

Tan Kok Liang; Chan, Jennifer Kim Lian

## Article

# The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on the life cycle of tourist destinations from tour operators and hoteliers' perspectives

Global Business & Finance Review (GBFR)

## Provided in Cooperation with:

People & Global Business Association (P&GBA), Seoul

*Suggested Citation:* Tan Kok Liang; Chan, Jennifer Kim Lian (2024) : The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic on the life cycle of tourist destinations from tour operators and hoteliers' perspectives, Global Business & Finance Review (GBFR), ISSN 2384-1648, People & Global Business Association (P&GBA), Seoul, Vol. 29, Iss. 4, pp. 179-190, <https://doi.org/10.17549/gbfr.2024.29.4.179>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/305993>

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

## Terms of use:

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>



# The Impact of the Covid-19 Pandemic on the Life Cycle of Tourist Destinations from Tour Operators and Hoteliers' Perspectives

Tan Kok Liang, Jennifer Chan Kim Lian<sup>†</sup>

*Faculty of Business, Economics and Accountancy, Universiti Malaysia Sabah*

### ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** This study explores the COVID-19 pandemic on the tourism sector and tourist destinations by expanding the Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC) model, thus providing a more comprehensive understanding of the pandemic's influence on destination and insights for developing effective revitalization strategies for tourism destinations.

**Design/methodology/approach:** Employing a qualitative research approach, the study utilizes the TALC model to conduct qualitative interviews with tour operators and hoteliers, aiming to gather nuanced insights into the impacts of the pandemic on tourism destinations. Nine tour operators and four hoteliers participated in the online interviews. Data analysis was driven by the interview responses, and thematic analysis was guided by concepts of the COVID-19 pandemic impacts and the six stages of Butler's TALC model.

**Findings:** Severe impact of the pandemic on tourism businesses, encompassing a decline in tourist arrivals, business closures, and substantial job and income loss. Tourist destination impacts can be classified into four distinct stages—stagnation, exploration, involvement, development, and consolidation—providing a structured framework for interpreting the evolving dynamics of tourism destinations. Contextual issues and diverse approaches are suggested to revitalize tourist destinations in order remain attractive, competitive, and sustainable.

**Research limitations/implications:** The restriction of the sample size to only two tourism sectors and generalizing findings due to the exploratory and qualitative nature of the research.

**Originality/value:** It provides insightful of pandemic impacts on tourist destination and relevant strategies, drawing upon Butler's theory. The practical implications for destination management and stakeholder engagement are instrumental in shaping the development of effective destination management strategies.

*Keywords: Tourism Area Life Cycles, COVID-19 Pandemic, Malaysia, Tourism Destination, Rebuilding Strategies*

## I. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic is an unprecedented phenomenon that has significantly affected the tourism industry worldwide, triggering immense destruction

to the global economy (Isaa and Daukinb, 2022) due to widespread business disruptions and lockdowns enforced to comply with social-distancing measures (Abushammala, 2022). Restricted mobility has fostered feelings of insecurity, including fears of contagion and health-related concerns, leading to a reluctance among tourists and potential travelers to embark on journeys. As a result, tours and travels have plummeted by 80-90% in major global tourism cities (UNWTO, May 2020). It has affected various sectors

Received: Feb. 4, 2024; Revised: Feb. 26, 2024; Accepted: Mar. 11, 2024

<sup>†</sup> Corresponding author: Jennifer Chan Kim Lian

E-mail: [jenniferchan@ums.edu.my](mailto:jenniferchan@ums.edu.my)

of tourism businesses at different magnitudes. Researchers worldwide have conducted in-depth studies on the effect of COVID-19 on tourism sectors (e.g., Sigala, 2020). Such studies have been conducted in the Malaysian context (Karim et al., 2020; Foo et al., 2021; Hamid et al., 2021; Chan et al., 2022; Chan, 2021). This literature indicates several rebuilding tourism strategies. Notably, open communication is key, and government-sponsored loans are crucial for the tourism industry's survival (Yeh, 2021). Ralinas et al. (2022) identified three recovery strategies for tourism businesses: improving hygiene and health standards, intensifying promotion, and controlling operational costs. Nevertheless, impact studies on tourist destinations are insufficient, especially regarding destination life cycles. Using the decline in tourist arrivals as the core indicator of impact level is inadequate for understanding destination scenarios and developing revitalization strategies. The pandemic has led to a decline in tourist arrivals, impacting tourism businesses and consequently affecting the growth and sustainability of the destination's life cycle stage. The TALC model is deemed relevant to understand pandemic phenomenon, facilitating strategies for rebuilding and revitalization tourism destination. Sound strategies can be proposed with the identified stages of the life cycle and are key to rebuilding and revitalizing the tourism destination. Butler's model provides a useful framework and descriptive tool regarding the destination's evolution and its markets (Cooper & Jackson, 1989). The model has successfully been applied to more than thirty country cases, for example Park (2006) investigated the marketing strategies for Jeju Island to extend the life cycle of each tourism segment; assess the progress and decline of ecotourism destinations in Western Canada (Boyd & Butler, 2006), China's Zhangjiajie National Forest Park (Zhong et al., 2008), Niagara Falls (Getz, 1992) and destinations with multiple features (Hovinen, 2002).

While time and temporal considerations are integral components in the model for determining changes or stages in destination development, it posits that the pandemic has reshaped and transformed tourist

destinations at varying magnitudes. Indeed, Butler (2022) utilizes the TALC model as a framework to comprehend how the effects of the pandemic may manifest across different stages of destination development. Evidently, the magnitudes and significance of these impacts on destinations depend on the particular stage of the development cycle reached by each destination. This underscores the value of the TALC model in comprehending the stages of tourist destination development, aiding tourism planning stakeholders in assessing and deriving lessons from previous strategies. Additionally, the model enables predictions of a destination's future trajectory through various forecasting methods, empowering destination managers to mitigate declines. Undoubtedly, TALC research contributes to a deeper understanding of tourist destination development stages and assists stakeholders in evaluating and learning from past strategies, especially in the post-pandemic era.

Hence, the paper suggests that the TALC model is a relevant and useful framework for understanding the pandemic's effects on tourism destinations and recovery planning. It is especially important to consider the unique challenges and opportunities presented by the post-COVID era and to prioritize sustainability and resilience in recovery strategies. It highlights the importance of balancing economic development with sustainability, providing essential details about the tourist destination's growth and underlining the need for destinations to adapt to changing market conditions. In addition, the TALC theory offers a valuable framework for elucidating the consequences of pandemics on destination landscapes, particularly in terms of the decline in tourist arrivals from the perspective of industry practitioners. This approach provides an insightful perspective on characterizing the evolving tourist destination landscape, moving beyond a mere focus on temporal factors and time frameworks.

Thus, the paper examines the pandemic's impact by using the TALC model to describe the stages of the tourism destination from the tour operators and hoteliers' perspectives. This understanding is essential for post-pandemic development of the

tourism industry in relation to the destination's stages of development of Malaysian as tourism destination and proposing revitalization strategies.

### A. Research Objectives

- 1) To explore the impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on the tourism business and tourist destination stages by extending the Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC) model from the tour operators and hoteliers' perspectives.
- 2) To propose rebuilding strategies tailored to the identified stages of tourist destinations, which are derived from an analysis utilizing the TALC model.

## II. Literature Review

### A. Impacts of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Tourism Industry

The tourism industry has been one of the most directly affected and hardest hit sectors worldwide in the COVID-19 pandemic. This unprecedented event led to socio-political, economic, and tourism demand crises (Beh & Lin, 2021). It has brought significant impact on the community and tourism activities (Nordin et al., 2023); and developed a 'new norms' for workplace (Rahman et al., 2023) and for tourist destination. Tourist destinations are enduring most of the consequences; the unknown duration of the pandemic, travel restrictions and potential global economic recession have become major challenges. This process may vary in term of duration, as it is influenced by several factors. Thus, it has significantly affected city tourism destinations' economy, community lifestyle and destination environment, which impacts destination sustainability. Without good planning and controls, tourist destinations tend to decline over time in the quality of visitor experience (Plog, 2004). A tourism destination offers various business and leisure

activities to cater to tourist needs. It has a complex relationship with the society, the economy, and the environment. These dimensions are important for the destination's sustainable growth; each contributes differently to the tourism business and economic landscape, which has been significantly adversely influenced by the pandemic in Malaysia (Chan et al., 2022). Tourist destinations can be conceptualized having different life cycles - birth, early growth, teenage years, maturity, and decline. This process may vary in term of duration, as it is influenced by several factors. The context of a tourist destination is critical, as it influences the post-pandemic recovery strategies to rebuild the tourism industry.

### B. Tourism Revitalization and Resilience Strategies

The pandemic has had a profound impact on the tourism industry in Malaysia, resulting in significant declines in tourist arrivals, tourism revenue and job losses. The impacts have driven changes within destinations, thus influencing their 'evolution.' As a result, tourist destinations and business have had to rethink their strategies for rebuilding and recovery. Embracing holistic and adaptive strategies is paramount for tourism organizations to thrive amidst rapid environmental changes, as emphasized by Mohammad et al. (2022). The strategies implemented involved a combination of health and safety measures, diversification, innovation, and collaboration to adapt to the 'new normal' and regain traveller confidence. The post-pandemic situation remains uncertain for many tourism businesses. Thus, it is essential to develop immediate, short-term, and long-term responses. National Tourism's development plan sought to boost tourist arrivals to Malaysia. Recovery strategies should attend to segmentation and planning, integrating tourism into sustainable development and considering underlying causes (Goh, 2021). Open communication is key to successfully combatting the pandemic, and government-sponsored loans are crucial to the tourism industry's survival (Yeh, 2021). Three strategies are

improving hygiene and health standards, intensifying promotions, and controlling operational costs (Ralinās et al., 2022). The tourism industry can adjust to the 'new normal' following the pandemic by adopting such strategies (Assaf & Scuderi, 2020). Various practical measures have been considered to stimulate tourism demand and facilitate destination capacities to meet expectations (Van Huynh et al., 2022). Disruptive technological applications (e.g., travel apps) help tourists tailor a safe travel plan.

A tourist destination is a geographical location that attracts visitors. The key components of a tourist destination are attractions, infrastructures, hospitality businesses, accessibility, amenities, safety, security, and environment. The magnitude of the pandemic's negative impact can be observed in the steep drop in tourist arrivals, tourism receipts and business closures as a result of travel bans, border closures and safety measures. Research investigating the pandemic's impacts on tourist destinations is important for developing recovery measures and strategies (Škare et al., 2021). Destination development processes are influenced by many factors, including tourist arrivals, tourism resources and the destination's condition. Tourist destinations, as the product, undergo an evolutionary process in response to changes not only in demand and supply but also to critical factors such as health and safety issues. It thus suggests that a new pattern is possible. Indeed, the life cycle concept is a useful analytical tool for destination stages (Choy, 1992). The post-pandemic recovery of the tourism industry requires insight into the stages of a destination; the TALC model is thus relevant.

### C. Butler's Tourism Area Life Cycle and Tourist Destinations

Butler (1980) introduced TALC to understand the how tourist destinations evolve and develop. It maps temporal changes in tourism destinations (Gore et al., 2021) and is thus a useful tool for tourism planners and developers to understand how tourist destinations can transform over time in response to the changing

demands of the tourist industry. It is one of the most robust and widely used conceptual and managerial frameworks employed in tourism (Baum, 1998) and widely researched model in the tourism literature. The model describes the distinct stages that tourist destinations may go through in their development over time. The model has an 'S' shaped curve and is divided into six stages: exploration, involvement, development, consolidation, stagnation and decline or rejuvenation. Butler (1980) pointed out that each stage is characterized by certain trends and challenges, providing a framework for understanding how a destination may evolve over time.

Exploration is the first stage of the life cycle, characterized by small numbers of visitors who are attracted by the destination. The main challenge in this stage is to create awareness of the destination and attract more visitors. Involvement is the second stage, characterized by increasing numbers of visitors and the development of basic tourism infrastructure, including accommodation, restaurants, and transportation. The main challenge in this stage is to ensure that the growth of tourism is sustainable and does not harm the natural environment. Development is the third stage, characterized by rapid growth in visitors and development of more sophisticated tourism infrastructures, including luxury hotels, theme parks and other attractions. The main challenge is to manage the tourism growth, ensuring that the destination remains attractive to visitors. Essentially, tourism stabilises during the consolidation stage; destination managers can prolong the consolidation phase by developing better tourist facilities. The development stage could be strategically planned so that the benefits can be equally shared by the local community and the tourists.

Consolidation is the fourth stage, with a mature industry with well-established infrastructure and a steady flow of visitors. It focuses on maintaining and improving quality. The destination may face challenges (e.g., overcapacity), address negative impacts and promote sustainable tourism. Stagnation is the fifth stage; the destination may experience a decline due to factors such as changing travel patterns or competition. The destination may need to adapt and

diversify to attract new visitors. This stage is characterized by reduced popularity, as economic, social, and cultural problems crop up. After this stage, the destination may decline, rejuvenate, or reposition itself by introducing a new market segment or new tourism product. Stagnation is the fifth stage; the destination may experience a decline due to factors such as changing travel patterns or competition. The destination may need to adapt and diversify to attract new visitors. This stage is characterized by reduced popularity, as economic, social, and cultural problems crop up. After this stage, the destination may decline, rejuvenate, or reposition itself by introducing a new market segment or new tourism product. Decline or rejuvenation is the final stage. The destination may continue to decline or undergo rejuvenation to revitalize the tourism industry. This may involve rebranding, developing new attractions or improving infrastructure.

### III. Methods

This paper uses exploratory qualitative research to examine tourism destination development stages and the pandemic's impacts from tour operators' and hoteliers' perspectives. The qualitative inductive approach helps understand individual perspectives regarding the subjective, individualized, and contextual aspects of the pandemic's impacts. The respondents' opinions were collected through semi-structured interviews held online using Zoom; participants gave permission to be recorded during the interview session. Each interview lasted 60-90 minutes. Data collection was carried out with nine tour operators and four hoteliers in Malaysia from August 2021 to March 2022; and the duration on the impacts of the pandemic on tourist destinations spanned from January 2020 to March 2022. A convenience sampling technique using lists of tour operators and four hotels registered under the Malaysia Travel and Tour Association. Tour operators were chosen based on their presence in the top five tourist states (Sabah,

Sarawak, Penang, Kuala Lumpur, and Selangor), with a prerequisite of at least 10 years in inbound operations. Similarly, the selection of hoteliers was determined by considering the top five locations and a minimum of a four -star rating, coupled with a requirement of at least 10 years in operations. Key questions asked included the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic on the tour business, strategies to revitalize tourism as well as their perception on the stages of Malaysia as a tourism destination based on *Butler's Tourism Area Life Cycle (TALC)*. A copy of TALC model was shared and clear explanation to all the respondents about six stages. Respondents were asked to indicate the relevant stage/s based on your professional inputs and experiences in tourism industry. Data analysis was driven by the interview responses, and thematic analysis was guided by concepts of the COVID-19 pandemic and the six stages of Butler's TALC model. Table 1 presents the respondent profile.

**Table 1.** Respondent profile

Demographics	Categories	Number of respondents
Tour Operators		
Gender	Male	9
	Female	4
Age range	20-30	0
	31-40	2
	41-50	2
	51-60	5
	Above 60	4
Type of tour business	Inbound	4
	Inbound and ticketing	1
	All three (inbound, outbound, and ticketing)	4
	Hotel business	4
Location	Sabah	4
	Kuala Lumpur	3
	Selangor	2
	Sarawak	2
	Pulau Pinang	2
Years in operation	1 to 10	1
	11 to 20	3
	21 to 30	1
	31 to 40	2
	41 to 50	3
	51 to 60	3

## IV. Profile of Respondents

Nine tour operators and four hoteliers participated in the online interviews. The average age is 51-60 years and older. The majority are male. The average length of tourism business operation is 21-30 years. These tourism operators are from Sabah, Kuala Lumpur, Selangor, and Sarawak followed by Pulau Penang. Four respondents operate inbound tourism business, four are involved in inbound, outbound and ticketing businesses and one is in inbound and ticketing business.

## V. Findings and Discussion

### A. Impacts of the Pandemic on Tourism Businesses

Table 2 presents the four main themes that emerged from the interview responses on impacts of the pandemic on tourism businesses: severity, business operations, financial losses and reduction in staffing and compensation.

The responses in Table 2 show that the pandemic was an unprecedented event that severely impacted tourism. The border closing meant that there were no arrivals, which affected the businesses' revenues

**Table 2.** Themes regarding the pandemic's impact on tourism businesses

No.	Themes on the pandemic's impacts on tourism businesses	Interview responses and respondents' explanation of themes (TO:Tour Operator, H: hotelier)
1	Very severe, unprecedented, unexpected	TO1: We do not know how long the pandemic will last, very uncertain. TO2: The impacts are very, very severe, worse as compared to the Asian financial crisis in 1997-1998 one. TO3: Not sure how recovery would look like. TO6: Unexpected and unprecedented H1: Unprecedented, out of normal time. H2: Very damaging and very badly hit.
2	Business operations affected: border closure, zero business, no arrivals, new normal, work from home.	TO4: Borders were closed, it was zero business, business drop to zero. TO2: All going down to zero; stop operation. TO3: Zero business since the pandemic. TO6: No business and is exceedingly difficult to maintain our operation. TO7: Our booking automatically went to zero as there were no arrivals. TO8: It a new change of operation, everyone works from home using technology; embrace technology. TO9: Shutting down business operation for the 27 months, border close, so nothing as we depend heavily on long haul business. H2: Border is close, no tourist. H3: Temporary close on the five hotels. H4 Many small hotels shut down; different impacts for different star rating hotels.
3	Financial impacts: revenue, cash flow, cost of maintenance, expenses	TO7: Main impact of course would be on our financial status and to our staffing itself and no revenue coming. TO1: Huge financial losses, more than three million (RM) TO3: Impacted on our financial unbelievably bad and on our cash flow. TO4: It is difficult to cover the expenses. TO8: Revenue was down from 70 to 80%. H2: No sales, no financial, no cash flow, and then it hit our loans. H3: immediately introduce salary deduction of half pay across the board.
4	Human resources: reduced staffing, unpaid leave, retaining key staff.	TO1: Dismissed our staff, pay at a less 50% for salary for those post critical positions. TO3: Keeping only core staff with unpaid leave of course. TO7: Reduce our staffing itself. H3: Retrenchment in 2021, let go 200 staff. H2: Salaries cut and staff reductio.

and profits. They were forced to downsize or close. Both the tour operators and hoteliers suffered significant financial losses, faced cash flow problems and were unable to sustain maintenance costs and business expenses. From their perspectives, the pandemic was extremely detrimental for the industry in Malaysia and worldwide. Impacts of COVID-19 can be associated with a disaster and an unprecedented event. The hoteliers are very badly hit during the pandemic since they were no tourist or visitors coming in the country as the borders were closed. It is also very damaging, which has led to different levels of financial impacts and operational impacts. Some believe that the business were almost down to corrupt.

The resulting massive financial losses led to downsizing in staffing and a new work environment: working from home (see Assaf & Scuderi, 2020). Because COVID-19 is health hazard, it has changed the way tourism businesses operate. The consequent 'new normal' requires adaptability. As TO8 stated, the 'new normal' relates to the application of digitization. Respondents' dependent on technology are fortunate enough to work from home and sustain their business. However, tour companies depending on long-haul business were affected seriously due to international border closures, as TO9 pointed out. For the hotel sector, salaries were to cut off, staff reduction, temporary closure, were among the actions taken to stay afloat in the business. H4 believes that there is a different impact towards different hotel star rating system.

These findings correspond well to past studies on the pandemic's impacts on the tourism industry worldwide, which document declines in tourist arrivals in tourism-dependent countries and adverse effects on revenue, profitability, and sustainability. Chan et al. (2022) show that the pandemic resulted in business interruptions and revenue losses for tourism industry service providers. Foo et al. (2021) also confirmed that the pandemic significantly impacted tourism business personnel.

## B. The Impacts of the Pandemic on Malaysia's Destination Life Cycle

Table 3 presents the interview responses on the four tourist destination stages of stagnation, exploration, involvement, and development.

The responses reveal that tour operators perceived Malaysia as a tourism destination as being in the stagnation stage, followed by involvement, exploration, and development. However, the hoteliers perceived tourism destination as being in stagnation, involvement, and consolidation. The stagnation stage is based on the decline of tourist arrivals due to border closures, movement control orders and health and safety issues. The involvement stage implies the need for tourism stakeholders and government agencies to rebuild the industry, especially to increase tourist arrivals with adequate infrastructures. Respondents believe that Malaysia as tourist destination is in the involvement stage because tourism recovery is slow, requiring involvement from both private and public sectors; tourism stakeholder involvement and cooperation are crucial in the early stage of recovery to rebuild. The numerous subsectors of tourism businesses must be involved to revive the tourism destination, increasing visitors, and developing basic tourism infrastructure.

Respondents also described Malaysia tourist destination as in the exploration stage, as the pandemic has created a new tourism business landscape and environment. Work and travel behaviours will be different; there is uncertainty in the existing market segments. Hence, the destination will need to explore new attractions and market segments, creating awareness of the destination to attract more visitors. Simply, the pandemic has drastically transformed the tourism business landscape. Innovative approaches are thus required to revive tourism, especially regarding the country's infrastructure. TO3 used Sabah as an example of a tourism location that prioritizes the environment but has inadequate infrastructure. The existing infrastructure cannot accommodate large numbers of tourists and lacks sufficient access to clean water, electricity, and waste disposal. TO4 and TO9 perceived Malaysia as in both the exploration



and development stage, resulting from the pandemic. They expressed the need to explore novel approaches to create appealing tourism products for different targeted market segments, ensuring that Malaysia remains a popular destination for both domestic and international visitors; tourism associations should collaborate with the government to develop new products and promote destinations in Malaysia.

Many of the tour operators and hoteliers regarded Malaysia as a tourist destination at the stagnation stage, with a high possibility of regeneration. Malaysia's tourist arrival performance is far below those of neighbouring countries such as Thailand, Singapore, and Indonesia, especially in reference to Malaysia's performance before the pandemic. There is a lack of clear direction to bring Malaysia as a tourist destination to greater heights, which is why

there is stagnation in terms of competition and markets. The stagnation stage indicates few or no tourist arrivals due to the pandemic, with competition leading to the destination falling behind neighbouring destinations. The involvement stage refers to stakeholders and government agencies rebuilding the tourist destination, especially sufficient infrastructure. The exploration stage implies that the destination faces recent changes due to the pandemic. Thus, tourism stakeholders must explore innovative approaches and create appealing products for different market segments. The development stage implies that the destination will eventually move towards sustainable growth, so it is vital to ensure that it remains attractive to visitors and experiences sustainable growth while benefiting the local community.

Interestingly, the findings from hoteliers show that

**Table 3.** Themes regarding Malaysia's TALC stages

Themes: TALC stages	Interview responses / respondents' explanation of themes	Proposed approaches to revitalize the destination life cycle
Stagnation	TO2: Malaysia has reached a stagnation level, come to a decrease in terms of performance. TO5: Malaysia is a matured destination, people know Malaysia. TO6: We cannot stay in the stagnant stage or decline stage H1: In the stagnant stage.	- Rejuvenate or reposition the destination by introducing a new market segment and new tourism products. - Increase the tourist arrivals and diversify tourist attractions. - Develop innovative marketing and promotion strategies.
Involvement	TO1: we are somewhere here in involvement; recovery is slow and need to do promotion. TO3: We are at involvement stage; an incredibly early stage. TO4: We need to involve and to get things ready, enough infrastructure for tourist arrivals. TO5: Government involvement is essential. H2: We should be in the involvement.	- Increase awareness of destination attractions. - All tourism players and related government agencies need to get involved to rebuild the tourism industry and ensure that the growth of tourism is sustainable and does not harm the natural environment.
Exploration	TO3: At exploration stage, time to restart this whole thing, re-look into my infrastructure, different product, and segment. TO4: Should be exploration and to explore. Uncertain and keep changing. TO9: Need to explore new markets as the mass market countries are yet to not reopen; at exploration stage in this new normal with uncertainty environment created by pandemic.	- Create awareness of the destination and attract more visitors. - Explore new markets and rebrand the destination.
Development	TO4: At the development stage as we cannot stop and need to get things ready. TO9: Development stage as we are not ready yet	- Manage the growth of tourism and ensure that the destination remains attractive to visitors.
Consolidation	H3: it's still under consolidation. H4: we really need to consolidate things.	Need to engage all tourism players together, cannot be a one person moving forward.

tourism destination stage is at the consolidation which is different from the tour operators. According to hoteliers, the consolidation stage necessitates collaboration among tourism stakeholders across various sectors to revitalize the destination. This entails concerted efforts at the state level to consolidate endeavours and collectively promote Malaysia as the premier destination. To revitalize destinations and rebuild the industry, stakeholder engagement in destination management is key. At the stagnation stage, destination managers should engage with local communities, government agencies and private sector businesses. At the involvement stage, they should engage with tourists, local communities, and other stakeholders to ensure the destination's sustainability. Destinations in decline or stagnation may require targeted interventions for revitalization, different from those in the growth or consolidation stages. Tourist destination changes and challenges emerged due to the pandemic; the model may need to be adapted to reflect contemporary trends in tourist behaviour, prioritizing sustainability, and resilience in recovery strategies. The identified life cycle stages suggest that sustainably rebuilding destinations should primarily focus on increasing visitor numbers and developing tourism infrastructure for more comfortable travel while maintaining affordable prices, which is consistent with Assaf and Scuderi (2020). The paper thus proposes these strategies to revitalize tourist destinations:

- 1) Develop new products by creating unique products and experiences to attract new and repeat visitors, including themed tours, cultural festivals and culinary experiences that add value to visitor experience.
- 2) Develop strong marketing and promotion strategies to raise awareness of the destination and its unique offerings through social media, targeted advertising and partnering with travel bloggers and influencers.
- 3) Expand beyond traditional markets to increase visitor numbers and reduce dependence on a single segment. New tourism products and

experiences that appeal to specific market segments are essential.

- 4) Enhance infrastructure and facilities and improve the quality of infrastructure such as airports, roads, and public transport to improve tourist experiences and the appeal of the destination.
- 5) Collaborate with other stakeholders such as government agencies, private sector businesses and NGOs to develop new tourism products and experiences, sharing best practices and resources.

## VI. Conclusions, Implications, and Limitations

The paper presents empirical evidence, expanding the TALC model to explain the pandemic's impact on tourist destination stages. It vividly illustrates how the pandemic may affect various stages of destination development, suggesting that destination evolution is influenced by factors beyond time, including health and safety considerations. In Malaysia, tourism practitioners have identified five pivotal stages (stagnation, involvement, exploration, development, and consolidation) when destinations undergo changes. Unlike tour operators, hotels recognize the importance of engaging, developing, and collaborating with other tourism stakeholders to revitalize the destination.

The findings seem to conclude there are four life cycle stages to describe the pandemic's impact on the tourism destination from tourism operators' perspectives. These stages are affected by the pandemic context: changes in destination status are shaped by the pandemic, which brought travellers to a standstill and created new phenomena. This has influenced the destination development stage, which can be analysed using the TALC model. Stagnation is considered as the main stage due to decline and no tourist arrivals because of movement of control orders and border closures. As a result, tourism

businesses were forced to close, so the development phase is described as a stagnation or immobility phase. Involvement implies that tourism stakeholders must get involved in increasing numbers of tourists and establish the tourism market. Involvement in establishing new attractions, basic infrastructure and new traveller behaviour is crucial. The pandemic has transformed tourist destinations into a new business landscape with a new normal business environment; the destination has evolved, requiring the exploration of new attractions and markets to create awareness of the destination and attract more visitors. The paper contributes a valuable understanding of the destination's development context of effective cross-linking strategies and sustainable tourism development from the TALC perspectives.

The findings have several theoretical and practical implications. It has practical implications for destination management and stakeholder engagement. They inform the development of effective destination management strategies: at the stagnation stage, destination managers should focus on developing new products and experiences, investing in marketing and promotion including rebranding and enhancing infrastructures and facilities to be more appealing to visitors. At the involvement stage, destination managers should focus on maintaining the quality of visitor experience and developing sustainable tourism practices. At the exploration stage, they should focus on managing visitor numbers, developing new products to maintain a competitive edge, and ensuring the sustainability of the destination. The findings offer practical guidance for tourism planning, development, and promotion in rebuilding tourist destinations post-pandemic for Malaysia's destination management organization, aiding in revitalizing tourist destination. This revitalization, informed by strategic activities and supported by pertinent data and knowledge, is expected to yield positive impacts within the tourism sector, echoing Musil's insights (2018). The proposed strategies align with the development stages for tourism practitioners to revitalize the industry, identifying the essential post-pandemic destination management and marketing

strategies. Especially, implications for the marketing and promotional strategies employed by the tourism boards of the five states, fostering a more resilient approach to rebuilding their respective tourism businesses. This may include the revision or update the national tourism policies.

In term of theoretical implications, it expands the TALC model to understand the impact of the pandemic on the tourist destination, it moves toward new avenues of TALC research. It provides an in-depth understanding of the pandemic's impact on the development stages of the tourism destination. It addresses this research gap in the Malaysian context. The findings add to existing literature on the pandemic's impact on the tourism industry with respect to destinations, tour operators and hoteliers. The findings highlight that all products cycle through introduction, growth, maturity, and decline. Innovation in the stagnation stage helps destinations move from stagnation to the involvement and exploration stages, sustaining growth in the long term. The involvement stage underscores the importance of tourism stakeholder collaboration to revitalize destinations. Stakeholder engagement is also critical in ensuring sustainable tourism development that benefits tourists and the local community.

The paper is not free from limitations. The findings cannot be generalised based on the development stages, as each tourism area is unique, and the magnitude of pandemic impact varies. However, similar destinations can be compared and contrasted to get valuable insights into the development process. The life cycle span of the tourist destination depends on planning and policy initiatives. Future research should triangulate qualitative and quantitative data and analysis. The determination of the TALC stages is primarily based on the pandemic impact data specific to the destination only from the tour operators' perspective. Hence, future research should include other tourism stakeholders such as the promotion board and accommodation and airline industries, and the use of other relevant variables is necessary to analyse destination growth.

## References

- Abushammala, S. N. M. (2022). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on household financial well-being. *Global Business and Finance Review*, 27(4), 6782. doi: 10.17549/gbfr.2022.27.4.65
- Assaf, A. G., & Scuderi, R. (2020). COVID-19 and the recovery of the tourism industry. *Tourism Economics*, 26(5), 731-733. doi:10.1177/1354816620933712
- Baum, T. (1998). Taking the exit route: extending the tourism area life cycle model. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 1(2), 167-175. doi:10.1080/13683509808667837
- Beh, L., & Lin, W. L. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on ASEAN tourism industry. *Journal of Asian Public Policy*, 15(2), 300-320. doi:10.1080/17516234.2020.1871180
- Boyd, S., & Butler, R. W. (2006). 8. The TALC model and its application to national parks: A Canadian example. In *Multilingual Matters eBooks* (pp. 119-138). Channel View Publications. doi:10.21832/9781845410278-016
- Butler, R. (1980). The concept of a tourist area cycle of evolution: Implications for management of resources. *Canadian Geographer / Le Géographe Canadien*, 24(1), 5-12. doi:10.1111/j.1541-0064.1980.tb00970.x
- Butler, R. (2022). Managing Tourism -A Missing Element? *PASOS*, 20(2), 255-263.
- Chan, J. K. L., Lily, J., Idris, S., & Kele, A. T. A. (2022). Impacts and measures COVID-19 pandemic and tourism industry in Sabah. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, 17(8), 1-21. doi:10.46754/jssm.2022.08.001
- Chan, J. K. L. (2021). *Domestic tourism as a pathway to revive the tourism industry and business post the COVID-19 pandemic*. Working Papers DP-2021-25, Economic Research Institute for ASEAN and East Asia (ERIA). 392. <https://ideas.repec.org/p/era/wpaper/dp-2021-25.html>
- Chan, J. K. L., & King, V. T. (2020). The Coronavirus Pandemic and Tourism in Southeast Asia: Case Material from Malaysia. *Horizon J. Hum. & Soc. Sci.*, 2(sS), 87-102. <http://eprints.ums.edu.my/26078/>
- Choy, D. J. (1992). Life cycle models for Pacific Island destinations. *Journal of Travel Research*, 30(3), 26-31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/004728759203000304>
- Cooper, C., & Jackson, S. (1989). Destination life cycle. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 16(3), 377-398. doi: 10.1016/0160-7383(89)90051-0
- Foo, L. P., Chin, M., Tan, K. L., & Teng, P. K. (2020). The impact of COVID-19 on tourism industry in Malaysia. *Current Issues in Tourism*, 24(19), 2735-2739. doi: 10.1080/13683500.2020.1777951
- Getz, D. (1992). Tourism planning and destination life cycle. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 19(4), 752-770. doi: 10.1016/0160-7383(92)90065-w
- Goh, H. C. (2021). Strategies for post-Covid-19 prospects of Sabah's tourist market - Reactions to shocks caused by pandemic or reflection for sustainable tourism? *Research in Globalization*, 3, 100056. doi:0.1016/j.resglo.2021.100056
- Gore, S., Borde, N., & Desai, P. H. (2020). Assessment of technology strategies for sustainable tourism planning. *Foresight*, 23(2), 172-187. doi:10.1108/fs-02-2020-0006
- Hamid, R., Hashim, N., Shukur, S. a. M., & Marmaya, N. H. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 on Malaysia tourism industry supply chain. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business & Social Sciences*, 11(16), 27-41. doi:s10.6007/ijarbss/v11-i16/11213
- Hovinen, G. R. (2002). Revisiting the destination lifecycle model. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 29(1), 209-230. doi:10.1016/s0160-7383(01)00036-6
- Mohammad, H., Abdinagoro, S. B., Zulkarnain, A., Leonandri, D. G., & Ichsan, M. (2022). The Impact of Organizational Resilience on Hotel Performance during Pandemic COVID-19. *Global Business and Finance Review*, 27(1), 1-15. doi:10.17549/gbfr.2022.27.1.1
- Isa, M. Y. B. M., & Daukin, M. (2022). The influence of present health status (Post COVID-19) on retirement planning Malaysia. *Global Business and Finance Review*, 27(6), 81-88. doi:10.17549/gbfr.2022.27.6.81
- Juhanda, Ralinas, F. A., Faozen, & Jatmiko, H. (2022). Recovery strategies of tourism businesses in COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Jurnal Kepariwisataaan*, 6(1), 44-52. doi: 10.34013/jk.v6i1.462
- Karim, W., Haque, A., Anis, Z., & Ulfy, M. A. (2020). The Movement Control Order (MCO) for COVID-19 Crisis and its Impact on Tourism and Hospitality Sector in Malaysia. *International Tourism and Hospitality Journal*, 3(2), 1-7. doi:10.37227/ithj-2020-02-09
- Musil, M. (2018). Overview of management approaches in the regional tourism development. *Global Business and Finance Review*, 23(1), 75-84. doi:10.17549/gbfr.2018.23.1.75
- Nordin, D. S. N. D., Latip, N. A., Mansur, K., Hussin, R., & Shah, J. M. (2023). Challenges in implementation of community-based-tourism and homestay post-COVID-19 Movement Control Order (MCO) at Kundasang, Sabah. *Planning Malaysia Journal*, 21(28). doi:10.21837/pm.v21i28.1331
- Park, H.-K. (2006). *A Study On Tourist Area Life Cycle And Marketing Strategy: Case Of Jeju Island*. A Master of Business Administration thesis submitted in KDI School of Public and Management, Sejong City, South Korea.
- Plog, S. C. (2004). *Leisure Travel: A marketing handbook*. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Rahman, N., Azis, S. S. A., Zulkifli, N. a. A., Baskaran, S., Aziz, N. H. A., & Salleh, K. M. (2023). COVID-19 Standard Operating Procedure Advancement for Office Building Using Indoor Environmental Quality Elements, *Planning Malaysia Journal of the Malaysian Institute of Planners*, 21(3), 347-368. doi:10.21837/pm.v21i27.1306
- Ralinas, F. I. A., Juhanda, J., Faozen, F., & Jatmiko, H. (2022b). Recovery strategies of tourism businesses in the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *ASEAN Journal on Hospitality and Tourism*, 20(1), 94-105. doi:10.5614/ajht.2022.20.1.07
- Sigala, M. (2020). Tourism and COVID-19: Impacts and

- implications for advancing and resetting industry and research. *Journal of Business Research*, 117, 312-321. doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.06.015
- Škare, M., Soriano, D. R., & Porada-Rochoń, M. (2021). Impact of COVID-19 on the travel and tourism industry. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163, 120469. doi:10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120469
- Van Huynh, D., Duong, L. H., Truong, T. T. K., & Nguyen, N. (2022b). Destination responses to COVID-19 waves: Is "Green Zone" initiative a holy grail for tourism recovery? *Sustainability*, 14(6), 3421. doi:10.3390/su14063421
- Yeh, S. S. (2020). Tourism recovery strategy against COVID-19 pandemic. *Tourism Recreation Research*, 46(2), 188-194. doi:10.1080/02508281.2020.1805933
- Zhong, L., Deng, J., & Xiang, B. (2008). Tourism development and the tourism area life-cycle model: A case study of Zhangjiajie National Forest Park, China. *Tourism Management*, 29(5), 841-856. doi:10.1016/j.tourman.2007.10.002.
- UNWTO (2020, May). Impact Assessment of the COVID-19 Outbreak on International Tourism. <https://www.unwto.org/impact-assessment-of-the-covid-19-outbreak-on-international-tourism>. [Accessed the 20th November 2020]