

Dasgupta, Nandita

Research Report

Flipping and reverse flipping transactions: A concern for host economies

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 409

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Dasgupta, Nandita (2025) : Flipping and reverse flipping transactions: A concern for host economies, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 409, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/318321>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (karlsauvant@gmail.com)

Managing Editor: Chioma Menankiti (clm2249@columbia.edu)

The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the authors do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or our partners and supporters.

No. 409 May 12, 2025

Flipping and reverse flipping transactions: a concern for host economies

by

Nandita Dasgupta*

[Flipping](#) is a corporate externalization strategy whereby a domestic startup company creates a new holding company abroad and merges its domestic company with the newly formed foreign parent company, itself becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of that foreign parent company. The domestic company transfers its entire ownership (shares and intellectual property) to the foreign entity through the exchange of domestic stock with foreign stock.

Startups from such markets as [Australia](#), [Canada](#), [Europe](#), [United Kingdom](#), [Brazil](#), and [India](#) have adopted flipping as their offshore business strategy. The US is a popular flipping destination because of the perception of [relatively easy access to capital markets, its deep, liquid and deft venture network, the scope of access to market partners, and strategic support provided by US venture capital companies, among other things](#). The US state of Delaware has become a hub for flip transactions, so much so that the term “[Delaware Flip](#)” has been coined.

Many Indian financial and tech startups have, [since around 2010](#), strategically established holding companies in host countries such as the US, Singapore and The Netherlands. At the time these startups were set up in India, rapid access to the needed funding was a challenge in the country. Thus, access to finance was a dominant reason for Indian startups to venture abroad. But growth-enhancing factors such as corporate tax concessions in host economies, a favorable legal infrastructure, protection of intellectual property, and access to international markets also trigger flipping activities. Flipping transactions of Indian startups have been facilitated by the liberalization of the country’s outward investment regime in 2022.

Although startups in a number of countries have adopted the flipping strategy, Indian startups that had once flipped abroad, are now “[reverse flipping](#).” Recognizing the [immense potential](#) of India—its huge market, the availability of financial and human resources, supporting economic and legislative policies—these startups are shifting their domicile back to their original home country,

[India](#). Indian startups engaged in reverse flipping are spread across such different industries as software as a service, e-commerce and financial technology companies. Startups like [Pine Labs](#), [Groww and PhonePe](#), having raised venture capital abroad, have already returned to India and listed in Indian stock exchanges. Other startups, such as [Razorpay, Meesho, Udaan, and Zepto](#), are working out their path of return.

The size of the overseas outfits of the flipped Indian startups, in terms of employment, is still relatively small. However, as flipping activities become more frequent across the world, the eventual possibility of reverse flipping by the startups, sooner or later, cannot be ignored and should be taken seriously. Thus, it becomes important to start a narrative about the possible effects of such reverse movements of capital from host economies: if the trend of reverse flipping goes global over time, the cumulative impact may be unfavorable for the foreign jurisdictions involved, both in the short and long term. Some of the detrimental effects could be:

- With reverse flipping, the original flipped investments become only a source of short-term capital flows to the host countries involved, which makes it potentially highly volatile, just as in the case of short-term foreign portfolio investment. This is disconcerting because, just like foreign portfolio investment, reverse flipping also has the risk of sudden and unexpected capital outflows that may lead to capital instability and the possibility of [future macroeconomic challenges](#) for the host economies involved, just like [disruptions of trade dynamics in the event of sharp depreciations of local currencies' exchange rates, and volatility in the face of economic crises](#).
- When startups reverse flip, value creation (innovative ideas, disruptive technologies, economic growth) that would have occurred in foreign jurisdictions, now shifts to the original home countries.
- As startups move their operations and value-added back home, foreign countries lose the tax revenue and employment that would have been generated in these overseas jurisdictions.

To discourage reverse flipping and encourage investment retention, foreign economies could:

- Establish mechanisms for screening capital inflows, to assess their quality and stability.
- Design specific regulations for startup investment inflows that include a mandatory lock-in period for repatriation of capital back to their original home countries, to restrict unexpected capital outflows and the resulting instability overseas.
- Impose higher taxes on repatriated funds to discourage reverse flipping and/or to incentivize the investing firms to retain their funds in host countries.
- Provide tax breaks, grants or subsidies, to incentivize investing firms to retain their funds in host countries and contribute to the creation of employment, building infrastructure or conducting research.

One set of public policies may surely not fit in all flipping situations and hence would need to be tailored to specific circumstances. Nonetheless, the implementation of a combination of well-balanced measures could help host countries efficiently manage the reverse flipping of capital from themselves back to the original home countries of startup investors, like those from India.

* Nandita Dasgupta (nandita@umbc.edu) is a Lecturer of Economics at the University of Maryland Baltimore County. The author wishes to thank Sjoerd Beugelsdijk, Thomas Jost and Mira Wilkins for their helpful peer reviews.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Nandita Dasgupta, ‘Flipping and reverse flipping transactions: a concern for host economies,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 409, May 12, 2025. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<http://ccsi.columbia.edu>).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Charles Denis, at cd3427@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and Columbia Climate School at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu>.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 408, Mevelyn Ong, ‘[Challenging the invocation of national security interests in international arbitration and its implications for the rule of law](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, April 28, 2025.
- No. 407, Xavier Forneris, ‘[FDI and the digital economy: the need for policy alignment](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, April 14, 2025.
- No. 406, Axel Berger, Mina Mashayekhi and Maarten Smeets, ‘[Development perspectives of the Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, March 31, 2025.
- No. 405, Suphanvasa Chotikajan Tang and Kraijakr Thiratayakinant, ‘[Where to establish the Advisory Centre on International Investment Dispute Resolution?](#)’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, March 17, 2025.
- No. 404, David Bradbury and Pierce O’Reilly, ‘[The global minimum corporate tax: outcomes and options](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, March 3, 2025.