# **ECONSTOR** Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Brüggemann, Philipp; Rajguru, Kunjan

# Article — Published Version Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) 3.0: a software review

Journal of Marketing Analytics

# **Provided in Cooperation with:** Springer Nature

*Suggested Citation:* Brüggemann, Philipp; Rajguru, Kunjan (2022) : Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) 3.0: a software review, Journal of Marketing Analytics, ISSN 2050-3326, Palgrave Macmillan, London, Vol. 10, Iss. 4, pp. 425-429, https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-022-00184-5

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/311063

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

#### SOFTWARE REVIEW



# Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) 3.0: a software review

Philipp Brüggemann<sup>1</sup> · Kunjan Rajguru<sup>2</sup>

Revised: 4 August 2022 / Accepted: 19 August 2022 / Published online: 5 September 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

#### Abstract

Comparative analyses of different publications on the same topic are becoming increasingly popular in several areas of marketing research. Especially in research strands with many different empirical studies, there is a strong need for a systematic method to verify the multitude of results. Meta-analysis is such a method to empirically synthesize multiple research results. This software review examines probably the most popular software for the implementation of meta-analyses: Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) 3.0 (https://www.meta-analysis.com/).

Keywords Meta-analysis · Comprehensive Meta-Analysis 3.0 · CMA 3.0 · Software review

# Introduction

The use of software for meta-analysis is beneficial when there are numerous empirical research projects but no clear overall picture of these publications. The meta-analysis method can be used for the synthesized analysis of many studies or only a few studies (Crocetti 2016). According to Hansen et al. (2022), research questions about relationships among variables or treatment effects are predisposed to run a meta-analysis. As it becomes especially in the field of marketing analytics more and more important to verify empirical results by analyzing them jointly (e.g., Bijmolt et al. 2005; Franke and Park 2006; Wang et al. 2014; Edeling and Himme 2018), this software review focusses on one of the most used software to proceed meta-analysis: Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) 3.0 (https://www.meta-analy sis.com/).

We provide an overview of CMA 3.0 and explain how it can be used. This software review aims to assist researchers by pointing out the advantages of *CMA 3.0*, especially with a view on marketing analytics. Before we detail the essential

 Philipp Brüggemann philipp.brueggemann@fernuni-hagen.de
Kunjan Rajguru 213103@nirmauni.ac.in; Kjrj.3105@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup> FernUniversität in Hagen, Universitätsstraße 11, 58097 Hagen, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Institute of Management, Nirma University, Ahmedabad, Gujarat 382481, India characteristics of this software solution, we briefly introduce the meta-analysis approach.

### **Meta-analysis**

Meta-analysis is used to aggregate effect relationships from multiple studies. According to Paul and Barari (2022, p. 1099), "meta-analysis is a research method for systematically combining and synthesizing findings from multiple quantitative studies in a research domain." The purpose is to determine whether effect relationships are consistent across this research. Moreover, complex decisions can be supported by a robust meta-analytic technique (Jager et al. 2022). In recent years, numerous research studies have been published on the merits and best practices in a wide range of disciplines, including marketing, psychology, education, medicine, and social sciences (Wampold et al. 2000; Rana and Paul 2020; Paul and Barari 2022). "Meta-analysis allows the researcher to compare the treatment effect in different subgroups, even if these subgroups appear in separate studies" (Borenstein and Higgins 2013, p. 134). Consequently, this method has been progressively developed recently (Paul and Barari 2022).



Fig. 1 Procedure for performing a meta-analysis with CMA 3.0

# The CMA 3.0 software

## **Overview**

CMA 3.0 is funded by the U.S. National Institutes of Health.<sup>1</sup> While the software was developed for the health care sector, it is now used in many other research areas. According to Rana and Paul (2022), CMA 3.0 is the most preferred software for conducting meta-analysis for business management research. According to Bax et al. (2007), CMA 3.0 is the most user-friendly and adaptable meta-analysis tool. The authors highlight the wide range of choices for analyzing different forms of data (Bax et al. 2007). This software helps to find overarching results based on several studies. These new or even generally valid results can then be used, e.g., for future research directions (Pang et al. 2021). The software supports the user with different illustrations, e.g., how to perform an analysis after data entry and get detailed results with additional statistical measurements. In addition, several tutorials for different meta-analyses are available on the CMA 3.0 homepage.<sup>2</sup>

As mentioned earlier, the purpose of meta-analysis is to combine and empirically analyze multiple studies on the same topic to discover overarching results. A major strength of *CMA 3.0* is that it supports over 100 different data formats. These data formats are listed on the software provider's homepage.<sup>3</sup> Thus, *CMA 3.0* can be used to analyze studies with heterogeneous data sets systematically.

In addition to the statistical analysis, it is possible to use visual data mining to identify different patterns. Thus, the *CMA 3.0* software is an appropriate tool, especially for the following uses (Leontyev et al. 2017; Borenstein 2022):

- estimate effect sizes,
- construct forest plots as well as high-resolution plots,
- plot the distribution of the true effects,

- compare effect sizes of different subgroups,
- run meta-regression,
- run moderator analyses,
- detect the presence of bias in research directions

*CMA 3.0* can be used to verify the results from various publications. For this purpose, the so-called Hedges' g is used to indicate the effect size of the meta-analysis. Overall, we recommend this approach because of its user friendliness and the possibility of considering and systematically evaluate numerous variables. Besides, the possibility to process data in different formats is another advantage of the software. *CMA 3.0* supports both fixed and random effect measures. In addition, many other computational models are provided, such as Schmidt and Hunter, Mantel–Haenszel, and the inverse variance model. Furthermore, this software solution can process a range of different categories of inputs (Beaubien 2003).

### Meta-analysis with CMA 3.0

Figure 1 summarizes the essential steps for running a metaanalysis with *CMA 3.0.* In preparation, it is necessary to collect the data to be analyzed. Then, the user must insert the data into the software. The columns inserted must then be specified (study name, effect size data, data format). After that, CMA 3.0 generates initial results with just one click on "Run analyses." These results need to be reviewed and possibly revised by the user. When all necessary adjustments are done (e.g., regarding data formats and analyses methods), the output can be reported, e.g., to Microsoft PowerPoint, Microsoft Word or LaTex. Please note that Fig. 1 shows only a schematic summary to illustrate the procedure with *CMA 3.0.* For a detailed description of the procedure for meta-analyses, see Paul and Barari (2022).

The interpretive analysis provides in-depth results for studying the specified context, including several illustration possibilities. The tabular presentation in Fig. 2 includes mean effect sizes, confidence intervals,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> see https://www.meta-analysis.com/pages/about\_us.php?cart.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> see https://www.meta-analysis.com/pages/tutorials.php.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> see https://www.meta-analysis.com/pages/formats.php.

T Comprehensive meta analysis - [Analysis]														
Eile Edit Format View Computational options Analyses Help														
+ Data en	try ti Ne	xt table 🛛 井 High resolution plot			🔁 Select by   + Effect measure: Event rate - 🗐 🔲 🛱 🎵 ‡ 💽 📜 👔									
Model	Study name		Stati	stics for each s	tudy		Event rate and 95% CI				Weight (Random)	Residual (Random)		
		Event rate	Lower limit	Upper limit	Z-Value	p-Value	-0,50 -	0,25 0	,00 00,	,25 0,	50	Relative weight	Std R	esidual
	Study A. 2022	0.500	0.328	0.672	0.000	1.000	1	1	1	I —	4	2.84	3.33	
	Study B. 2021	0.233	0.116	0.415	-2.756	0.006		1		<u> </u>		2.34	0.82	
I	Study C, 2018	0,383	0,284	0,493	-2,091	0,037		1				4,23	2,89	
I	Study D, 2022	0,237	0,161	0,333	-4,802	0,000		1	<u> </u>	<b>-</b>		4,05	1,13	
	Study E, 2011	0,286	0,214	0,371	-4,646	0,000		1		<b>↓</b> ⊷		4,59	1,88	
	Study F, 2022	0,104	0,074	0,145	-11,155	0,000		1	→			4,65	-1,36	
	Study G.2019	0,095	0,055	0,160	-7,418	0,000		1				3,41	-1,38	
	Study H, 2022	0,170	0,091	0,295	-4,338	0,000		1				2,84	0,10	1
	Study I, 2018	0,197	0,185	0,210	-35,567	0,000		1	+			6,07	0,70	
	Study J, 2010	0,185	0,115	0,285	-5,180	0,000		1				3,59	0,35	1
	Study K, 2000	0,196	0,153	0,247	-9,317	0,000		1	_ <u>→ </u>			5,12	0,61	
	Study L, 2006	0,243	0,132	0,405	-2,962	0,003		1				2,70	0,99	
	Study M, 2019	0,169	0,161	0,177	-53,904	0,000		1				6,11	0,13	
	Study N, 2017	0,017	0,005	0,051	-6,973	0,000		1	⊢			1,55	-3,63	
	Study 0, 2014	0,057	0,036	0,088	-11,594	0,000		1				4,06	-2,89	
	Study P, 1999	0,149	0,103	0,209	-8,214	0,000		1				4,41	-0,29	L
	Study Q, 2022	0,177	0,134	0,231	-9,025	0,000		1				4,91	0,27	1
	Study R, 2022	0,095	0,043	0,196	-5,245	0,000		1				2,36	-1,14	
	Study S, 2022	0,250	0,148	0,390	·3,296	0,001		1				3,12	1,15	
	Study T, 2002	0,085	0,051	0,138	-8,513	0,000		1				3,66	-1,73	
1	Study U, 2016	0,238	0,201	0,280	-10,404	0,000				+		5,55	1,36	
1	Study V, 2016	0,190	0,111	0,306	-4,510	0,000					3.24	0,41	1	
1	Study W, 2022	0,134	0,114	0,156	-19,770	0,000					5,71	-0,69		
1	Study X, 2004	0,074	0,047	0,114	-10,311	0,000			+			4,04	-2,18	
	Study Y, 2009	0,090	0,066	0,123	-13,024	0,000			+			4,83	-1,81	
Random		0,163	0,142	0,187	-19,468	0,000			+					

Fig. 2 Example of data analysis with random effects

standard errors, and other test statistics. The forest plot on the right shows both effect sizes and precision for each study as well as combined effects (Hansen et al. 2022). The bottom line shows the result of the aggregated analysis. As shown in Fig. 1, the initial results need to be reviewed and perhaps adjusted, e.g., by specifying data formats or analysis methods. A strength of *CMA 3.0* is the formatting possibilities of the output. As shown in Fig. 3, the software provides some convenient options to include the results into text processing programs or presentations.

Additionally, this software can divide the mean effect among different groups by performing a so-called subgroup analysis. Another advantage of this software is that there are many subgroup options (Suurmond et al. 2017). This can reveal, for example, differences between countries or continents in the literature analyzed. Another advantage of *CMA 3.0* is that moderation effects can also be considered when calculating meta regressions (Borenstein 2022). This method can be used to find out whether the results of the meta-analysis are strengthened or weakened by another variable. For example, it could be investigated whether the year of publication as a moderating variable has an influence on the effect size of the meta-analysis (Suurmond et al. 2017).

# Conclusion

We urge that researchers fully utilize the *CMA 3.0*based meta-analytic technique to acquire the most significant insights about aggregate studies that a single primary study cannot deliver. As the volume of data and empirical research grows, this is especially important for meta-analysis in marketing analytics. In addition, there are numerous constructs in the field of marketing that are very commonly replicated in many research papers. This is where the synthesized investigation of meta-analyses with *CMA 3.0* is highly appropriate.

*CMA 3.0* is quite beneficial for meta-analysis, particularly because the analytic options are extensive and the interface is simple. As a result, we propose that researchers who intend to do a meta-analysis apply *CMA 3.0*. This technique may be quite valuable, particularly in marketing and marketing analytics, in systematically evaluating a large number of analytical studies to verify findings or reveal new research requirements. For instance, a meta-analysis with *CMA 3.0* can be used to synthesize previous research on the acceptance and use of the metaverse. Similarly, analyzing previous literature on the budget allocation of online advertising could be another meaningful subject for future meta-analyses. Similarly, the numerous studies on retail analytics can be used to summarize the results of these studies systematically.



Fig. 3 Example of output presentation

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by/4.0/.

#### 0

# References

- Bax, L., L.M. Yu, N. Ikeda, and K.G. Moons. 2007. A systematic comparison of software dedicated to meta-analysis of causal studies. *BMC Medical Research Methodology* 7 (1): 1–9.
- Beaubien, J.M. 2003. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis. Personnel Psychology 56 (1): 291–293.
- Bijmolt, Tammo H.A., H.J. van Heerde, and R.G.M. Pieters. 2005. New empirical generalizations on the determinants of price elasticity. *Journal of Marketing Research* 42 (2): 141–156.

- Borenstein, M. (2022) Comprehensive Meta-Analysis Software. In: M. Egger, J.P. Higgins and G.D. (eds.) Smith Systematic Reviews in Health Research. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, pp. 535–548
- Borenstein, M., and J.P. Higgins. 2013. Meta-analysis and subgroups. Prevention Science 14 (2): 134–143.
- Crocetti, E. 2016. Systematic reviews with meta-analysis: why, when, and how? *Emerging Adulthood* 4 (1): 3–18.
- Edeling, A., and A. Himme. 2018. When does market share matter? New empirical generalizations from a meta-analysis of the market share – performance relationship. *Journal of Marketing* 82 (3): 1–24.
- Franke, G.R., and J.-E. Park. 2006. Salesperson adaptive selling behavior and customer orientation: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Marketing Research* 43 (6): 693–702.
- Hansen, C., H. Steinmetz, and J. Block. 2022. How to conduct a metaanalysis in eight steps: A practical guide. *Management Review Quarterly* 72: 1–19.
- Jager, N.W., J. Newig, E. Challies, E. Kochskämper, and H. von Wehrden. 2022. Case study meta-analysis in the social sciences. Insights on data quality and reliability from a large-N case survey. *Research Synthesis Methods* 13 (1): 12–27.
- Leontyev, A., A. Chase, S. Pulos, and P. Varma-Nelson. 2017. Assessment of the effectiveness of instructional interventions using a Comprehensive Meta-Analysis package. In *Computer-aided data* analysis in chemical education research (CADACER): advances and avenues, ed. T. Gupta, 117–132. Washington, D.C.: American Chemical Society.
- Pang, Z., G. Zhou, J. Chong, and J. Xia. 2021. Comprehensive Meta-Analysis of COVID-19 Global Metabolomics Datasets. *Metabolites* 11 (44): 1–14.

- Paul, J., and M. Barari. 2022. Meta-analysis and traditional systematic literature reviews—What, why, when, where, and how? *Psychol*ogy & Marketing 39: 1099–1115.
- Rana, J., and J. Paul. 2020. Health motive and the purchase of organic food: A meta-analytic review. *International Journal of Consumer Studies* 44: 162–171.
- Suurmond, R., H. van Rhee, and T. Hak. 2017. Introduction, comparison, and validation of meta-essentials: A free and simple tool for meta-analysis. *Research Synthesis Methods* 8 (4): 537–553.
- Wampold, B.E., H. Ahn, and D. Kim. 2000. Meta-analysis in the social sciences: A useful way to make sense of a series of findings from a large number of studies. *Asia Pacific Education Review* 1 (1): 67–74.
- Wang, P., E. Bradlow, and E. George. 2014. Meta-analyses using information reweighting: An application to online advertising. *Quantitative Marketing and Economics* 12 (2): 209–233.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

**Philipp Brüggemann** is a research assistant of Prof. Dr. Olbrich at the Marketing Chair of FernUniversität in Hagen, Germany. He studied business administration and worked for several years in the field of finance and controlling. His research interests include retail, digital marketing, and e-commerce. He is working on several projects related to market share analysis, online versus offline grocery shopping, and consumer buying behavior. In addition, Philipp Brüggemann examines digital voice assistants for grocery shopping, augmented reality in online retailing, and customer churn rates in freemium business models.

**Kunjan Rajguru** is a Doctoral Scholar-2021, working at Institute of Management, Nirma University. Her research interest is Services Marketing and Consumer Behavior. She is also involved in sustainable consumption practices emerging with the industrial revolution. She is also exploring various dimensions of service plurality and customer journey experiences. The role of disruptive technologies in marketing is also one of her favorite areas to explore. Her research goal is to further consider different studies in service ecosystem settings. She is working on book chapters about green finance and green energy exploration and consumption and the industrial revolution.