

Owens, Jeffrey; Wamuyu, Ruth

Research Report

How will countries compete for FDI in light of the new global tax environment?

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 390

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Owens, Jeffrey; Wamuyu, Ruth (2024) : How will countries compete for FDI in light of the new global tax environment?, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 390, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/302797>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Chioma Menankiti (clm2249@columbia.edu)

The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the authors do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or our partners and supporters.

No. 390 August 19, 2024

How will countries compete for FDI in light of the new global tax environment?

by

Jeffrey Owens and Ruth Wamuyu*

Investors consider several factors when determining the locations of their investments. While the tax system is one of them, it is not the sole or main basis for an investment decision. Despite this, countries have widely used tax incentives to attract FDI. Developing countries in particular use these incentives to offset their shortcomings in terms of the [underlying factors](#) that make for an attractive business environment. However, the [effectiveness of tax incentives](#) in attracting FDI has been challenged, with many economists seeing the widespread use of incentives as encouraging a [“race to the bottom.”](#)

The global tax environment has undergone several changes that present constraints to the use of tax incentives. First, the [OECD Pillar II](#) introduces a global minimum effective tax rate of 15% for MNEs that have annual revenue above EUR 750 million. The application of a top-up tax on a group’s ultimate parent entity by its resident country will affect [certain incentives](#) offered in capital importing countries, as the revenue forgone by these countries will be collected in the ultimate parent entity jurisdiction; this may cancel out the fiscal benefit to the group as a whole. Consequently, the affected tax incentives will have less impact on MNEs’ locational investment decisions. The revenue impact on capital importing countries may be mitigated through [a qualified domestic minimum top-up tax](#). Second, European Union [State Aid rules](#), which often intervene to avoid direct tax measures that distort competition, continue to be relied on to challenge tax incentives offered to MNEs. Similarly, several cases have been raised within the WTO dispute-settlement body challenging incentives considered to be in breach of the [Agreement on Subsidies and Countervailing measures](#) that regulates the provision of subsidies (including tax specific

incentives). This new environment places limitations on the types of tax incentives that countries can introduce if they are to avoid a breach of their international obligations.

Despite these constraints, countries will continue to compete not just for FDI, but also for services, top talent and high net-worth individuals to increase economic activity within their jurisdictions. This is particularly important as countries face the pressing need to increase revenue to meet their development goals. This raises the question of what competition will look like, given the constraints faced in the use of traditional tax incentives.

The new environment presents an opportunity to rethink the measures adopted to attract FDI, especially where there has been an over-reliance on profit-based incentives. Several options are available to countries:

- Incentives targeted at substantial activity. Pillar II will have little or no impact on incentives that target tangible assets and payroll. The substance-based income exclusion provides an opportunity for countries to offer incentives that reduce taxes on routine returns from investment in substantive activities, without triggering additional top-up tax.
- Qualified refundable tax credits. For Pillar II purposes, these are treated as income, making them more attractive to countries. However, developing countries could face fiscal and legal challenges due to limited resources.
- Incentives for out-of-scope taxes, such as personal income, indirect and property taxes, customs duties, mineral royalties, and employer contributions to social security. However, offering incentives for [indirect taxes](#) may trigger conflicts with trade obligations. In addition, countries should consider the feasibility of these taxes providing the competitive advantage desired.
- Tax incentives for out-of-scope companies. However, in the long run, countries may agree to lower the revenue threshold, capturing a larger group of MNEs.
- Enhanced tax administration and dispute resolution. Administrations that provide predictability and consistency in the application of rules and streamlined dispute-resolution mechanisms reduce compliance costs and increase the overall attractiveness of a country. Countries may want to invest in their tax administrations, including through the digitalization of their processes and the implementation of [cooperative compliance programs](#) and dispute-settlement systems.
- Investment and grants for infrastructure, skilled workers and the overall ease of doing business. Countries may want to place greater emphasis on public spending to improve the overall investment environment to attract investors. However, offering such grants may be difficult for developing countries that have limited fiscal capacity.
- [Redesigning SEZs](#), to take account of the new constraints faced and to ensure that incentives affected by Pillar II are reformed. This will require rethinking the incentives

offered in these zones, to ensure that countries do not lose revenue while also ensuring that the incentives adopted are in line with international agreements and rules.

Despite these new constraints on the use of tax incentives, countries will continue to compete for FDI, to help them advance sustainable growth. Competition will spill over to a broad range of tax and non-tax incentives. Pillar II is not the end of the “race to the bottom”—but more the start of another round of competitive bidding for FDI, through measures that extend beyond the corporate tax realm.

* Jeffrey Owens (Jeffrey.Owens@wu.ac.at) is the Director, Ruth Wamuyu (ruth.wamuyu.maina@wu.ac.at) is a Research and Teaching Associate at the WU Global Tax Policy Centre at the Institute for Austrian and International Tax Law, Vienna University for Economics and Business, Vienna, Austria. The authors wish to thank Tobias Appl, Mbakiso Magwape and Leidson Rangel for their helpful peer reviews.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Jeffrey Owens and Ruth Wamuyu, ‘How will countries compete for FDI in light of the new global tax environment?’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 390, August 19, 2024. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<http://ccsi.columbia.edu>).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Chioma Menankiti, at clm2249@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and Columbia Climate School at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu>.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 389, James Nedumpara and Pushkar Reddy, ‘[India and EFTA: pioneering novel FDI commitments](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, August 5, 2024
- No. 388, Sondra Faccio, ‘[FDI contracts should include investor obligations on sustainable development](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, July 22, 2024
- No. 387, Priyanka Kher, ‘[Investing abroad: Why Indian firms should forge ahead and how the government can help](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, July 8, 2024
- No. 386, Charles-Emmanuel Côté, Shotaro Hamamoto, Marcin J. Menkes, and Xu Qian, ‘[The growing interest for joint interpretations of investment treaties by state parties](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, June 24, 2024
- No. 385, Daniel Gerkin and Michelle Weinbaum, ‘[Passive financing in the crosshairs: CFIUS intensifies focus on limited partners in covered private equity transactions](#),’ *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, June 10, 2024