

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Johnes, Geraint

Article Planning v competition in education: Outcomes and efficiency

Welsh Economic Review (WER)

Provided in Cooperation with:

Cardiff University Press

Suggested Citation: Johnes, Geraint (2018) : Planning v competition in education: Outcomes and efficiency, Welsh Economic Review (WER), ISSN 2397-8716, Cardiff University Press, Cardiff, Vol. 26, pp. 57-66, https://doi.org/10.18573/wer.233

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/314308

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Planning v competition in education: outcomes and efficiency

Geraint Johnes, Lancaster University Management School

https://doi.org/10.18573/wer.233

Accepted: 03/12/18

Introduction

Governments have а responsibility to secure the best return on their investments in services. This being the case, the institutions that are involved in organising the supply of these services are a topic of interest and concern. In the case of educational services in Wales, particular concern surrounds the performance of school pupils as measured by international tests, in which the recent record has been disappointing. This paper examines this record evaluates reform and measures that have been put in place.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. In the next section, I look at some data that allows comparison of educational outcomes in Wales and England. This is followed by а broader discussion about the system education. The of final section draws together the main ideas of the paper in a conclusion.

Data analysis

The data used here come from the Programme for International Student (PISA) Assessment undertaken by the Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD). Every three years, samples of 15 year old pupils from schools in OECD countries and elsewhere undertake tests in mathematics, reading and science. More than 80 countries have participated in PISA; in the case of the United Kingdom, the data are collected by the National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER) and are enhanced by the presence of separately identifiable samples from each of England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. The latest available data, used here, are for 2015. The microdata include information about pupil and school characteristics as well as about pupil performance on the tests, and are made freelv available to researchers on the OECD website¹.

The PISA report for 2015² provides data on average scores achieved on tests in mathematics, reading and science that are in each case higher in England (493, 500 and 512 respectively) than in Wales (478, 477 and 485 respectively). These mean values are based on samples of pupils, of course, but it should be noted that the England and Wales 95% confidence intervals do not overlap in the case of any of the three subject areas. This follows performance in earlier rounds of PISA testing that likewise indicated lower than scores in Wales elsewhere in the United Kingdom. This being the case, the media has shown interest³ and the results have been the subject of debate both in the Welsh Assembly and the House of Commons⁴. Various reforms have been introduced in Wales over recent years, and while it is hoped that these will have positive impact it is likely that their effects on pupil performance are only now beginning to be realised (Reynolds, 2016).

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Average scores based on outputs (test results) can provide a misleading picture of the educational process, however. The literature on educational production functions (Hanushek and Woessmann, 2011a, 2011b; Glewwe et al., 2013) provides wealth а of information about how various characteristics of pupils, schools and systems influence performance. The differences that we observe between educational output measured by PISA as performance across England and Wales are likely due, in part at least, to differences in inputs _ includina. for example, socio-economic composition.

To interrogate this further, I investigate the PISA data for England and Wales using methods drawn from the literature data on envelopment analysis (DEA). This method was developed by Charnes et al. (1978) following earlier work by Farrell (1957), and uses linear programming techniques to identify, separately for each decisionmaking unit in the data, a frontier against which can be evaluated the efficiency with which the unit maps inputs onto outputs.

Welsh Economic Review

Specifically in the present context, I use the DEA approach of meta-frontiers pioneered by Charnes et al. Portela (1981),and Thanassoulis (2001) and (2003) Rao et al. and subsequently applied in the education context of by Johnes (2006),Waldo (2007). De Witte et al. (2010), and Thieme et al. (2013)⁵. This approach involves assessing the efficiency with which each liqua converts inputs (characteristics) into outputs (educational performance) relative, first, to the frontier within their own country, and secondly to the frontier across countries. Thus it is possible to analyse, for students of different types, how efficient is the system of education in each country.

Using linear programming methods, the DEA can be extended to a situation in which there are multiple inputs and multiple outputs. The analysis reported in this paper have been obtained using Limdep software.

I use, as inputs into the production process, the pupil's age (by month), the number of books they have at home, weekly hours spent in class for maths, language (reading) and science respectively, hours spent studying per week out of class. and measures of economic. social and cultural status (ESCS), household possessions, and wealth⁶. As outputs, I use the pupils' ('plausible value') scores on the PISA tests for maths. reading and science respectively. Thus the DEA model has 9 inputs and 3 outputs. I employ the variable returns to scale method with output orientation, developed by Banker et al. (1984)

Table 1 reports, in the first row, the average efficiency scores obtained by pupils in England and Wales respectively, relative to best performance within the relevant country. These averages are fairly high, indicating that pupils are generally guite successful in converting their endowments (characteristics) into positive educational outcomes. The score of 0.8338 for Wales is slightly higher than the 0.8174 for England, and this likelv reflects а lower diversity of experience in Wales than in England.

The second row of the table shows how the frontier defined by the 'efficient' pupils in Wales compares with that which applies to England. For the typical student, the frontier in Wales is lower than that in England.

	England	Wales
Pupil within country	0.8174	0.8338
Country within all countries	0.9919	0.9230

Note: Author's calculations based on the PISA microdata for England and Wales, obtained from http://www.oecd.org/pisa/data/2015database/. Numbers of observations are 1693 pupils for Wales, 2672 for England.

But the fact that the score for England is less than unity indicates that there are some pupils whose characteristics are such that, for them, the frontier in Wales dominates that in England. It is not, therefore, unambiguously the case that the English system is performing better (or worse) than the Welsh. Put simply, it depends who you are.

It is possible to construct similar averages to those reported in Table 1 for subsets of the sample of pupils. This is done in Table 2, where the average scores are reported for those pupils with above average, below average and bottom decile wealth, and those with above

Welsh Economic Review

average, below average and bottom decile ESCS. In all cases bar one. the 'country within all countries' score is lower for Wales than for England. The exception is that of pupils in the bottom decile of the ESCS. For these pupils. frontier performance in Wales dominates that observed in England. Interestingly, this corresponds with the finding of Pont et al. (2017) that 'a socio-economic student's background in Wales has less impact on their performance than for students in other parts of the United Kingdom'.

Two important conclusions may be drawn from this analysis. First, once allowance is made for the different characteristics of pupils, spatial variation of performance in standardised tests may not be as great as at first appears. This echoes the findings of Rees and Taylor (2014), who find that the gap in measured performance between Welsh and English schools is much reduced when they undertake a comparison of schools in Wales with those in the English local education authorities whose characteristics most closely match those of Wales. Secondly, the shape of the production frontier likely differs across space so that it is not necessarily the case that all pupils are best served by the technology

Table 2 Average value of pupil-level efficiencies, disaggregated by characteristics	Table 2 Average value	e of pupil-leve	el efficiencies,	disaggregated	by characteristics
---	-----------------------	-----------------	------------------	---------------	--------------------

	England	Wales
Wealth average or above		
Pupil within country	0.8016	0.8162
Country within all countries	0.9926	0.9247
Wealth below average		
Pupil within country	0.8467	0.8696
Country within all countries	0.9906	0.9194
Wealth below bottom decile		
Pupil within country	0.9604	0.9669
Country within all countries	0.9954	0.9588
ESCS average or above		
Pupil within country	0.8108	0.8244
Country within all countries	0.9941	0.9215
ESCS below average		
Pupil within country	0.8340	0.8525
Country within all countries	0.9865	0.9259
ESCS below bottom decile		
Pupil within country	0.8150	0.8318
Country within all countries	0.9919	0.9936

underpinning the education system in one locale rather than another. This recalls the finding of Hanushek et al. (2011) that school autonomy is beneficial in developed systems of education, but less so elsewhere - there appear to be horses for courses. This is particularly significant in the context of Wales, given the political commitment, arguably more pronounced there than in England, to equality and social justice (Power, 2016).

Discussion

The third Thatcher government introduced a wide range of policies aimed at transforming the provision of public services by way of the introduction of quasimarkets (Le Grand, 1991). The new arrangements separated out the funding of services by the state from their provision. Providers whether in the public or private sector _ would compete with each other to provide services, and would be remunerated on the basis of the amount of custom they attracted. In education, for example, the 1988 Education Reform Act replaced block grant funding by formula where funding monies followed pupils and, crucially, pupils where (and their parents) were given new freedoms to choose the school that they attended. At the same time, greater managerial autonomy was granted to schools, which for the first time could opt out of local authority control. In some areas, such as the

Welsh Economic Review

provision of nursery education, similar principles were applied but in a yet more vivid fashion – through the introduction of vouchers (Sparkes and West, 1998).

Much early evidence on the of impact quasi-market reforms on school performance in England is consistent with the view that competition enhances performance (Levačić, 1994; Bradley et al., 2000; Bradley et al., 2001). More recent provided evidence by Burgess et al. (2013) is particularly interesting because it uses the abolition of school rankings in Wales as a natural experiment with which to test the hypothesis that publication of such rankings improves school effectiveness. The evidence supports the hypothesis, albeit in a way that varies substantiallv across the distribution of schools. This is an important point, not least because of the emphasis placed in Wales on equity as a desired outcome from the education system; hence not only average effects of policy but the impact of policy throughout the distribution of experience should be considered. Indeed, in the Burgess et al. study, there is no evidence of an effect on performance of the introduction of quasi-market mechanisms on the top quartile of schools. Goldstein and Leckie (2016) confirm that the reform was followed by a relative decline in average achievement in schools in Wales, though they argue that this may be as much due to pupils' lack of practice in high-stakes tests as to competitive effects. Likewise West and Pennell (1997)document improvements due to the greater freedom to choose schools, but (in contrast to Burgess et al.) argue that the benefits areatest were realised by those drawn from the higher end of the income distribution.

Yet the fundamental assumption underpinning competition in the provision of school places deserves some interrogation. Schools are not shareholder-owned firms in which management faces an imperative to maximise profit, and the freedom of entry and exit essential а to truly climate. competitive is absent. Moreover, until the advent, in England but not in Wales, of academies in the early years of this century, adherence to a national further curriculum limited Schools competition. in reality face a plethora of incentives and respond to a multiplicity of social needs. They offer their customer base an experience good one where the quality of the match between a school and pupil can only be assessed over time and through the commitment of engagement. Schooling takes time, school quality is not static, and choice made on the basis of necessarily retrospective data may not be optimal ex post. Furthermore, schooling is a club good - one that is provided simultaneously, on a non-rival basis, to many beneficiaries; individuals cannot make decisions about their schooling without this impacting on others, and in such a context markets cannot operate perfectly^Z.

Following the establishment of the Welsh Government in 1999, the approach to policy in the sphere of education certainly differed has markedly between Wales and England. Power (2016) notes the tendency for government to be viewed in England as part of the problem, while in Wales it is more usually seen as part of the solution. Relatively few schools in Wales have become grant maintained, so that local authorities retain a strong voice here in the provision of education. Likewise, the curriculum, or at least the way in which it is delivered, has developed in ways that are more progressive in Wales than in England. Critically, there is less standardised testing in Wales, where league tables have not been produced since 2001. This means that the principle of school choice does not work in the same way in Wales as in England parents do not have the same information sets. It is in any event not clear that school choice can work the same way across the two in mid-2016, countries: England had a population density of 424 per km2, while the corresponding figure for Wales was just 150⁸.

Welsh Economic Review

As noted by Swaffield (2017), the relatively poor ranking achieved by Wales has resulted in a 'PISA shock', with politicians responding with the plea that we should 'never waste crisis'. а Hopkins (2016) has identified a number of issues at systemic level that have prevented the Welsh system from achieving to its potential. In particular, he notes that 'accountability systems are still relatively crude and not linked to increased performance, there is a lack of a secure pedagogy that reliably leads enhanced student to learning, the standards of entrants to the teaching profession are lower than in equivalent systems and the architecture for sustained self-improvement is missing'. He also notes a mismatch between the needs of the system and the solutions proposed by politicians, the latter tending to be more bureaucratic than effective a criticism also noted by Grigg (2016).

Recent reforms in Wales have aimed to tackle these shortcomings, starting out from the 20 point plan outlined by Andrews (2011). This focuses on four areas (Pont et al., 2017). The first concerns the quality of teaching provision. This follows criticism by Estyn (2016) of the standard of teaching in many secondary This is schools. being addressed through Teach First, and through the various recommendations of Furlong

(2015). These include a tightening of requirements for newly qualified teachers, and reform in the provision of initial teacher education process through а of competitive tendering. Beyond initial training, continuing professional development has been enhanced through the New the Deal for Education Workforce (Lewis, 2015), providing through the Education Workforce Council professional learning а encouraging passport, collaboration (between schools between and individual teachers) and reflection. Evaluation of the earlv progress on these initiatives will be crucial.

Secondly. the Donaldson (2015) report has highlighted need for curriculum the reform in Wales - and cites PISA outcomes as a major source of concern. Noting evolving employer needs, this emphasises learning skills. creativity. ethical behaviour and a commitment society. The reform to promotes ิล holistic curriculum in six areas of learning: arts; humanities; languages; literacy; mathematics: health and wellbeing; and science. How the change programme advocated in this review translates into practice will be crucial in determining the success of the reform, and evaluation will also be crucial in this area.

Thirdly, the emphasis on equity in education is particularly strong in Wales (Welsh Government, 2016), and this distinguishes the system in this part of the UK from that in, say, England. The DEA results reported earlier confirm this, in showing that the system in Wales serves those in the bottom ESCS decile better than does that of England. Nevertheless. auestions remain about the extent to which the oversight that the Welsh government has on resource allocation allows good decision making. Local authorities, working alongside regional consortia set up following the National Model for Regional Working (Welsh Government, 2014), devise funding formulae for the allocation of resource to schools. As has been the case in England, there is an open question about how equitable this allocation might be, and about the extent to which local decision making results in a 'postcode lottery'. This relates to the tension between the desirability of equity and the principle underpinning subsidiarity - that experts at local level are best placed to assess the local situation. An interesting literature on subsidiarity appeals to property rights and shows that local authorities likely underinvest in circumstances where there are spillovers in the returns to the investment (Lülfesmann, 2002).

Welsh Economic Review

Specifically in the context of education, the optimal locus of decision making has been the subject of research by Johnes (1995) and De Witte and Schiltz (2016), the latter which in of particular indicates the presence of economies substantial of scale - that is, that decisions are better made at higher levels.

Fourthly, as has been noted by Bloom et al. (2015) and McCormack et al. (2014), leadership is а key determinant of the success of educational institutions. School leadership remains an area that is of concern to the OECD (Pont et al., 2017), although the National Academy of Educational Leadership⁹ launched in 2018 offers promise - but will need again to be evaluated.

A common thread running through these areas of activity is the recognition that reform is needed in order to improve the experience of voung people as they progress through education in Wales. While PISA results have been a driver, they should not be (and have not been) the only driver. While recognising the mobility of labour within the UK and beyond, the development of the education system in Wales needs to be cognisant of the needs of Wales itself, and specifically of (existing and prospective) employers Wales. distance in The between employers and education has been а challenge, not only within Wales but more widely in the UK, and opportunities to shrink this distance need to be grasped.

Conclusions

The gap in average performance between pupils in Wales and those in England has justifiably caused concern. There are taking such hazards in measures at face value, but the prompt provided by PISA results to examine and. where appropriate, reform Wales provision in is welcome. The reform put in place measures heretofore have promise, but will need systematic and rigorous evaluation. should Moreover. it be recognised that performance in international tests should represent only one of the drivers of this reform. The Welsh commitment to equity should also (continue to) condition the policy response. Likewise the future needs of business need to be reflected. In this last respect. much remains to be achieved.

Endnotes

- 1. See http://www.oecd.org/pisa/data/2015database/
- 2. See http://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/docserver/download/9816061e.pdf

3. For example: <u>http://www.walesonline.co.uk/news/education/full-pisa-results-2016-show-12278551</u>

4.See http://www.assembly.wales/en/bus-

home/pages/rop.aspx?meetingid=4010&assembly=5&c=Record%20of%20Proceedings#C4477 65 and https://hansard.parliament.uk/Commons/2017-07-04/debates/6D89EDDE-5572-4E71-8040-929F75D39074/EducationPublicFunding

5. There are other applications of DEA in the context of education, but not using the metafrontier approach.

6. Where, as in the case of ESCS, household possessions and wealth, the measures provided in the PISA database contain negative values, I have added 10 to the measure to ensure that all values are positive; this is a requirement of the DEA model. The measures reported in the PISA data for these variables are based on standardised variables – and in the case of ESCS on the first principal component of a group of standardised variables. See

http://www.oecd.org/pisa/sitedocument/PISA-2015-Technical-Report-Chapter-16-Proceduresand-Construct-Validation-of-Context-Questionnaire-Data.pdf.

7. Coase (1960) suggests that if property rights are well defined and externalities can be traded, market failure can be precluded. These conditions are not met in the classroom.

8. See

https://www.ons.gov.uk/file?uri=/peoplepopulationandcommunity/populationandmigration/popul ationestimates/datasets/populationestimatesforukenglandandwalesscotlandandnorthernireland/ mid2016/ukmidyearestimates2016.xls

9. See https://seneddresearch.blog/2018/05/17/national-academy-for-educational-leadership/

References

Agasisti, T. and Johnes, G. (2009). Beyond frontiers: comparing the efficiency of higher education decision making units across more than one country. Education Economics, 17, 59-79. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09645290701523291</u>

Andrews, L. (2011). Teaching makes a difference. Talk given at the Reardon Smith Lecture Theatre, Cardiff, 2 February,

http://gov.wales/docs/dcells/publications/110202teachingmakesadifferenceen.pdf

Banker, R. D., Charnes, A. and Cooper, W. W. (1984). Some models for estimating technical and scale efficiencies in data envelopment analysis. Management Science, 30, 1078-1092. <u>https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.30.9.1078</u>

Bloom, N., Lemos, R., Sadun, R. and Van Reenen, J. (2015). Does management matter in schools? Economic Journal, 125, 647-674. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12267</u>

Bradley, S., Geraint J. and Millington, J. (2001). The effect of competition on the efficiency of secondary schools in England. European Journal of Operational Research, 135, 545-568. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(00)00328-3

Bradley, S., Crouchley, R., Millington, J. and Taylor, J. (2000). Testing for quasi-market forces in secondary education. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 62, 357-390. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0084.00176</u> Welsh Economic Review

Burgess, S., Wilson, D. and Worth, J. (2013). A natural experiment in school accountability: the impact of school performance information on pupil progress. Journal of Public Economics, 106, 57-67. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2013.06.005</u>

Charnes, A., Cooper, W. and Rhodes, E. (1978). Measuring the efficiency of decision making units, European Journal of Operational Research, 2, 429-444. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0377-2217(78)90138-8</u>

Charnes, A., Cooper, W. and Rhodes, E. (1981). Evaluating program and managerial efficiency: an application of data envelopment analysis to program follow through. Management Science, 27, 668-697. <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/2631155</u>

Coase, R. (1960). The problem of social cost. Journal of Law and Economics, 3, 1-44. <u>http://www.jstor.org/stable/724810</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/466560</u>

De Witte, K., Thanassoulis, E., Simpson, G., Battisti. G. and Charlesworth-May, A. (2010). Assessing pupil and school performance by non-parametric and parametric techniques, Journal of the Operational Research Society, 61, 1224-1237. <u>https://doi.org/10.1057/jors.2009.50</u>

De Witte, K. and Schiltz, F. (2016). School district consolidation - scale economies and the optimal scale of education: evidence from Flanders, KU Leuven mimeo, <u>http://2016.economicsofeducation.com/user/pdfsesiones/086.pdf</u>.

Donaldson, G. (2015). Successful Futures: independent review of curriculum and assessment arrangements in Wales. <u>https://gov.wales/docs/dcells/publications/150225-successful-futures-en.pdf</u>

Estyn (2016). The annual report of Her Majesty's Chief Inspector of Education and Training in Wales,

https://www.estyn.gov.wales/sites/default/files/documents/ESTYN_Annual%20Report%202016 %20FINAL_ENGLISH_Accessible_WEB.pdf.

Farrell, M. J. (1957). The measurement of productive efficiency. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society A, 120, 253-281. <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/2343100</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2343100</u>

Furlong, J. (2015). Teaching tomorrow's teachers: options for the future of initial teacher education in Wales, Department of Education, University of Oxford. http://gov.wales/docs/dcells/publications/150309-teaching-tomorrows-teachers-final.pdf.

Glewwe, P., Hanushek, E., Humpage, S. and Ravina, R. (2013). School resources and educational outcomes in developing countries: a review of the literature from 1990 to 2010, in Paul Glewwe (ed.) Education policy in developing countries, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Goldstein, H. and Leckie, G. (2016). Trends in examination performance and exposure to standardised tests in England and Wales. British Educational Research Journal, 367-375. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3220</u>

Grigg, R. (2016). 'Leave me alone and let me teach': Teachers' views of Welsh government education policies and education in Wales, Wales Journal of Education, 18, 64-86. <u>https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/uwp/wjed/2016/00000018/00000001/art00006;jsessionid=47eeenfa6b57e.x-ic-live-02</u>

Hanushek, E., Link, S. and Woessmann, L. (2011). Does school autonomy make sense everywhere? Panel estimates from PISA, <u>http://ftp.iza.org/dp6185.pdf</u>

Welsh Economic Review

Hanushek, E. and Woessmann, L. (2011). The economics of international differences in educational achievement, in Hanushek, E., Machin, S. and Woessmann, L. (eds) Handbook of the economics of education, Vol.3, Amsterdam: North Holland.

Hopkins, D. (2016). School and system reform – an agenda for Wales. Wales Journal of Education, 18, 87-110.

https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/uwp/wjed/2016/00000018/00000001/art00007;jsessio nid=3h1s6v8hdts3p.x-ic-live-02 https://doi.org/10.16922/wje.18.1.7

Johnes, G. (1995). School management: how much local autonomy should there be? Educational Management Administration and Leadership, 23, 162-167. <u>https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/0263211X9502300303</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/0263211X9502300303</u>

Johnes, J. (2006). Measuring efficiency: a comparison of multilevel modelling and data envelopment analysis in the context of higher education. Bulletin of Economic Research, 58, 75-104. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0307-3378.2006.00238.x</u>

LeGrand, J. (1991). Quasi-markets and social policy, Economic Journal, 101, 1256-1267. <u>www.jstor.org/stable/2234441</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/2234441</u>

Levačić, R. (1994). Evaluating the performance of quasi-markets in education, in Bartlett, W., Propper, C., Wilson, D. and LeGrand, J. (eds). Quasi-markets in the Welfare State, Oxford: SAUS.

Lewis, H. (2015). New Deal for the Education Workforce, Welsh Government, <u>http://dera.ioe.ac.uk/24502/2/150922-info-booklet-en_Redacted.pdf</u>

Lülfesmann, C. (2002). Central government or subsidiarity: a property rights approach to federalism, European Economic Review, 46, 1379-1397. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0014-2921(01)00168-4</u>

McCormack, J., Propper, C. and Smith, S. (2014) Herding cats? Management and university performance. Economic Journal, 124, F534-F564. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/ecoj.12105</u>

Pont, B., Kools, M. and Guthrie, C. (2017). The Welsh Education Reform Journey: a Rapid Policy Assessment, Paris: OECD, <u>http://www.oecd.org/edu/The-Welsh-Education-Reform-Journey.pdf</u>.

Portela, M. S., and Thanassoulis, E. (2001). Decomposing school and school-type efficiency. European Journal of Operational Research, 132, 357-373. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(00)00157-0</u>

Power, S. (2016). The politics of education and the misrecognition of Wales, Oxford Review of Education, 42, 285-298. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2016.1184871</u>

Rao, P., O'Donnell, C. and Battese, G. (2003). Metafrontier functions for the study of interregional productivity differences. Centre for Efficiency and Productivity Analysis Working Paper 01/2003, University of Queensland,

http://www.uq.edu.au/economics/cepa/docs/WP/WP012003.pdf

Rees, G. and Taylor, C. (2014). Is there a 'crisis' in Welsh education? London: Honourable Society of Cymmrodorion, <u>https://www.cymmrodorion.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/ls-There-a-%E2%80%98Crisis%E2%80%99-in-Welsh-Education.pdf</u>.

Welsh Economic Review

Reynolds, D. (2016). Education in Wales: how do we move from troubled and troubling to transformational? Wales Journal of Education, 18, 161-178. <u>https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/uwp/wjed/2016/00000018/0000001/art00010</u> https://doi.org/10.16922/wje.18.1.10

Sparkes, J. and West, A. (1998). An evaluation of the English nursery voucher scheme, 1996-97, Education Economics, 6, 171-184. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09645299800000014</u>

Swaffield, L. (2017). Never waste a crisis: understanding the Welsh policy response to PISA in the context of the globally structured reform agenda. Wales Journal of Education, 19, 178-187. https://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/uwp/wjed/2017/00000019/00000001/art00012 https://doi.org/10.16922/wje.19.1.11

Thieme, C., Prior, D. and Tortosa-Ausina, E. (2013). A multilevel decomposition of school performance using robust nonparametric frontier techniques. Economics of Education Review, 32, 104-121. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2012.08.002</u>

Waldo, S. (2007). On the use of student data in efficiency analysis: technical efficiency in Swedish upper secondary school, Economics of Education Review, 26, 173-185. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2005.06.005</u>

Welsh Government (2014). National Model for Regional Working. http://gov.wales/docs/dcells/publications/140217-national-model-for-regional-working-en-v2.pdf

Welsh Government (2016). Qualified for Life. <u>http://www.erw.wales/media/1769/141001-</u> <u>gualified-for-life-en.pdf</u>

West, A. and Pennell, H. (1997). Educational reform and school choice in England and Wales. Education Economics, 5, 285-305. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/09645299700000024</u>