

Nart, Sima; Bilgili, Ahmet; Orgut, Emine Demet

Article

The effect of green human resources management practices on corporate sustainability from the perspective of employees

Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment Journal

Provided in Cooperation with:

De Gruyter

Suggested Citation: Nart, Sima; Bilgili, Ahmet; Orgut, Emine Demet (2024) : The effect of green human resources management practices on corporate sustainability from the perspective of employees, Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment Journal, ISSN 1864-6042, De Gruyter, Berlin, Vol. 18, Iss. 1, pp. 1-14,
<https://doi.org/10.1515/econ-2022-0060>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/306076>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Research Article

Sima Nart*, Ahmet Bilgili, and Emine Demet Orgut

The Effect of Green Human Resources Management Practices on Corporate Sustainability from the Perspective of Employees

<https://doi.org/10.1515/econ-2022-0060>

received February 07, 2023; accepted November 17, 2023

Abstract: This study examines the impact of Green Human Resources Management (GHRM) in the textile and fashion industry on corporate sustainability (CS). In addition, the indirect effects of organizations' environmental performance (EP) and employee commitment to CS are also examined. The research was carried out with the participation of the employees ($n = 129$) of Turkish organizations operating in the fashion and textile sector that adopt a sustainable business management approach. Structural equation modeling via smart PLS was used for data analysis. The findings showed that GHRM positively affects CS, and EP mediates this relationship. However, employee commitment did not have a mediating effect in this relationship. These results provide CS experts and managers with evidence-based insights into designing GHRM practices and sustainability strategies.

Keywords: corporate sustainability, sustainability, green human resources management, environmental performance, employee commitment

JEL classification codes: M1, M10, M14

1 Introduction

Global environmental problems have led to the development of the understanding of sustainability and increased sensitivity to this issue. From a business perspective,

sustainability represents a window into the organization's future that deals with critical environmental, economic, and social strategies determining whether the organization will succeed in the market. Although traditional expressions such as corporate social responsibility and corporate citizenship are still common within the scope of sustainability practices, they have begun to be replaced by a broader and more comprehensive term, corporate sustainability (Jamal et al., 2021). Corporate sustainability (CS) is an approach that creates long-term shareholder value by utilizing the opportunities and managing the risks emerging from economic, environmental, and social developments.

Increasing the environmental consciousness of organizations and efforts to overcome ecological problems bring the green management approach to the fore. The success of green-oriented management practices is highly dependent on the presence of employees who are aware of ecological problems, accept the associated responsibility, and are ready to take the initiative in this regard. In this context, GHRM is the most practical approach that supports green recruitment and selection, green training, wage and reward processes, and employee participation in sustainable goals (Yong et al., 2020). GHRM focuses on developing strategies and organizational policies to create an environmental-oriented organizational culture.

The textile and fashion sector is among those industries that cause particular harm to the environment and the balance of the ecosystem and have a destructive effect on natural resources. Thus, studies on the sustainability of the textile and fashion industries have particular importance. One of the prominent research topics is the examination of the process of achieving sustainable goals with the employees of fashion companies (Lorincová et al., 2019). There are two main reasons that this issue comes to the fore. First, human resources are among the most critical determinants of organizations being able to achieve their goals (Amberg & McGaughey, 2019). In addition, the effect of successful green management practices can achieve positive development, and this increases the sensitivity to

* **Corresponding author: Sima Nart**, Sakarya Business School, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey, e-mail: snart@sakarya.edu.tr

Ahmet Bilgili: Sakarya Graduate School of Business, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey, e-mail: bilgiliyahmet07@gmail.com

Emine Demet Orgut: Sakarya Graduate School of Business, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey, e-mail: orgut@subu.edu.tr

ORCID: Sima Nart 0000-0002-8264-9828; Ahmet Bilgili 0000-0001-6956-0936; Emine Demet Orgut 0000-0002-2213-0186

the subject. Second, it is known that employees' commitment to the organization can positively affect organizational performance and achievement of goals. In this context, if fashion organizations set sustainable goals and their employees are committed to them, the probability of organizations achieving them will increase (Paillé *et al.*, 2020).

1.1 Purpose

The primary purpose of this article is to explore the textile and fashion industry's perspective on the impact of GHRM practices on CS practices. Our study investigates and tests the interrelationships between GHRM, environmental performance (EP), organizational commitment (OC), and CS within the scope of the research model presented. Accordingly, our article addresses three critical research questions:

- What is the nature of the association between GHRM and CS?
- What are the effects of GHRM practices on EP and OC?
- Do EP and OC act as mediators in the effect of GHRM practices on CS?

1.2 Contribution

Organizations rapidly adopt GHRM to develop an environmentally friendly culture to ensure CS. However, adopting a green organizational understanding spanning all departments is critical to success. Therefore, there is a need for research that deals with GHRM and organizational performance relationships in detail. There are a small number of studies that link GHRM with organizational performance available in the literature. Although GHRM has gained popularity and there has been an increase in the associated research, most studies on GHRM do not focus on the links between corporate strategy and human resource management strategy alignment or human resource functions (Tanova & Bayighomog, 2022). Instead, the focus of GHRM studies over the past decade has mainly been on the individual human resource management functions of recruitment and selection, training and development, performance management, rewards, and compensation systems (Pham *et al.*, 2019). Also, GHRM implications are mainly examined from managers' perspectives (Singh *et al.*, 2020; Tuan, 2022). It is known that GHRM practices should serve the organization's sustainability, just like other modern managerial practices (Ullah, 2017). However, in the current situation, the effect of

GHRM practices on CS needs to be adequately examined from the employee's perspective. In this study, to fill this gap, the effects of GHRM practices on CS goals are discussed from the perspective of employees, and the attempt made to explain the links that will ensure strategic harmony. Thus, instead of considering GHRM practices independently from other human resources management activities, it could potentially contribute to harmonizing corporate strategy and GHRM practices.

1.3 Textile and Fashion Industry in Türkiye

Turkey is the sixth-largest producer country in the world in the export of textile and fashion products. It can be seen that the primary determinant affecting the strategies of the enterprises in this sector is the development arising from global climate change. For example, according to the European Union Green Deal of 2019, the European Union has set the goal of being a climate-neutral continent by 2050. To achieve this goal, new sustainable practices, which are part of the Circular Economy Action Plan, will also be implemented in the textile and apparel industries. Since the EU sources most of its ready-made clothing and textile products from countries outside the EU, it can be predicted that supplier countries will be expected to keep up with this transformation. Turkey is the third-largest supplier to the European Union apparel industry. Therefore, the entry of the European Union into a process of total change will force the organizations in the Turkish textile and fashion sector to change. Research that provides insight from within the organization is needed to guide this change. In this context, the findings from this study are expected to provide valuable clues from the perspectives of Turkish textile and fashion business employees regarding the transformation of human resources practices within the framework of the green perspective.

2 Theoretical Framework, Hypotheses, and Research Model

The conceptual model of the research is based on two theories. The first theory is the stakeholder theory, which focuses on the importance of stakeholder groups in improving an organization's performance. An organization's stakeholders are the groups with which it interacts, constituting the target audience to whom the organization

fulfills its responsibilities (Freeman & Dmytriiev, 2017); ultimately, organizations can only achieve sustainability with the support of stakeholders (Dmytriiev et al., 2021). Stakeholder theory aims to help organizations strengthen their relationships with their internal and external environments to gain a competitive advantage. To ensure CS and understand environmental and social impacts, an organization needs to look at both its internal and external stakeholders. Organizations can focus on CS by training employees and developing strategies or policies that ensure sustainability. By promoting sustainability and implementing GHRM, the organization meets the demands of multiple stakeholders. Thus, CS and GHRM are two inter-related issues (Jamal et al., 2021) because both seek to serve the interests of internal and external stakeholders.

The second is the ability-motivation-opportunity (AMO) theory. According to the theory, three components provide the employee's discretionary effort. These employees have the necessary abilities and need appropriate motivation, and employers offer employees the opportunity to participate (Appelbaum et al., 2001). AMO theory is widely accepted in the HRM literature to explain the link between human resource practices and performance (Marin-Garcia & Tomas, 2016). Organizational performance increases if employees are motivated and enabled to use their skills. Motivation is considered here to be a condition for the use of individual skills. Although the relationship between A (ability) and M (motivation) in the model is explained in this direction, O (opportunity) presents the creation of options in the organization where employees can use their skills. Although employees may be highly skilled and have the necessary motivation, they will only be able to use these if given the opportunity. Therefore, there is a need for a balance in which these three variables can be applied together (Alsubaie, 2016).

2.1 CS

The pessimistic predictions of our planet are leading organizations to be more sustainable. CS is an umbrella term that includes many other terms, such as corporate social responsibility and corporate governance. When evaluated in terms of organizations, CS is generally associated with organizations' mobilization of accountable actions. Implementing accountable actions in organizations adds value to society and the environment and supports the sustainability of organizations.

CS is an intertwined system of economic, social, and ecological components (Bansal, 2005; Camilleri, 2017). It is also characterized by various economic, environmental,

and social objectives, all of which seem individually desirable but which are "indissolubly connected and interdependent" (Bansal, 2002). In this context, six essential criteria can be listed: eco-efficiency, socio-efficiency, socio-efficiency, adequacy, and ecological equality (Dyllick & Hockerts, 2002). The CS orientation enables organizations to integrate CS into their strategies, policies, and management systems.

Legal regulations, environmental protection awareness, increasing customers, and demands from other stakeholders play a decisive role in the orientation of organizations to CS practices. While determining the necessary operations to meet these expectations, the support received from within the organization and compliance with the strategies for sustainability gain importance. In this context, practices such as in-organization training on environmental protection, employee empowerment, teamwork, and green reward systems are likely to bring the organization together around green values. In other words, applying green understanding in human resources management is necessary for an organization to be sustainable because stakeholders expect organizations to protect the environment, use natural resources more efficiently, support recycling, and reduce all possible environmental pollution and toxicity. The strategic objectives of CS are economic development, corporate effectiveness, stakeholder focus, and sustainable ecosystems (Dyllick & Hockerts, 2002).

2.2 GHRM

GHRM is a management approach that supports human resources policies and practices within the scope of environmental sustainability. Organizations' management practices for environmental protection and sustainability are directly related to human resources management, where human resources constitute the lifeblood of an organization (Saeed, et al., 2019). GHRM refers to policies and practices that make HR processes green to benefit employees, society, the natural environment, and the organization itself (Opatha & Arulrajah, 2014). Unlike traditional HR, this represents the execution of human resources practices in accordance with the organization's environmental goals and a planned manner. GHRM practices upgrade organizational operations by encouraging employees' pro-environmental behaviors (Aftab et al., 2023; Ari et al., 2020; Fawehinmi et al., 2020; Saifulina et al., 2020). Employees who have adopted a green understanding are expected to contribute more to the performance and future of the organization by implementing green practices and policies for society, the environment, and business life.

Some of the practices adopted by organizations within the scope of green HRM are as follows: switching to paperless offices, supporting car sharing to save fuel and time in traffic, conducting training and meetings online, benefiting from daylight, supporting the construction of green buildings that allow natural heating and lighting, rewarding employees for sustainable green ideas, and giving back informing about recycling awareness and waste control (Nagarajan, 2020; Pandey *et al.*, 2016). When evaluated in terms of recruitment processes, it can be seen that green job definitions are formed that include awareness of candidates about environmental problems and solutions. Employees who can live and implement the green culture adopted by organizations should be prioritized during recruitment. Employees with these qualifications can ensure that the green culture of organizations is reflected outside. In this way, the green culture and practices of the organization will be visible to those outside the organization. Rangarajan and Rahm (2011) stated that when organizations implement GHRM strategies, they have a strong corporate social agenda, value employees' environment and social priorities, and increase their organizational prestige. This positive image is essential to attracting employees and customers to the organization and ensuring their participation in achieving environmental goals. Employee participation in green processes increases the effectiveness of green management practices by aligning employees' motivations and goals with such. A detailed review of the relevant literature shows that more research needs to be done on GHRM in environmental sustainability research.

Cheema and Javed (2017) showed that green human resource management is a critical determinant of a sustainable environment. In their research based on organizational support theory, Cantor *et al.* (2012) stated that human resource practices can affect employees' perceptions of organizational care. In this way, the tendency of employees to exhibit sustainable behavior increases with their desire to make personal contributions. To build a sustainable organization, it is essential to integrate sustainability into the organization's human resources framework (Jabbour *et al.*, 2019). All of the aforementioned leads us to establish the following research hypothesis.

H1: Green human resources management positively relates to CS.

2.3 OC

OC is one of the various topics that has long been popular in organizational psychology. The reason for this is the

direct and indirect contributions of the employees who are identified with the organization (Karrasch, 2017). The identification of employees with their organizations and adopting organizational goals is a critical issue for organizations to achieve their goals. In this context, organizations employ qualified personnel to ensure their professional development and keep employees within the organization. Through this, organizations gain a competitive advantage against their competitors and serve to realize their primary goals (Bernardin & Russell, 2006). Research shows that the increase in employees' commitment to their organizations positively affects various critical organizational goals, such as customer satisfaction (Setyaningrum, 2017), employee productivity (Bhatti & Qureshi, 2007), and job satisfaction (Eslami & Gharakhani, 2012).

Social identity theory suggests certain theoretical connections between employees' perceptions of corporate responsibility practices and OC (Ashforth & Mael, 1989; Le *et al.*, 2013). According to social identity theory, individuals' views are influenced by their membership in social organizations, including the organizations in which they work. Individuals attempt to form or develop positive self-concepts by comparing their characteristics with other groups; positive comparisons lead to an enhanced self-concept. If an organization strives to engage in corporate responsibility activities, its employees are generally proud to be members of such an organization. Employees likely feel that their organization cares about their present and future. If employees positively evaluate an organization's ethical principles, values, and social sensitivity, they are expected to develop positive attitudes toward the organization. For this reason, employee commitment can be essential to achieving a company's goals.

The opportunities that organizations offer to their employees, the environment, and stakeholders and the indirect reflections of their attempts to comply with ethical principles can also be explained by the theory of social change (Blau, 1964). The green goals determined by organizations within the scope of GHRM and the green training and reward systems they apply have an increasing effect on the loyalty and trust of the employees in the organization. At the same time, it is expected that organizations' application of remarkable practices in terms of macro-environmental sensitivity will form the basis for both external stakeholders and employees to develop positive attitudes toward the organization (Aboramadan, 2020; Tanova & Bayighomog, 2022).

Organizations that implement GHRM define green targets and offer their employees green training programs and reward systems that include green indicators. In this way, employees' interest and participation in environmental

issues can be increased. This strengthens employees' commitment to their organizations and enables the latter to achieve successful environmental outcomes (Úbeda-García et al., 2021). Employees' commitment to the environment depends on their willingness to share, and care about, their organization's environmental concerns (Paillé et al., 2020). Thus, organizational green goals can be achieved if employees are committed. On the basis of the aforementioned discussion, we propose that:

H2: Green human resources management positively relates to OC.

H3: Organizational commitment positively relates to CS.

To successfully integrate CS into an organization, radical changes must be made to the organizational culture. This change requires the reorganization of existing policies, processes, and practices according to environmental, economic, and social goals, which are the subdimensions of sustainability (Aguilera et al., 2007). In this context, commitment is important because it guides people's behavior in ways that support achieving inclusive goals that transcend individual interests (Meyer & Herscovitch, 2001; Raineri & Paillé, 2016). This mindset can direct employees' behaviors toward activities compatible with CS efforts (Temminck et al., 2015). Therefore, employees' commitment to sustainable goals will directly affect organizational success. GHRM promotes responsible behavior, attitudes, and commitment of employees. This concept, which can be expressed as the green commitment of employees, regulates employees' feelings toward the environment, brings them together around organizational values, and supports their efforts toward environmental goals (Pham et al., 2019; Saeed et al., 2019). Employees' commitment to their organization's environmental goals is a result of GHRM practices (Ansari et al., 2021).

The commitment of employees to the organization and its goals is crucial because it guides people's behavior in a way that supports the achievement of inclusive goals that transcend individual interests (Meyer & Herscovitch, 2001; Raineri & Paillé, 2016). This mindset can direct employee behaviors to activities compatible with CS efforts (Temminck et al., 2015). The workplace provided by green-focused organizations with GHRM motivates employees to change their opinions, values, and norms to adapt to the company's green culture and goals (Pinzone et al., 2016). For example, green training programs enhance employees' understanding of environmental issues and help them adopt skills that can result in a lasting commitment to the environment (Perron et al., 2006). Studies in the literature provide evidence that GHRM practices contribute to employees developing a green commitment toward organization's environmental goals (Ansari et al.,

2021). In addition, employee commitment is expected to mediate the organizational outcomes of GHRM practices. A limited number of studies deal with the indirect effects of commitment on performance outcomes. Based on these explanations, the following hypothesis can be formulated:

H4: Organizational commitment mediates the relationship between green human resources management and CS.

2.4 EP

EP refers to the commitment of organizations to demonstrate measurable operational parameters within the context of environmental protection objectives (Roscoe et al., 2019). GHRM is seen as the most appropriate strategy through which to support employees' ability to achieve the EP goals of the organization (Amui et al., 2017; Ren et al., 2018). GHRM is an important resource for achieving corporate goals and increasing an organization's EP through employee engagement (Aftab et al., 2023). In this context, GHRM practices such as green recruitment and selection, green training, and green performance evaluation have a critical role in employees' efforts to increase EP. There are studies pointing out the positive effects of these practices on the success of EP programs (Latan et al., 2018; Yusoff et al., 2020). By force of GHRM, the environmental protection perspective of the organization is quickly adopted by the employees. At the same time, employees are brought together regarding common environmental goals and are more willing to exert more effort in this regard. In particular, green training raises the consciousness of environmental problems in the workplace and encourages employees to solve them (Jabbour et al., 2010). Green training empowers employees by providing them with the "knowledge, attitudes, and skills" to help them identify environmental issues and take appropriate action in the workplace to improve green performance (Latan et al., 2018). Moreover, evaluating employees' green performance increases the associated sense of responsibility. Green performance appraisal is essential to achieving EP goals because it provides a strategy for measuring employee performance against green criteria (Jabbour, 2011). It improves EP by harmonizing behaviors around common goals (Guerci et al., 2016). Thus, they provide an opportunity to reduce waste, increase productivity, and develop innovative solutions (Mousa & Othman, 2020; Pinzone et al., 2016). However, more empirical work is needed, especially to guide textile and fashion companies to participate in environmentally friendly management practices. Based on the literature, the following hypotheses have been proposed:

H5: Green human resources management positively affects the organization's EP.

H6: Environmental performance positively relates to CS.

HRM practices strengthen the organization's human capital by improving employee skills and increasing performance. GHRM practices, on the other hand, consider green criteria in recruiting, improve employees' green skills through training, and provide them with the opportunity to participate in green practices so that green management performance can improve (Masri & Jaaron, 2017; Pinzone *et al.*, 2016). At the same time, GHRM creates an environmentally friendly organizational culture and encourages environmental behavior amongst employees. Thus, the company's EP is positively affected (Kim *et al.*, 2019). CS is the outcome of organizational policies and functions that focus on the development of an organization and the natural environment. GHRM implements these policies and procedures with a strategic perspective (Adubor *et al.*, 2022). We argue that GHRM practices, which have a positive effect on both EP and CS, have a positive effect on CS through EP. In other words, if hypotheses H1, H5, and H6 are significant, EP is expected to mediate the relationship between GHRM and CS. The following hypothesis was developed to analyze the direct and indirect roles of GHRM practices on CS:

H7: Green human resources management affects CS through the organization's environmental performance.

2.5 Research Model

Figure 1 depicts the interrelationships of GHRM, OC, EP, and CS. As the model contends, the availability of GHRM practices stimulates employees' perceptions of OC to CS.

Similarly, GHRM practices trigger employees' efforts toward environmental performance for CS. These relationships altogether suggest that OC and EP mediate the impact of GHRM on CS.

3 Methodology

3.1 Sample Procedure and Data Collection

The quantitative research type was preferred to test the relationships between variables in the study's research model. In this context, an online questionnaire form was created to collect the necessary data and test the hypotheses. The research population consists of the employees of fashion and textile organizations with CS practices. Most organizations operating in the textile sector in Turkey are within the scope of SMEs. Therefore, the employees of a limited number of organizations are included in the population. In the current situation, estimating the number of employees in the population takes considerable work. Therefore, an attempt was made to reach the employees of the organizations operating in the national arena, institutionalized, and well known, and it is evident that they apply to CS practices with the convenience sampling method.

Participation was voluntary, so the decision to join or exit the study at any time was allowed. It was shared with the participants that there were no right or wrong answers and that they should give the answer that best reflected their feelings on the matter. It was ensured that the participants did not reveal any identity information. It was stated that the answers given would be used only for research and would not be shared with third parties. In




Figure 1: Research model.

addition, each variable in the questionnaire was shared on separate pages in the online survey form. Through these actions, the attempt was made to reduce potential threats and common method bias. The survey form link was sent to the employees of the companies that had agreed to participate in the study by their managers. The questionnaire was applied online between November 2022 and January 2023.

Businesses operating in the fashion and textile sector in the sense of CS in Turkey constitute the general population of the research. Employees of a well-known brand, who are representative of the population with stores in Turkey and abroad, make retail sales, and are manufacturers of women's fashion and textile products, constitute the research population.

The convenience sampling method was chosen as the preferred data collection method in the study. The convenience sampling method is generally preferred because of time and cost constraints. After eliminating missing and sloppy questionnaires, the final sample size consisted of 129 participants. Although the sample size is limited, since the number of organizations that meet the criteria of the population is limited (as mentioned in Turkey, the majority of textile firms are SMEs), it is expected that the current sample size will be reasonably representative of the population.

3.2 Participants

In the demographic information section, personal information was collected on subjects such as gender position and age. Of the 129 participants who fully answered the questionnaire, 57% (75 persons) were women and 43% (54 persons) were men. In terms of employment status, the maximum response was found to be experts (production, purchasing, and HR departments, designer, etc.) at 64% (82 people), while middle managers constituted 36% (47 people). In two age groups (younger than 40 years and older than 40 years), there were 72% (92 people) and 28% (37 people) in the sampled groups, respectively.

3.3 Scales

All constructs in this study were measured with performed scales initially published in English. The scales were translated into Turkish using the translation-back translation method. All structures included in the model have been made available based on previously validated tools.

To measure the “corporate sustainability” perceptions of the participants, a scale consisting of 10 items adapted by Yang and Jang (2020) was used. The scale developed by Raineri and Paillé (2016) to measure “organizational commitment” was used. Green Human Resources Management practices were measured using a five-item scale from Aboramadan and Karatepe (2021), and finally, the scale measuring “environmental performance” was adapted from the scale used by Lee and Ha-Brookshire (2017). All scales are scored via a five-point Likert scale. The questionnaire was administered in Turkish. Turkish versions can be obtained from the corresponding author upon request.

4 Results

4.1 Measurement Model: Validity and Reliability

The partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) technique was used to test the measurement (validity and reliability) and structural models (hypothesis testing). Measurement and structural models were analyzed using the SmartPLS version 4 software package. Before the research model analysis, the first thing to do is to meet all the necessary criteria in the measurement model (Hair et al., 2019). Internal consistency reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity were evaluated in this context. The Cronbach's alpha and CR (composite reliability) coefficients were used to measure internal consistency reliability, and factor loads and AVE (average variance extracted) values were used for convergent validity. Factor loads were ≥ 0.708 ; Cronbach's alpha and CR coefficients were ≥ 0.70 ; the explained average variance value (AVE) should also be ≥ 0.50 (Fornell & Larcker, 1981; Hair et al., 2019). In convergent validity, the HTMT criterion proposed by Fornell and Larcker (1981) and Henseler et al. (2015) recommended that HTMT criteria should be met. According to the HTMT criterion, the square root of the explained mean variances (AVE) of the structures in the research should be higher than the correlations between the structures in the research (Fornell & Larcker, 1981). In the HTMT criterion, Henseler et al. (2015) state that it should be below 0.90 for close concepts and below 0.85 for distant concepts.

The results of the internal consistency reliability, convergent validity, and the HTMT criteria of the constructs in the study are presented in Table 1, and linearity and HTMT criteria are given in Table 2.

Table 1: Reliability and validity assessment

Measures	Ca	CR	AVE	1	2	3	4
1. Corporate sustainability	0.938	0.940	0.683	0.826			
2. Environmental performance	0.904	0.908	0.763	0.801	0.873		
3. Green human resources management	0.945	0.946	0.774	0.805	0.627	0.880	
4. Organizational commitment	0.819	0.843	0.589	0.665	0.698	0.625	0.768

Notes: Ca, Cronbach's alpha; CR, composite reliability; AVE, average variance extracted. Values along the diagonal are the FTMT criterion, which is the square root of the explained mean-variance (AVE) values of the structures in the study. Values under the diagonal are the correlation between structures.

Table 2: Discriminant validity – HTMT criterion

Measures	VIF	1	2	3	4
1. Corporate sustainability	N/A	—			
2. Environmental performance	2.209	0.802	—		
3. Green human resources management	2.200	0.803	0.628	—	
4. Organizational commitment	1.857	0.651	0.705	0.595	—

Note: VIF, variance inflation factor.

The factor loadings and significance levels of the factors are at an acceptable threshold (above 0.708 and significant at $p < 0.01$). However, item 18 (factor load 0.538), the item of organizational commitment (OC), is below the threshold value of 0.708. According to the study by Hair et al. (2021), items with factor loads between 0.40 and 0.70 are not excluded from the model if their AVE or CR values are above the threshold value. Therefore, according to Table 1, since the calculated AVE and CR values are above the threshold values, organizational commitment item 18 (factor load 0.538) was not excluded from the measurement model. In addition, the “CS” item 3, “Environmental Performance (EP),” item 7, “Green Human Resources Management (GHRM),” and item 8, “Green Human Resources Management (GHRM)” were excluded from the research model.

Since the Cronbach's alpha and CR coefficients were 0.70 and above, internal consistency reliability was ensured. Convergent validity is provided since the structure's factor loads are between 0.538 and 0.840, and the explained mean-variance values (AVE) are above the 0.50 threshold value.

As shown in Table 1, the square root of the AVE of each structure is higher than the correlation with other structures. It meets Fornell and Larcker's (1981) distinctiveness HTMT criterion. Table 2 shows that the HTMT values are below 0.85. This further confirms the discriminant validity of the constructs. Finally, Hair et al. (2019) state that there is no linearity problem when $VIF < 3$ between variables. Thus, since the VIF values between variables in Table 2 are

lower than the threshold value of 3, it is understood that there is no linearity problem.

4.2 Test of Hypotheses

To test the structural model and hypotheses of the research, 5,000 subsamples were taken from the sample with bootstrapping at a 95% confidence interval and tested using a bias-corrected bootstrapping technique. Figure 2 presents the model.

When the R^2 values of the model are examined, one can determine that CS is explained at a significant rate of 79%, OC at 39%, and environmental performance (EP) at 39% (Hair et al., 2019).

Effect size coefficients $f^2 \geq 0.020$ low, $f^2 \geq 0.150$ medium, and $f^2 \geq 0.350$ are considered significant effects (Cohen, 1988). In this context, when the effect size coefficients were examined as reported in Table 3, it could be seen that the effects of GHRM on EP, GHRM on OC, GHRM on CS, and EP on CS had a high effect size. The effect of OC on CS can be expressed as a low effect.

Hair et al. (2019) claim that the predictive power coefficients (Q^2) calculated for endogenous variables are greater than zero, indicating that the research model can predict endogenous variables. In addition, if the Q^2 value is greater than 0.25, it is a medium-level estimation, and if it is greater than 0.50, a large estimation can be considered. Thus, it can be deduced that the research model has the power to predict endogenous variables since Q^2 values are greater than zero, according to Table 2. While EP and OC endogenous variables have moderate predictive power, they have high predictive power for the CS variable.

According to Table 4, while six of the seven hypotheses were supported, two hypotheses (H4 and H6) were rejected. According to the findings obtained, green human resource management > environmental performance ($\beta = 0.627$; $p < 0.01$); green human resource management > organizational commitment ($\beta = 0.625$; $p < 0.01$); green human resource management > corporate sustainability ($\beta = 0.492$; $p < 0.01$);




Figure 2: The structural model.

Table 3: Research model coefficients

Instruments		f^2	Q^2
Green human resources management	Environmental performance	0.648	0.324
Green human resources management	Organizational commitment	0.641	0.302
Green human resources management	Corporate sustainability	0.623	0.570
Environmental performance	Corporate sustainability	0.493	
Organizational commitment	Corporate sustainability	0.002	

Note: f^2 , effect size; Q^2 , prediction summary.

Table 4: Structural model results

Relationship	Standardized β	STDEV	t Values	p Values
GHRM EP	0.627	0.070	8.991	0.000
GHRM OC	0.625	0.054	11.556	0.000
GHRM CS	0.492	0.121	4.062	0.000
EP CS	0.477	0.122	3.902	0.000
OC CS	0.024	0.112	0.213	0.831
Indirect effect				
GHRM OC CS	0.015	0.073	0.203	0.839
GHRM EP CS	0.299	0.102	2.927	0.003

Notes: GHRM, green human resource management; EP, environmental performance; OC, organizational commitment; CS, corporate sustainability; STDEV, standard deviation. Significance level (two tailed): $*p < 0.01$.

environmental performance > corporate sustainability ($\beta = 0.477$; $p < 0.01$) have a positive effect. These results supported the research's H1, H2, H5 and H6 hypotheses. When the indirect effect was examined, it was concluded that green human resource management > environmental performance > corporate sustainability ($\beta = 0.299$; $p < 0.01$) indirectly affected it. In this context, hypothesis H7 was supported.

5 Discussion

5.1 General Findings

The primary purpose of the current research is to investigate the relationship between GHRM practices and CS from

the perspective of employees in the Turkish fashion and textile industry sample. In addition, the mediating roles of the EP and OC variables in this relationship were investigated. The findings show that GHRM practices perceived by employees have a positive effect on CS, EP, and OC. In this context, similar results were obtained with previous studies in the literature. Amjad *et al.* (2021) concluded that green performance management, green education, green reward, and remuneration are effective in green performance in the Pakistani textile industry. GHRM contributes to organizations improving environmental performance (EP) (Renwick *et al.*, 2013). Similar findings were obtained in studies examining the effects of GHRM applications on EP in different sectors in developing countries such as India and Mexico (Daily *et al.*, 2012; Mishra *et al.*, 2014). GHRM makes an essential contribution to the sustainable development of organizations (Mandip, 2012). In this context, it can be seen that GHRM practices have positive effects on sustainability with a more specific focus than the traditional HR approach. On the other hand, EP plays a mediating role in the effect between GHRM and CS.

Since GHRM is a relatively new approach, it is getting stronger theoretically with empirical studies on the subject. Independent empirical findings also allow for suggestions that will contribute to working life in practice. One of the most critical findings in this study is that GHRM has an increasing role in CS. Although similar findings were obtained in previous studies (Jamal *et al.*, 2021), the current study examined the subject from the perspective of employees rather than managers, and GHRM found support for positive outcomes. GHRM practices include practices with high environmental awareness. However, there needs to be an empirical gap in whether GHRM practices improve the organization's environmental performance. In the current study, GHRM also plays a critical role in the environmental performance of organizations.

Another critical point is that GHRM contributes directly not only to the sustainability of the organization and to environmental performance but also to the employees' level of commitment to the organization. The average age range of employees in the sample from Turkey is lower than in other European countries. In the current study, the average age of employees working in the textile and fashion sectors is also low. The new generation in Turkey displays a sensitive and supportive attitude toward the environment and sustainability. Therefore, it can be seen that the employees indirectly support the GHRM practices applied in the organizations, and their attitude toward the organization has become positive. Based on these explanations, it can be claimed that GHRM's organizational contributions in micro- and macro-terms are reasonably high.

In addition, the prediction that OC would mediate the effect of GHRM practices on CS was tested. To this end, mediation analyses were conducted by examining direct, indirect, and total effects. While GHRM had a positive effect on OC, the hypothesis that OC had a direct effect on CS was rejected. In addition, the hypothesis analyzing the mediating effect of OC was also rejected. In organizational psychology, organizational commitment refers to employees' belief in the goals and values of the organization, being involved in organizational processes, and creating a psychological bond with the organization (O'Reilly, 1989). Organizational commitment is a multidimensional concept. The most widely accepted dimensions in the literature are the three-dimensional organizational commitment model developed by Meyer and Allen (1991). These dimensions are affective, continuance, and normative commitment. This study focused on employees' affective commitment with a specific perspective, and a measurement was made only in this context. Therefore, this limited measurement may be the reason why the relevant mediation effect could not be seen. Investigation of continuance and normative commitment dimensions may provide a more comprehensive evaluation.

5.2 Theoretical Implication

The current study analyzes the direct effects of GHRM practices in ensuring corporate sustainability and the mediating roles of EP and OC from the employees' perspective in the fashion and textile industry. The current study's findings contribute to several aspects of the HR and environmental management literature for corporate sustainability. First, the study shows that, similar to findings from human resources experts (Jamal *et al.*, 2021), GHRM practices also affect CS in the context of data obtained from employees. Corporate sustainability is generally associated with organizations' mobilization of accountable actions. Implementing accountable actions in organizations adds value to society and the environment and supports the sustainability of organizations. Corporate sustainability is an intertwined system of economic, social, and ecological components. This study, which deals with environmental management from this integrated perspective, differs from the studies by Amjad *et al.* (2021) and Yasin *et al.* (2023). Accordingly, it is suggested that GHRM practices can facilitate businesses in the textile and fashion industry's ability to improve their corporate sustainability performance. Second, the current study adds to the existing literature by addressing the direct and mediator effects of EP in the

textile sector, which is known to have a higher environmental degradation effect than other sectors. There are limited studies on the effect of GHRM practices on EP in the textile sector. The findings of the study found that GHRM practices such as green recruitment and selection, green training, and green compensation enhance the EPs of employees like employers in the manufacturing sector (Bangwal et al., 2017; Hameed et al., 2020) and also positively affect CS through the mediating effect. It also proves that it supports the direction. The effect between GHRM practices and OC has been proven in this study, in parallel with the findings of previous studies (Shoaib et al., 2021). However, it appears that OC does not play a mediating role in the GHRM–CS relationship. Perhaps, the explanation for this is that different variables may mediate the relationship between GHRM and CS. In summary, within the scope of the findings of this study, it can be concluded that GHRM, unlike traditional HR, provides a strategic view to ensure corporate sustainability.

5.3 Practical Implication

This research expands the previous literature on HRM and environmental management by examining the impact of GHRM practices on CS in textile company environments. Thus, managing the factors that cause environmental pollution, degradation, and climate change more successfully may be possible. Aftab et al. (2023) confirmed that proactive environmental management, referred to as GHRM, accelerates an organization's EP. Similarly, in their study on telecommunication service companies, Su et al. (2023) determined that GHRM positively affects the EP of employees. The findings of this study also indicate the mediating role of EP in the GHRM–CS relationship. Therefore, textile companies should focus on GHRM practices if they want to be rewarded and achieve green environmental goals for corporate sustainability. Increasing competition and overcoming environmental problems constitute the first items on managers' agenda. Positioning as a green brand for fashion and textile organizations is necessary both to attract more customers and achieve competitive advantage and corporate sustainability. In developing countries, GHRM practices are continuing to evolve and must be understood and applied as a management approach. A limited number of studies have been conducted with regard to the fashion and textile sectors in developing countries. In this context, the present study presents findings from the Turkish textile and fashion industry. It offers suggestions regarding

successful sustainable management practices, especially to decision makers in developing countries. The findings obtained by examining the GHRM from the employee's perspective affect not only the organization's sustainability but also the employees' positive attitude toward the organization. These findings, as obtained for the textile industry in Turkey, a developing country, indicate that GHRM practices worldwide will contribute more to employee attitudes and organizational performance than expected. For this reason, awareness of green management practices should be increased in the context of HRM and all organizational processes, and organizations should improve their practices with regard to these issues. Thus, this study provides an overview for managers who are particularly concerned with integrating GHRM practices with their organization's sustainability.

5.4 Limitations and Future Research

Although this study is based on data collected from the Turkish fashion and textile industry, it presents findings for ensuring corporate sustainability through the implementation of GHRM. Similar research in different cultures and sectors will help design the most successful GHRM combinations. On the other hand, research that covers relationships within the scope of different organizational behavior variables that are likely to support employee participation can provide more in-depth insights. This research was conducted with a cross-sectional design; conducting longitudinal studies may offer benefits in terms of examining the development of corporate sustainability understanding. Future studies may focus on organizational aspects such as cultural climate, environmental leadership, organizational identity, and other organizational factors which, although not causally related to GHRM, affect its implementation and outcomes.

Funding information: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest regarding the processes of the study.

Article note: As part of the open assessment, reviews and the original submission are available as supplementary files on our website.

Data availability statement: The data generated during the study (survey data) and the analyzed datasets are available from the corresponding author upon request.

References

- Aboramadan M. (2020), Human Resources Management in Non-profit Organizations: An effective approach to manage volunteers. In M. A. Turkmenoglu & B. Cicek (Eds.) *Contemporary Global Issues in Human Resource Management* (pp. 257–272). Leeds: Emerald Publishing Limited. doi: 10.1108/978-1-80043-392-220201018.
- Aboramadan, M., & Karatepe, O. M. (2021). Green human resource management, perceived green organizational support and their effects on hotel employees' behavioral outcomes. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 33(10), 3199–3222. doi: 10.1108/IJCHM-12-2020-1440.
- Adubor, N. V., Adeniji, A. A., Salau, O. P., Olajugba, O. J., & Onibudo, G. O. (2022). Exploring green human resource adoption and corporate sustainability in Nigerian manufacturing industry. *Sustainability*, 14(19), 12635. doi: 10.3390/su141912635.
- Aftab, J., Abid, N., Cucari, N., & Savastano, M. (2023). Green human resource management and environmental performance: The role of green innovation and environmental strategy in a developing country. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 32(4), 1782–1798. doi: 10.1002/bse.3219.
- Aguilera, R. V., Rupp, D. E., Williams, C. A., Ganapathi J. (2007). Putting the S back in corporate social responsibility: A multilevel theory of social change in organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(3), 836–863. doi: 10.5465/amr.2007.25275678.
- Alsubaie, M. (2016). High performance working. *Global Journal of Management and Business Research*, 16(9), 135–139. <https://journalofbusiness.org/index.php/GJMBR/article/view/2159>.
- Amberg, J. J., & McGaughey, S. L. (2019). Strategic human resource management and inertia in the corporate entrepreneurship of a multinational enterprise. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(5), 759–793. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2016.1192051.
- Amjad, F., Abbas, W., Zia-UR-Rehman, M., Baig, S. A., Hashim, M., Khan, A., & Rehman, H. U. (2021). Effect of green human resource management practices on organizational sustainability: The mediating role of environmental and employee performance. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(22), 28191–28206. doi: 10.1007/s11356-020-11307-9.
- Amui, L. B. L., Jabbour, C. J. C., de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L., & Kannan, D. (2017). Sustainability as a dynamic organizational capability: A systematic review and a future agenda toward a sustainable transition. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 308–322. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.07.103.
- Ansari, N. Y., Farrukh, M., & Raza, A. (2021). Green human resource management and employees pro-environmental behaviours: Examining the underlying mechanism. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(1), 229–238. doi: 10.1002/csr.2044.
- Appelbaum, E., Bailey, T., Berg, P., & Kalleberg, A. L. (2001). Do high performance work systems pay off? In S. Vallas (Ed.), *The transformation of work* (Vol. 10, pp. 85–107). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. doi: 10.1016/S0277-2833(01)80022-4.
- Ari, E., Karatepe, O. M., Rezapouraghdam, H., & Avci, T. (2020). A conceptual model for green human resource management: Indicators, differential pathways, and multiple pro-environmental outcomes. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(17), 7089. doi: 10.3390/su12177089.
- Ashforth, B. E., & Mael, F. (1989). Social identity theory and the organization. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 20–39. doi: 10.2307/258189.
- Bangwal, D., Tiwari, P., & Chamola, P. (2017). Green HRM, work-life and environment performance. *International Journal of Environment, Workplace and Employment*, 4(3), 244–268. doi: 10.1504/IJWE.2017.087808.
- Bansal, P. (2002). The corporate challenges of sustainable development. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 16(2), 122–131. doi: 10.5465/ame.2002.7173572.
- Bansal, P. (2005). Evolving sustainably: A longitudinal study of corporate sustainable development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 26(3), 197–218. doi: 10.1002/smj.441.
- Bernardin, H. J., & Russell, J. E. (2006). *Human resource management*. New York: Tata McGraw-Hill.
- Bhatti, K. K., & Qureshi, T. M. (2007). Impact of employee participation on job satisfaction, employee commitment and employee productivity. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 3(2), 54–68.
- Blau, P. (1964). *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: Wiley.
- Camilleri, M. A. (2017). Corporate sustainability and responsibility: Creating value for business, society and the environment. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 2(1), 59–74. doi: 10.1186/s41180-017-0016-5.
- Cantor, D. E., Morrow, P. C., & Montabon, F. (2012). Engagement in environmental behaviors among supply chain management employees: An organizational support theoretical perspective. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 48(3), 33–51. doi: 10.1111/j.1745-493X.2011.03257.x.
- Cheema, S., & Javed, F. (2017). The effects of corporate social responsibility toward green human resource management: The mediating role of sustainable environment. *Cogent Business & Management*, 4(1), 1310012. doi: 10.1080/23311975.2017.1310012.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Routledge. doi: 10.4324/9780203771587.
- Daily, B. F., Bishop, J. W., & Massoud, J. A. (2012). The role of training and empowerment in environmental performance: A study of the Mexican maquiladora industry. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 32(5), 631–647. doi: 10.1108/01443571211226524.
- Dmytriiev, S. D., Freeman, R. E., & Hörisch, J. (2021). The Relationship between stakeholder theory and corporate social responsibility: Differences, similarities, and implications for social issues in management. *Journal of Management Studies*, 58(6), 1441–1470. doi: 10.1111/joms.12684.
- Dyllick, T., & Hockerts, K. (2002). Beyond the business case for corporate sustainability. *Business Strategy and The Environment*, 11(2), 130–141. doi: 10.1002/bse.323.
- Eslami, J., & Gharakhani, D. (2012). Organizational commitment and job satisfaction. *ARP Journal of Science and Technology*, 2(2), 85–91. <https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=15cac14241cf925c402ba2eb8b424ad434dc6bc5>.
- Fawehinmi, O., Yusliza, M. Y., Mohamad, Z., Noor Faezah, J., & Muhammad, Z. (2020). Assessing the green behavior of academics: The role of green human resource management and environmental

- knowledge. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 879–900. doi: 10.1108/IJM-07-2019-0347.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50. doi: 10.2307/3151312.
- Freeman, R. E., & Dmytriiev, S. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and stakeholder theory: Learning from each other. *Symphony Emerging Issues in Management*, 1, 7–15. doi: 10.4468/2017.1.02freeman.dmytriiev.
- Guerci, M., Longoni, A., & Luzzini, D. (2016). Translating stakeholder pressures into environmental performance—The mediating role of green HRM practices. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(2), 262–289. doi: 10.1080/09585192.2015.1065431.
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24. doi: 10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., Sarstedt, M., Danks, N. P., & Ray, S. (2021). An introduction to structural equation modeling. *Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) Using R. Classroom Companion: Business*. Cham: Springer. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-80519-7_1.
- Hameed, Z., Khan, I. U., Islam, T., Sheikh, Z., & Naeem, R. M. (2020). Do green HRM practices influence employees' environmental performance?. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 1061–1079. doi: 10.1108/IJM-08-2019-0407.
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M. & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43, 115–135. doi: 10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8.
- Jabbour, C. J. C. (2011). How green are HRM practices, organizational culture, learning and teamwork? A Brazilian study. *Industrial and Commercial Training*, 43(2), 98–105. doi: 10.1108/00197851111108926.
- Jabbour, C. J. C., Santos, F. C. A., & Nagano, M. S. (2010). Contributions of HRM throughout the stages of environmental management: Methodological triangulation applied to companies in Brazil. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 21(7), 1049–1089. doi: 10.1080/09585191003783512.
- Jabbour, C. J. C., Sarkis, J., Jabbour, A. B. L. D. S., Renwick, D. W. S., Singh, S. K., Grebinyevych, O., Kruglianskas, I., & Filho, M. G. (2019). Who is in charge? A review and a research agenda on the 'human side' of the circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 222, 793–801. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.03.038.
- Jamal, T., Zahid, M., Martins, J. M., Mata, M. N., Rahman, H. U., & Mata, P. N. (2021). Perceived green human resource management practices and corporate sustainability: Multigroup analysis and major industries perspectives. *Sustainability*, 13(6), 3045. doi: 10.3390/su13063045.
- Karrasch, A. I. (2017). Antecedents and consequences of organizational commitment. In *Organizational Commitment in the Military*, 15(3), 225–236. Psychology Press. doi: 10.1207/S15327876MP1503_05.
- Kim, Y. J., Kim, W. G., Choi, H. M., & Phetvaroon, K. (2019). The effect of green human resource management on hotel employees' eco-friendly behavior and environmental performance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 76, 83–93. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2018.04.007.
- Latan, H., Chiappetta Jabbour, C. J., Lopes de Sousa Jabbour, A. B., Wamba, S. F., & Shahbaz, M. (2018). Effects of environmental strategy, environmental uncertainty and top management's commitment on corporate environmental performance: The role of environmental management accounting. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 180, 297–306. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.01.106.
- Lee, C. K., Song, H. J., Lee, H. M., Lee S., & Bernhard, B. J. (2013). The impact of CSR on casino employees organizational trust, job satisfaction, and customer orientation: An empirical examination of responsible gambling strategies. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 33(1), 406–415. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2012.10.011.
- Lee, S. H., & Ha-Brookshire, J. (2017). Ethical climate and job attitude in fashion retail employees' turnover intention, and perceived organizational sustainability performance: A cross-sectional study. *Sustainability*, 9(3), 465. doi: 10.3390/su9030465.
- Lorincová, S., Štarchoň, P., Weberova, D., Hitka, M., & Lipoldová, M. (2019). Employee motivation as a tool to achieve sustainability of business processes. *Sustainability*, 11(13), 3509. doi: 10.3390/su11133509.
- Mandip, G. (2012). Green HRM: People management commitment to environmental sustainability. *Research Journal of Recent Sciences*, 1, 244–252. <http://www.isca.in/>.
- Marin-Garcia, J. A., & Tomas, J. M. (2016). Deconstructing AMO framework: A systematic review. *Intangible Capital*, 12(4), 1040–1087. 10.3926/ic.838.
- Masri, H. A., & Jaaron, A. A. M. (2017). Assessing green human resources management practices in Palestinian manufacturing context: An empirical study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 143(1), 474–489. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.087.
- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1991). A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. *Human Resource Management Review*, 1(1), 61–89. doi: 10.1016/1053-4822(91)90011-Z.
- Meyer, J. P., & Herscovitch, L. (2001). Commitment in the workplace: Toward a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11(3), 299–326. doi: 10.1016/S1053-4822(00)00053-X.
- Mishra, R. K., Sarkar, S., & Kiranmai, J. (2014). Green HRM: Innovative approach in Indian public enterprises. *World Review of Science, Technology and Sustainable Development*, 11(1), 26–42. doi: 10.1504/WRSTD.2014.062374.
- Mousa, S. K., & Othman, M. (2020). The impact of green human resource management practices on sustainable performance in healthcare organisations: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 243, 118595. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118595.
- Nagarajan, S. K. (2020). Employee awareness on green human resource practices. *Studies in Indian Place Names*, 40(19), 544–548. <https://tpnsindia.org/index.php/sipn/article/view/2373/2292>.
- O'Reilly, C. (1989). Corporations, culture, and commitment: Motivation and social control in organizations. *California Management Review*, 31(4), 9–25. doi: 10.2307/41166580.
- Opatha, H. H. D. N. P., & Arulrajah, A. A. (2014). Green human resource management: Simplified general reflections. *International Business Research*, 7(8), 101–112. doi: 10.5539/ibr.v7n8p101.
- Paillé, P., Valéau, P., & Renwick, D. W. (2020). Leveraging green human resource practices to achieve environmental sustainability. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 260, 121137. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121137.
- Pandey, S., Viswanathan, V., & Kamboj, P. (2016). Sustainable green HRM – Importance and factors affecting successful implementation in organizations. *International Journal of Research in Management and Business*, 2(3), 11–29. <https://www.sdcollegeambala.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/GHRM-2018-P-22.pdf>.
- Perron, G. M., Côté, R. P., & Duffy, J. F. (2006). Improving environmental awareness training in business. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 14(6–7), 551–562. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2005.07.006.

- Pham, N. T., Hoang, H. T., & Phan, Q. P. T. (2019). Green human resource management: A comprehensive review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Manpower*, 41(7), 845–878. doi: 10.1108/IJM-07-2019-0350.
- Pinzone, M., Guerri, M., Lettieri, E., & Redman, T. (2016). Progressing in the change journey towards sustainability in healthcare: The role of ‘Green’HRM”. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 122, 201–211. doi: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.02.031.
- Raineri, N., & Paillé, P. (2016). Linking corporate policy and supervisory support with environmental citizenship behaviors: The role of employee environmental beliefs and commitment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 137(1), 129–148. doi: 10.1007/s10551-015-2548-x.
- Rangarajan, N., & Rahm, D. (2011). Greening human resources: A survey of city-level initiatives. *Review of Public Personnel Administration*, 31(3), 227–247. doi: 10.1177/0734371X11408706.
- Ren, S., Tang, G., & Jackson, S. (2018). Green human resource management research in emergence: A review and future directions. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 35(3), 769–803. doi: 10.1007/s10490-017-9532-1.
- Renwick, D. W., Redman, T., & Maguire, S. (2013). Green human resource management: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 15(1), 1–14. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-2370.2011.00328.x.
- Roscoe, S., Subramanian, N., Jabbour, C. J. C., & Chong, T. (2019). Green human resource management and the enablers of green organizational culture: Enhancing a firm’s environmental performance for sustainable development. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(5), 737–749. doi: 10.1002/bse.2277.
- Saeed, B. B., Afsar, B., Hafeez, S., Khan, I., Tahir, M., & Afridi, M. A. (2019). Promoting employee’s proenvironmental behavior through green human resource management practices. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 26(2), 424–438. doi: 10.1002/csr.1694.
- Saifulina, N., Carballo-penela, A., & Ruza-Sanmartín, E. (2020). Sustainable HRM and green HRM: The role of green HRM in influencing employee pro-environmental behavior at work. *Journal of Sustainability Research*, 2(3), 1–25. doi: 10.20900/jsr20200026.
- Setyaningrum, R. P. (2017). Relationship between servant leadership in organizational culture, organizational commitment, organizational citizenship behaviour and customer satisfaction. *European Research Studies Journal*, 20(3), 554–569. <https://www.um.edu.my/library/oar/handle/123456789/30054>.
- Shoaib, M., Abbas, Z., Yousaf, M., Zámečník, R., Ahmed, J., & Saqib, S. (2021). The role of GHRM practices towards organizational commitment: A mediation analysis of green human capital. *Cogent Business & Management*, 8(1), 1870798. doi: 10.1080/23311975.2020.1870798.
- Singh, S. K., Del Giudice, M., Chierici, R., & Graziano, D. (2020). Green innovation and environmental performance: The role of green transformational leadership and green human resource management. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 150, 119762. doi: 10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119762.
- Su, W., Bataa, B., & Hahn, J. (2023). Does green human resources management (GHRM) promote employee environmental performance? A multilevel time-lagged study from Mongolia. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 1–22. doi: 10.1080/09640568.2023.2198666.
- Tanova, C., & Bayighomog, S. W. (2022). Green human resource management in service industries: The construct, antecedents, consequences, and outlook. *The Service Industries Journal*, 42(5–6), 412–452. doi: 10.1080/02642069.2022.2045279.
- Temminck, E., Mearns, K., & Fruhen, L. (2015). Motivating employees towards sustainable behaviour. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 24(6), 402–412. doi: 10.1002/bse.1827.
- Tuan, L. T. (2022). Promoting employee green behavior in the Chinese and Vietnamese hospitality contexts: The roles of green human resource management practices and responsible leadership. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 105, 103253. doi: 10.1016/j.ijhm.2022.103253.
- Úbeda-García, M., Claver-Cortés, E., Marco-Lajara, B., & Zaragoza-Sáez, P. (2021). Corporate social responsibility and firm performance in the hotel industry. The mediating role of green human resource management and environmental outcomes. *Journal of Business Research*, 123, 57–69. doi: 10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.09.055.
- Ullah, M. M. (2017). Integrating environmental sustainability into human resources management: A comprehensive review on green human resources management (Green HRM) Practices. *Economics and Management*, 6(1), 14–19. doi: 10.17261/pressacademia.2019.1065.
- Yang, S. J., & Jang, S. (2020). How does corporate sustainability increase financial performance for small-and medium-sized fashion companies: Roles of organizational values and business model innovation. *Sustainability*, 12(24), 10322. doi: 10.3390/su122410322.
- Yasin, R., Huseynova, A., & Atif, M. (2023). Green human resource management, a gateway to employer branding: Mediating role of corporate environmental sustainability and corporate social sustainability. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 30(1), 369–383. doi: 10.1002/csr.2360.
- Yong, J. Y., Yusliza, M. Y., & Fawehinmi, O. O. (2020). Green human resource management: A systematic literature review from 2007 to 2019. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 27(7), 2005–2027. doi: 10.1108/BIJ-12-2018-0438.
- Yusoff, Y. M., Nejati, M., Kee, D. M. H., & Amran, A. (2020). Linking green human resource management practices to environmental performance in hotel industry. *Global Business Review*, 21(3), 663–680. doi: 10.1177/097215091877929.