

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Fanta, Nicolas; Horváth, Roman

Working Paper Artificial intelligence and central bank communication: The case of the ECB

IES Working Paper, No. 29/2023

Provided in Cooperation with: Charles University, Institute of Economic Studies (IES)

Suggested Citation: Fanta, Nicolas; Horváth, Roman (2023) : Artificial intelligence and central bank communication: The case of the ECB, IES Working Paper, No. 29/2023, Charles University in Prague, Institute of Economic Studies (IES), Prague

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/286358

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND CENTRAL BANK COMMUNICATION: THE CASE OF THE ECB

p)

Nicolas Fanta Roman Horvath

IES Working Paper 29/2023

Institute of Economic Studies,
Faculty of Social Sciences,
Charles University in Prague
[UK FSV – IES]
Opletalova 26
CZ-110 00, Prague
E-mail : ies@fsv.cuni.cz
http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz
Institut ekonomických studií
Fakulta sociálních věd
Univerzita Karlova v Praze
Opletalova 26
110 00 Praha 1

E-mail : ies@fsv.cuni.cz http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz

Disclaimer: The IES Working Papers is an online paper series for works by the faculty and students of the Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic. The papers are peer reviewed. The views expressed in documents served by this site do not reflect the views of the IES or any other Charles University Department. They are the sole property of the respective authors. Additional info at: <u>ies@fsv.cuni.cz</u>

Copyright Notice: Although all documents published by the IES are provided without charge, they are licensed for personal, academic or educational use. All rights are reserved by the authors.

Citations: All references to documents served by this site must be appropriately cited.

Bibliographic information:

Fanta N., Horvath R. (2023): " Artificial Intelligence and Central Bank Communication: The Case of the ECB " IES Working Papers 29/2023. IES FSV. Charles University.

This paper can be downloaded at: <u>http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz</u>

Artificial Intelligence and Central Bank Communication: The Case of the ECB

Nicolas Fanta^a Roman Horvath^{a*}

^aInstitute of Economic Studies, Charles University, Prague *Corresponding author: roman.horvath@fsv.cuni.cz

September 2023

Abstract:

We examine whether artificial intelligence (AI) can decipher European Central Bank's communication. Employing 1769 inter-meeting verbal communication events of the European Central Bank's Governing Council members, we construct an AI-based indicator evaluating whether communication is leaning towards easing, tightening or maintaining the monetary policy stance. We find that our AI-based indicator replicates well similar indicators based on human expert judgment but at much higher speed and at much lower costs. Using our AI-based indicator and a number of robustness checks, our regression results show that ECB communication matters for the future monetary policy even after controlling for financial market expectations and lagged monetary policy decisions.

JEL: E52, E58

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, central bank communication, monetary policy

Acknowledgement: We thank seminar participants at Charles University and Pavel Gertler for their helpful discussions. We acknowledge support from the Czech Science Foundation No. 20-17044S.

1 Introduction

In this short paper, we employ artificial intelligence (AI) to study the European Central Bank (ECB) communication. We are interested in the performance of the AI methods (ChatGPT 3.5 and ChatGPT 4¹), i.e., whether they can compete with the commonly used human assessment of central bank communication.² For this reason, we use the rich dataset of 1769 communication events of the ECB's Governing Council members in 2008–2016 from Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020). Using this dataset, we construct the indicator evaluating the content of communication events, more specifically, whether the ECB communication is leaning towards tightening, easing or maintaining the monetary policy stance. We compare our AI-based indicator with the one developed by Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020). Several other articles evaluate the ECB communication and find that the communication is informative and explains future monetary policy (Hayo and Neuenkirch, 2010; Pesci, 2016; Tobback et al., 2017; Picault and Renault, 2017; Sturm and de Haan, 2011; Bennani and Neuenkirch, 2017; Baranowski et al., 2023). We differ from this body of literature in two major aspects: 1) we use the AI and 2) we employ the ECB verbal communication between monetary policy meetings.³.

We find that our AI-based indicator replicates well the human-based indicator by Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020). Estimating various ordered probit regressions, we find that our AI-based communication indicator is informative for future monetary policy, one, two and three meetings ahead. This result holds even if we control for financial market expectations and lagged monetary policy decisions. The advantage of our indicator lies in the ease of using it. While the previous approaches typically hinged upon time-consuming human assessment of central bank communication events, the AI-based approach is fast and therefore less expensive.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents data. Section 3 provides regression results. Conclusions are available in Section 4. Appendix with additional regression results follows.

2 Data

We use the dataset from Gertler and Horvath (2018) and updated by Bennani et al. (2020). The dataset contains 1769 communication events of ECB's Governing Council member in 2008M06

¹Chat Generative Pre-trained Transformer - GPT, a large language model based chatbot developed by OpenAI. ²See Dowling and Lucey (2023) and Zaremba and Demir (2023) on the potential of how AI can be used by finance researchers.

³The literature typically focuses on communication released in and around the monetary policy. This is also the case for (Hansen and Kazinnik, 2023), who use artificial intelligence chatbot to examine the statements following the monetary policy meeting of the US Federal Reserve to determine the monetary policy stance and to identify monetary policy shocks. Hansen and Kazinnik (2023) show that GPT models outperform some state-ofthe-art natural language processing models in terms of the deciphering the central bank communication and are on par with human assessment. Alternatively, the literature examines the informativeness of the voting record for future monetary policy (Riboni and Ruge-Murcia, 2014; Horvath et al., 2012) but given the consensual nature of actual decision-making in the ECB, the voting records are not available. Closely related is also an empirical literature using the textual analysis of ECB-related documents (Tobback et al., 2017; Fraccaroli et al., 2023)

- 2016M05 that appeared Reuters News. The dataset contains statements on (conventional and unconventional) monetary policy and has a forward-looking character. Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020) classify the policy statements in three categories: monetary tightening, *status quo* and easing. The categories are assigned the values of 1, 0, -1, respectively. The details regarding the dataset are available in Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020).

We use the same approach for the AI assessment of the tone of the communication as in Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020). but using the models GPT4 and GPT 3.5 Turbo. Generally, in terms of performance, the model GPT 4 is superior to GPT 3.5 Turbo, however it comes with higher costs and lower speed. In addition to that our analysis has been carried out using zero and single shot approaches. While the former means that the AI was not given any prior examples and the latter means that one example was provided in the prompt. To be more specific, the single shot prompts always included one example for each possible statement (easing, tightening and *status quo*).

As we will see adding more instructions/examples into the prompt does not necessarily have to lead to a better efficiency. The reason for that could be that the language model can be given an example that may be too restrictive which would lead to an incorrect assessment of future statements. For this reason the analysis contains both single and zero shot prompts. The prompts and examples of the classification of selected statements can be found in the Appendix.

Next, using the AI we construct the variable $comm_{\tau}$ and compare its evolution with the $comm_{\tau}$ variable based on human assessment in Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020). We construct $comm_{\tau}$ as follows. The individual communication event, i, in the inter-meeting period, τ , can yield the values g_i , where $g_i \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Note that τ denotes a time period between the monetary policy meetings in time t and t+1. We denote that the value of communication event g_i belongs to time period τ as $g_{i,\tau}$. Consequently, $comm_{i,\tau}$ is defined as

$$comm_{i,\tau} = average(g_{i,\tau}) \tag{1}$$

Therefore, our approach groups the individual communication events into 89 intermeeting intervals. As a robustness check, we consider a subset of our dataset excluding the period characterized by the zero lower bound (i.e., excluding the data from 2014M1 to 2016M5), for which the efficacy of monetary policy can be limited (Swanson and Williams, 2014).⁴

First, we visually compare the performance of our AI-based $comm_{i,\tau}$ using the scatter plots. We denote our indicator as $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$ and the one by Gertler and Horvath (2018) and Bennani et al. (2020) as $comm_{human,i,\tau}$. The Figures 1-4 present the development of actual change in the the ECB's monetary policy rate with $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$ and $comm_{human,i,\tau}$. We present four different versions of $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$ depending on whether we use ChatGPT 3.5., ChatGPT4 both zero and single shots. We observe that $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$ and $comm_{human,i,\tau}$ are closely related

⁴Note that this robustness checks also addresses the potential effect of the change in the ECB's monetary policy voting scheme implemented in 2015.

suggesting that AI can decipher the central bank communication as precisely as human expert judgment.



Figure 1: ECB's communication and changes to monetary policy (encoded via zeroshot Chat-GPT4)

Notes: The figure presents the ECB's verbal communication indicators variables encoded manually and via AI and future monetary policy rate change Δi_{t+1} .





Notes: The figure presents the ECB's verbal communication indicators variables encoded manually and via AI and future monetary policy rate change Δi_{t+1} .

Figure 3: ECB's communication and changes to monetary policy (encoded via zeroshot Chat-GPT 3.5 Turbo)



Notes: The figure presents the ECB's verbal communication indicator variables encoded manually and via AI and future monetary policy rate change Δi_{t+1} .





Notes: The figure presents the ECB's verbal communication indicator variables encoded manually and via AI and future monetary policy rate change Δi_{t+1} .

3 ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy: Ordered Probit Estimations

We evaluate the performance of our AI-based indicator, $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$, in a well-established econometric framework Gerlach-Kristen (2004); Horvath et al. (2012); Horvath and Jonasova (2015). We examine whether our indicator, $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$, helps explain the future course of monetary policy controlling for the past monetary policy decisions and financial market expectations. The model is estimated using the ordered probit to appreciate the censored nature of the dependent variable.

We estimate the following regression model:

$$\Delta i_{t+1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \Delta i_t + \beta_2 comm_{AI,i,\tau} + \beta_3 (i_{t,L} - i_{t,S}) + u_{t+1} \tag{2}$$

 Δi_t denotes the change in the main refinancing operation (MRO) rate at time t and $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$ captures our AI-based communication indicator based on information between monetary policy meeting in t and t + 1. In line with previous literature (Gerlach-Kristen, 2004; Horvath et al., 2012; Horvath and Jonasova, 2015), we control for financial market expectation by including the slope of the money market curve. We use the Euribor 1-, 3- and 12-month market rates to determine the difference in $i_{t,L}$ and $i_{t,S}$, i.e. a money market rate with a longer and shorter maturity one day before the monetary policy meeting.⁵

Our baseline regressions are based on a full sample (2008–2016). To address the potential effect of zero lower bound (Swanson and Williams, 2014), we estimate the regressions using a restricted sample (2008–2014). These alternative regressions are presented in Appendix.

To provide a direct comparison of the performance of our AI-based indicator, $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$, vis-a-vis the indicator based on human expert judgment, $comm_{human,i,\tau}$, our regression tables contain the regressions with $comm_{AI,i,\tau}$ in the odd columns, while the regressions with $comm_{human,i,\tau}$ are located in even columns.

Tables 1-4 present our main regression results. Table 1 show the regression results with our AI-based communication indicator generated using the zero shot GPT3.5. The results show that the AI-based communication indicator $(comm_{AI,i,\tau})$ is positive and statistically significant in all regressions irrespective the way we control for financial market expectations. Therefore, the results suggest that the words of ECB representatives are matched with the deeds (i.e., monetary policy changes); the communication towards tightening of the monetary policy is followed by the policy hike. Interestingly, we observe this result despite our sample includes a period when the rates hit the zero lower bound and the ECB implemented a number of unconventional monetary policy measures such as the forward guidance. In addition, the result remain largely unchanged when we use single shot GPT3.5 model (Table 2), zero shot GPT4 model (Table 3) or single shot GPT4 model (Table 4). We re-estimate the regression results presented in Tables Tables 1-4 using a restricted sample up to 2014 to avoid the issues linked to zero lower bound. These results are available in Tables A2-A5 in the Appendix. Again, the regression results remain largely unchanged suggesting the potential of our AI-based communication indicator.

The human expert judgment index is also statistically significant suggesting that we can use both indexes, either AI-based or human-based. Overall, the results are in line with previous evidence suggesting that transparent and consistent communication improves the monetary policy predictability (Gerlach-Kristen, 2004; Horvath et al., 2012; Horvath and Jonasova, 2015).

4 Concluding Remarks

In this short paper, we examine the ability of AI to replace the human expert judgment in analyzing the complicated language of central bank communication. We use the ECB intermeeting ad-hoc communication (verbal communication such as speeches, interviews, statements) in 2008–2016, construct the indicator evaluating the tone of communication using GPT3.5 and GPT4 and assess the performance of the indicator in the ordered probit regressions.

Our results suggest that our AI-based communication indicator helps explain the ECB monetary policy changes even after controlling for past monetary policy decisions and financial

⁵See Bennani et al. (2020) on why we prefer Euribor to EONIA.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t	0.42	0.65^{**}	0.33	0.65^{**}	0.43	0.59^{**}	0.39	0.33
	(0.28)	(0.27)	(0.29)	(0.27)	(0.28)	(0.27)	(0.30)	(0.30)
$comm_{AI,i, au}$	4.35^{***}		3.87^{***}		4.12^{***}		4.38^{***}	
~	(1.12)		(1.11)		(1.12)		(1.13)	
$comm_{human.i. au}$		2.02^{***}		2.03^{***}		2.57^{***}		2.57^{***}
		(0.53)		(0.61)		(0.68)		(0.60)
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,3}$			1.93^{*}	-0.03				
			(1.17)	(1.39)				
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$					0.72	-1.47		
~					(0.76)	(1.03)		
$i_{t,3} - i_{t,1}$							-0.44	-4.04^{**}
~							(1.47)	(1.75)
Log-Likelihood	-40.82	-41.26	-39.41	-41.26	-40.37	-40.19	-40.78	-38.41
No. observations	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Pseudo R^2	0.29	0.28	0.32	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.29	0.33

Table 1: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Zero Shot GPT3.5

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t	0.30	0.65^{**}	0.26	0.65^{**}	0.32	0.59^{**}	0.18	0.33
	(0.28)	(0.27)	(0.29)	(0.27)	(0.29)	(0.27)	(0.31)	(0.30)
$comm_{AI,i, au}$	3.89^{***}		3.34^{***}		3.73^{***}		4.17^{***}	
~ ~	(1.01)		(1.03)		(1.07)		(1.06)	
$comm_{human,i, au}$		2.02^{***}		2.03^{***}		2.57^{***}		2.57^{***}
		(0.53)		(0.61)		(0.68)		(0.60)
$i_{t,12}-i_{t,3}$			1.63	-0.03				
			(1.15)	(1.39)				
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$					0.31	-1.47		
~					(0.74)	(1.03)		
$i_{t,3} - i_{t,1}$							-1.47	-4.04^{**}
~							(1.45)	(1.75)
Log-Likelihood	-43.16	-41.26	-42.13	-41.26	-43.07	-40.19	-42.64	-38.41
No. observations	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Pseudo R^2	0.25	0.28	0.27	0.28	0.25	0.30	0.26	0.33

Table 2: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Single Shot GPT3.5

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t	0.81^{***}	0.65^{**}	0.78^{***}	0.65^{**}	0.81^{***}	0.59^{**}	0.59^{**}	0.33
	(0.28)	(0.27)	(0.28)	(0.27)	(0.28)	(0.27)	(0.30)	(0.30)
$comm_{AI,i, au}$	2.07^{***}		1.92^{***}		2.43^{***}		2.82^{***}	
	(0.56)		(0.61)		(0.70)		(0.69)	
$comm_{human,i, au}$		2.02^{***}		2.03^{***}		2.57^{***}		2.57^{***}
		(0.53)		(0.61)		(0.68)		(0.60)
$i_{t,12}-i_{t,3}$			0.69	-0.03				
			(1.28)	(1.39)				
$i_{t,12}-i_{t,1}$					-0.88	-1.47		
~					(0.93)	(1.03)		

Table 3: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Zero Shot GPT4

.01
!d ***
i.05,
2 * *
i.1,
<u>ب</u> *
parentheses.
errors in
standard
Robust
Notes:

 -4.04^{**} (1.75) -38.41

 -3.95^{**} (1.72) -40.00890.31

-40.19

 $89 \\ 0.33$

 $89 \\ 0.30$

-42.37 89 0.27

-41.26 89 0.28

-42.68 89 0.26

-41.2689 0.28

 $\begin{array}{c} 89\\ 0.26\end{array}$

No. observations Pseudo R^2

-42.83

Log-Likelihood

 $\dot{i}_{t,3}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t	0.77^{***}	0.65^{**}	0.72^{***}	0.65^{**}	0.77^{***}	0.59^{**}	0.58^{**}	0.33
	(0.27)	(0.27)	(0.27)	(0.27)	(0.27)	(0.27)	(0.29)	(0.30)
$comm_{AI,i, au}$	1.76^{***}		1.54^{***}		1.95^{***}		2.38^{***}	
~	(0.51)		(0.57)		(0.62)		(0.62)	
$comm_{human,i, au}$		2.02^{***}		2.03^{***}		2.57^{***}		2.57^{***}
		(0.53)		(0.61)		(0.68)		(0.60)
$i_{t,12}-i_{t,3}$			1.09	-0.03				
			(1.24)	(1.39)				
$i_{t,12}-i_{t,1}$					-0.48	-1.47		
х х					(0.86)	(1.03)		
$\dot{i}_{t,3}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$							-3.36^{**}	-4.04**
~							(1.60)	(1.75)
Log-Likelihood	-44.91	-41.26	-44.51	-41.26	-44.76	-40.19	-42.64	-38.41
No. observations	89	89	89	89	89	89	89	89
Pseudo R^2	0.22	0.28	0.23	0.28	0.22	0.30	0.26	0.33

Table 4: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Single Shot GPT4

market expectations. This result holds regardless whether we assess the communication using GPT3.5 or GPT4 models, both with zero shot or single shot version. In addition, when we estimate the regressions excluding the period characterized by the zero lower bound, the results still remain largely unchanged.

Consequently, our article shows that the significance of AI-based communication indicator is on par with the previously used human expert judgment communication indicators (Gertler and Horvath, 2018; Bennani et al., 2020). Overall, our result provide evidence that transparent and consistent central bank communication helps understand the future course of monetary policy.

References

- Baranowski, P., H. Bennani, and W. Doryn (2023). Stock price reaction to ecb communication: Introductory statements vs. questions & answers. *Finance Research Letters 52*, 103528.
- Bennani, H., N. Fanta, P. Gertler, and R. Horvath (2020). Does central bank communication signal future monetary policy in a (post)-crisis era? the case of the ecb. Journal of International Money and Finance 104, 102167.
- Bennani, H. and M. Neuenkirch (2017). The (home) bias of european central bankers: new evidence based on speeches. Applied Economics 49(11), 1114–1131.
- Dowling, M. and B. Lucey (2023). Chatgpt for (finance) research: The bananarama conjecture. Finance Research Letters 53, 103662.
- Ehrmann, M. and M. Fratzscher (2007). Communication by Central Bank Committee Members: Different Strategies, Same Effectiveness? Journal of Money, Credit and Banking 39(2-3), 509–541.
- Fraccaroli, N., A. Giovannini, J.-F. Jamet, and E. Persson (2023). Central Banks in Parliaments: A Text Analysis of the Parliamentary Hearings of the Bank of England, the European Central Bank, and the Federal Reserve. *International Journal of Central Banking* 19(2), 543–600.
- Gerlach-Kristen, P. (2004). Is the MPC's voting record informative about future UK monetary policy? *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 106(2), 299–313.
- Gertler, P. and R. Horvath (2018). Central Bank Communication and Financial Markets: New High-Frequency Evidence. *Journal of Financial Stability* 36, 336–345.
- Hansen, A. and S. Kazinnik (2023). Can chatgpt decipher fedspeak? Technical report, SSRN Working Paper Series, 4399406.
- Hayo, B. and M. Neuenkirch (2010). Do Federal Reserve communications help predict federal funds target rate decisions? *Journal of Macroeconomics* 32(4), 1014–1024.
- Horvath, R. and J. Jonasova (2015). Central banks' voting records, the financial crisis and future monetary policy. *European Journal of Political Economy* 38(C), 229–243.
- Horvath, R., K. Smidkova, and J. Zapal (2012). Central banks' voting records and future policy. International Journal of Central Banking 8(4), 1–19.
- Jung, A. (2016). Have minutes helped to predict fed funds rate changes? Journal of Macroeconomics 49, 18 – 32.
- Pesci, F. (2016). Measuring the ecb's monetary policy stance: A 'media-based' automated approach. Technical report, UniCredit & Universities Working Paper Series, No. 78.

- Picault, M. and T. Renault (2017). Words are not all created equal: A new measure of ecb communication. *Journal of International Money and Finance* 79, 136 156.
- Riboni, A. and F. J. Ruge-Murcia (2014). Dissent in monetary policy decisions. Journal of Monetary Economics 66, 137–154.
- Sturm, J.-E. and J. de Haan (2011). Does central bank communication really lead to better forecasts of policy decisions? new evidence based on a taylor rule model for the ecb. *Review of World Economics* 147(1), 41–58.
- Swanson, E. T. and J. C. Williams (2014). Measuring the effect of the zero lower bound on medium- and longer-term interest rates. *American Economic Review* 104(10), 3154–85.
- Tobback, E., S. Nardelli, and D. Martens (2017). Between hawks and doves: measuring central bank communication. Technical report, ECB Working Paper Series, No.2085.
- Zaremba, A. and E. Demir (2023). Chatgpt: Unlocking the future of nlp in finance. Technical report, SSRN WP 4323643.

Appendix

Prompts Summary

Zero shot prompt used for the statements coding

You are given central bank communication. Your task is classify each communication event into three categories depending on whether the communication event implies monetary tightening, easing or status quo.

A value of 1 is assigned to hawkish communication. A value of -1 is assigned to dovish communication. A value of 0 is assigned to neutral communication.

Now classify the following statements. Do not give any justification, just return "Response {response number}:" and 1,-1 or 0 for each statement. Before submitting always check that for each statement you report only 1,-1 or 0.

Statements to classify:

Single shot prompt used for the statements coding

- You are given central bank communication. Your task is classify each communication event into three categories depending on whether the communication event implies monetary tightening, easing or status quo.
- A value of 1 is assigned to hawkish communication. A value of -1 is assigned to dovish communication. A value of 0 is assigned to neutral communication.

To give you a clearer view, we provide 3 example statements.

Example dovish statement

Mario Draghi (24/9/2014 at 8:36): Monetary policy will remain accommodative for a long time and I can tell you that the (ECB) Governing Council is unanimous in committing itself to using the tools at its disposal to bring inflation back to just under two percent. Example neutral statement

Ewald Nowotny (25/9/2012 at 13:09): I do not see the need for a change in interest rates currently in the euro zone. In terms of the deposit facility, negative rates would indeed be theoretically possible but in practical terms I would not consider such a view to be either desirable or realistic.

Example hawkish statement

- Jurgen Stark (10/6/2011 at 15:25): The ECB is likely to raise rates to 1.5 percent next month. We signalled yesterday the preparedness to take another step, maybe in July. That is a high probability. Source: Reuters Insider/TV
- Now classify the following statements. Do not give any justification, just return "Response {response number}:" and 1,-1 or 0 for each statement. Before submitting always check that for each statement you report only 1,-1 or 0.

Sample Statements Classification Examples

Date	GC Member	Statement	Human Classifi- cation	AI Classification
2008-03-04	Orphanides	We expect some slowdown in the economy compared to the	-1	-1
2008-03-07	Weber	growth rate of last year. There seems to be some underestimation of inflation risks in the market. We made clear by publishing our staff forecast that we do see per-	1	1
		sistent and prolonged infla- tion risks," he said. "For me, the way forward (for mone- tary policy) is pretty clear. Weber said the euro zone		
		growth slowdown that started in the last three months of 2007 seemed to be continuing but economic activity should start to return to its long-		
2008-03-11	Constancio	term trend in the second half of this year. So far, we have analysed the	0	0
		risks of the financial turbu- lence and interest rates have been considered as being ad- equate to control the risk of inflation at this moment. We		
2008-03-17	Liebscher	Will see in coming months. We have lowered our fore- cast, but we still see moder- ating, but ongoing economic growth.	0	-1
2008-03-18	Stark	The fact that inflation is that high and is expected to re- main at an elevated level for a couple of months is our major concern. "The ma- jor risk to economic growth is coming from the potential impact of the financial mar- ket turmoil, particularly via a further tightening of credit standards. But we also have to take into account other risks, including the sharp in- crease in commodity prices that might have a dampen- ing effect. European growth, while projected to be lower this year than in 2008, is still robust.	0	

Table A1:	Prompts	Classification	Example

Regression results: Restricted Sample (2008 - 2014)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t	0.17	0.44	0.04	0.36	0.19	0.45	0.09	0.37
	(0.31)	(0.29)	(0.32)	(0.30)	(0.31)	(0.29)	(0.33)	(0.31)
$comm_{AI,i, au}$	4.69^{***}		3.85^{***}		4.29^{***}		4.82^{***}	
~	(1.24)		(1.28)		(1.31)		(1.25)	
$comm_{human,i, au}$		2.49^{***}		2.16^{***}		2.39^{***}		2.53^{***}
~		(0.64)		(0.69)		(0.68)		(0.64)
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,3}$			2.71^{*}	1.81				
			(1.46)	(1.56)				
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$					0.96	0.48		
~					(1.01)	(1.12)		
$i_{t,3}-i_{t,1}$							-1.17	-1.14
							(1.76)	(1.75)
Log-Likelihood	-34.86	-32.85	-33.09	-32.16	-34.41	-32.75	-34.64	-32.63
No. observations	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
Pseudo R^2	0.29	0.33	0.33	0.34	0.30	0.33	0.29	0.33

Table A2: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Zero Shot GPT3.5, Restricted Sample

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses. * pi.1, ** pi.05, ***pi.01.

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t	0.43	0.44	0.23	0.36	0.42	0.45	0.47	0.37
	(0.29)	(0.29)	(0.31)	(0.30)	(0.29)	(0.29)	(0.30)	(0.31)
$comm_{AI,i, au}$	3.92^{***}		3.26^{***}		3.72^{***}		4.00^{***}	
~	(1.11)		(1.12)		(1.16)		(1.15)	
$comm_{human.i. au}$		2.49^{***}		2.16^{***}		2.39^{***}		2.53^{***}
~ ~		(0.64)		(0.69)		(0.68)		(0.64)
$i_{t,12} - i_{t,3}$			3.07^{**}	1.81				
~			(1.43)	(1.56)				
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$					1.77*	0.48		
×					(1.00)	(1.12)		
$i_{t,3} - i_{t,1}$							0.79	-1.14
							(1.71)	(1.75)
Log-Likelihood	-35.57	-32.85	-33.13	-32.16	-33.93	-32.75	-35.46	-32.63
No. observations	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
Pseudo R^2	0.27	0.33	0.32	0.34	0.31	0.33	0.28	0.33

Table A3: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Single Shot GPT3.5, Restricted Sample

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t	0.67^{**}	0.44	0.51^{*}	0.36	0.66^{**}	0.45	0.61^{**}	0.37
	(0.29)	(0.29)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.29)	(0.29)	(0.30)	(0.31)
$comm_{AI,i, au}$	2.35^{***}		1.91^{***}		2.12^{***}		2.46^{***}	
~	(0.65)		(0.68)		(0.69)		(0.68)	
$comm_{human,i, au}$		2.49^{***}		2.16^{***}		2.39^{***}		2.53^{***}
~		(0.64)		(0.69)		(0.68)		(0.64)
$i_{t,12} - i_{t,3}$			2.62^{*}	1.81				
~			(1.47)	(1.56)				
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$					0.92	0.48		
					(1.05)	(1.12)		
$\dot{i}_{t,3}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$							-1.23	-1.14
							(1.68)	(1.75)
Log-Likelihood	-35.46	-32.85	-33.80	-32.16	-35.07	-32.75	-35.19	-32.63
No. observations	68	68	68	68	68	68	68	68
Pseudo R^2	0.28	0.33	0.31	0.34	0.29	0.33	0.28	0.33
		. .						

Table A4: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Zero Shot GPT4, Restricted Sample

Notes: Robust standard errors in parentheses. * pi.1, ** pi.05, ***pi.01.

	((7)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Δi_t 0.0	87**	0.44	0.48	0.36	0.65^{**}	0.45	0.61^{**}	0.37
(0)	.28)	(0.29)	(0.30)	(0.30)	(0.28)	(0.29)	(0.29)	(0.31)
$comm_{AI,i, au} = 2.1$	15^{***}		1.76^{***}		1.92^{***}		2.24^{***}	
(0)	.63)		(0.66)		(0.66)		(0.64)	
$comm_{human,i, au}$		2.49^{***}		2.16^{***}		2.39^{***}		2.53^{***}
~		(0.64)		(0.69)		(0.68)		(0.64)
$i_{t,12} - i_{t,3}$			2.94^{**}	1.81				
			(1.42)	(1.56)				
$\dot{i}_{t,12}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$					1.14	0.48		
×					(1.01)	(1.12)		
$\dot{i}_{t,3}-\dot{i}_{t,1}$							-1.07	-1.14
×							(1.63)	(1.75)
Log-Likelihood -3	6.65	-32.85	-34.41	-32.16	-36.00	-32.75	-36.44	-32.63
No. observations 68		68	68	68	68	68	68	68
Pseudo R^2 0.5	25	0.33	0.30	0.34	0.27	0.33	0.26	0.33

Table A5: ECB Communication and Future Monetary Policy - Single Shot GPT4, Restricted Sample

IES Working Paper Series

2023

- 1. Josef Bajzik, Tomáš Havránek, Zuzana Iršová, Jiří Novák: Are Estimates of the Impact of Shareholder Activism Published Selectively?
- 2. Klára Kantová: Ex-Prisoners and the Labour Market in the Czech Republic
- *3.* Theodor Petřík, Martin Plajner: *Concurrent Business and Distribution Strategy Planning Using Bayesian Networks*
- 4. Tijmen Tuinsma, Kristof De Witte, Petr Janský, Miroslav Palanský, Vitezslav Titld: *Effects of Corporate Transparency on Tax Avoidance: Evidence from Country-by-Country Reporting*
- 5. Zuzana Irsova, Pedro R. D. Bom, Tomas Havranek, Heiko Rachinger: Spurious Precision in Meta-Analysis
- 6. Vojtěch Mišák: Does Heat Cause Homicides? A Meta-Analysis
- 7. Fan Yang: The Impact of Regulatory Change on Hedge Fund Performance
- 8. Boris Fisera: *Distributional Effects of Exchange Rate Depreciations: Beggar-Thy-Neighbour or Beggar-Thyself?*
- 9. Salim Turdaliev: Powering Up Cleaner Choices: A Study on the Heterogenous Effects of Social Norm-Based Electricity Pricing on Dirty Fuel Purchases
- 10. Kseniya Bortnikova: Beauty and Productivity in Academic Publishing
- 11. Vladimír Benáček, Pavol Frič: *Ossified Democracy as an Economic Problem and Policies for Reclaiming its Performance*
- 12. Petr Janský, Miroslav Palanský, Jiří Skuhrovec: *Public Procurement and Tax Havens*
- 13. Katarzyna Bilicka, Evgeniya Dubinina, Petr Janský: *Fiscal Consequences of Corporate Tax Avoidance*
- 14. Evžen Kočenda, Shivendra Rai: *Drivers of Private Equity Activity across Europe: An East-West Comparison*
- 15. Adam Geršl, Barbara Livorová: *Does Monetary Policy Reinforce the Effects of Macroprudential Policy*
- *16.* Tersoo David Iorngurum: *Method versus cross-country heterogeneity in the exchange rate pass-through*
- 17. T. D. Stanley, Hristos Doucouliagos, Tomas Havranek: *Meta-Analyses of Partial Correlations Are Biased: Detection and Solutions*
- 18. Samuel Fiifi Eshun, Evžen Kočenda: *Determinants of Financial Inclusion in Africa and OECD Countries*
- 19. Matej Opatrny, Tomas Havranek, Zuzana Irsova, Milan Scasny: *Publication Bias and Model Uncertainty in Measuring the Effect of Class Size on Achievement*
- 20. Soňa Sivá: Effects of Government Interventions on Bank Performance
- 21. Oleg Alekseev, Karel Janda, Mathieu Petit, David Zilberman: *Impact of Raw Material Price Volatility on Returns in Electric Vehicles Supply Chain*

- 22. Karel Janda, Barbora Schererova, Jan Sila, David Zilberman: Graph Theory Approach to Prices Transmission in the Network of Commonly Used Liquid Fuels
- 23. Yermone Sargsyan, Salim Turdaliev, Silvester van Koten: The Heterogeneous Effects of Social Cues on Day Time and Night Time Electricity Usage, and Appliance Purchase: Evidence from a Field Experiment in Armenia
- 24. Jan Sila, Evzen Kocenda, Ladislav Kristoufek, Jiri Kukacka: Good vs. Bad Volatility in Major Cryptocurrencies: The Dichotomy and Drivers of Connectedness
- 25. Zuzana Irsova, Hristos Doucouliagos, Tomas Havranek, T. D. Stanley: Meta-Analysis of Social Science Research: A Practitioner's Guide
- 26. Diana Kmetkova, Milan Scasny, Iva Zverinova, Vojtech Maca: Exploring the Link Between Diet and Sustainability in Europe: A Focus on Meat and Fish Consumption
- 27. Fisnik Bajrami: The Impact of Dollarisation on Economic Growth, Investment, and Trade
- 28. Miroslav Svoboda, Michael Fanta, Jan Mošovský: Effectiveness of Car Scrappage Schemes: Comparative Analysis of European Countries
- 29. Nicolas Fanta, Roman Horvath: Artificial Intelligence and Central Bank *Communication: The Case of the ECB*

All papers can be downloaded at: <u>http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz</u>.



Univerzita Karlova v Praze, Fakulta sociálních věd Institut ekonomických studií [UK FSV - IES] Praha 1, Opletalova 26 E-mail : ies@fsv.cuni.cz

http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz