

Chamberlain, Gary

**Article**

## Identification in dynamic binary choice models

SERIEs - Journal of the Spanish Economic Association

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Spanish Economic Association

*Suggested Citation:* Chamberlain, Gary (2023) : Identification in dynamic binary choice models, SERIEs - Journal of the Spanish Economic Association, ISSN 1869-4195, Springer, Heidelberg, Vol. 14, Iss. 3/4, pp. 247-251,  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s13209-023-00276-0>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/286578>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>



# Identification in dynamic binary choice models

Gary Chamberlain<sup>1</sup>

Received: 17 February 2023 / Accepted: 10 May 2023 / Published online: 18 August 2023  
© The Author(s) 2023

## Abstract

This paper studies identification in a binary choice panel data model with choice probabilities depending on a lagged outcome, additional observed regressors and an unobserved unit-specific effect. It is shown that with two consecutive periods of data identification is not possible (in a neighborhood of zero), even in the logistic case.

**Keywords** Panel data · Binary choice · Feedback · Identification

**JEL Classification** C23 · C33

## 1 Foreword from the editors

In 1993, Gary Chamberlain released Harvard Institute of Economic Research (HIER) discussion paper no. 1656, titled “Feedback in Panel Data.” The material in this paper appears to be a revision and extension of ideas that circulated at least as early as 1991, in a draft paper titled “Sequential Moment Conditions in Panel Data.” Some of the material in the earlier, 1991, paper appeared as a, now well-known, comment in the *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* (Chamberlain 1992). The “Feedback in Panel Data” paper, however, remained unpublished for many years.

Prior to his passing in 2020, Gary submitted a version of the feedback paper to the *Journal of Econometrics* in connection with a conference that was held in his honor at Harvard University (Chamberlain 2022) (Manuel Arellano was among the participants at this conference). Before its eventual publication in 2022, the “Feedback in Panel

---

Gary Chamberlain sadly passed away in 2020. The editors thank Manuel Arellano for providing a copy of Gary’s unpublished April 1993 draft “Feedback in Panel Data Models” from which the material reproduced below was drawn. Special thanks go to Laura Gehl, Gary’s daughter, for her permission to publish this material. Aside from a few small typo corrections, changes in equation numbering, and some re-working to avoid internal cross-references that make no sense outside the context of the full draft, the text from Sect. 2 onwards is as it appears in Sect. 5 of the April 1993 draft. The abstract is not Gary’s, but was written by the editors. The paper was re-typed and edited by Stéphane Bonhomme and Bryan Graham. Kevin Dano and Martin Mugnier kindly undertook additional proof-reading. Questions about this manuscript should be directed to Bryan Graham (e-mail: bryangraham@berkeley.edu).

---

<sup>1</sup> Harvard University, Cambridge, USA

Data” paper was not widely circulated and, to our knowledge, unavailable in any form online.

One version of the 1993 paper included a fifth and final section titled “Binary Response.” This material was not published as part of Chamberlain (2022). The “Binary Response” section contained two sets of results. The first, dealing with the identification of static binary choice models, can be found in Chamberlain (2010). The second part, which is reproduced below, deals with the identification of dynamic binary choice models.

Gary establishes that with two consecutive observations of a binary dependent variable, identification is not possible (in a neighborhood of zero), even in the logistic case. This result is not widely known, although it has been cited by, for example, Honoré and Kyriazidou (2000). Publishing this material makes it easily available to researchers worldwide for the first time.

Gary was a great admirer of Manuel Arellano’s research, and they engaged in many intellectual exchanges over the years. Gary would no doubt have wanted to celebrate and honor Manuel’s considerable achievements and scholarship. Including this short note in this special issue provides a way to do so.

*Stéphane Bonhomme, Bryan Graham and Laura Hospido.*

## 2 Identification in dynamic binary response

The outcome variable is binary. There are two periods of observation on each unit ( $T = 2$ ). The random vector  $(y_{i1}, y_{i2}, x'_{i1}, x'_{i2}, c_i)$  is independently and identically distributed for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We observe  $z'_i = (y_{i1}, y_{i2}, x'_{i1}, x'_{i2})$ ; the (scalar) latent variable  $c_i \in \mathcal{R}$  is not observed. The binary variable  $y_{it} = 0$  or 1, and  $x'_i = (x'_{i1}, x'_{i2})$  has support  $X \subset \mathcal{R}^J \times \mathcal{R}^J$ .

We assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(y_{i1} = 1 | x_i, c_i) &= F(\beta'_0 x_{i1} + c_i) \\ \Pr(y_{i2} = 1 | x_i, y_{i1}, c_i) &= F(\alpha_0 + \beta'_0 x_{i2} + \gamma_0 y_{i1} + c_i). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The distribution function  $F$  is given as part of the prior specification; it is strictly increasing on the whole real line with a bounded, continuous derivative, and with  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} F(s) = 1$  and  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} F(s) = 0$ . The parameter space is  $\Theta = \Theta_1 \times \Theta_2 \times \Theta_3$ , where  $\Theta_1$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\Theta_2$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{R}^J$ , and  $\Theta_3$  is an open subset of  $\mathcal{R}$ , and  $\theta'_0 \equiv (\alpha_0, \beta'_0, \gamma_0) \in \Theta$ . We assume that  $\Theta$  contains a neighborhood of 0. Define

$$p(x, c, \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} [1 - F(\beta' x_1 + c)] [1 - F(\alpha + \beta' x_2 + c)] \\ [1 - F(\beta' x_1 + c)] F(\alpha + \beta' x_2 + c) \\ F(\beta' x_1 + c) [1 - F(\alpha + \beta' x_2 + \gamma + c)] \\ F(\beta' x_1 + c) F(\alpha + \beta' x_2 + \gamma + c) \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Theorem 1** *If  $X$  is bounded, then there is a point  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \Theta_1 \times \Theta_3$  such that identification fails in (1) for all  $\theta_0$  in a neighborhood of  $(\alpha, 0, \gamma)$ .*

**Proof** Define

$$H(x, c_1, \dots, c_4, \theta) = [p(x, c_1, \theta), \dots, p(x, c_4, \theta)].$$

Suppose that for some  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \Theta_1 \times \Theta_3$  and points  $c_1, \dots, c_4 \in \mathcal{R}$ , we have  $H(x, c_1, \dots, c_4, \theta^*)$  nonsingular, where  $\theta^* = (\alpha, 0, \gamma)$ . Consider a convex combination

$$a = \sum_{j=1}^4 p(x, c_j, \theta^*)\pi_j^* = H(x, c_1, \dots, c_4, \theta^*)\pi^*,$$

where  $\pi^* = (\pi_1^*, \dots, \pi_4^*)$ ,  $\pi_j^* > 0$ , and  $\sum_{j=1}^4 \pi_j^* = 1$ . Let

$$\pi_0(x) = H(x, c_1, \dots, c_4, \theta_0)^{-1}a,$$

where  $\theta_0 \neq \theta^*$  is any point in  $\Theta$  sufficiently close to  $\theta^*$  that the inverse exists and  $\pi_{0j}(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ; there is such a neighborhood of  $\theta^*$  because  $X$  is bounded. Note that  $l'H = l'$ , where  $l$  is a  $4 \times 1$  vector of ones; hence  $l'H^{-1} = l'$  and  $l'\pi_0(x) = 1$ . Hence

$$\sum_{j=1}^4 p(x, c_j, \theta_0)\pi_{0j}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^4 p(x, c_j, \theta^*)\pi_j^*,$$

and we cannot distinguish  $\theta_0$  from  $\theta^*$ .

We conclude that for every  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \Theta_1 \times \Theta_3$  we must have  $H(x, c_1, \dots, c_4, (\alpha, 0, \gamma))$  singular for every choice of  $c_1, \dots, c_4 \in \mathcal{R}$ . Otherwise identification fails for all  $\theta_0$  in some neighborhood of  $(\alpha, 0, \gamma)$ . So there must exist scalars  $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_4$  (not all zero) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi' p(x, c, (\alpha, 0, \gamma)) &= \psi_1 [1 - F(c)][1 - F(\alpha + c)] + \psi_2 [1 - F(c)] F(\alpha + c) \\ &\quad + \psi_3 F(c) [1 - F(\alpha + \gamma + c)] + \psi_4 F(c) F(\alpha + \gamma + c) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $c \in \mathcal{R}$ . Letting  $c \rightarrow \infty$  gives  $\psi_4 = 0$ . Letting  $c \rightarrow -\infty$  gives  $\psi_1 = 0$ . Hence we have

$$\psi_2 [1 - F(c)] F(\alpha + c) + \psi_3 F(c) [1 - F(\alpha + \gamma + c)] = 0$$

for all  $(\alpha, \gamma) \in \Theta_1 \times \Theta_3$  and all  $c \in \mathcal{R}$ .

Set  $\gamma = 0$ . Letting  $Q \equiv F/(1 - F)$ , we must have

$$\psi_2 Q(\alpha + c) + \psi_3 Q(c) = 0;$$

setting  $c = 0$  gives  $\psi_3/\psi_2 = -Q(\alpha)/Q(0)$ , and so

$$Q(\alpha + c) = Q(\alpha)Q(c)/Q(0)$$

for all  $\alpha \in \Theta_1$  and all  $c \in \mathcal{R}$ . The only positive, continuously differentiable solution to this form of Cauchy's equation is

$$Q(s) = \exp(\phi_1 + \phi_2 s);$$

then, from  $F = Q/(1 + Q)$  it follows

$$F(s) = \exp(\phi_1 + \phi_2 s) / [1 + \exp(\phi_1 + \phi_2 s)].$$

Now set  $\alpha = 0$ . Then, with  $G = 1 - F$ , we have

$$\psi_2 G(c) + \psi_3 G(\gamma + c) = 0;$$

setting  $c = 0$  gives  $\psi_2/\psi_3 = -G(\gamma)/G(0)$ , and so

$$G(\gamma + c) = G(\gamma)G(c)/G(0)$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Theta_3$  and all  $c \in \mathcal{R}$ . The only positive, continuously differentiable solution to this form of Cauchy's equation is

$$G(s) = \exp(\tau_1 + \tau_2 s),$$

which implies that

$$F(s) = 1 - \exp(\tau_1 + \tau_2 s).$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\tau_1 + \tau_2 s) &= 1 - F(s) = [1 + \exp(\phi_1 + \phi_2 s)]^{-1}, \\ \tau_1 + \tau_2 s &= -\log [1 + \exp(\phi_1 + \phi_2 s)], \end{aligned}$$

and taking the derivative with respect to  $s$  gives

$$\tau_2 = -\phi_2 F(s).$$

But this is a contradiction, since  $F$  is strictly increasing. So it is not possible to maintain the singularity condition on  $H$ , and identification fails for all  $\theta_0$  in some neighborhood of  $(\alpha, 0, \gamma)$ . Q.E.D. □

**Data availability** There are no data or codes in this paper.

## Declarations

**Conflict of interest** Not applicable.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

- Chamberlain G (1992) Comment: sequential moment restrictions in panel data. *J Bus Econ Stat* 10(2):20–26
- Chamberlain G (2010) Binary response models for panel data: identification and information. *Econometrica* 78(1):159–168
- Chamberlain G (2022) Feedback in panel data models. *J Econom* 226(1):4–20
- Honoré BE, Kyriazidou E (2000) Panel data discrete choice models with lagged dependent variables. *Econometrica* 68(4):839–874

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.