

Klein, Ingo; Fischer, Matthias J.

**Working Paper**

## Kurtosis transformation and kurtosis ordering

Diskussionspapier, No. 53/2003

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nuremberg, Chair of Statistics and Econometrics

*Suggested Citation:* Klein, Ingo; Fischer, Matthias J. (2003) : Kurtosis transformation and kurtosis ordering, Diskussionspapier, No. 53/2003, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Lehrstuhl für Statistik und Ökonometrie, Nürnberg

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/29609>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

**Friedrich-Alexander-Universität  
Erlangen-Nürnberg**  
**Wirtschafts-und Sozialwissenschaftliche Fakultät**

**Diskussionspapier**

**53 / 2003**

**Kurtosis transformation and kurtosis ordering**

**Ingo Klein and Matthias Fischer**



---

Lehrstuhl für Statistik und Ökonometrie  
Lehrstuhl für Statistik und empirische Wirtschaftsforschung  
Lange Gasse 20 · D-90403 Nürnberg



# Kurtosis transformation and kurtosis ordering

Ingo Klein and Matthias Fischer  
Department of Statistics and Econometrics,  
University of Erlangen-Nuremberg

**Abstract:** Leptokurtic distributions can be generated by applying certain non-linear transformations to a standard normal random variable. Within this work we derive general conditions for these transformations which guarantee that the generated distributions are ordered with respect to the partial ordering of van Zwet for symmetric distributions and the partial ordering of MacGillivray for arbitrary distributions. In addition, we propose a general power transformation which nests the  $H$ -,  $J$ - and  $K$ -transformations which have already been proposed in the literature. Within this class of power transformations the above mentioned condition can be easily verified and the power can be interpreted as parameter of leptokurtosis.

**Keywords:** Power kurtosis transformation; leptokurtosis; kurtosis ordering.

## 1 Introduction

Flexible distribution families which accommodate both skewness and kurtosis can be generated if we transform a standard Gaussian distribution with certain non-linear transformations. One or both tails of the distribution can be shortened or increased by means of separate skewness and kurtosis transformations. If these transformations are parameterized the corresponding parameter can be interpreted as skewness and/or kurtosis parameter. However, this term only makes sense if these parameters actually measure skewness and/or kurtosis of distributions. To verify this we have to prove that general accepted conditions for a skewness and/or kurtosis measures are satisfied. One of these requirements is that a partial skewness and kurtosis ordering is preserved. Whereas van Zwet (1964) proposed kurtosis orderings for symmetrical distributions, Balanda and MacGillivray (1990) introduced kurtosis orderings for arbitrary distributions. For certain transformations it was already shown that these orderings are preserved. Take, for example, the exponential transformation – the so-called  $H$ -transformation – which was proposed by Tukey (1977) and extensively discussed by MacGillivray (1992). For other transformations, however, like the  $K$ -transformation (Haynes et al., 1997) or the  $J$ -transformation (Fischer and Klein, 2003) it has not yet been verified.

Within this work these transformations are embedded in a general class of power transformation. Moreover it is shown that the exponent of this class of power transformation can be understood as kurtosis parameter in the sense of preserving the kurtosis ordering of van Zwet for symmetrical distributions. More general, it is also demonstrated that the kurtosis ordering of van Zwet is preserved for arbitrary (not necessary parameterized) twice differentiable transforms whose ratio of derivatives satisfies certain conditions concerning the monotony.

## 2 Kurtosis orderings

Van Zwet (1964) introduced a kurtosis ordering (more precise, a partial ordering) on the set of all symmetric, continuous and strictly monotone increasing distributions. In this concept, a symmetric distribution  $F$  has less kurtosis than a symmetric distribution  $G$  ( $F \preceq_S G$ ), if  $G^{-1}(F(x))$  is convex for  $x > F^{-1}(0.5)$ , where  $F^{-1}$  and  $G^{-1}$  denote the inverse distribution function (or quantile function) of  $F$  and  $G$ , respectively. Obviously,  $F^{-1}(0.5)$  is the median of the distribution of  $F$ .

Balanda and MacGillivray (1990) generalized this partial ordering of van Zwet by using so-called spread functions defined as symmetric differences of quantiles:

$$S_F(u) = F^{-1}(u) - F^{-1}(1 - u), \quad u \geq 0.5.$$

$S_F$  is monotone increasing on  $[1/2, 1)$ . If  $F$  is symmetric,  $F^{-1}(u) = -F^{-1}(1 - u)$  for  $u > 0.5$ , so that

$$S_F(u) = 2F^{-1}(u) \quad u \geq 0.5$$

and

$$S_F^{-1}(x) = F(x/2) \quad \text{for } x > F^{-1}(0.5).$$

This means that (for symmetric distributions) the spread function essentially coincide with the quantile function. In the sense of Balanda and MacGillivray (1990), an arbitrary continuous, monotone increasing distribution function  $F$  has less kurtosis than an equally distribution function  $G$  ( $F \preceq_S G$ ) if  $S_G(S_F^{-1}(x))$  is convex for  $x > F^{-1}(0.5)$ . If  $F$  and  $G$  are symmetric distributions,

$$S_G(S_F^{-1}(x)) = 2G^{-1}(2F(x)) = G^{-1}(F(x)) \quad x \geq F^{-1}(0.5),$$

implying that in this special case the orderings of van Zwet and MacGillivray coincide. This justifies the identical notation  $\preceq_S$ .

## 3 Verifying the property of ordering by means of the second derivation

It is well-known that a twice differentiable function is convex if its second derivative is positive. Consequently, we have to investigate the second derivative of

$$S_G(S_F^{-1}(x)) \quad \text{for } x \geq F^{-1}(0.5),$$

provided that it exists. Setting  $u = F(x)$ , the first derivative is given by

$$a(u) \equiv \frac{\partial S_G(u)}{\partial u} \cdot \frac{1}{S'_F(u)} = \frac{S'_G(u)}{S'_F(u)} \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.5,$$

implying that the second derivative is given by

$$a'(u) = \frac{S''_G(u)S'_F(u) - S'_G(u)S''_F(u)}{S'_F(u)^2} \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.5.$$

$a'(u)$  is positive, if

$$(A1) \quad S_G''(u)S_F'(u) \geq S_G'(u)S_F''(u) \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.5.$$

In terms of density function  $f$  and distribution function  $F$ ,

$$S_F'(u) = \frac{1}{f(F^{-1}(u))} + \frac{1}{f(F^{-1}(1-u))} \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.5$$

and

$$S_F''(u) = \frac{f'(F^{-1}(1-u))}{f(F^{-1}(1-u))^3} - \frac{f'(F^{-1}(u))}{f(F^{-1}(u))^3} \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.5.$$

Note that a necessary condition for the existence of  $S_F''(u)$  for  $u \geq 0.5$  is that the density  $f$  has to be differentiable and strictly positive on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Condition (A1) can be simplified for symmetric distributions  $F$  and  $G$ . Using the so-called score function

$$\psi_F(x) \equiv -\frac{f'(x)}{f(x)}, \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}$$

we have

$$(A2) \quad \psi_G(G^{-1}(u))\frac{f(F^{-1}(u))}{g(G^{-1}(u))} - \psi_F(F^{-1}(u)) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } u \geq 0.5.$$

If  $\psi_G(x) > 0$  for  $x > 0$ , equation (A2) is equivalent to

$$(A3) \quad \frac{\psi_F(F^{-1}(u))}{\psi_G(G^{-1}(u))} - \frac{f(F^{-1}(u))}{g(G^{-1}(u))} \leq 0 \quad \text{for } u > 0.5.$$

This means that the ratio of the score functions has to dominate the ratio of the density functions uniformly for  $u > 0.5$ .

**Example 3.1 (GSH distribution)** *The generalized secant hyperbolic (GSH) distribution – which is able to model both thin and fat tails – was introduced by Vaughan (2002) and has density*

$$f_{GSH}(x; t) = c_1(t) \cdot \frac{\exp(c_2(t)x)}{\exp(2c_2(t)x) + 2a(t) \exp(c_2(t)x) + 1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t > -\pi \quad (1)$$

with normalizing constants


$$\begin{aligned} a(t) &= \cos(t), \quad c_2(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 - t^2}{3}}, \quad c_1(t) = \frac{\sin(t)}{t} \cdot c_2(t), \quad \text{for } -\pi < t \leq 0, \\ a(t) &= \cosh(t), \quad c_2(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi^2 + t^2}{3}}, \quad c_1(t) = \frac{\sinh(t)}{t} \cdot c_2(t), \quad \text{for } t > 0 \end{aligned}$$

The inverse distribution function is given in closed form by

$$F_{GSH}^{-1}(u; t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c_2(t)} \ln \left( \frac{\sin(tu)}{\sin(t(1-u))} \right) & \text{für } t \in (-\pi, 0), \\ \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \ln \left( \frac{u}{1-u} \right) & \text{für } t = 0, \\ \frac{1}{c_2(t)} \ln \left( \frac{\sinh(tu)}{\sinh(t(1-u))} \right) & \text{für } t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Klein and Fischer (2003) showed that  $t$  is indeed a kurtosis parameter in the sense of van Zwet (1964). For  $t_1 = -\pi/2$  and  $t_2 = 0.5$  the curve of the ratio of the score functions and of the densities from condition (A3) is exemplarily shown in figure 1, below.

Figure 1: Ratio of score- and density functions



## 4 A general symmetric kurtosis transformation

Let  $Z$  be a random variable which is symmetric around the median 0 and which has continuous distribution function. Define

$$Y \equiv Z \cdot W(Z)$$

where  $W$  is a suitable kurtosis transformation. Hoaglin (1983) postulated some plausible requirements to a suitable transformation  $T$  of kurtosis. Firstly,  $W$  should preserving symmetry, i.e.  $W(z) = W(-z)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and we therefore have to discuss  $W$  only on the positive axis. Secondly, the initial distribution  $Z$  should hardly be transformed in the centre, i.e.  $W(z) \approx z$  for  $z \approx 0$ . Finally, in order to increase the tails of the distribution, we have to assure that  $W$  is accelerated strictly monotone increasing for positive  $z > 0$ , i.e.  $W'(z) > 0$  and  $W''(z) > 0$  for  $z > 0$ . Consequently,  $W$  is strictly monotone increasing and convex for  $z > 0$ . Conversely, a shortening of the tails takes place, either if  $W$  is strictly monotone increasing with negative second derivation or if  $W$  is not monotone but concave for  $z > 0$ . Differentiability and monotony imply that  $W'(0) = 0$ .

Examples which satisfy the aforementioned conditions are:

1.  $H(z) = \exp(1/2hz^2) = \exp(1/2z^2)^h$  for  $h \in \mathbb{R}$  (Tukey, 1977),
2.  $J(z) = \cosh(z)^j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{R}$  (Fischer and Klein, 2003),
3.  $K(z) = (1 + z^2)^k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{R}$  (Tukey, 1964).

The following example shows that the transformations  $H$ ,  $J$  and  $K$  are special cases of the general power transformation

$$A(z) \equiv \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^{2i} \right)^r \quad \text{for } r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (2)$$

where the weights  $a_i$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  have to be chosen that the limes of the power series  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^{2i}$  exists for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example:**

1. Tukey's  $H$ -transformation can be written as

$$H(z) = \left( e^{1/2z^2} \right)^h = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i i!} z^{2i} \right)^h.$$

It is obvious that  $a_i = 1/(2^i i!)$  in equation (2).

2. From

$$\cosh(z) = 1/2e^z + 1/2e^{-z} = 1/2 \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{z^i}{i!} + \frac{(-z)^i}{i!} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i i!} z^{2i}.$$

we see that Fischer and Klein's  $J$ -transformation has the power series representation

$$J(z) = \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i i!} z^{2i} \right)^j,$$

that means  $a_i = 0$  for odd  $i$  and  $a_i = 1/(2^i i!)$  for even  $i$  in equation (2).

3. Setting  $a_0 = 1$ ,  $a_1 = 1$  and  $a_i = 0$ ,  $i > 1$  in equation (2) leads to Tukey's  $K$ -transformation.

The first and second derivatives of  $A$  are

$$A'(z) = r \left( \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^{2i} \right)^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2i a_i z^{2i-1} = r A(z) C_1(z) \quad \text{with } C_1(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2i a_i z^{2i-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^{2i}}$$

and

$$A''(z) = r A(z) ((r-1) C_2(z) + C_3(z))$$

with

$$C_2(z) = \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2i a_i z^{2i-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^{2i}} \right)^2 = C_1(z)^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{R} \quad (3)$$

and

$$C_3(z) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2i(2i-1) a_i z^{2i-2}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^{2i}} \quad (4)$$

for  $z \geq 0$ . Symmetry of  $A$  is given by construction.  $A(0) = 1$  is satisfied if  $a_0 = 1$ .  $A'(0) = 0$  is satisfied because of  $C_1(0) = 0$ , if  $A(z) \geq 0$  für  $z \geq 0$ . The monotony condition  $A'(z) > 0$  holds, if  $r > 0$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2i a_i z^{2i-1} \geq 0$$



for  $z > 0$ .  $A$  is tail increasing, if  $A''(z) > 0$  which in turn is satisfied, if  $r > 0$  and

$$r > 1 - C_3(z)/C_2(z)$$

for all  $z > 0$ . A transformation  $A$  with all this properties will be called a *general power kurtosis transformation* in the sequel. The untransformed distribution (here: standard Gaussian) is obtained for  $r = 0$ . What remains is to proof that the exponent  $r$  can be interpreted as kurtosis parameter in the sense of van Zwet (1964).

## 5 Kurtosis ordering of general kurtosis transformations

Let  $Z$  be a standard Gaussian random variable. Define two random variables

$$Y_i = Z \cdot W_i(Z), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where  $W_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are kurtosis transformations which are twice differentiable for  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  and symmetric around 0. It will be demonstrated in the next theorem that  $Y_1$  has less kurtosis than  $Y_2$  if the condition

$$\mathbf{(B)} \quad \frac{W_2^{(p)}(z)}{W_1^{(p)}(z)} \geq \frac{W_2^{(p-1)}(z)}{W_1^{(p-1)}(z)} \quad \text{for } z > 0 \text{ and } p = 1, 2$$

holds, provided that  $W_i(z) > 0$ ,  $W_i'(z) > 0$  and  $W_i''(z) > 0$  for  $z > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . This means that the ratios of the  $p$ -derivatives of  $W_i$  are monoton increasing in  $p$ .

**Theorem:** *Suppose that  $Z$  is a standard normal random variable. Define  $Y_i = Z \cdot W_i(Z)$  with distribution function  $F_i$  and assume that  $W_i$  is twice differentiable on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $W_i(z) > 0$ ,  $W_i'(z) > 0$ ,  $W_i''(z) > 0$  for  $z > 0$  and symmetric around 0 for  $i = 1, 2$ . If condition (B) is satisfied for  $p = 1, 2$ , then  $F_1 \preceq_S F_2$ .*

*Proof:* Because of the symmetry of  $F_i$ ,

$$S_{F_i}(u) = 2F_i^{-1}(u) = 2zW_i(z) \quad \text{für } z = \Phi^{-1}(u), u \geq 0.5.$$

for  $i = 1, 2$ . Consequently,

$$S'_{F_i}(\Phi(z)) = 2(W_i(z) + zW_i'(z)) \quad \text{for } z \geq 0$$

and

$$S''_{F_i}(\Phi(z)) = 2(2W_i'(z) + zW_i''(z)) \quad \text{for } z \geq 0,$$

$i = 1, 2$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & 1/4 \left[ S''_{F_2}(\Phi(z))S'_{F_1}(\Phi(z)) - S''_{F_1}(\Phi(z))S'_{F_2}(\Phi(z)) \right] \\ &= (2W_2'(z) + zW_2''(z))(W_1(z) + zW_1'(z)) - (2W_1'(z) + zW_1''(z))(W_2(z) + zW_2'(z)) \\ &= 2W_2'(z)W_1(z) + 2W_2'(z)zW_1'(z) + zW_2''(z)W_1(z) + z^2W_1'(z)W_2''(z) \\ &\quad - 2W_1'(z)W_2(z) - 2W_1'(z)zW_2'(z) - zW_1''(z)W_2(z) - z^2W_2'(z)W_1''(z) \\ &= 2(W_2'(z)W_1(z) - W_1'(z)W_2(z)) + z(W_2''(z)W_1(z) - W_1''(z)W_2(z)) \\ &\quad + z^2(W_2''(z)W_1'(z) - W_1''(z)W_2'(z)), \end{aligned}$$

so that condition (B) is satisfied, if this term is positive. This is true for  $W_1(z) > 0$ ,  $W_1'(z) > 0$ ,  $W_1''(z) > 0$ ,

$$\frac{W_2'(z)}{W_1'(z)} \geq \frac{W_2(z)}{W_1(z)} \quad \text{und} \quad \frac{W_2''(z)}{W_1''(z)} \geq \frac{W_2'(z)}{W_1'(z)}$$

for  $z > 0$ .  $\square$

In the special case of a general power kurtosis transformation different exponents define different transformations

$$Y_i = Z \cdot A_i(Z) = \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l z^{2l} \right)^{r_i}, \quad r_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

If  $r$  is actually a kurtosis parameter, we should be able to show that  $Y_1$  with corresponding parameter  $r_1$  has less kurtosis than  $Y_2$  with corresponding parameter  $r_2 > r_1$ . For this purpose, condition (B) has to be verified for the ratios of the derivatives of  $A_2(z)$  and  $A_1(z)$ .

**Lemma:** *Define*

$$A_i(z) \equiv \left( \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} a_l z^{2l} \right)^{r_i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad r \in \mathbb{R}$$

and suppose that the power series in brackets converges. Furthermore, assume  $A_i'(z) \geq 0$  and  $A_i''(z) \geq 0$  for  $z > 0$  and  $i = 1, 2$ . If  $r_2 > r_1 > 0$ ,

$$\frac{A_2^{(p)}(z)}{A_1^{(p)}(z)} \geq \frac{A_2^{(p-1)}(z)}{A_1^{(p-1)}(z)} \quad \text{for } z > 0 \text{ and } p = 1, 2.$$

**Proof:** It has already been shown that

$$A_i'(z) = r_i A_i(z) C_1(z) \quad \text{with} \quad C_1(z) = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2l a_l z^{2l-1}}{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} a_l z^{2l}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

and

$$A_i''(z) = r_i A_i(z) ((r_i - 1) C_2(z) + C_3(z)) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2$$

with  $C_2(z) \geq 0$  and  $C_3(z)$  as defined in equations (3) and (4). Consequently, for  $r_1 > 0$ ,

$$\frac{A_2'(z)}{A_1'(z)} - \frac{A_2(z)}{A_1(z)} = \frac{A_2(z)}{A_1(z)} \left( \frac{r_2}{r_1} - 1 \right) \geq 0,$$

if, and only if  $r_2 \geq r_1$ . Furthermore, for  $r_1 > 0$  we have

$$\frac{A_2''(z)}{A_1''(z)} - \frac{A_2'(z)}{A_1'(z)} = \frac{r_2 A_2(z)}{r_1 A_1(z)} \left( \frac{(r_2 - 1) C_2(z) + C_3(z)}{(r_1 - 1) C_2(z) + C_3(z)} - 1 \right) \geq 0$$

if, and only if  $r_2 \geq r_1$ .  $\square$

In that sense the parameter  $h$ ,  $j$  and  $k$  of the kurtosis transformations  $H$ ,  $J$  and  $K$  can be seen as kurtosis parameters.

## 6 Summary

Within this work we derived conditions – based on the derivatives of density functions, distribution functions, spread functions and general kurtosis and power kurtosis transformation – under which the kurtosis orderings of van Zwet and MacGillivray, respectively, are preserved. In particular it was shown that the class of general power kurtosis transformation is well suited to generate leptokurtic distributions which are characterized by a well-defined kurtosis parameter.

## References

- [1] Balanda, K. P. and H. L. MacGillivray: *Kurtosis and spread*. The Canadian Journal of Statistics, **18**(1):17-30, 1990.
- [2] Fischer, M. and I. Klein: *Kurtosis modelling by means of the j-transformation*. Diskussion paper 52, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, 2003.
- [3] Klein, I. and M. Fischer: *Kurtosis ordering of the generalized secant hyperbolic distribution – A technical note*. Diskussion paper 54, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, 2003.
- [4] Fischer, M., Horn, A. and I. Klein: *Tukey-type distributions: The interplay between skewness and kurtosis parameter in the context of financial return data*. Diskussion paper 53, University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, 2003.
- [5] Hoaglin, D. C.: *Summarizing shape numerically: The g – and – h distributions*, in: Hoaglin, D. C. and F. Mosteller and J. W. Tukey (eds.): *Data analysis for tables, trends, and shapes*, Wiley, New York, 461-513, 1983.
- [6] MacGillivray, H. L.: *Shape properties of the g-and-h Johnson families*. Communication in Statistics (Theory and Methods), **21**(5):1233-1250, 1992.
- [7] MacGillivray, H. L. and K. P. Belanda: *The relationship between skewness and kurtosis*. Australian Journal of Statistics, **30**(3):319-337, 1988.
- [8] Rayner, G. D. and H. L. MacGillivray: *Weighted quantile-based estimation for a class of transformation distributions*. Computational Statistics & Data Analysis, **39**:401-433, 2002.
- [9] Tukey, J. W.: *Exploratory data analysis*. Addison-Wesley, Reading, M.A., 1977.
- [10] Van Zwet, W. R.: *Convex Transformations of Random Variables*. Mathematical Centre Tracts No. 7. Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1964.
- [11] Vaughan, D. C.: *The Generalized Hyperbolic Secant distribution and its Application*. Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods, **31**(2):219-238, 2002.

Adresses of the authors:

Prof. Dr. Ingo Klein  
Lehrstuhl für Statistik und Ökonometrie  
Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg  
Lange Gasse 20  
D-90403 Nürnberg  
Tel. +60 911 5320271  
Fax +60 911 5320277  
Elec. Mail: [Ingo.Klein@wiso.uni-erlangen.de](mailto:Ingo.Klein@wiso.uni-erlangen.de)  
<http://www.statistik.wiso.uni-erlangen.de>

Dr. Matthias Fischer  
Lehrstuhl für Statistik und Ökonometrie  
Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg  
Lange Gasse 20  
D-90403 Nürnberg  
Tel. +60 911 5320271  
Fax +60 911 5320277  
Elec. Mail: [Matthias.Fischer@wiso.uni-erlangen.de](mailto:Matthias.Fischer@wiso.uni-erlangen.de)  
<http://www.statistik.wiso.uni-erlangen.de>