg et al., 2024, p. 6). That European central banks are progressively adapting the tone of their representatives' speeches to the new geopolitical scenario is further confirmed by Scotti (2024) of the Banca d'Italia and Beau (2025) of the Banque de France, who explicitly mentioned the "payment sovereignty" of the digital euro. The Governor of the Banco de España Escrivá (2025) also referred to the non-European origin of most payment channels. Donald Trump's crypto plans have certainly reinforced this trend and are thus promoting the development of a digital euro.

Some additional potential needs for action, as pointed out in this article, include:

Higher holding limits. The planned upper limit of €3,000 is too low compared to the EU-wide limit of €10,000 on cash payments and – even more – to the deposit guarantee for commercial bank deposits of up to €100,000.

Interest rate. A positive interest rate up to a certain limit would increase the attractiveness of the digital euro and promote competition.

Greater involvement of the retail sector and of the population. The digital euro cannot succeed if the retail sector and non-bank end users are underrepresented in the ongoing development process. For instance, a cross-country survey involving at least one million participants, conducted over several months until a sufficient participation rate is achieved in each country, could provide representative feedback from the population. With specific regard to the retail sector, the incentives to adopt an additional payment scheme must be clarified.

Critical assessment of "now or never" after Trump's ban on CBDCs. After the recent prohibition of the establishment, issuance, circulation and use of a CBDC within the United States, it has to be critically assessed whether deciding to pursue a digital euro (in the absence of a digital dollar) still has great potential. More specifically: in light of recent developments, does adopting a CBDC at the European level repre-

sent an opportunity or a risk, especially when the most-used reserve currency, the US dollar, is unlikely to do so?

A potential indication that the digital euro is strengthening European autonomy is represented by the positive share price reaction of European payment companies to positive announcements on the digital euro, while the share prices of US payment companies have fallen in response. Interestingly, bank shares did not react to positive announcements on the digital euro, suggesting that the market does not see it as a significant threat to the profitability of the banking sector (Berg et al., 2024). Ultimately, however, the project must benefit consumers to be successful.

If the United States were not currently reviewing its support to all international organisations (The White House, 2025c), CBDCs could in the very end also pave the way for a truly "international" payments system based on a supra-national CBDC issued for instance by an international organisation like the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and contribute to a more inclusive and less US dollar-dependent cross-border settlement system resembling the Keynes Plan (1941-1943). But this seems to be – also in light of the rules-based international order – a different, unlikely story for now.

References

- Baker, D. (2023). The Silicon Valley Bank Run: Regulatory and Media Failure. Intereconomics Review of European Economic Policy, 58(2), 127–128.
- Balz, B. (2022a, November 15). Public-private partnership key to the success of a digital euro. [Speech at the Bitkom Digital Euro Summit, virtual event].
- Balz, B. (2022b, October 14). The European payment industry in challenging times. [Speech at the Payments Association (PA) EU "Payments tomorrow" – Annual Conference 2022, Madrid].
- Balz, B. (2025, January 3). Der Umgang mit den USA und China wird rau. Süddeutsche Zeitung.
- Banque de France. (2024). Wholesale Central Bank Digital Currency.
- BearingPoint. (2025). European BearingPoint Survey on Payment Behavior: Cash Usage Declines, and One in Three Europeans Would Use the Digital Euro.
- Beau, D. (2025). New Payments Landscape, but Old Challenges for Central Banks?
- Beretta, E. (2014). The Irreplaceability of Cash and Recent Limitations on Its Use: Why Europe is Off the Track. In Deutsche Bundesbank (Ed.), International Cash Conference 2014 The usage, costs and benefits of cash revisited. Deutsche Bundesbank.
- Berg, T., Keil, J., Martini, F., & Puri, M. (2024). CBDCs, Payment Firms, and Geopolitics. NBER Working Paper Series, 32857.
- Bian, W., Ji, Y., & Wang, P. (2021). The Crowding-Out Effect of Central Bank Digital Currencies: A Simple and Generalizable Payment Portfolio Model. *Finance Research Letters*, *43*, 102010.
- Bindseil, U., & Senner, R. (2025). Modeling Central Bank Digital Currencies. Journal of Economic Surveys.
- Bofinger, P. (2024). The Digital Euro and Central Bank Digital Currencies Beware of taking off too early. *IMK Study*, 95.
- Budde, P. & Neuberger, D. (2024). Banks' Interest Margins After the Interest Rate Turnaround Windfall Profits and Policy Measures Aimed at Bank Resilience and Consumer Welfare. Vierteljahreshefte zur Arbeits- und Wirtschaftsforschung, 1(2), 259–290.
- Bundesverband der Verbraucherzentralen und Verbraucherverbände Verbraucherzentrale Bundesverband. (2023, August 11). Ein Digitaler Euro für Alle Stellungnahme des Verbraucherzentrale Bundesverbands (vzbv) zum

- Legislativvorschlag der Europäischen Kommission zur Einführung des digitalen Euro, COM(2023) 369 final.
- CBDC Tracker. (2025). Today's Central bank Digital Currencies Status.
- Cipollone, P. (2025, January 17). The Role of the Digital Euro in Digital Payments and Finance. Contribution to Bancaria by Piero Cipollone, Member of the Executive Board of the ECB, based on remarks at the Crypto Asset Lab Conference.
- Council of the European Union. (2025). EU Sanctions Against Russia Explained. Deutsche Bundesbank. (2023). Zeitenwende im Zahlungsverkehr.
- Deutsche Bundesbank. (2024). Paying With Cash or Debit Card Less Costly Than With Credit Card.
- Diem Association. (2025). The Diem Blockchain.
- Dionysopoulous, L., Marra, M., & Urquhart, A. (2024). Central Bank Digital Currencies: A Critical Review. International Review of Financial Analysis, 91, 103031.
- Dunn, B. (2024). Cryptocurrency: Still a Cause for Concern. Forum for Social Economics. 1–18.
- Ennis, H. M., Wang, Z., & Wong, R. (2021). A Historical Perspective on Digital Currencies. Economic Brief of the Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond, 22–21.
- Escrivá, J. L. (2025). Gobernador. V Observatorio de las Finanzas. "Construyendo el euro digital".
- European Banking Authority. (2025). Deposit Guarantee Schemes Data.
- European Banking Federation. (2024). Banking in Europe: EBF Facts & Figures 2024
- European Central Bank. (2019). Card Payments in Europe Current Landscape and Future Prospects.
- European Central Bank. (2021). ECB Announces Members of Digital Euro Market Advisory Group.
- European Central Bank. (2024a). Digital Euro Scheme Rulebook.
- European Central Bank. (2024b). Study on the Payment Attitudes of Consumers in the Euro Area (SPACE) 2024.
- European Central Bank. (2025a). Digital Euro.
- European Central Bank. (2025b, February 20). Eurosystem Expands Initiative to Settle DLT-Based Transactions in Central Bank Money.
- European Central Bank. (2025c). Most EU Countries Rely on International Card Schemes for Card Payments (Press Release). ECB Report Shows.
- European Central Bank. (2025d). Timeline and Progress on a Digital Euro.
- European Central Bank. (2025e). Why Do We Need a Digital Euro?
- European Commission. (2018). Summertime Consultation: 84% Want Europe to Stop Changing the Clock.
- European Commission. (2023, June 28). Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Establishment of a Digital Euro. COM(2023) 369 final, 2023/0212 (COD). European Commission.
- European Parliament. (2024). New EU Rules to Combat Money-Laundering Adopted.
- European Payments Initiative. (2025). Changing the Way Europe Pays.
- Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis. (2025). All Employees, Commercial Banking. Financial Stability Board. (2022). Assessment of Risks to Financial Stability from Crypto-assets.
- Finanzwende. (2024). Leitlinien für einen digitalen Euro.
- Georgarakos, D., Kenny, G., Laeven, L., & Meyer, J. (2025). Consumer Attitudes Toward a Central Bank Digital Currency. *European Central Bank Working* Paper Series, 3035.
- Hess, S. (2025). What Difference Does Central Bank Digital Currency Make? Insights from an Agent-Based Model. Thuenen-Series of Applied Economic Theory, 171, University of Rostock.
- Höfgen, M. (2025). Bitcoin-Reserve für die EZB? Bitte nicht!
- Joebges, H., & Herr, H. (2025). Crypto assets as a threat to financial market stability. Eurasian Economic Review.
- Johansson, E. (2025). Why Trump's Crypto Policies Are a Worry in Europe.
- Jordan, T. J. (2019, September 5). Currencies, money and digital tokens [Speech presented at the 30th anniversary of the WWZ and VBÖ, University of Basel, Basel].
- Kantar Public. (2023). Study on Digital Wallets Features March 2023.
- Knümann, F., Krüger, M., & Seitz, F. (2024). Kosten von Bargeld und Kartenzahlungen aus Verbrauchersicht. Deutsche Bundesbank.
- Lambert, C., Pancaro, C., & Pellicani, A. (2023). A Digital Euro: Gauging the Financial Stability Implications.
- Lane, P. R. (2025, March 20). The Digital Euro: Maintaining the Autonomy of the Monetary System. [Keynote, University College Cork Economics Society Conference 2025, Cork].

- Lowrey, A. (2025). The Great Crypto Crash Trump will Usher in a Speculative Frenzy.
- Methri, G. (2024). Is Elon Musk's X Payments the Next Big FinTech Disruptor.
- Melches, C. (2025). Standpunkt: keine Deregulierung für Krypto.
- Melches, C., & Peters, M. (2024). Mehr Macht, mehr Geld: Big-Techs im Finanzwesen. Finanzwende Recherche.
- Melches, C., & Peters, M. (2025). Die Finanzdienste von Apple, Google und Co.: Ein gefährlich guter Deal. Finanzwende Recherche.
- Neuberger, D. (2025). Wege Europas zu mehr Autonomie im Zahlungsverkehr die Rolle von privaten Akteuren. Vierteljahreshefte zur Arbeits- und Wirtschaftsforschung, 2(1).
- Niepelt, D. (2024). Money and Banking with Reserves and CBDCs. The Journal of Finance, 79(4), 2505–2552.
- Norrlöf, C. (2025). Trump, Bitcoin, and the Future of the Dollar.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2023). Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDCs) and Democratic Values. Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Panetta, F. (2022a, September 29). Building on Our Strengths: The Role of the Public and Private Sectors in the Digital Euro Ecosystem. [Introductory statement at the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs of the European Parliament, Brussels].
- Panetta, F. (2022b, September 26). Demystifying Wholesale Central Bank Digital Currency. [Speech at the Symposium on "Payments and Securities Settlement in Europe today and tomorrow" Hosted by the Deutsche Bundesbank, Frankfurt am Main].
- Panetta, F. (2022c, April 8.). More than an intellectual game: exploring the monetary policy and financial stability implications of central bank digital currencies. [Opening speech at the IESE Business School Banking Initiative Conference on Technology and Finance, Frankfurt am Main].
- Parker, G. (2023). Central Bank Digital Currencies (CBDC) and Socialism: Implications for Economic and Social Change.
- PayPal. (2022). PayPal E-Commerce Index Europe.
- Scotti, C. (2024, November 20). Digital Euro, one for all and all for one. [Speech at the commemoration event for Gaetano Colicigno, University of Florence].
- Service-public.fr (2025, April 14). Livret A. Direction de l'information légale et administrative (Premier ministre).
- Statista. (2024). Neun von Zehn zahlen online mit PayPal.
- Statista. (2025a). Market share of international and domestic payment card schemes in 14 countries in Europe in 2022.
- Statista. (2025b). Number of Employees of FDIC-Insured Commercial Banks in the United States From 2000 To 2023.
- Swissinfo.ch (2025), SNB-Chef spricht sich gegen Bitcoin als Währungsreserve
- Tajitsu, N. (2024). 'Weaponization' of Reserves Is a Top Risk for Central Banks.
- The Economic Times. (2025). EU Worries US Embrace of Crypto Assets Could Impact Europe Financial Stability.
- The European Consumer Organisation. (2023). *Digital Euro*. BEUC's Recommendations on the Legislative Framework for the Digital Euro. The European Consumer Organisation.
- The White House. (2025a, March 6). President Donald J. Trump Establishes the Strategic Bitcoin Reserve and U.S. Digital Asset Stockpile (Fact Sheet).
- The White House. (2025b, January 23). Strengthening American Leadership in Digital Financial Technology.
- The White House. (2025c). Withdrawing the United States from and Ending Funding to Certain United Nations Organizations and Reviewing United States Support to All International Organizations.
- Weder di Mauro, B., & Fatás, A. (2018). Cryptocurrencies' Challenge to Central Banks.
- Wero. (2025). Move Money in Real Time for Real.
- Westermeier, C. (2024). The Digital Euro: A Materialization of (In)security. Review of International Political Economy, 31(5), 1569–1592.
- Yerushalmy, J. (2023). 'The first Twitter-fuelled bank run': how social media compounded SVB's collapse.
- Zentrum verantwortungsbewusste Digitalisierung. (2024). [Mindest-]Anforderungen an die Ausgestaltung des digitalen Euro als einer öffentlich zugänglichen digitalen Zentralbankwährung.