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INTRODUCTION

This paper provides the details of the construction of a new series of measures of the real GDP of U.S. trading partners. We construct both broad aggregates and various sub-aggregates, and analyze their respective patterns.

The need for such measures arises in the analysis of exports, which are traditionally explained through the functional form

$$X = f\left(p_X, e/p_{Y*}, Y^*\right)$$

where \(p_X\) = the price of exports, \(e = \) the nominal effective exchange rate (foreign currency/local), \(p_{Y*} = \) the price of foreign goods, and \(Y^* = \) the real income of the country's trading partners.

For the U.S., various measures of export prices are readily available. In addition, the Federal Reserve publishes both nominal and real effective exchange rates for the U.S. dollar vis-à-vis its trading partners. The most general of these is the Federal Reserve trade-weighted "Broad Index of the Foreign Exchange Value of the Dollar,"\(^1\) which is built around the 36 trading partners\(^2\) of the U.S. that account for close to 90\% of its foreign trade.\(^3\) The Federal Reserve also breaks this index down into two sub-indexes based on "major currency trading partners" and "other important trading partners."
But when it comes to trading partner prices and real incomes, there are no good measures generally available, particularly at the quarterly level. Some authors, such as Mann (2002, pp. 137-38) rely on annual measures of the aggregate real GDP of the "rest-of-the-world" (i.e. all countries in the world except the U.S.). Others, such as Marquez and Ericsson (1993) construct annual measures of the aggregate real GDP of a small number of certain important and/or "representative" U.S. trade partners. Finally, most recently, Chinn (2003, pp. 6, 33) has managed to avail himself of quarterly estimates of an export-weighted measure of the aggregate real GDP of "major" trading partners, albeit from unpublished sources. For each such measure, several corresponding price indexes can be constructed, either directly, or implicitly, through the ratio of various measures of nominal to real GDP.

For The Levy Economics Institute macroeconomic model, it is necessary to have quarterly data on all of these variables. Until recently, we had been using a quarterly measure of export-share-weighted U.S. trading partner real GDP that was developed in the late 1970s and successively extended since then. But the quality and coverage of available data has greatly improved since the initial construction of this measure, and many underlying variables have been substantially revised even for earlier years. For this reason, we decided to construct a wholly new dataset for U.S. trading partners, utilizing the most recently available data.

Since the Federal Reserve already provides nominal and real effective exchange rate indexes covering 36 U.S. trading partners that account for 90% of U.S. exports, as well as export shares for all of them, we chose the very same countries for the core of our own dataset. We did, however, supplement this with data on Denmark, so as to facilitate future analysis of the European Community as a whole.

The coverage of our core dataset has three important advantages. It allows us to construct various income aggregates and sub-aggregates that enable us, for example, to match the Federal Reserve's "broad," "major-currency" and "other important" trading partner effective exchange rates and, more broadly, to discuss the geographical and geopolitical determinants of U.S. trade. One of our particular concerns has been that, even if they were available at a quarterly level, aggregates such as the real GDP of the rest-of-the-world might be too broad. On the other hand, measures encompassing only "major" trading partners might be too narrow, since they tend to leave out new rapidly growing trading partners. This issue is particularly significant in the case of trade-weighted measures, because after a certain point the addition of further countries having low weights contributes little to the overall pattern. Equally important is the possibility that trading partners whose importance is growing may have very different characteristics from others. There appears to be insufficient attention paid to these issues in the literature.

An additional virtue of our data set is that it allows us to construct variants of the two different types of measures that are utilized in the literature, namely direct and export-share-weighted sums of trading partner real GDPs. Finally, since the ratio of nominal to real exchange rates amounts to an "effective" index of the price of foreign GDP relative to U.S. GDP, it allows us to make use of the Federal Reserve exchange rate indexes to easily construct price series consistent with our various real income measures.

In sum, our dataset is complete enough to subsume all data currently in use in the literature as special cases. This allows us to choose the level of aggregation that is most effective in producing robust medium term projections of the impact of foreign income growth on U.S. exports.

In what follows, we describe the sources and methods of our new quarterly database, and analyze the resulting data. An overview of our results and their implications for the analysis of the U.S. balance of...
trade is presented in the Levy Institute Policy Note entitled "Is International Growth the Way Out the U.S. Current Deficits? A Note of Caution."

**SOURCES AND METHODS FOR MEASURES OF U.S. TRADING PARTNER GDP**

**Country Coverage**
As previously noted, the country coverage of our core data set is that of the Federal Reserve trade-weighted "Broad Index of the Foreign Exchange Value of the Dollar": the 36 important trading partners of the U.S. that account for close to 90% of its foreign trade. The Federal Reserve also groups them into two subsets that might be called "major-currency trading partners" and "other important trading partners," for which it also provides exchange rate indexes. It is of course possible to group these countries in other ways, and to expand the coverage (as we have already done through the inclusion of Denmark), in order to facilitate regional analysis. But here we concentrate on the description of the core set.

*Major-Currency Trading Partners*
Australia, Austria, Belgium/Luxembourg, Canada, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Portugal, Netherlands, Spain, Switzerland, Sweden, and the United Kingdom.

*Other Important Trading Partners*
Argentina, Brazil, Chile, China, Colombia, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Israel, Malaysia, Mexico, Philippines, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and Venezuela.

**Data Sources and Methods**
Given that the Federal Reserve's "U.S. exports weights" used in our weighted indexes are only available after 1970, and given that many countries started producing quarterly real GDP series around the same date, we chose to start our "main" series in 1970Q1. An earlier start date, say 1960, would have been desirable in addressing the impact of events such as the first oil shock in 1973. But this is more difficult because true quarterly data, and in some cases even annual data, is missing for many important countries. The basic issues are discussed next, with further details provided in the appendix.

Our primary data source was the "International Financial Statistics" (IFS) CD-ROM database from the International Monetary Fund (IMF), which contains quarterly data on a wide range of countries. But the IFS databank is far from complete and had to be modified and/or complemented in several ways. In particular, we dealt with occasional omissions and/or errors in the IFS by using additional data from the IMF's "World Economic Outlook" (WEO), the World Bank's "World Development Indicators" and official national sources. Otherwise, the basic problem was that true quarterly data was available at different dates for various countries, and in some cases not available at all. Table 1 lists the start dates for available quarterly data on the various countries. In almost all cases where the available data did not encompass the whole period from 1970Q1 to the present, we filled in missing data by interpolating available annual series. This is described in detail in the Appendix.
### Table 1: Start dates of available quarterly real GDP data, by country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Reserve &quot;Major-Currency&quot; Countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Austria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Belgium/Luxembourg</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canada</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finland</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>France</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Germany</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greece</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ireland</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Federal Reserve &quot;Other Important Trading Partners&quot;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Argentina</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brazil</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chile</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>China</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Colombia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hong Kong</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>India</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indonesia</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Israel</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Malaysia</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Additional Country (for coverage of the European Community)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Denmark</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Another difficulty with the IFS database is that it is "country specific" and, as a consequence, somewhat idiosyncratic in its presentation of the data. We had therefore to adjust all IFS quarterly GDP series at constant price to be: (i) measured in billions of national currency (since some of them were in millions); (ii) seasonally adjusted (since some of them were not); and (iii) annualized (i.e., multiply some quarterly data by four where they were not in annual equivalents) (iv) expressed in 1995 constant prices because this was the most common base year19 (v) expressed in 1995 U.S. dollars using IFS exchange rate data for that year.20
An additional detail is that the different countries have different release dates for most recent data. So while the United Kingdom had already released its "final" real GDP numbers for the last quarter of 2002 as of April 2003, many countries had not yet released their 2002 third and second quarter figures, while still others who only release annual data had not yet even released 2002 figures. In cases in which available data did not cover the last quarter of 2002, we chose to "complete" the series using interpolations of the annual forecasts available in the IMF's World Economic Outlook database (April, 2003).

CONSTRUCTION OF MEASURES OF TRADING PARTNER REAL GDP

Having completed the database, we then constructed a variety of aggregate measures of the income of U.S. trading partners. The first of these is simply the direct sum of the real GDPs of the chosen set of countries. Here, we not only calculate the total direct sum for the full 36 countries upon which the Federal Reserve "broad" exchange rate index is based, but also for the country subsets upon which the Federal Reserve "major currency" and "other important trading partner" indexes are based. Alternately, we divide the core set into geographical areas such as

- The Americas: Argentina, Brazil, Canada, Chile, Colombia, Mexico, and Venezuela
- Europe: Austria, Belgium/Luxembourg, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Ireland, Italy, Portugal, Netherlands, Russia, Spain, Switzerland, Sweden and the United Kingdom (all from the core set), plus Denmark.
- Middle East: Israel and Saudi Arabia
- Asia and Oceania: Australia, Mainland China, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand

In the case of export-weighted measures, we created various fixed-weight indexes, using export shares in 1971, 1981, 1991, and 2001, respectively. We also created variable-weight measures using annual export shares. And finally, we created a measure using periodically-adjusted-fixed-weights, by splicing the various fixed weight series together in each relevant year (e.g., splicing the 1981 series to the 1971 one in the year 1981, etc.). In all such cases, we used geometric averages.

Finally, in the case of weighted measures, the issue of scale requires attention. A direct sum has a natural scale, in the sense that it is the direct sum of individual real GDPs all expressed in 1995 prices and 1995 U.S. dollars. But attaching export share weights to each of these same individual real GDPs, and then summing them, will give a very different level to the series, because now each individual GDP component is multiplied by a number less than one. One way to approach the matter is to recognize that a direct sum of 36 trading partner GDPs may be thought of as an equal-weighted average, with each weight equal to 1, so that the sum of weights is 36. Conversely, weighing each country's GDP by its share in U.S. exports gives us a weighted average with weights that sum to 1. One way to make the two consistent is to scale the latter by multiplying it by 36. None of this has any effect, of course, on trends or growth rates.

EMPIRICAL PATTERNS IN MEASURES OF TRADING PARTNER REAL GDP

Since the implications of our measures are discussed in the Levy Institute Policy Note entitled "Is International Growth the Way Out of U.S. Current Deficits? A Note of Caution" here we focus only on the broad patterns in our data.

Because direct sum measures have a direct meaning in terms of scale, we begin with them. Figure 1
contrasts the patterns in the real GDP of the U.S. with that of its important trading partners. It is evident that, as a whole, these trading partners constitute a "region" whose real GDP is roughly two-and-a-half times as large as the U.S.

Figure 2 displays the ratios of the trading partner real GDPs to that of the U.S. We see that major currency trading partner GDP rises relative to that of the U.S. from 1970-82, stagnates from 1982-91, and falls after 1992. Because of the size of this set of countries, this same pattern carries over to the total set of countries. Thus on the whole the U.S. has grown more rapidly than its trading partners for over a decade. This has been widely cited as an important factor in the steady deterioration of the U.S. trade balance since 1992 (Economic Report of the President 2003, p. 62; Mann 2002, pp. 137-38).

But as it turns out, this perception is mistaken. When we distinguish between major-currency and other-important trading partners, we find that the U.S. grows more rapidly than the former and less rapidly than the latter. Other things being equal, this should imply that the U.S. trade balance with the former should deteriorate, while that with the latter should improve. But in point of fact, both deteriorate in almost identical manner. A similar result obtains when we examine the three countries (Japan, China, and Germany) that account for the bulk of the U.S. trade deficit since 1991. Once again, we find that even though the U.S. has grown more rapidly than Japan and Germany and considerably less rapidly than China, the U.S. trade deficit has significantly worsened with all three, and most of all with China. We discuss this in somewhat more detail in our previously mentioned Policy Note.

The preceding results caution us to examine the underlying patterns more carefully, to try to seek a robust level of aggregation, and to keep in mind the influence of factors such as exchange rate movements and differences in international competitiveness. A principal advantage of our new database is that it enables us to delve into such matters in further detail.

Turning now to our general set of measures, which encompasses direct sum and export-share-weighted measures, we analyze the following five measures of the total trading partner real GDP in relation to U.S. real exports.

WDEM1 = Direct sum
WDEM2 = Weighted average using annual export-shares (moving weights)
WDEM3 = Weighted average using 1971 export-shares (fixed weight)
WDEM4 = Weighted average using 2001 export-shares (fixed weight)
WDEM5 = Weighted average using decennially adjusted weights beginning with 1971, spliced to the preceding level of the series at each switch point (spliced weights).

Figure 3 displays the natural logarithms of the various measures. We can see there that the spliced and fixed weight measures (WDEM1, WDEM3, WDEM4) behave in very similar ways. Because the spliced measure involves adjustments in the level of the series at each weight-change point (e.g. at 1981 the level of the series with 1981-weights is made equal to its level in 1981 at 1971-weights), the meager differences between the 1971 fixed-weight and the spliced periodically-changing weight measures (WDEM1 and WDEM3, respectively) tells us that the impact of such changes in weight is relatively
small. But that is not the case with the measure involving continuously changing weights, which displays a much slower overall rate of growth and considerably higher volatility. Finally, we find that the direct sum measure (WDEM1) generally grows more slowly than the fixed-weight and spliced-weight measures.

Figures 4-6 and Table 2 examine the correlations between the growth rate of these various measures and that of U.S. real exports. These were all calculated at annualized rates, as the difference between logarithms of values 4 quarters apart. At a visual level, all seem to perform fairly well, although the fixed weight measures appear to be the best in this regard (Figure 5) and the moving weights the worst (Figure 6). But Table 2 tells a somewhat different picture, in that the spliced weight measure appears best, with the fixed weight and direct sum measures close behind, and the moving weight one far behind.

Table 2: Correlations between growth rates of trading partner real GDP and U.S. real exports

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WDEM1 (Direct Sum)</th>
<th>WDEM2 (Moving weights)</th>
<th>WDEM3 (1971 weights)</th>
<th>WDEM4 (2001 weights)</th>
<th>WDEM5 (Spliced weights)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.632</td>
<td>0.348</td>
<td>0.641</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.679</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While it is encouraging to find relatively high correlations between trading partner real GDPs and U.S. real exports, it should be said that such a correlation is by no means the end goal. We noted at the beginning of this paper that exports are traditionally explained through an equation, usually in growth rates, of the form

\[ X = f(p_x \cdot e/p_{Y^*}, Y^*) \]

where \( p_x \) = the price of exports, \( e \) = the nominal effective exchange rate (foreign currency/local), \( p_{Y^*} \) = the price of foreign goods, and \( Y^* \) = the real income of the country's trading partners. In this regard, the true test of a measure of \( Y^* \) is its performance in such an equation, since that would assess the ability of income to explain exports in light of the movements of relative prices. To select the income measure that gives the best direct correlation with exports would be inadequate and possibly quite misleading.

With this in mind, we compared the performance of the various income measures in a general representation of the growth form of the export equation. We estimated a general error-correction equation of the form:

\[
\Delta X_t = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \xi_i \cdot \Delta X_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \eta_i \cdot \Delta W_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i \cdot \Delta P_{t-i} \cdot \Delta (X_{t-1} - \Delta W_{t-1} - \Delta P_{t-1}) + \epsilon
\]

where \( X \) represents U.S. exports at 1996 chained prices; \( W \) represents one of our measures of trading partner GDP; and \( P \) is the 36 country real effective exchange rate index ("price-adjusted broad dollar index") published by the Federal Reserve. All variables are expressed in natural logs.

Table 3 reports the long-run elasticities obtained by estimating our test equation from 1975q2 to 2000q4,
and using the estimates for generating forecasts for the period from 2001q1 to 2002q3, with up to eight lags (i.e. setting the index k in the equation to 8).

### Table 3: Performance of Trading Partner GDPs in an Export Equation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trading Partner GDP Measure</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>g</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WDEM1: Direct Sum</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>2.577</td>
<td>-0.857</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDEM2: 1971 weights</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>2.419</td>
<td>-0.969</td>
<td>0.262</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDEM3: 2001 weights</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>2.081</td>
<td>-0.778</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDEM4: moving weights</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>7.092</td>
<td>-16.64</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDEM5: spliced weights</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>2.202</td>
<td>-0.678</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Columns labelled [b, g, r] in the table report the estimated values for income and price long-run elasticities in equation Y, while [r] represent the estimates for the speed of adjustment. All estimates are significant, with the exception of representation (4) which uses the current share of each country exports on total U.S. exports as weights in constructing our measure of "world" GDP.

R² is adjusted for the degrees of freedom, while RMSE is the Root Mean Square Error from dynamic forecasts of the endogenous variable over the period 2000q1 to 2002q2.

It is apparent that the equation has a low explanatory power, and our results are mixed. If one chooses a "best fit" indicator such as the R² statistic, the fixed weight measures (WDEM2, WDEM3) are somewhat better than the spliced one (WDEM5), with the moving weights and direct sum (WDEM4, WDEM1) far behind. On the other hand, if more attention is given to the overall forecasting ability of the equation, the spliced weight measure (WDEM5) performs in line with the fixed ones, with the other two once again far behind.

On the whole, the fixed weight and periodically-adjusted fixed weight measures emerge as the most robust. But this is an issue we intend to explore further, in a forthcoming working paper on the balance of trade deficit. The construction of this general database for trading partner incomes was a vital step in that direction.

**REFERENCES**


**APPENDIX: Sources, Methods, and Coverage**
The following is a country-by-country description of all the time series utilized, their sources, and the adjustments made to the data. The most general sources are abbreviated as

IFS: International Financial Statistics (IMF)
WEO: World Economic Outlook (IMF)
WDI: World Development Indicators (World Bank)

For each country, we began with the quarterly data available in the IFS, and supplemented it from other sources where necessary. Where quarterly data was unavailable, we used annual data and interpolated it to get quarterly series. In some cases, we had to use forecasts available from the WEO for most recent periods. As noted previously, we adjusted all quarterly real GDP data to units of billions of national currency (some being initially in millions), made seasonal adjustments where necessary, represented them at annual rates (i.e., multiplied those quarterly data which were not in annual equivalents by four), re-based them to 1995 constant prices when needed, and converted them all into in 1995 U.S. dollars using IFS exchange rate data for that year.

1 - ARGENTINA:

1.1 - Raw Series Used:

B - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1993 prices from 1993Q1 until 2002Q3.
C - IFS Quarterly GDP deflator
F - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).
### 1.2 - Adjustments Made:

IFS annual GDP at 1993 prices series was converted to billions of national currency, extended backwards using the annual volume index and then interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1993 prices series was converted to billions of national currency and extended backwards using IFS interpolated data (from 1992Q4 to 1980Q4) and the quarterly index series (from 1980Q3 to 1970Q1). The series was then seasonally adjusted where necessary, re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator) and extended until 2002Q4 using IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (also re-based into 1995 prices). The resulting series was then converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

### 2 - AUSTRALIA:

#### 2.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS Quarterly GDP deflator

C - IFS exchange rate (U.S. Dollars per National currency on average).


### 2.2 - Adjustments Made:

IFS quarterly GDP at 1996-1997 prices was extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (also re-based into 1995 prices). The resulting series was then converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.
Outlook 2002 forecast, re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator) and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

3 - AUSTRIA

3.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices from 1999Q1 until 2002Q3.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>12299BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>12299B.PZW...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W122NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 - Adjustments Made:

IFS quarterly GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized, and extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then seasonally adjusted, extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

4 - BELGIUM/LUXEMBOURG (Euros):

4.1 - Raw Series Used:

4.1.1 - Belgium


B - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices from 1999Q1 until 2002Q3.


4.1.2 - Luxembourg


B - WEO Annual Real Rate of Growth of GDP from 2001 to 2002.

4.2 - Adjustments Made:

4.2.1 - Belgium

IFS annual GDP at 1995 prices series was extended backwards using the annual volume index and then interpolated quarterly. IFS quarterly GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series (until 1980Q1) and interpolated quarterly (from 1979Q4 to 1970Q1. The series was then seasonally adjusted, extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

4.2.2 - Luxembourg

WDI annual GDP at 1995 prices in 1995 dollars series was extended until 2002 using the WEO series and then interpolated. The resulting series was then added to that for Belgium.

5 - BRAZIL:

5.1 - Raw Series Used:

A - IPEA Quarterly volume index (1990=100) from 1991Q1 until 2002Q4.26


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

D - IFS Annual GDP deflator.
5.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1990 prices series was obtained from the volume index and the annual GDP at 1990 prices series. It was then extended backward using interpolated quarterly data (from 1990Q4 to 1970Q1), re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator), and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

6 - CANADA:

6.1 - Raw Series Used:

A - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1997 prices from 1999Q1 until 2002Q4.


C - IFS Quarterly GDP deflator.

D - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

6.2 - Adjustments Made:

IFS Quarterly GDP at 1997 prices series was extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator), and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

7 - CHILE:

7.1 - Raw Series Used:


E - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

F - IFS Annual GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>GDP AT 1996 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>22899BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>22899B.PWF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1996 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>22899BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>228..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>22899BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W228NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1996 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 - Adjustments Made:

IFS annual GDP at 1996 prices series was extended backwards using the annual volume index and then interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1996 prices series was extended backwards using the index series (until 1980Q1) and interpolated quarterly data (from 1979Q4 to 1970Q1). The resulting series was then "rebased" into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator), seasonally adjusted, extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (also re-based into 1995 prices), and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

8 - CHINA (MAINLAND):

8.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).
8.2 - Adjustments Made:

IMF’s World Economic Outlook annual GDP at 1990 prices series (including the 2002 forecast) was interpolated quarterly, re-based into 1995 prices (using the GDP deflator obtained through the comparison of series A and B in 1995) and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

9 - CHINA (HONG KONG):

9.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1986Q1 until 2002Q3.


E - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

F - IFS Quarterly GDP deflator.


9.2 - Adjustments Made:
IFS annual GDP at 2000 prices series was extended backwards using the annual volume index and then interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 2000 prices series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series (until 1986Q1) and interpolated quarterly data (from 1985Q4 to 1970Q1. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices and extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (also re-based into 1995 prices) and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

10 - COLOMBIA:

10.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

D - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>23399B.PXF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1994 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>23399BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>233..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>23399BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W233NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1994 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.2 - Adjustments Made:

IFS annual GDP at 1994 prices (from 1994 until 2001) was extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices data (using the relevant GDP deflator), extended until 2002Q4 using interpolated quarterly data from the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (also re-based into 1995 prices), and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

11 - FINLAND (Euros):

11.1 - Raw Series Used:

A - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices from 1999Q1 until 2002Q3.

B - IFS Quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1970Q1 until 2002Q3.

Series | UNITS | SOURCE | SCALE | SERIES_CODE | DESCRIPTOR
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
A | Euros | IFS | Billions | 17299B.PZW... | GDP AT 1995 PRICES
B | Index Number | IFS | None | 17299BVPZF... | GDP VOL. (1995=100)
C | Euros | WEO | Billions | W172NGDP_R | GDP AT 1995 PRICES

### 11.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then seasonally adjusted, extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

### 12 - FRANCE (Euros):

#### 12.1 - Raw Series Used:

A - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices from 1999Q1 until 2002Q3.

B - IFS Quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1970Q1 until 2002Q3.


Series | UNITS | SOURCE | SCALE | SERIES_CODE | DESCRIPTOR
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
A | Euros | IFS | Billions | 13299B.RZW... | GDP AT 1995 PRICES
B | Index Number | IFS | None | 13299BVRZF... | GDP VOL. (1995=100)
C | Euros | WEO | Billions | W132NGDP_R | GDP AT 1995 PRICES

### 12.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then seasonally adjusted and extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

### 13 - GERMANY (Euros)

#### 13.1 - Raw Series Used:

A - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices from 1999Q1 until 2002Q3.

B - IFS Quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1970Q1 until 2002Q3.

13.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

14 - GREECE

14.1 - Raw Series Used:


D - IFS exchange rate (Drachmas per U.S. Dollars on average).

14.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1995 prices (from 1995 until 2001) in Drachmas was extended backwards using an index series and forwards using the growth rate of the series in Euros, interpolated quarterly, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

15 - INDIA:

15.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

D - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>53499B.PYF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 93-94 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>53499BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>U.S. dollars</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>534..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>53499BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W534NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 93-94 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1993-94 prices was extended backwards using the index series and forward using the WEO data. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator), interpolated quarterly, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

16 - INDONESIA:

16.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

D - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>53699B.PWF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1993 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>53699BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>536..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>53699BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W536NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1993 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16.2 - Adjustments Made
Annual GDP at 1993 prices (from 1993 until 2001) was extended backwards using an index series and interpolated quarterly. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices data (using the relevant GDP deflator), extended until 2002Q4 using interpolated quarterly data from the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (also re-based into 1995 prices), and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

17 - IRELAND:

17.1 - Raw Series Used:


D - IFS exchange rate (U.S. Dollars per National currency on average).

E - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Irish Pounds</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>17899B.PWF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Irish Pounds</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>17899B.PWF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>17899BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>U.S. dollars</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>178..RH.ZF...</td>
<td>U.S. Dollars per Irish Pound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>17899BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W178NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1995 prices (from 1993 until 2001) was extended backwards using an index series and interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices series was converted to billions of national currency, annualized and extended backwards using interpolated quarterly data. The series was extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (converted to Irish Pounds), and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

18 - ISRAEL:

18.1 - Raw Series Used:

B - IFS quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1980Q1 until 2001Q3.

C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

D - IFS Quarterly GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>43699B.PTF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>43699BVPZF</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>436..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>43699BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W436NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 2000 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual WEO GDP at 2000 prices was re-based into 1995 prices data (using the relevant GDP deflator) and interpolated quarterly. IFS quarterly GDP at 1995 prices series was converted to billions of national currency, annualized and extended backwards using the index series (until 1980Q1) and interpolated quarterly data from the re-based World Economic Outlook annual series (from 1979Q4 to 1970Q1). The series was extended until 2002Q4 using interpolated quarterly data obtained from the re-based IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

19 - ITALY (Euros):

19.1 - Raw Series Used:

A - IFS Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices from 1999Q1 until 2002Q3.

B - IFS Quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1970Q1 until 2002Q3.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>13699B.RYW.</td>
<td>GDP AT 95 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>13699BVRZF</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (95=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W136NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 95 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

19.2 - Adjustments Made:
GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized, extended backwards using the index series and extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

20 - JAPAN

20.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>15899B.RXF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>15899BVRZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>158..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W158NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

20.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1995 prices series was extended backwards using the index series (that was seasonally adjusted). The resulting series was then extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

21 - KOREA:

21.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>54299B.PVF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>542..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W542NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
21.2 - Adjustments Made:

The series was annualized, extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

22 - MALAYSIA:

22.1 - Raw Series Used:


D - IFS annual volume index (1995=100) from 1970 until 2001

E - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

F - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>54899B.PYF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1987 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>54899BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>54899B.PYF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1987 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>54899BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>548..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>54899BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W548NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1987 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

22.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1987 prices was converted to billions of national currency, extended backwards using the index series and interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1987 prices series was converted to billions of national currency, annualized and extended backwards using the index series (until 1988Q1) and interpolated quarterly data (from 1987Q4 to 1970Q1). The resulting series was then seasonally adjusted and re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator). The series was extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast (also re-based) and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

23 - MEXICO
23.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS annual volume index (1995=100) from 1970 until 2001

D - IFS exchange rate (National currency per U.S. dollar on average)

E - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>27399B.RWF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1993 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>27399B.RWF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1993 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>27399BVRZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>273..WF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>27399BIRZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W273NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1993 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

23.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1993 prices was extended backwards using the index series and interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1993 prices series was seasonally adjusted, extended backwards using interpolated quarterly data and re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator). The series was extended until 2002Q4 using (re-based) interpolated quarterly data obtained from the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

24 - NETHERLANDS (in Euros)

24.1 - Raw Series Used:

A - IFS Quarterly (chained, 1995 ref) "real" GDP from 1999Q1 until 2002Q3.

B - IFS Quarterly volume index (1995 = 100) from 1977Q1 until 2002Q3.

C - IFS Annual (chained, 1995 ref) "real" GDP from1999 to 2001

D - IFS Annual volume index (1995 = 100) from 1970 to 2001

24.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP series was extended backwards using the index series and interpolated quarterly. Quarterly chained real GDP (1995 ref) series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series (from 1998Q4 until 1977Q1) and interpolated quarterly data from the annual series (from 1976Q4 until 1970Q1). The resulting series was extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

25 - PHILIPPINES

25.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National currency per U.S. dollar on average)

D - IFS GDP deflator.


25.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1985 prices series was interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1985 prices series was seasonally adjusted, annualized and extended backwards using interpolated quarterly data. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator), extended until 2002Q4 using (re-based) interpolated quarterly data obtained from the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.
26 - PORTUGAL (Euros) :

26.1- Raw Series Used:


B - IFS quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1988Q1 until 2002Q3.32


E - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>18299B.PZW</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18299BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>18299B.PZW...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18299BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>18299BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Euros</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W182NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1994 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

26.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1995 prices was converted to billions of national currency, extended backwards using the index series, and interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1995 prices series was converted to billions of national currency, annualized, and extended backwards using the index series (from 1994Q4 until 1988Q1) and interpolated quarterly data (from 1987Q4 to 1970Q1). The series was extended until 2002Q4 using the (relevant GDP deflator re-based) IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

27 - RUSSIA

27.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).
27.2 - Adjustments Made:

World Economic Outlook annual GDP at 1995 prices series (beginning in 1991 and including the 2002 forecast) was interpolated quarterly and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate. Annual GDP at 1995 prices in 1995 dollars available from World Development Indicators was converted to billions and interpolated. The two interpolated series were then "merged" in 1993:1.

28 - SAUDI ARABIA

28.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

D - IFS GDP deflator.


28.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1999 prices (from 1996 until 2000) was extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator) and interpolated quarterly. The series was extended until 2002Q4 using interpolated quarterly data obtained from the (also re-based) IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

29 - SINGAPORE
29.1 - Raw Series Used:


E - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

F - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>57699B.PXF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1990 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>57699BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Millions</td>
<td>57699B.PXF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1990 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>57699BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>576..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>57699BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W576NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1990 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1990 prices was extended backwards using the index series, converted to billions of national currency, and interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1990 prices series was converted to billions of national currency, annualized and extended backwards using the index series (until 1985Q1) and interpolated quarterly data (from 1984Q4 to 1970Q1). The resulting series was then seasonally adjusted and re-based into 1995 prices. The series was extended until 2002Q4 using the (also re-based) IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

30 - SPAIN (in Euros)

30.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS Quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1970Q1 until 2002Q3.

30.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized, and extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then seasonally adjusted. The series was extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate (i.e., 1 ECU = U.S.$1.31).

31 - SWEDEN

31.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS Quarterly volume index (1995=100) from 1970Q1 until 2002Q3.

C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).


31.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1995 prices series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then seasonally adjusted, extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

32 - SWITZERLAND

32.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS Quarterly GDP deflator.

D - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>14699B.RYF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1990 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14699BVRZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>14699BIRZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>146..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

32.2 - Adjustments Made:

GDP at 1990 prices series was annualized and extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator) and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

33 - TAIWAN

33.1 - Raw Series Used:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W528NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1996 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nat. Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W528NGDP</td>
<td>GDP at current prices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>U.S. dollars</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W528NGDPD</td>
<td>GDP at current prices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

33.2 - Adjustments Made:

IMF's World Economic Outlook annual GDP at 1996 prices series (including the 2002 forecast) was interpolated quarterly and re-based into 1995 prices (using the GDP deflator obtained through the comparison of series A and B in 1995) and converted to 1995 dollars (using the value obtained through the comparison of series B and C in 1995).

34 - THAILAND

34.1 - Raw Series Used:


D - IFS exchange rate (National currency per U.S. dollar on average).

E - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>57899B.PYF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1988 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>57899B.PYF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1988 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>57899BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>578..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>57899BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W578NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1988 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1988 prices was extended backwards using the index series and interpolated quarterly. Quarterly GDP at 1988 prices series was seasonally adjusted, annualized and extended backwards using interpolated quarterly data. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator). The series was extended until 2002Q4 using (re-based) interpolated quarterly data obtained from the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

35 - UK

35.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS exchange rate (U.S. Dollars per National Currency on average).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>11299B.RZF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1995 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>U.S. dollars</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>112..RH.ZF...</td>
<td>U.S. Dollars per National Currency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35.2 - Adjustments Made:
The series was annualized and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

36 - VENEZUELA:

36.1 - Raw Series Used:


C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

D - IFS GDP deflator.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>UNITS</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>SCALE</th>
<th>SERIES_CODE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>29999B.PYF...</td>
<td>GDP AT 1984 PRICES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>29999BVPZF...</td>
<td>GDP VOL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>299..RF.ZF...</td>
<td>National Currency per U.S. Dollar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>Index Number</td>
<td>IFS</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>29999BIPZF...</td>
<td>GDP DEFL. (1995=100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>Nat.Currency</td>
<td>WEO</td>
<td>Billions</td>
<td>W299NGDP_R</td>
<td>GDP AT 1984 PRICES</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

36.2 - Adjustments Made:

Annual GDP at 1984 prices (from 1984 until 2000) was extended backwards using the index series. The resulting series was then re-based into 1995 prices (using the relevant GDP deflator) and interpolated quarterly. The series was extended until 2002Q4 using interpolated quarterly data obtained from the IMF's World Economic Outlook 2001 and 2002 forecasts (also re-based into 1995 prices), and converted to 1995 dollars using the relevant IFS exchange rate.

37 - Denmark (Not included in the Fed's "Broad Exchange Rate Index")

37.1 - Raw Series Used:


B - IFS Annual GDP at 1995 prices from 1970 to 2001

C - IFS exchange rate (National Currency per U.S. Dollar on average).

Series | UNITS | SOURCE | SCALE | SERIES_CODE | DESCRIPTOR  
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---  
A | Nat. Currency | IFS | Billions | 12899B.PZF... | GDP AT 1995 PRICES  
B | Nat. Currency | IFS | Billions | 12899B.PZF... | GDP AT 1995 PRICES  
C | Nat. Currency | IFS | None | 128..RF.ZF... | National Currency per U.S. Dollar  
D | Nat. Currency | WEO | Billions | W128NGDP_R | GDP AT 1995 PRICES  

37.2 - Adjustments Made:

Quarterly real GDP at 1995 prices was annualized, seasonally adjusted and extended backwards using interpolated quarterly data from the annual series (from 1987Q4 until 1970Q1). The resulting series was extended until 2002Q4 using the IMF’s World Economic Outlook 2002 forecast, and converted to 1995 U.S. dollars using the relevant exchange rate.
Figure 3: Trading Partner Real GDP Measures
millions of 1995 U.S.$

Figure 4: Direct Sum Trading Partner GDP and U.S. Exports
millions of 1995 U.S.$
Figure 5: Fixed Weight Trading Partner Real GDPs and U.S. Exports

Millions of 1995 U.S.

Annual growth rates

[Graph showing annual growth rates for Fixed Weight Trading Partner Real GDPs and U.S. Exports from 1970 to 2002.]
NOTES:

1. See the Federal Reserve's "H.10 Statistical Release" (various dates) and Leahy (1998) for details. As put by Leahy (1998, p.811), this "group (...)" of countries (and currencies) "is that of (...) important U.S trading partners."

2. Belgium and Luxembourg are treated as a single country by the Federal Reserve.

3. The share of these countries in total U.S. exports of goods has fluctuated between 87% and 90% according to data from the United States International Trade Commission (available at http://www.usitc.gov/).

4. Such measures are directly available in the World Bank's "World Economic Indicators." One can also construct similar measures from the data available in IMF's "World Economic Outlook," although this requires more effort.

5. Chinn (2003, p. 33) cites "personal communication from Federal Reserve" as the source of his data, without any further details. The Federal Reserve definition of "major" U.S. trading partners is discussed in our subsequent text.


7. In such case, the export-shares are of course adjusted to reflect the actual countries covered. It is our intention to extend our databank even further in the future, e.g., to encompass all OECD
8. As mentioned before, these weights are used to calculate the Federal Reserve's "broad exchange rate index." They are "updated annually to incorporate changes in trade patterns" (see Leahy, 1998, p.812) - and are readily available in [http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h10/weights/](http://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/h10/weights/). They do not, however, take into consideration military exports on the grounds that the "broad exchange rate index" is meant to be a "measure of trade competitiveness" and "trade competitiveness is unlikely to play an important role in the determination of U.S military exports" (Leahy, 1998, p.816).

9. For instance, annual data would be missing for countries like Malaysia (series start in 1970), Portugal (series start in 1969), Israel (series start in 1968), Colombia (series start in 1968), Saudi Arabia (series start in 1968), Austria (series start in 1964), Brazil (series start in 1963), etc.

10. Such as, say, the facts that it contains no data on Taiwan, its Chilean real GDP series stops in 1998Q1, or its Israeli real GDP series stays constant for a couple of years in the early 1970s.

11. We note, with a certain surprise, that both IMF databanks are not always consistent.

12. Whenever there were discrepancies between the data from these sources and the IFS (and no obvious problems with the latter) we used IFS data. A "IFS-based" databank was justified by our willingness to use - as much as possible - the same source for all countries in the sample. The alternative databanks available (notably OECD's) deal with narrower sets of countries and, therefore, have to be complemented with IFS data anyway. Given that OECD data is (as it turns out) compatible with ours and more readily available, we plan to use it in future updates.

13. The date above refers to Belgian data. Quarterly data on Luxembourg (whose GDP is roughly 6% of Belgium's) was obtained by interpolating the annual series available. See the Appendix for details.

14. The series actually goes back to 1977:1, but we chose not to use it before 1988. See the Appendix for details.

15. We chose not to use the IFS GDP at 1970 prices series available from 1975:1 to 1991:1. See the Appendix for details.

16. The series for Argentina is, however, interrupted in 1980:4. See the Appendix for details.

17. We chose not to use the quarterly GDP at factor prices series available from 1996:4 onwards. See the Appendix for details.

18. The series actually goes back to 1970:1, but we chose not to use it before 1980. See the Appendix for details.

19. It should be noted that while most countries provide fixed-base-year real GDP measures, a few countries including Brazil, France and the Netherlands provide chained series. We did not address this difference.

20. With the exception of Taiwan. Given the lack of Taiwanese data on the IFS we were forced to get the relevant 1995 exchange rate from comparing the WEO current price Taiwanese GDP series in both national currency and dollars.

21. Quarterly geometric averages were derived by first creating an arithmetic export-share-weighted average of the natural logarithms of the country real GDPs, and then taking the anti-log of the resulting number.

22. Having verified that all variables are integrated of order one, we chose a general dynamic specification with no contemporaneous regressors, in order to avoid possible endogeneity problems. Since the purpose of our exercise was limited to comparing the outcome of a general equation under different choices of the world GDP indicator, we concentrated on general tests of mis-specifications without attempting to arrive at more parsimonious representations.


24. The interpolation method used was the "Quadratic: match average" in Eviews. This "fits a local quadratic polynomial for each observation of the low frequency series, then use this polynomial to
fill in all observations of the high frequency series associated with the period. The quadratic polynomial is formed by taking sets of three adjacent points from the source series and fitting a quadratic so that the average of the high frequency points match to the low frequency data actually observed. For most points, one point before and one point after the period currently being interpolated are used to provide the three points. For end points, the two periods are both taken from the one side where data is available" (Quantitative Micro Software, 2000, "Frequency Conversion").

25. We used the so-called "x11" method for seasonal adjustments - all of which were done in E-Views.

26. This last series is from the Brazilian Ministry of Planning's "Instituto de Pesquisa Economica Aplicada (IPEA)" web page at http://www.ipeadata.gov.br/

27. The Brazilian national currency changed twice between 1990 and 1995, so it is important to note that our re-based 1995 figures are in billions of the 1995 (and present) currency.

28. This last series is from the Chilean national statistics web page at http://www.ine.cl/11-pib/i-pib.htm

29. We chose not to use the IFS GDP at 1970 prices series available from 1975Q1 until 1991Q1.

30. We chose not to use the IFS quarterly GDP at 1993-1994 factor prices series (available from 1996Q4 until 2002Q2 and not seasonally adjusted).

31. The IMF series actually covers the whole period, but the behavior exhibited by the International Financial Statistics series in the 1970's (both quarterly and annual) is so peculiar that we preferred to use interpolated data from the annual series available in the World Economic Outlook for this period.

32. The IMF series actually goes back to 1977Q1, but the problems with this series (including inconsistencies between quarterly and annual series) led us to drop it before 1988.