

Raut, Sneha

**Article**

## Occurrence of Occupational Fraud in Family Businesses: A Pitch

Journal of Accounting and Management Information Systems (JAMIS)

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

The Bucharest University of Economic Studies

*Suggested Citation:* Raut, Sneha (2019) : Occurrence of Occupational Fraud in Family Businesses: A Pitch, Journal of Accounting and Management Information Systems (JAMIS), ISSN 2559-6004, Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Bucharest, Vol. 18, Iss. 1, pp. 119-125, <https://doi.org/10.24818/jamis.2019.01006>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/310738>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

# Occurrence of occupational fraud in family businesses: A Pitch

Sneha Raut <sup>a,1</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *Bond University, Australia*

**Abstract:** Idea generation is easy but getting a refined and organized form for that idea is a complex process. This letter outlines the utilization of Faff (2018) pitching research framework for developing a personal original pitch. The pitching research template is intriguing as it helps young researchers like myself in determining the core components of their research topic. This “*Pitching Research Letter*” features my personal thoughts on pitching research and the application of the pitching template to develop my personal pitch on “*Occupational Fraud in Family Businesses*” using the Fraud Triangle.

**Keywords:** Pitching Research, Occupational Fraud, Family Businesses, Fraud Triangle

**JEL Codes:** C12, C51, G40, K20, K21, M41, M48

## 1. Introduction

*“The greatest of all pleasures is the pleasure of learning” – Aristotle*

The art of understanding how to make a research idea a successful one is at the heart of the pitching research process. This pitching research letter is used to develop a pitch in occupational fraud and is comparable to the work of Unda (2015), Atif (2016), Rekker (2016) and Wallin and Spry (2016), which is based on pitch research template by Faff (2015) and its adaptations.

I am currently enrolled as a M.Phil. student at Bond University, Australia. Occupational fraud is my area of research and my focus is on family businesses. The

---

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author: Bond Business School, Bond University, 14 University Drive, Robina, QLD. 4226; Tel: (+61) 406525869; E-mail: [sraut@bond.edu.au](mailto:sraut@bond.edu.au)

motivation for pursuing this comes from my father, who runs a family business. Growing up in a small Indian village, looking at my father run his business involving family members, I witnessed incidents that can be quoted as “*Acts of Fraud*”. Despite knowing that the actions were questionable, he never took any action, stating “*it’s a family matter*”. I knew it was not right and, hence, from that moment I always wanted to explore this more. On completion of my Master of Business, I wanted guidance and I reached out to my supervisor. He was involved in ongoing research in forensic accounting and related fields. This, thus, gave me the right direction towards my research idea.

On commencing with my M.Phil., I completed a course on Forensic Accounting and Fraud Examination, which further strengthened my research agenda. Later, as a part of my coursework requirement, I needed to undertake one more course, which was “*Process of Research in Business*”. This course was delivered by Faff and that is where I first learnt about “*Pitching Research*”.

The pitching research process was delivered to us in different formats. To make us comfortable with the usage of the pitching template, we were assigned a task called “*Fantasy Pitching*”, as a part of our learning process. This task of “*Fantasy Pitching*”, helped us think out of the box and encouraged us to challenge our thinking process. In this task, we worked in groups and we had to come up with an imaginary research agenda. My group was keen on researching Donald Trump and hence our “*Fantasy Pitching*” was titled, “*Donald Trump - The Power of the Toupee: theory - evidence - policy implications*”. This task generated enthusiasm within me for the subject.

Following this was “*Reverse Engineering*”, a technique useful for young researchers to get a grasp of the exhaustive academic literature. The template of “*Reverse Engineering*” is similar to the “*Pitching Research*” template, however what is different is the output. The “*Reverse Engineering*” template is productive for streamlining the literature and understanding the complex journal articles. The template has questions that helps to get a succinct summary of the complex paper, helping early researchers to have a systematic learning process. This technique is useful to deconstruct the key papers in one’s research, helping one to have a strong hold over the literature. I used this template to reverse engineer key and seminal papers in my research field, which helped me handle the huge and complex literature.

Learning the various formats of “*Pitching Research*” and implementing them throughout the learning journey, our last task was to generate an original personal pitch using the “*Pitching Research Template*” by Faff. The remainder of this pitching letter will focus on the implementation of the template to create the original pitch as well as provide some personal insights on the “*Pitching Research*” process.

## 2. Succinct Explanation on exercising the Pitching Template

The “Pitching Research” template is a systematic framework, Faff calls it the “4-3-2-1” framework in his Faff (2018) Pitching Research®. Further, he breaks it into labels from (A) to (K). I will explain the “4-3-2-1” application with my *completed 2-page pitch template on “Occurrence of Occupational Fraud in Family Businesses”* as shown in Table 1. The essence of the pitch is occupational fraud and the key theoretical framework used extensively is Cressy’s Fraud Triangle Theory, which forms the backbone of this research as well as the pitch.

Standing at the crest of the template is “4” asking to pen down the four key components (Label A – D) of the pitch (i.e. title, research question, key paper and the motivation). As you can see in Table 1, Label B, the basic research question is to explain the occurrence of occupational fraud using the three vertices of Cressey’s Model. These research questions lay the foundation of my M.Phil. research and aim to fill the gaps found in the literature. Murphy and Free (2016) is my key paper. This paper utilises the fraud triangle theory and extends it in the field of occupational climate. It encouraged me to research the intersection of “Fraud Triangle Theory, Occupational Fraud and Family Business”. Another factor to motivate me in looking at this area was the lack of research correlating occupational fraud and family business. Dorminey et al. (2012) elaborated on the evolution of fraud theories; it was fascinating to see how Cressy’s Fraud Triangle Theory formed the base of each new theory and model. Hence, I knew my base was going to be recent papers based on the original theory coined by Cressy (1950) like Murphy and Free (2016).

Moving to “3” (i.e. label E – G), also popular as the “IDioTs” guide as per Faff (2018), this component of the template is based on the core aspects of the empirical research. Label E – Idea, it wants us to identify the “core” that urge this research topic with the variables involved. Label F & G – Data and Tool, ask to explain the data collection methodology and the tools that will assist in the research analysis.

Down to “2” (i.e. label H – I), the two key questions are; “What” & “Why”? This component of the template helps to further emphasize on the research topic by asking two things. What’s New? and So What? This part showcases the novelty of the research topic and why it is important to get an answer for this research agenda.

Coming down to the one bottom line, “1”, label J, here we show what is the primary contribution to the existing literature as well as the practical applications of this research. This sums up the “4-3-2-1” countdown but we have one more label left, “K”. This is the hidden part of the “4-3-2-1” countdown, there is “+1” summing up the label count. What is “K”? it is an add on where you can jot down other considerations important for this research topic. You can mention funding, collaboration, ethics, tool, data collection and anything that will have a direct effect

on the functioning of the research and its probable outcome. Table 1 summarises the “4-3-2-1 & +1” with my research topic.

### 3. Personal contemplation on pitching research exercise

Faff (2018) stated that for an amateur researcher to know where exactly to start is a tough task. I took the pitching research idea from prior work done by Salehudin (2017) on reverse engineering along with Rekker (2016), Wallin and Spry (2016), Beaumont (2015) and Ratiu (2015) pitching experience to kick start my pitching journey. This helped me define my research agenda and narrow down my research topic. I was under the impression that research is all about reading continuously. After receiving the pitching template, I saw how one can have key takeaways of the main readings in one place. Trying to question myself about what is unique in my research never helped until I was introduced to the “*Mickey Mouse Diagram*” as seen below as *Figure 1*. This helped me get the core intersection of various key elements of my research and now I can say confidently what is novel in my research.

What did I gain from this pitching research process? I have learnt a technique that made my researching interesting and objective. Having the template in my hand, I know what I am looking for and where I can find the answers within the article, by the end of the read, I have succinct information of the article that can be used at any point. Along with the ease of researching, I learnt how this template can be used for brainstorming about new research ideas as well as how it can be used for collaborative team effort also. Another takeaway from the entire learning process is, I have learnt to read within the lines and that I have understood that research is a collaborative process. It might be your idea and your work but, in the end, it is based on past work done by someone or referring to papers written by some or an extension of an idea. Thus, what I learnt about research is that is inclusive and collaborative in nature.



**Figure 1. Mickey Mouse Diagram**

## 4. Conclusion

This pitching letter provided succinct information on the occurrence of occupational fraud in family businesses. This pitching letter was developed utilising Faff (2018) pitch template. The original pitch developed, forms the foundation of my M.Phil. It showcases how the modified form of the template was used to reverse engineer key and seminal papers and to create the original pitch. I am grateful to have come across this template to accompany me. This template had made the complex literature review as well as the refinement of research idea journey a smooth one. I will personally recommend it to any novice researcher to grasp the cumbersome journey of research.

## Acknowledgement

This Pitching Research Letter is the first step of author's M.Phil. journey. The author is thankful to Robert Faff for delivering the subject Process of Research in Business at University of Queensland and giving us the knowledge of a great tool, "Pitching Template". The author is thankful to other authors who have previously written Pitching Research Letters that helped me experience the way a Pitching Research Letter should be written.

## References

- Atif, M. (2016) "CEO Compensation and Firm's Cash Holding: A Pitch", *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, vol. 15: 605-611
- Faff, R. (2018) "Pitching Research®", *SSRN Electronic Journal*, Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2462059> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2462059>
- Murphy, P.R. & Free, C. (2016) "Broadening the fraud triangle: Instrumental climate and fraud", *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 28(1): 41-56
- Rekker, S. (2016) "Converting planetary boundaries into action, a new approach to meeting global greenhouse gas targets", *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, vol. 15: 160-167
- Ratui, R. V. (2015) "Financial reporting of European banks during the GFC: A pitch", *Accounting and Finance*, 55(2): 345-352
- Salehudin, I. (2017) "Reverse engineering' the pitching research template: A Simple Tool to Help Understand the Academic Literature", *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, vol. 16: 203-210
- Stokes, D. (2013) "Generating Innovative Research Ideas", *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, vol. 12: 144-154
- Unda, L. (2015) "Board of Directors Characteristics and Credit Union Financial Performance: A Pitch", *Accounting and Finance*, vol. 55: 353-360
- Wallin, A. & Spry, A. (2016) "The role of corporate versus product brand dominance in brand portfolio overlap: A Pitch", *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, vol. 15: 434-439

Table 1. Completed 2-page pitch template on “Occurrence of Occupational Fraud in Family Businesses

Pitcher's Name	Sneha Harichandra Raut	For category	Occupational Fraud	Date Completed	15 <sup>th</sup> June 2018
(A) Working Title	Occurrence of Occupational Fraud in Family Businesses				
(B) Basic Research Question	Can the three vertices of Cressey's fraud triangle (pressure/motive, opportunity and rationalization), explain the occurrence of fraud in family firms?				
(C) Key paper(s)	Murphy, P. R., & Free, C. (2016). Broadening the fraud triangle: Instrumental climate and fraud. <i>Behavioral Research in Accounting</i> , 28(1), 41-56. Dorminey, J., Fleming, A. S., Kranacher, M., & Riley, R. A. (2012). The evolution of fraud theory. <i>Issues in Accounting Education</i> , 27(2), 555-579.				
(D) Motivation/Puzzle	Occupational fraud is a widely studied area. Researchers have focused on the existence of occupational fraud in SME's in different sectors and countries but nothing specific with family-based firms. It has been proved in past research that family firms working is distinguishable from that of non-family firms and this was the motive to research this area. The aim of this research is to fill this research gap and to justify how occupational fraud can take place in family firms with the existing fraud triangle vertices, namely pressure/motive, opportunity and rationalization.				
THREE	Three core aspects of any empirical research project i.e. the “IDioTs” guide				
(E) Idea?	The core idea of this research is to analyze the existence of fraud in family business with fraud triangle vertices. This will include conducting systematic literature review of fraud theories with focus on fraud triangle theory and on family firms. The central hypothesis of this research is to see the impact of the three vertices of fraud triangle theory (pressure/motive, opportunity and rationalization) on the occurrence of occupational fraud in family business. The key dependent variable is occupational fraud and the independent variables will be pressure/motive, opportunity and rationalization. No threat of endogeneity is suspected.				
(F) Data?	Data for this research will be collected using a survey instrument. The survey will have both quantitative and qualitative questions. The survey will be divided in two parts: 1. Family Business Questions - To understand the working, distribution of power etc. 2. Questions on Fraud Triangle - To understand which factors contribute more to the occurrence of occupational fraud As this is a new area of research in the field of occupational fraud we aim to get minimum 30 responses to validate the results. Only firms who associate them as family firms will be allowed to take up the survey and this will be done using a well-established definition of family firms. We do not see any problems in terms of getting responses which are incomplete as this survey will be circulated in form of an electronic link and no questions will be asked which can reveal the participants identity.				

<b>(G) Tools?</b>	A range of modern statistical/machine learning techniques will be used to model or analyze the characteristics of fraud schemes using a range of explanatory variables of fraud triangle. Techniques to be used include random forests, stochastic gradient boosting and artificial neural networks. All these techniques are non-parametric (no assumptions about statistical distributions), which is important because nothing is known about fraud in family business yet and so no distributional assumptions are appropriate. Text analytics (including NVivo) will also be used to process text response fields. Cutting-edge statistical imputation techniques such as using stochastic gradient boosting will be used to handle any missing values. Overall, the modelling will reveal insights as to what features are associated with fraud in family business.
<b>TWO</b>	<b>Two key questions</b>
<b>(H) What's New?</b>	This research addresses fraud in family firms which is largely neglected in the current literature. This research is based on the intersection of three key elements: Occupational Fraud, Family Firms and Fraud Triangle Vertices. This research will give evidence that no business is safe from the occurrence of fraud.
<b>(I) So What?</b>	Occupational fraud in various segments is an issue today. This research will provide better understanding of occupational fraud to the owners and management of family firms. It will provide them insights that any firm can be vulnerable to the act of fraud and how trust is not the best method to protect them from occupational fraud taking place. Overall, this research will provide a best practice guide which can be used to prevent family firms from occupational fraud
<b>ONE</b>	<b>One bottom line</b>
<b>(J) Contribution?</b>	Academically, this research will contribute to and enhance the existing literature of occupational fraud, family business and fraud theories. This will put the section of family fraud in the existing literature. Additionally, it will have practical implications for the owners and management of family firms and for fraud examiners.
<b>(K) Other Considerations</b>	The aim of this research is to publish articles in top end journals in the field of occupational fraud and family business (e.g. Behavioral Research in Accounting, Family Business Review). Looking at risk profile, it is a very low risk research as we are not going to get the data face-to-face but through online medium also they are not forced to participate in this experiment. This is a pilot project in fraud in family business which is novel hence, we see no objections from ethics committee at this point.