

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Schedelik, Michael; Nölke, Andreas; May, Christian; Gomes, Alexandre

Article

Dependency revisited: commodities, commodity-related capital flows and growth models in emerging economies

European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention (EJEEP)

Provided in Cooperation with: Edward Elgar Publishing

Suggested Citation: Schedelik, Michael; Nölke, Andreas; May, Christian; Gomes, Alexandre (2023) : Dependency revisited: commodities, commodity-related capital flows and growth models in emerging economies, European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention (EJEEP), ISSN 2052-7772, Edward Elgar Publishing, Cheltenham, Vol. 20, Iss. 3, pp. 515-538, https://doi.org/10.4337/ejeep.2023.0107

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/284346

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Research Article

European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention, Vol. 20 No. 3, 2023, pp. 515–538 First published online: July 2023; doi: 10.4337/ejeep.2023.0107

Dependency revisited: commodities, commodity-related capital flows and growth models in emerging economies

Michael Schedelik,* Andreas Nölke and Christian May Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany

Alexandre Gomes Anglia Ruskin University, East Anglia, UK

The growth model perspective has provided avenues for bridging comparative and international political economy, mainly regarding the Global Financial Crisis and developments within the eurozone. This article aims to contribute to this endeavor by highlighting the joint effects of capital flows and commodity price swings on growth models in emerging capitalist economies. While the literature on dependent financialization has primarily focused on debt-led growth in the Global South, we spell out the negative implications of commodity-based export-led growth. To this end, we first present a stylized depiction of commodity dependence and provide descriptive statistical evidence of its global prevalence. Subsequently, we trace the co-movement of capital flows to emerging economies and commodity based growth, financial volatility, and the vulnerability to global boom-bust cycles. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the conventional method for establishing growth models by calculating the relative contributions to growth is ill-suited to capture the commodity-based export-led growth models by calculating the relative contributions to growth is ill-suited to capture the commodity-based export-led growth models by calculating the relative contributions to growth is ill-suited to capture the commodity-based export-led growth model for highly commodity-dependent economies. Finally, we identify commodity based approximation for an important role for domestic politics as an intervening variable.

Keywords: commodity prices, capital flows, dependent financialization, growth models, emerging economies, boom–bust cycles, export-led growth, fiscal policy, dependency

JEL codes: 013, 047, Q33

1 INTRODUCTION

This article joins the recent discussion in comparative political economy (CPE) and post-Keynesian economics (PKE) to broaden the geographical scope of the growth model perspective towards emerging economies (Jungmann 2021; Schedelik et al. 2021; Akçay et al. 2022; Blyth et al. 2022; Mertens et al. 2022; Passos/Morlin 2022). We make two contributions to this research program. First, we highlight the importance of commodity exports for growth in the majority of developing and emerging economies, proposing a stylized commodity pricedriven growth model and providing evidence of its global prevalence. Second, we analyze the joint effects of capital flows and commodity price swings on this growth model

* Email: Schedelik@em.uni-frankfurt.de.

Received 30 October 2022, accepted 22 April 2023

in commodity-dependent economies, a mechanism dubbed 'commodity-finance nexus' (following Akyüz 2022). We argue that this mechanism reinforces the pro-cyclical nature of commodity price-driven growth, financial volatility, and the vulnerability to global boom-bust cycles. At the same time, the combination of the empirical literature on the commodity-finance nexus with the growth model perspective allows for a more fine-grained understanding of the repercussions of global boom-bust cycles on domestic economic processes. Moreover, it highlights how these economic processes link up with the domestic political economy of commodity-dependent countries, for example, by explaining the persistence of this dependence despite several attempts to promote industrial upgrading and to diminish the role of primary goods in these economics (Sierra 2022).

The analysis of growth models has emerged as a vibrant field of study in CPE (Baccaro/ Pontusson 2016; Blyth et al. 2022). By identifying and studying the components and drivers of economic growth, its distributional implications, and political underpinnings, this research program has shifted scholarly attention from the institutional structures of capitalist economies and their supply-side effects on growth – the focus of the Varieties of Capitalism (VoC) perspective (Hall/Soskice 2001) – back to macroeconomics and aggregate demand (Schwartz/Tranøy 2019). The analytical shift from supply- to demand-side factors of the economy coincided with an evolving debate about the adequate macroeconomic theoretical foundations of growth-model–inspired analyses (Hope/Soskice 2016; Pontusson/Baccaro 2020; Stockhammer 2022). This discussion has led to substantial engagement of CPE scholars with PKE and its focus on 'demand' or 'growth regimes' (see Hein 2017; Stockhammer 2022: 164–170 for overviews), a cross-disciplinary exchange similar to that in the literature on 'financialization' (Mader et al. 2021). While PKE provides the macroeconomic fundamentals for this encounter, CPE highlights important institutional and political factors.

For instance, this encounter reveals important international interdependencies between different 'national' growth models. This line of research is also bridging the still relatively separate sub-disciplines of CPE and International Political Economy (IPE) (Nölke 2016). So far, this perspective is mainly applied to the study of advanced economies (Hassel/Palier 2021; Hein et al. 2021; Kohler/Stockhammer 2022), in particular to countries of the eurozone (Johnston/Regan 2016; Baccaro/Pontusson 2021). However, existing growth model analysis still does not consider IPE insights in a comprehensive way. It tends to neglect important system-level variables in the shaping of national growth model in the 1970s. At the same time, it does not fully address how national growth models shape the international system, for example, the global division of labor between financial centers, manufacturing hubs, and raw material exporters (Blyth/Schwartz 2022).¹

Three national–international linkages are of particular importance for emerging economies (Mertens et al. 2022): the integration via foreign direct investment (FDI) and global value chains, subordinated financialization, and the commodity–finance nexus. The first two of these linkages are increasingly the focus of studies at the nexus of CPE, IPE, and PKE. For example, the emerging literature on FDI-led growth (Kacmarczyk 2020; Bohle/ Regan 2021; Woodgate 2021, 2022) highlights the underestimated role of multinational corporations in many 'national' growth models. System-level factors relating to more short-term international capital flows are the focus of a vibrant literature on 'dependent' or 'subordinate financialization' (Alami et al. 2022; Bonizzi et al. 2022; Lapavitsas/Soydan 2022). This line of research investigates the asymmetries in today's global financial system

1. The same holds true for IPE – or the dominant open economy politics (OEP) paradigm – which tends to neglect macroeconomic factors as well (see Blyth/Matthijs 2017).

and its impact on growth experiences in developing and emerging economies, in particular debt-led growth (for instance, Akçay/Güngen 2022).

CPE scholars in general, and growth model analyses in particular, however, do rarely take the third major linkage - commodities and their relevance for growth in the Global South into account. Yet, initial studies (Mertens et al. 2022; Passos/Morlin 2022; Sierra 2022) highlight the importance of commodity exports for an understanding of growth models in emerging economies, particularly in Latin America. IPE scholars, by contrast, analyze commodity markets mostly as global and increasingly financialized markets (Seddon 2020; Baines/Hager 2022) or on the micro level as 'global commodity chains' (Bair 2009; Staritz et al. 2018), without studying their interactions with growth models on the national level. Building on our previous analysis (Mertens et al. 2022), we aim to fill this gap in the literature and spell out the challenges and pitfalls of national growth models with an important share of commodity-based exports. To this end, we engage with an extensive literature in Development Economics dating back to the classics in the field (Lewis 1954; Prebisch 1959; Deaton 1999; Erten/Ocampo 2013; Akyüz 2022). This engagement, in combination with our empirical discussion on the 'commodity-finance nexus', leads us to underline the recent distinction between growth contributions, growth drivers, and growth models by Kohler/Stockhammer (2022). More specifically, we are introducing commodity prices as another category to the list of growth drivers put forward by Kohler/Stockhammer (2022).

This article is structured as follows. Section 2 reviews the literature on commodity exports and growth in developing and emerging economies. Section 3 presents empirical evidence of the prevalence of commodity dependence in many countries of the Global South and highlights some stylized features of that growth model. Section 4 shows the correlation of commodity prices and capital flows to developing and emerging economies and points to the joint effects of these system-level variables on growth trajectories in these countries. Section 5 investigates how commodity dependence and the related financial flows have an impact on the national growth models in emerging economies. Section 6 develops a more complex model to determine how commodity dependence, related financial flows, and fiscal policies interact with the political economy of affected countries, thereby usually perpetuating commodity dependence. The final section concludes, with a special emphasis on policy conclusions.

2 DEPENDENCY THEORY, COMMODITY DEPENDENCY, AND GROWTH IN EMERGING ECONOMIES

In the last few years, we have been witnessing a revival of dependency thinking in discussions about economic development (Kvangraven 2020; Madariaga/Palestini 2021; Koddenbrock et al. 2022). Fundamental arguments of both the traditional and the modern dependency discussions relate to the polarizing nature of global capitalism and its historical development, which has led to disadvantageous production structures and external development constraints for the periphery (Kvangraven 2020). While the current discussion on financial subordination strongly focuses on financial flows, dependency discussions rather focus on the productive structure, notably commodity dependency (Koddenbrock et al. 2022). Here, Dependency Theory walks together with parts of classical Development Economics, namely Structuralism.

The relationship between commodity exports and (slow) growth has been a recurring topic in the Development Economics literature ever since its inception (see Thirlwall/ Pacheco-Lopez 2017: 283–305 for a recent overview). More recently, this phenomenon has been framed from the perspective of the 'natural resource curse' (Frankel 2010).

The most famous starting point of this debate, however, is the so-called Prebisch–Singer thesis, which states that commodity exporters are negatively affected by deteriorating terms of trade in the long run (Singer 1950; Prebisch 1959). Due to lower income elasticities for primary commodities than those for manufactures, so the argument goes, demand for the latter grows more rapidly with rising incomes, tending to depress relative prices of the former (this may be called the 'demand mechanism' of the argument). Additionally, labor markets in developing countries are characterized by an abundance of lowskilled labor and low unionization, implying that technological progress in the sectors for primary goods does not translate into higher wages for workers but into lower prices for consumers, mostly in advanced economies (the 'supply mechanism' of the argument). Advanced economies, by contrast, are characterized by relative scarcity of labor in relation to capital and a relatively organized workforce, so that technological progress does indeed result in higher real wages for workers. The latter mechanism was also identified by another eminent development economist, Arthur W. Lewis, who questioned why real wages of sugar industry workers in the West Indies did not rise in contrast to those of wheat farmers in Canada (Lewis 1954). Therefore, prices of tropical commodities might fluctuate due to short-run events but are set in the long run by the cost of growing it in the lowest real wage tropical country (Deaton 1999: 30).

Despite these strong theoretical arguments, the empirics of long-run commodity price movements have been hotly contested. Starting with the seminal work of Grilli/Yang (1988), numerous studies have found either a slightly positive or a slightly negative trend, depending to a high degree on the end year of the sample (see Frankel 2010: 8 for an overview). More recent studies, therefore, take a broader historical perspective and identify long-run cycles of price movements, with extended periods of boom and bust well above or below their long-run trend (Erten/Ocampo 2013; Fernández et al. 2020).

This cyclical behavior of commodity prices lies at the heart of another strand of research, which focuses on short- and medium-term fluctuations in commodity markets and their impact on macroeconomic volatility and growth (Blattman et al. 2007; Arezki et al. 2013). Unpredictable commodity prices on international markets with sharp upward and downward swings incur risks on commodity-dependent economies and tend to affect their macroeconomic performance negatively. It is hard or even impossible to predict if a commodity boom is temporary or permanent, adding uncertainty to economic agents. In many boom periods, large-scale investment projects are initiated that need to be scrapped or debt-financed when prices decline. Additionally, the reliance of public sector finance on commodity revenues leads to pro-cyclical government spending and associated domestic consumption (Ocampo 2017: 65). Consequently, economic activity in commodity-dependent economies closely moves in tandem with the prices of their major export items (IMF 2012: 125).

The most well-known phenomenon associated with fluctuations in commodity prices is perhaps the so-called 'Dutch Disease' – termed after the economic side-effects of natural gas discoveries in the Netherlands in the 1950s (Corden 1984). It refers to the real appreciation of the currency due to huge capital inflows into investment projects in the booming commodity sectors and/or large increases in commodity revenues during boom periods (Frankel 2010: 20). This results in increased domestic income and spending by the private and especially public sector, leading to higher prices and output in the nontradables sectors and consequently to higher wages across the economy (the so-called 'spending effect'). At the same time, capital and labor move from other parts of the economy to the booming commodity sectors, which results in rising prices of nontradables vis-à-vis other tradables (the so-called 'resource movement effect'). Both effects lead to a real exchange rate appreciation and therefore to a loss of international competitiveness and declining output in the manufacturing sectors and, hence, to deindustrialization (Brahmbhatt et al. 2010). Besides

these strong theoretical arguments, there is ample empirical evidence that Dutch Disease is a recurring – although not inevitable – phenomenon in resource-dependent developing and emerging economies (Mien/Goujon 2022). By drawing on this literature, Akyüz (2022) recently highlights the interplay between financial flows and commodity prices and their effects on growth in commodity-dependent economies, terming this mechanism 'commodity–finance nexus'. In the following, we build on and extend his argument by emphasizing fiscal policy as another major variable affecting commodity-led growth in emerging capitalist economies (ECEs), complemented by political economy aspects.

To sum up, we see great potential in building on Dependency Theory and related arguments in Development Economics in order to pin down the pitfalls of commodity-led growth in ECEs. We thereby aim to cross-fertilize the CPE and PKE literatures on growth models in the Global South and on subordinated financialization. However, classical and recent versions of Dependency Theory need to be complemented by a clear mechanism as to how the productive structure of commodity-dependent economies, commodity price developments, and financial flows contribute to the persistence of commodity dependence. Adding fiscal policy as another major variable to Akyüz' notion of 'commodityfinance nexus' further helps to explain the 'commodity trap' in which many developing and emerging economies are locked in.

3 STYLIZED FEATURES OF COMMODITY DEPENDENCE IN THE GLOBAL SOUTH

The reliance on commodity exports is a recurring and prevalent feature of most developing and emerging economies, next to their financial subordination and their subordinated role in global value chains. A geographical extension of the growth model framework to the Global South therefore needs to take 'commodity dependence' and its challenges and pitfalls seriously. According to the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD), which publishes frequently on the state of commodity dependence around the globe, 'a country is considered to be commodity export dependent when more than 60 per cent of its total merchandise exports are composed of commodities' (UNCTAD 2021: v). As with other thresholds, this is a rather arbitrary definition, which excludes economies with major share of commodity exports, such as South Africa with 57.3 per cent commodity exports. Nevertheless, we stick to this established definition. Drawing on UNCTAD data, we compiled a dataset on commodity-dependent economies, showing the global prevalence of this phenomenon (see Appendix 1). In the 2018–2019 period, 101 countries out of 195 UNCTAD member states, i.e. 53 per cent, were commodity-dependent (UNCTAD 2021: 5). Only five of them, Australia, Iceland, New Zealand, Norway, and Greece, were developed countries. Taking the World Bank classifications according to income levels as a baseline, commodity dependence is most prevalent in low-income economies, followed by lower-middle and upper-middle-income economies (see Figure 1). Only 27.6 per cent are high-income economies, including Middle Eastern energy exporters such as Bahrain, Kuwait, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, and the United Arab Emirates.

As Figure 1 shows, fuel exports such as crude oil and natural gas are associated with higher income levels. Low-income and lower-middle-income countries predominately specialize in agricultural products or minerals and metals. These figures already indicate the global prevalence of commodity exports among developing and emerging economies. The poorer a country, the more problematic its commodity dependence. Oil-dependent economies usually have higher income levels than agricultural exporters, making them probably dependent on, but not necessarily vulnerable to, price swings – which is one of the biggest



520 European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention, Vol. 20 No. 3

Note: Commodity dependence refers the share of commodities in a country's merchandise exports. *Source:* UNCTAD (2021).

Figure 1 Commodity dependence by income level

problems of commodity dependence. Beyond that, we can identify a correlation between the degree of commodity dependence, i.e. commodity exports as a share of merchandise exports, and the contribution of commodity exports to a country's gross domestic product (GDP) (see Figure 2). Besides the outliers Djibouti and the United Arab Emirates in the upper left corner of the scatter plot, a higher share of commodity export dependence is associated with a higher share of commodity exports in a country's GDP. Commodity dependence thereby can be as high as 99.8 per cent in the case of Iraq and even 100 per cent in the case of South Sudan. Furthermore, a range of countries exhibit an even higher commodity dependence, relying on only one or a few commodities. Countries such as Iraq (93.5 per cent), Angola (88 per cent), Chad (79.6 per cent), and Guinea-Bissau (88.4 per cent) dependent economies, one product, mostly crude petroleum, constitutes more than half of all exports (UNCTAD 2019: 5). Figure 2 shows that a focus on commodity-based exports is widespread among developing and emerging economies.

Having established preliminary empirical evidence of the global prevalence of commodity dependence, we turn to some of its main features. To this end, we first look at the most important variable determining the prospects of commodity export-dependent economies: internationally-set commodity prices. As stated before, the majority of developing and emerging economies exports is concentrated in a handful of products, or sometimes even a single product, placing these countries at the mercy of global commodity markets. Price movements, therefore, are crucial for their socioeconomic performance. Figures 3–5 depict the evolution of long-run real prices of the major commodity classes, agricultural goods, metals, and fuels. First, the prices of all selected commodities exhibit strong volatility in the short and medium term. In the long term, several commodities, mostly agricultural goods, show a downward trend (Figure 3) but others, crude oil in particular, show an upward trend (Figure 5). In line with the literature



Source: UNCTAD (2021).

Figure 2 Commodity exports and gross domestic product



Note: Prices deflated by Consumer Price Index (CPI). *Source:* Own elaboration based on Baffes et al. (2022).

Figure 3 Long-run real prices of selected agricultural commodities

(e.g. Erten/Ocampo 2013), we can discern four major price cycles since the beginning of the twentieth century: a first one in the beginning of the twentieth century, a second one after the Second World War in the 1950s and 1960s, a third one in the 1970s, and a fourth one in the 2000s. Some of these general cycles are demand driven – i.e. by global economic activity related to the post-war recovery or, more recently, the

522 European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention, Vol. 20 No. 3



Note: Prices are deflated using US CPI (100 = 1982-84). *Source:* Own elaboration based on Baffes et al. (2022).

Figure 4 Long-run real prices of selected metals



Note: Prices have been deflated by the US CPI, base year is 1990. *Source:* Own elaboration based on Baffes et al. (2022).

Figure 5 Long-run real prices of selected fuels

industrialization of China and India – while some are supply driven within and across commodity markets (Kabundi et al. 2022: 203).

Energy plays a key role for other commodity markets as a crucial input in the production of metals and an important cost component for most agricultural goods via fuel and fertilizer prices. Therefore, supply factors, especially in the global oil market, are important determinants of price swings. Examples are the production agreements of major oil producers via cartels such as the 'Seven Sisters' between 1935 and the 1970s, the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) since 1960, and OPEC+ since 2017 or the entry of new sources or producers such as US shale oil or Canadian oil sands (McNally 2017). In agricultural commodities, weather patterns are crucial supply-side determinants as well.

However, global demand shocks such as recessions account for half of the volatility in commodity price movements, global supply shocks for another 20 per cent, and commodity-specific shocks for the rest (Kabundi et al. 2022: 206). This indicates that commodity exporters heavily depend on global macroeconomic conditions. Recessions in major consumer markets have large-scale negative repercussions on export revenues and growth prospects in producer countries, while sustained economic expansion in these markets can generate a lasting price and earnings boom. The latest of such demand-induced cycles started in the early 2000s and lasted until 2013 (briefly interrupted by the Global Financial Crisis (GFC)), induced particularly by strong commodity demand from China and India. As shown in Figures 3 to 5, price volatility since the 1990s has been much more pronounced in industrial commodities (such as minerals, metals, and fuels) than in agricultural products, although prices of the latter also tripled or even quadrupled during the latest cycle.

The combination of commodity dependence and commodity price volatility obviously has an important impact on the growth performance of these economies. As Figure 6 shows, growth



Sources: IMF, Commodity Price System; World Development Indicators. Figure 6 Commodity prices and growth in commodity-dependent economies

in commodity prices indicates some parallels with GDP growth in commodity-dependent economies. However, these are average figures which need to be taken with a grain of salt.

To summarize this section, we presented empirical evidence on the global prevalence of a high degree of dependency on commodity-based exports in many developing and emerging economies. We also carved out two important features of these economies: first, the dependence on a handful or even a single export product; second, the dependence on global commodity markets and external boom–bust cycles. In the following section, we add another important aspect of commodity-led economies that has become salient more recently: their connection with international capital flows.

4 THE 'COMMODITY–FINANCE NEXUS': THE CORRELATION OF COMMODITY PRICES AND COMMODITY-RELATED CAPITAL FLOWS

Capital flows to developing and emerging economies have risen markedly since the early 2000s, as international investors increasingly discovered 'emerging market' assets as a promising investment. In particular, portfolio flows have recently reached unprecedented levels, outpacing foreign direct investment (FDI) (Molina/Viani 2019). A recent empirical literature therefore has investigated the drivers of these flows (see Koepke 2018 for an overview). Global risk aversion and a strong US dollar are negatively correlated with capital inflows to developing and emerging economies, whereas political risk and output growth in the recipient countries are positively correlated (Molina/Viani 2019: 4). In addition, commodity prices are another important determinant of capital inflows for commodity-dependent countries (Ibid.). Subsequently, we are focusing on the latter.

Several studies have found that commodity prices exhibit strong effects on global capital flow cycles, even in the nineteenth century (Byrne/Fiess 2016; Reinhart et al. 2016). As Figure 7 shows, there is in fact a strong correlation between capital flows to commodity-dependent economies and the movement of the major commodity indices. As our focus here is on short-term fluctuations, we leave FDI flows out which are primarily driven by long-term investment decisions. In accordance with the literature, portfolio flows to these countries feature a strong volatility, closely mirroring the ups and downs of commodity price movements.

The pronounced correlation of commodity price swings and capital flows has a profound impact on commodity-dependent economies. As capital inflows tend to follow commodity prices, they are heavily pro-cyclical: rising in boom periods and contracting in bust periods. Thereby, they aggravate the vulnerability of commodity-dependent countries to externally induced boom–bust cycles, as detailed above.

Following Akyüz (2022), we term the mechanism through which both system-level variables affect growth in these economies 'commodity-finance nexus'. First and foremost, commodity prices have an impact on capital flows to commodity-dependent economies by influencing their risk-return profile. A commodity price boom tends to improve the external financial position of these countries while reducing the risk of lending (Akyüz 2022: 10). Simultaneously, growth prospects in the booming commodity sectors raise the return on lending, attracting ever more capital inflows. As a consequence, the currency tends to appreciate. This, in turn, leads to the infamous Dutch Disease phenomenon, which is associated with booming commodities and nontradables sectors and a declining manufacturing sector. A shrinking manufacturing base increases the structural dependency on commodities even further and locks these countries in their commodity dependence trap. Figure 8 presents a graphical illustration of this mechanism.

However, the commodity-finance nexus not only operates in one direction, amplifying boom periods, but also works in the reverse direction, amplifying bust periods as well. Here,



Sources: IMF, Balance of Payments Statistics; IMF, Commodity Price System.

Figure 7 Commodity prices and capital flows to commodity-dependent economies



Source: Own elaboration.

Figure 8 The commodity-finance nexus



Source: Own elaboration.

Figure 9 The commodity-finance nexus reversed

declining commodity prices tend to worsen the external financial position of commoditydependent economies, thereby raising the risk of lending. Therefore, capital ceases to flow into the country or even flows out, with detrimental effects on the exchange rate and debtfueled asset or spending bubbles. Given that foreign currency-denominated debt ('original sin') and foreign investors in local currency bond markets ('original sin redux') continue to play an important role in many developing and emerging economies, exchange rate deprecation tends to induce currency mismatches and thereby increases the risk of local financial crises (Eichengreen et al. 2005; Carstens/Shin 2019). These effects increase macroeconomic volatility and worsen the declining economic output induced by a fall in export earnings. In the medium to long run, these cyclical dynamics undermine avenues for structural change and diversification and lead to well-known stop-go growth episodes (Jones/Olken 2008). Figure 9 depicts a graphical illustration of this mechanism in reverse.

In conclusion, the commodity–finance nexus, in combination with global commodity price cycles, not only locks economies into a commodity trap, but also leads to far stronger economic fluctuations compared to economies that are not structurally dependent on commodity exports. Correspondingly, it becomes very difficult for these economies to undergo a process of industrialization, thereby keeping them in the subordinate position highlighted by Dependency Theory. However, this is only a partial picture of commodity export-dependent economies. For example, it does not explain why the high proceeds of commodity exports during a boom period are not used for investments into an economic model that is less commodity-dependent. In order to draw a more complete picture, we need to turn to the study of growth models in CPE and PKE.

5 NATIONAL GROWTH DECOMPOSITION OF COMMODITY-DEPENDENT ECONOMIES

How does commodity dependence and the commodity-finance nexus affect the national growth models in these economies? Can we speak of commodity-based, export-led growth models? In order to answer this question, we first conduct a decomposition of the growth contribution of demand aggregates, based on the methodology used by Hein et al. (2021). In order to select countries for demand decomposition, we have chosen the most commodity-dependent countries based on UNCTAD data (see the upper right corner in Figure 2). These countries feature a very high share of commodity exports of total exports (above 90 per cent) which account for 39 to 65 per cent of gross domestic product in all these countries. Therefore, they are 'crucial' cases and should display very pronounced export-led growth models, as the bulk of economic activity in these economies is geared towards exporting one single commodity or a small number of commodities. Given the marked volatility of growth in most emerging economies, we need to be careful to calculate averages for longer time spans. For this reason, we split the overall timeframe ranging from 2001 to 2020 into three periods: the very pronounced commodity price boom of the early 2000s (2001–2007), the short interruption of the price boom during the GFC, and the subsequent recovery (2008–2013) and finally the commodity price bust (2014–2020). Furthermore, we calculate the relative contributions of demand aggregates to economic growth using GDP based on current prices instead of constant prices, as we aim to identify the effects of changes in commodity prices on net exports.

Somewhat surprisingly, only two of the structurally most commodity export-dependent economies feature export-led growth models – Libya in the period from 2001 to 2007 and Mongolia in the period from 2014 to 2020 – if we use this standard approach of demand decomposition. Two basic reasons are responsible for this outcome. First, in this approach, one uses net exports instead of gross exports. By subtracting the value of imports from gross exports, the final contribution of this demand aggregate is, by definition, reduced. Hence, such a method underestimates the growth contribution of exports and overestimates that of domestic demand, especially consumption (Baccaro/Hadziabdic 2022: 1). In extreme cases, this approach can lead to grossly false interpretations of the effective contributions to growth (Ibid.: 8). This is all the more true for the countries under study here. As many developing economies have an unsophisticated productive structure, they have to import high volumes of consumption or capital goods. In addition, their major export items are commodities, i.e. raw materials. Hence, due to the high share of imports which are consumed by the private and/or the public sector of these economies, the massive gross exports tend not to show up in the growth contributions as net exports, i.e. exports

	2001-2007			2008-2013				2014-2020				
	С	Ι	G	NX	С	Ι	G	NX	С	Ι	G	NX
Angola	98	42	4	-72	32	13	22	-1	34	-3	-10	13
Congo	40	81	24	-70	36	65	15	-49	13	-17	30	-71
Libya	-18	31	-1	32	8	2	11	-17	25	-31	-9	1
Mongolia	50	39	11	-6	59	19	10	-21	-10	-115	-7	119
Suriname	22	39	6	6	79	91	15	-83	24	24	4	-6

Table 1 Relative contributions of demand aggregates to economic growth for selected commoditydependent economies, averages in percent, 2001–2020

Notes: (1) We calculate the relative contributions to GDP growth by dividing the change in one aggregate demand component (e.g. *C* for private consumption) by the change in GDP (*Y*: dC/dY). (2) Investment figures are calculated based on gross capital formation. (3) Private consumption includes individual consumption expenditure of non-profit institutions serving households (NPISHs). (4) Data for exports are net exports (NX = X - M). (5) GDP data is based on current prices. *Source:* United Nations National Accounts.

minus imports, are generally low or even negative. Second, using multi-year averages is problematic, even with the shorter periods chosen here, due to the very pronounced volatility of growth in these economies.

Therefore, the standard growth decomposition method is ill-suited to capture commodity-based, export-led growth in the highly commodity-dependent economies studied here. Therefore, we zoom into two cases – Angola and Congo – and present yearly data of growth decomposition and the covariation of gross exports and the price of their major export item, crude oil (prices per barrel BRENT in US\$).

Figures 10 and 11 show first an almost perfect covariation of gross export revenues and price movements for crude oil. Exports of Angola and Congo are, as expected, completely determined by commodities. Prior to the price hikes on the oil market, especially when the prices began to rise, both Angola and Congo feature significant contributions of net exports among the other demand components. When the commodity super cycle really took off, the contributions started to be in excess in both positive and negative directions. Most notably, we do not see strong net export positions for the boom period (although we know from the trade figures that oil exports increased strongly) but procyclical investment and consumption patterns. Instead of a growth model in which exports play the key role among the demand contributions, an export-led growth model in commodity-dependent economies seems to trigger a rollercoaster movement of export revenues, investment, and consumption flows.

This means that using the conventional decomposition methodology underestimates the important role of commodity exports for economic growth in these economies. In order to capture this export-led growth model adequately, one might need to adjust the demand components by the imports they actually absorb – instead of subtracting imports directly from exports (Baccaro/Hadziabdic 2022). In addition, commodity-dependent countries of the Global South have a strongly cyclical growth model and selected multi-year averages of demand aggregates provide somewhat misleading outcomes with



Sources: United Nations National Accounts, OPEC (2022). Figure 10 Growth decomposition (lhs), gross exports and oil prices (rhs) for Angola



Sources: United Nations National Accounts, OPEC (2022).

Figure 11 Growth decomposition (lhs), gross exports and oil prices (rhs) for Congo

regard to the underlying economic structures. Correspondingly, we need a more complex approach for depicting these models.

6 COMMODITY PRICES AND FISCAL POLICIES AS GROWTH DRIVERS IN COMMODITY EXPORT-DEPENDENT ECONOMIES – AND THE ROLE OF DOMESTIC POLITICS

Our point of departure for a more complex conceptualization of growth models of commodity-dependent economies are two observations by Kohler/Stockhammer (2022) on the cyclical and nationally diverse development of debt-driven growth models in countries of the Global North after the GFC. Whereas they were able to observe a clear-cut juxtaposition of export-led versus debt-financed and consumption-led European economies before the crisis, this became much less clear after the crisis. The main reason was the collapse of the boom in debt-financed and consumption-led economies after the GFC, with Spain as a leading example. Applying the traditional growth decomposition approach to the Spanish economy in the post-crisis approach leads to its classification as 'weakly export-led' (Hein et al. 2021). However, this classification is not based on the expansion of Spanish exports but rather on a depression of the debt-financed and consumption-led economies suffered the same depression after the crisis. Some of these economies – particularly the English-speaking ones – have put strong fiscal expansion

plans in place, thereby avoiding the fate of other economies – particularly Greece and Italy – that had to undergo massive austerity measures (Kohler/Stockhammer 2022: 1327–1330).

In order to make sense of these observations, Kohler/Stockhammer (2022: 1319) distinguish between growth *contributions*, growth *drivers*, and growth *models*. While growth *contributions* are the familiar components of aggregate income (consumption, investment, government spending, and exports) and growth *models* depict national economies where one of these components is dominating, growth *drivers* are exogenous factors that influence growth contributions and, therefore, growth models. Kohler/Stockhammer (2022: 1326) identify three types of growth drivers: property prices, fiscal policy, and price/ non-price competitiveness.

Our depiction of growth models for commodity export-dependent economies shows a similar pattern of boom and bust as observed by Kohler and Stockhammer. Arguably, commodity prices play a similar role for these economies as property prices for debt-financed and consumption-led growth models. Global commodity price developments act as an important growth driver for many emerging markets and developing countries with a high degree of commodity dependence.

Moreover, we can assume a similar importance of fiscal policies in these economies, given related observations in Development Economics. The price movements of global commodity markets affect growth in commodity-dependent economies directly via rising or contracting export earnings and indirectly via its impact on public finances (UNCTAD 2019: 34). As personal income tax collection is generally low, many developing economies heavily rely on corporate income taxes and royalties or profits of state-owned enterprises in the natural resource-intensive sectors (Ocampo 2017: 69). Pro-cyclical fiscal spending therefore is common among commodity-dependent countries, magnifying both boom and bust periods (Herrera et al. 2019). We see this clearly for the cases of Angola and Congo. Consequently, the negative external shocks are amplified by the subsequent contraction in public spending and investment. Pro-cyclical fiscal patterns are self-reinforcing and hard to change, as political pressures to spend rising public sector revenues in boom periods are difficult to handle if austerity measures had been in place in the bust period (Ocampo 2017: 69).

Based on our observations, global commodity price developments must be added as another growth driver to the list put forward by Kohler/Stockhammer (2022). Moreover, we note an important role for fiscal policies as a further growth driver for commoditydependent economies. However, in contrast to commodity price cycles, fiscal policies are, to a higher degree, at the disposal of national governments and parliaments. The latter do not have to spend the proceeds of commodity exports at once. By using the proceeds of commodity exports wisely, countries can avoid the worst effects of commodity price swings. For example, governments can use these proceeds as a cushion to avoid overly strong currency fluctuations or for the import of key capital goods and the acquisition of foreign technology to support an upgrading of the domestic industry. Correspondingly, not all export-dependent economies need to follow the pro-cyclical fiscal patterns observed above. During the bust phase, countries can adopt Keynesian counter-cyclical fiscal policies to boost domestic demand and hence avoid sharp reductions in GDP growth.

A study on commodity-dependent Latin American countries (Passos/Morlin 2022) demonstrates that the governments of these countries have pursued quite different policies at the end of the commodity boom. While Bolivia and Chile have compensated lower proceeds from commodity exports by state-led growth and increased household propensity to consume, political coalitions in Argentina and Brazil prevented these policies, leading to economic stagnation. However, fundamentally 'switching' a growth model away from

commodity exports is very difficult, even for countries with a more diversified productive structure. Given the importance of the agricultural sector for growth in most of these countries, Sierra (2022) points to an 'endogenous distributional dilemma' in which governments have clear incentives to promote the interests of the former with negative repercussions on the industrial sector and the capacities of governments to implement industrial policies. Schedelik (2023), for instance, shows that the industrial policies enacted in Brazil under the Workers' Party governments in the 2000s were largely ineffective due to the exchange rate appreciation following the commodity boom in this period. The ability to promote policies more consistent with the interests of the industrial sector vis-à-vis agribusiness is largely dependent on the distribution of power among the different organized groups (see, e.g. Khan 2010).

To conclude, these observations make a strong case for incorporating domestic politics into the study of commodity-dependent growth models in emerging economies, even if switching towards a different growth model might be infeasible in most cases. Moreover, the depiction of these growth models via demand decomposition requires data on long time periods, given the pronounced cyclicality of commodity prices. Finally, these growth decompositions should always be analyzed in combination with commodity price developments and fiscal policies as important growth drivers for these economies.

7 CONCLUSION AND POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This article contributes to the growth model literature in CPE that aims to broaden the geographical scope of this analytical perspective to developing and emerging economies. It made four key contributions to this research program. First, we highlighted the importance of commodity exports for growth in the majority of developing and emerging economies, proposing a stylized model of commodity-dependence and providing evidence of its global prevalence. Second, we analyzed the joint effects of capital flows and commodity price swings on this growth model in commodity-dependent economies, a mechanism dubbed 'commodity–finance nexus' (following Akyüz 2022). We argued that this mechanism reinforces the pro-cyclical nature of commodity-led growth, financial volatility, and the vulnerability to global boom–bust cycles. Third, we highlighted that the conventional method for establishing the growth models of highly commodity-dependent economies does not always lead to convincing results, due to the highly cyclical character of these economies. Fourth, in order to still make sense of the growth models of these economies, we have identified commodity price developments and fiscal policies as major growth drivers, with an important role for domestic politics as a major intervening variable.

Given the important role of domestic politics, however, it does not come as a surprise that general cross-country regression analyses do not find a clear linkage between commodity price development and growth in emerging economies (Jungmann 2021). Moreover, not all growth models of emerging economies with an important amount of commodity exports should be classified as highly commodity dependent. Particularly in large emerging economies such as Argentina or Brazil, commodity exports make for less than 10 per cent of GDP, although they qualify for 64.2 per cent (Argentina) and 66.6 per cent of exports (Brazil), thereby being classified as commodity-export-dependent economies by UNCTAD. Future research needs to disentangle the (political) reasons why some countries with a strong commodity base become highly commodity dependent and others do not. Generally, our paper indicates that future discussions about export-led growth models need to be more specific about the composition of these exports.

Due to the negative aspects of commodity-dependent growth, there is no doubt that governments should try to move away from this growth model. If politically feasible, they should pursue industrial policies to support domestic manufacturing and sophisticated services. Several instruments are available to reduce the negative effects of commodity dependency, particularly with regard to the commodity–finance nexus, such as capital controls, interventions in foreign exchange markets, and the creation of a sovereign wealth fund.

Finally, Dependency Theory tells us to not only search for solutions within these economies, but also in global economic structures. From this perspective, the demand for commodity buffer stocks – a core issue in discussions about a New International Economic Order (NIEO) in the 1970s – should be taken up again, in order to assist highly commodity-dependent countries to manage their economies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

A previous version of this paper was presented at the online workshop 'Frontiers in Growth Regimes Research: Theoretical Perspectives and Country Cases' at the Institute for International Political Economy (IPE) Berlin. We are grateful to the participants for their very helpful comments, particularly to Ümit Akcay, Eckhard Hein, Engelbert Stockhammer, and Ricardo Summa. We also want to thank the two anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- Akçay, Ü., Güngen, A.R. (2022): Dependent financialisation and its crisis: the case of Turkey, in: Cambridge Journal of Economics, 46(2), 293–316.
- Akçay, Ü., Hein, E., Jungmann, B. (2022): Financialisation and macroeconomic regimes in emerging capitalist countries before and after the great recession, in: *International Journal of Political Economy*, 51(2), 77–100.
- Akyüz, Y. (2022): The commodity-finance nexus: twin boom and double whammy, in: Revista de Economia Contemporânea, 24(1), 1–13.
- Alami, I., Alves, C., Bonizzi, B., Kaltenbrunner, A., Koddenbrock, K., Kvangraven, I., Powell, J. (2022): International financial subordination: a critical research agenda, in: *Review of International Political Economy*. doi: 10.1080/09692290.2022.2098359.
- Arezki, M.R., Hadri, M.K., Loungani, M.P., Rao, M.Y. (2013): Testing the Prebisch-Singer hypothesis since 1650: evidence from panel techniques that allow for multiple breaks, IMF Working Paper WP/13/180. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.
- Baccaro, L., Pontusson, J. (2016): Rethinking comparative political economy: the growth model perspective, in: *Politics & Society*, 44(2), 175–207.
- Baccaro, L., Pontusson, J. (2021): European growth models before and after the great recession, in: Hassel, A., Palier, B. (eds), Growth and Welfare in Advanced Capitalist Economies: How Have Growth Regimes Evolved? Oxford: Oxford University Press, 98–134.
- Baccaro, L., Hadziabdic, S. (2022): Operationalizing growth models. MPIfG Discussion Paper 22/6, Cologne: Max Planck Institute for the Study of Societies.
- Baffes, J., Koh, W.C., Nagle, P. (2022): The evolution of commodity markets over the past century, in: Baffes, J., Nagle, P. (eds), *Commodity Markets: Evolution, Challenges, Policies*, Washington, DC: World Bank, 27–120.
- Baines, J., Hager, S.B. (2022): Commodity traders in a storm: financialization, corporate power and ecological crisis, in: *Review of International Political Economy*, 29(4), 1053–1084.
- Bair, J. (ed.) (2009): Frontiers of Commodity Chain Research, Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.

- Blattman, C., Hwang, J., Williamson, J.G. (2007): Winners and losers in the commodity lottery: the impact of terms of trade growth and volatility in the Periphery 1870–1939, in: *Journal of Development Economics*, 82(1), 156–179.
- Blyth, M., Pontusson, J., Baccaro, L. (eds) (2022): Diminishing Returns: The New Politics of Growth and Stagnation, Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Blyth, M., Schwartz, H.M. (2022): In search of varieties of capitalism: hardy perennial or troublesome weed? in: *Review of Keynesian Economics*, 10(2), 167–183.
- Bohle, D., Regan, A. (2021): The comparative political economy of growth models: explaining the continuity of FDI-led growth in Ireland and Hungary, in: *Politics & Society*, 49(1), 75–106.
- Bonizzi, B., Kaltenbrunner, A., Powell, J. (2022): Financialised capitalism and the subordination of emerging capitalist economies, in: *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 46(4), 651–678.
- Brahmbhatt, M., Canuto, O., Vostroknutova, E. (2010): Dealing with Dutch disease. Economic Premise, June 2010, No 16, Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Byrne, J.P., Fiess, N. (2016): International capital flows to emerging markets: national and global determinants, in: *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 61, 82–100.
- Carstens, A., Shin, H.S. (2019): Emerging markets aren't out of the woods yet, *Foreign Affairs*, March 15.
- Corden, W.M. (1984): Booming sector and Dutch Disease economics: survey and consolidation, in: Oxford Economic Papers, 36(3), 359–380.
- Deaton, A. (1999): Commodity prices and growth in Africa, in: *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 13(3), 23-40.
- Eichengreen, B., Hausmann, R., Panizza, U. (2005): The mystery of original sin, in: Eichengreen, B., Hausmann, R. (eds), Other People's Money: Debt Denomination and Financial Instability in Emerging-Market Economies, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 233–265.
- Erten, B., Ocampo, J.A. (2013): Super cycles of commodity prices since the mid-nineteenth century, in: *World Development*, 44, 14–30.
- Fernández, A., Schmitt-Grohé, S., Uribe, M. (2020): Does the commodity super cycle matter? Working Paper, No 27589, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Frankel, J.A. (2010): The natural resource curse: a survey, Working Paper, No 15836, National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Grilli, E., Yang, M.C. (1988): Primary commodity prices, manufactured goods prices, and the terms of trade in developing countries, in: World Bank Economic Review, 2, 1–47.
- Hassel, A., Palier, B. (eds) (2021): Growth and Welfare in Advanced Capitalist Economies: How Have Growth Regimes Evolved?, Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Hein, E. (2017). Post-Keynesian macroeconomics since the mid 1990s: main developments. European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention, 14(2), 131–172.
- Hein, E., Meloni, W. P., Tridico, P. (2021). Welfare models and demand-led growth regimes before and after the financial and economic crisis, in: *Review of International Political Economy*, 28(5), 1196–1223.
- Herrera, S., Kouame, W., Mandon, P.J.C. (2019): Why some countries can escape the fiscal procyclicality trap and others cannot? Policy Research Working Paper, No 8963, Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Hope, D., Soskice, D. (2016): Growth models, varieties of capitalism, and macroeconomics, in: Politics & Society, 44(2), 209–226.
- IMF (2012): World Economic Outlook: Growth Resuming, Dangers Remain, April, Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund.
- Johnston, A., Regan, A. (2016): European monetary integration and the incompatibility of national varieties of capitalism, in: *JCMS: Journal of Common Market Studies*, 54(2), 318–336.
- Jones, B.F., Olken, B.A. (2008): The anatomy of start-stop growth, in: *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, 90(3), 582–587.
- Jungmann, B. (2021): Growth drivers in emerging capitalist economies before and after the Global Financial Crisis, IPE Working Paper, No 172/2022, Berlin: Institute for International Political Economy.
- Kabundi, A., Vasishtha, G., Zahid, H. (2022): The nature and drivers of commodity price cycles, in: Baffes, J., Nagle, P. (eds), *Commodity Markets: Evolution, Challenges, Policies*, Washington, DC: World Bank, 183–217.

- Kaczmarczyk, P. (2020): Growth models and the footprint of transnational capital, Maxpo Discussion Paper, No 20/2, Paris: Max Planck Sciences Po Center on Coping with Instability in Market Societies.
- Khan, M.H. (2010). Political settlements and the governance of growth-enhancing institutions. Research Paper Series on Governance for Growth. SOAS, University of London, URL: http:// eprints.soas.ac.uk/9968/1/Political_Settlements_internet.pdf.
- Koddenbrock, K., Kvangraven, I.H., Sylla, N.S. (2022): Beyond financialisation: the longue durée of finance and production in the Global South, in: *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 46(4), 703–733.
- Koepke, R. (2019): What drives capital flows to emerging markets? A survey of the empirical literature, in: *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 33(2), 351–726.
- Kohler, K., Stockhammer, E. (2022): Growing differently? Financial cycles, austerity, and competitiveness in growth models since the Global Financial Crisis, in: *Review of International Political Economy*, 29(4), 1314–1341.
- Kvangraven, I. H. (2020): Beyond the stereotype: restating the relevance of the dependency research programme, in: *Development and Change* 52(1), 76–112.
- Lapavitsas, C., Soydan, A. (2022): Financialisation in developing countries: approaches, concepts, and metrics, in: *International Review of Applied Economics*, 36(3), 424–447.
- Lewis, W.A. (1954): Economic development with unlimited supplies of labor, in: *The Manchester School*, 22, 139–191.
- Madariaga, A., Palestini, S. (eds) (2021): Dependent Capitalisms in Contemporary Latin America and Europe, London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Mader, P., Mertens, D., Van der Zwan, N. (eds) (2021): The Routledge International Handbook of Financialization, London: Routledge.
- McNally, R. (2017): Crude Volatility: The History and the Future of Boom-Bust Oil Prices, New York: Columbia University Press.
- Mertens, D., Nölke, A., May, C., Schedelik, M., ten Brink, T., de Podesta Gomes, A. (2022): Moving the center: adapting the toolbox of growth model research to emerging capitalist economies, IPE Working Paper, No 188/2022, Berlin: Institute for International Political Economy.
- Mien, E., Goujon, M. (2022): 40 years of Dutch Disease literature: lessons for developing countries, in: Comparative Economic Studies, 64(3), 351–383.
- Molina, L., Viani, F. (2019): Capital flows to emerging economies: recent developments and drivers, in: *Economic Bulletin*, 2/2019, Madrid: Banco de España.
- Nölke, A. (2016): Economic causes of the Eurozone crisis: the analytical contribution of comparative capitalism, in: Socio-Economic Review, 14(1), 141–161.
- Ocampo, J.A. (2017): Commodity-led development in Latin America, in: Carbonnier, G., Campodónico, H., Tezanos Vázquez, S. (eds), Alternative Pathways to Sustainable Development: Lessons from Latin America, Leiden: Brill Nijhoff, 51–76.
- OPEC (2022): Annual Statistical Bulletin 2022, URL: https://asb.opec.org/data/ASB_Data.php (accessed 22 November 2022).
- Passos, N., Morlin, G. (2022): Growth models and comparative political economy in Latin America, in: *Revue de la Régulation*, 33(2), doi: https://doi.org/10.4000/regulation.21444.
- Pontusson, J., Baccaro, L. (2020): Comparative political economy and varieties of macroeconomics, in: Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Politics, Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press, doi: 10.1093/ acrefore/9780190228637.013.161.
- Prebisch, R. (1959): International trade and payments in an era of coexistence: commercial policy in the underdeveloped countries, in: *American Economic Review*, 49, 251–273.
- Reinhart, C.M., Reinhart, V., Trebesch, C. (2016): Global cycles: capital flows, commodities, and sovereign defaults, 1815-2015, in: *American Economic Review*, 106(5), 574–580.
- Schedelik, M. (2023). The Political Economy of Upgrading Regimes: Brazil and Beyond, Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Schedelik, M., Nölke, A., Mertens, D., May, C. (2021): Comparative capitalism, growth models and emerging markets: the development of the field, in: *New Political Economy*, 26(4), 514–526.
- Schwartz, H. M., Tranøy, B. S. (2019): Thinking about thinking about Comparative Political Economy: from macro to micro and back, in: *Politics & Society*, 47(1), 23–54.

⁵³⁴ European Journal of Economics and Economic Policies: Intervention, Vol. 20 No. 3

- Seddon, J. (2020): Merchants against the bankers: the financialization of a commodity market, in: *Review of International Political Economy*, 27(3), 525–555.
- Sierra, J. (2022): The politics of growth model switching: why Latin America tries, and fails, to abandon commodity-driven growth, in: Blyth, M., Pontusson, J., Baccaro, L. (eds), *Diminishing Returns: The New Politics of Growth and Stagnation*, Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Singer, H.W. (1950): US foreign investment in underdeveloped areas: the distribution of gains between investing and borrowing countries, in: *American Economic Review*, 40, 473–485.
- Staritz, C., Newman, S., Tröster, B., Plank, L. (2018). Financialization and global commodity chains: distributional implications for cotton in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Development and Change*, 49(3), 815–842.
- Stockhammer, E. (2022): Post-Keynesian macroeconomic foundations for comparative political economy, in: *Politics & Society*, 50(1), 156–187.
- Thirlwall, A. P., Pacheco-López, P. (2017): *Economics of Development: Theory and Evidence*, 10th edn, London: Red Globe Press.
- UNCTAD (2019): Commodity Dependence: A Twenty-Year Perspective, Geneva: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
- UNCTAD (2021): State of Commodity Dependence 2021, Geneva: United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
- Woodgate, R. (2021): Multinational corporations and commercialised states: can state aid serve as the basis for an FDI-driven growth strategy? Working Paper, No 151, Berlin: Institute for International Political Economy.
- Woodgate, R. (2022): Profit-led in effect or in appearance alone? Estimating the Irish demand regime given the influence of multinational enterprises, in: *Review of Evolutionary Political Econ*omy 3, 319–350.

APPENDIX 1

Country	Agriculture	Energy	Mining	Total	Share of GDP
Afghanistan	71.5	7.8	12.5	91.8	4.2
Algeria	0.9	92.6	0.2	93.7	20.9
Angola	0.6	92.7	3.1	96.4	39.5
Argentina	56.6	3.9	3.8	64.2	8.4
Armenia	25.9	2	46.8	74.7	14.3
Australia	13.8	20.9	36.2	71	12.9
Azerbaijan	4.5	90.5	1.9	96.9	39.4
Bahrain	5	33.6	29.6	68.3	32.2
Belize	53.9	4.9	4.6	63.4	15.3
Benin	67.3	4.2	18.9	90.3	29.1
Bolivia	16.1	33.1	44.8	94	20.4
Botswana	1.8	0.3	91.5	93.6	28.4
Brazil	39.2	12.9	14.5	66.6	8.4
Brunei	0.4	91.5	0.2	92	45.7
Burkina Faso	20.9	1	75.5	97.4	20.5
Burundi	36.2	2	55.1	93.2	4.9
Cabo Verde	76.1	0.4	3.4	80	2.7
Cameroon	42.4	42.6	8.2	93.2	10
Chad	7.9	81.3	9.6	98.8	25.6
Chile	32.5	0.9	53.6	87	21.8
Colombia	18	56.3	5.5	79.8	10
Congo	4.5	71.5	16.9	92.9	50.7
Côte d'Ivoire	68.7	14.9	8.6	92.2	26.3
DR Congo	3.1	6.7	85.4	95.2	17.1
Djibouti	43.6	11.2	6.6	61.5	71.2
Écuador	49.7	41.7	2.5	93.9	19
Equatorial Guinea	3	87.6	0.4	91	42
Eritrea	28.6	0	55.4	84	8.9
Ethiopia	73.6	0	5.9	79.5	2.5
Fiji	56	14.3	7.4	77.7	14.5
Gabon	18.2	58.2	13	89.4	37.1
Gambia	77.4	2.9	6.1	86.4	6.9
Ghana	26.1	30.8	39.1	95.9	23.2
Greece	19.6	33	8.8	61.3	11.1
Guinea	6.2	3.1	84.3	93.5	29.4
Guinea-Bissau	93.6	4.4	0.3	98.3	19
Guyana	23.5	0	63.6	87.1	35.2
Iceland	46.1	1.8	38.1	86	18.6
Iran	7.1	62.3	5.4	74.9	11.9
Iraq	0.2	96.9	2.6	99.8	37.9
Jamaica	24.9	15.9	50	90.8	10.1
Kazakhstan	5.6	68.6	13.1	87.3	29.1
Kenya	58	4.6	7.4	70	4.5
Kiribati	95.1	0.4	0.1	95.5	5.7
Kuwait	1.5	85.9	0.6	88	42.1
Kyrgyzstan	13	6	58.1	77.1	17.7
Lao	23.5	23.4	23.7	70.7	22.3
Liberia	15.9	9.8	36.2	61.9	13.6

Table A1 Commodity dependent economies and their export profiles, 2018–19, in per cent

Country	Agriculture	Energy	Mining	Total	Share of GDP
Libya	0.3	92.5	2.8	95.7	64.7
Madagascar	42.4	1.3	29.4	73	15.2
Malawi	89.6	0.4	2.4	92.3	10.5
Maldives	80.3	15.8	0.9	97	6.2
Mali	18.7	0.3	72.5	91.4	19.2
Mauritania	43.4	2.6	50.9	96.9	27.4
Micronesia	96.8	0	0.1	97	12.3
Mongolia	7	44.8	46.1	97.9	53.9
Montenegro	18.8	19	32	69.8	5.9
Mozambique	18	42.6	34.5	95	31.8
Myanmar	27.9	22.9	10.3	61.1	13.9
Namibia	22.4	0.6	58.8	81.8	30
Nauru	34.9	0	39.6	74.5	14.8
New Zealand	73.7	1.9	3.8	79.4	15.2
Niger	21.5	14	30.4	65.9	5.6
Nigeria	3.6	92.8	1.5	97.9	13.8
Norway	12.2	59.4	6.4	78	20.8
Oman	5.2	59.9	8.3	73.5	32.9
Papua New Guinea	23.8	31.9	40.4	96.1	44.7
Paraguay	65.6	20.3	1.3	87.2	18.6
Peru	22.3	7.9	60.3	90.5	18.9
Qatar	0.1	84.5	2.4	86.9	35.9
Russia	7.8	52.3	7.6	67.8	17.7
Rwanda	27.7	7.3	57.2	92.1	10.1
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	18.3	46.1	0.3	64.7	3.2
Samoa	57.8	11.9	1.4	71.1	4
Sao Tome and Principe	59.6	2.6	2.9	65.1	2.2
Saudi Arabia	1.8	72.6	2	76.5	27.4
Senegal	33.9	16.5	24	74.4	12.1
Seychelles	53.8	21.8	0.3	75.9	25.2
Sierra Leone	22.9	1.1	47.6	71.6	10.3
Solomon Islands	89.8	0.2	8.4	98.4	39.5
Somalia	78.8	0	15.1	93.8	30.3
South Sudan	2.3	97.3	0.3	100	19.4
Sudan	46.9	20.5	30.7	98.1	8.4
Suriname	14.7	3.8	79.7	98.1	59.6
Syria	55.4	11.4	2.2	69	7.8
Ťajikistan	27	2.6	48.3	77.9	11.2
Timor-Leste	39.5	36.7	2.4	78.6	3.1
Togo	17.9	40.9	17.4	76.1	15.9
Tonga	77.6	0	1.8	79.4	2.5
Turkmenistan	3.5	89.6	0.9	94	21
Tuvalu	82.9	0.1	0.1	83.1	0.2
Uganda	51.6	4.3	28.4	84.4	8.8
United Arab Emirates	4.7	40.6	19.8	65.1	50.2
Tanzania	42.4	1.9	29.3	73.7	4.9
Uruguay	77.5	1.2	0.8	79.5	10.4
Uzbekistan	15.9	22.9	33.2	71.9	17.5
Vanuatu	75.2	3.5	3.4	82.1	4.5
Venezuela	3	76.3	1.4	80.6	13.2

(continues overleaf)

Country	Agriculture	Energy	Mining	Total	Share of GDP
Yemen Zambia	26.2 9.5	50.4 1.5	17 75.6	93.6 86.6	4.6 26.8
Zimbabwe	36	0.5	47.2	83.7	14

Table A1 (continued)

Notes: 'Total refers' to all commodity exports as a share of merchandise exports. 'Share of GDP' refers to commodity exports as a share of GDP. Source: UNCTAD, State of Commodity Dependence.