

Berger, Axel; Mashayekhi, Mina; Smeets, Marten

Research Report

Development perspectives of the investment facilitation for development agreement

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 406

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Berger, Axel; Mashayekhi, Mina; Smeets, Marten (2025) : Development perspectives of the investment facilitation for development agreement, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 406, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/315728>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (karlsauvant@gmail.com)

Managing Editor: Chioma Menankiti (clm2249@columbia.edu)

The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the authors do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or our partners and supporters.

No. 406 March 31, 2025

Development perspectives of the Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement

by

Axel Berger, Mina Mashayekhi and Maarten Smeets*

Following the conclusion of the negotiations of the Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement (IFDA) in November 2023, its [text](#) was presented for consideration at the 13th Ministerial Conference of the World Trade Organization (WTO) in Abu Dhabi end-February 2024. [India, however, formally objected](#) to its inclusion in the agenda, arguing that consensus is lacking to integrate it into the WTO's legal architecture as a plurilateral agreement. As of today, the IFDA is supported by 125 out of 166 WTO members, of which 62 are developing countries and 27 are least developed countries (LDCs). This strong support from developing countries and LDCs confirms that the IFDA is expected to offer significant economic benefits, especially for these groups of countries. While 41 members have not joined the IFDA, some, including the United States, apply a 'constructive consensus' approach, thus not standing in the way of the conclusion of the IFDA.

The IFDA focuses on regulatory reforms to help attract and retain foreign investors. The key rationale of the Agreement is to streamline and speed up administrative procedures, thus reducing transaction costs faced by foreign (and domestic) investors. Members will mainly benefit from the IFDA through reforms they themselves undertake "at home".

The [reform gap](#) between the current practice and the commitments undertaken in the framework of the IFDA is especially large in developing countries and LDCs. If countries use the IFDA to bridge this reform gap, they are poised to benefit substantially: a modelling of its economic impacts projects important global welfare gains, especially for developing countries and LDCs. Even non-signatories would benefit from the IFDA since its provisions are applied on a most-favored-nation basis: policy reforms resulting from the implementation of the IFDA are horizontal (i.e., non-discriminatory) in nature and do not require concessions from non-

signatories. India, for example, as a non-signatory, would benefit from spillover effects that could reach up to 0.79% in welfare gains.

Importantly, the IFDA can be considered a [development friendly plurilateral](#) as it contains a comprehensive special-and-differential treatment section. Based on the WTO's Trade Facilitation Agreement model, the IFDA allows developing countries and LDCs to self-designate whether certain provisions should be implemented after a transition period and/or technical assistance and capacity building is provided. Furthermore, the Agreement includes a [mix of binding, conditionally binding and best-endeavor provisions](#), allowing for even more flexibility in its implementation. [Needs assessments](#) will assist members in self-designating the implementation of their commitments and in identifying their requirements for technical assistance and capacity development support.

The IFDA also includes flexibilities concerning the enforcement of its commitments. Should developing countries and LDCs experience difficulties in implementing their commitments, the IFDA foresees Early Warning and Expert Group Mechanisms designed to support members to overcome implementation hurdles. Furthermore, developing countries and LDCs are granted grace periods of two and up to eight years, respectively, before dispute-settlement proceedings can be launched.

The IFDA can support domestic investment reforms, enhance transparency in—and offer the potential of—attracting FDI, foster domestic coordination and international cooperation, fight corruption, encourage responsible business conduct, and facilitate the integration of developing countries and LDCs into the multilateral trading system.

However, the benefits will not accrue automatically. Effective and substantial technical and financial support are essential to ensure the beneficial implementation of the IFDA in countries that are most in need of sustainable and productive FDI. This includes supporting domestic and legislative reforms and enhancing the administrative capacity to host FDI. Developing countries and LDCs would benefit equally from receiving support to foster policy learning and sharing best practices to design strategies conducive to attracting and retaining FDI in sectors and industries that offer the best sustainable development perspectives and linking them to global value chains. In addition to attracting inward FDI, WTO members should also consider [outward FDI strategies](#).

Given the significant benefits the IFDA is likely to offer, it is essential that WTO members reach consensus to incorporate the IFDA into the WTO's rule book. For this, it is crucial that IFDA participants engage in open and fact-based policy dialogues with critics within and outside of government, emphasizing the potential of the Agreement to support the development process. Discussions should take place in Geneva and in other suitable fora, such as the G20. Equally, members should establish a funding mechanism, similar to that for the Trade Facilitation Agreement, in support of the implementation of the IFDA by developing countries and LDCs (Art. 39.10 IFDA). Lastly, the intensification of the needs assessment process is a

logical next step to support investment facilitation reforms, uphold and enhance momentum around the IFDA and benefit from its development perspectives.

*Axel Berger (axel.berger@idos-research.de) is Deputy Director (Interim) at the German Institute of Development and Sustainability (IDOS); Mina Mashayekhi (mashmina@gmail.com) was a former director in charge of UNCTAD's Trade Division; Maarten Smeets (maartensmeets2@gmail.com) is a guest professor at Shanghai University of International Business and Economy. The authors wish to thank Jansen Calamita, Carlos Sebastian Guevara and an anonymous peer reviewer for their helpful peer reviews.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Axel Berger, Mina Mashayekhi and Maarten Smeets, 'Development perspectives of the Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 406, March 31, 2025. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<http://ccsi.columbia.edu>)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Charles Denis, at cd3427@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and Columbia Climate School at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu>.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 405, Suphanvasa Chotikajan Tang and Kraijakr Thiratayakinant, '[Where to establish the Advisory Centre on International Investment Dispute Resolution?](#)' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, March 17, 2025.
- No. 404, David Bradbury and Pierce O'Reilly, '[The global minimum corporate tax: outcomes and options](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, March 3, 2025.
- No. 403, Mark Feldman, '[ASEAN's expanding role as an FDI rule-maker](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, February 17, 2025.
- No. 402, Kenneth A. Reinert, '[Subsidy wars and modern industrial policy](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, February 3, 2025.
- No. 401, Sandro Zolezzi, '[Impact evaluation: an essential tool to improve FDI promotion](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, January 20, 2025.