

Schnabel, Claus; Wagner, Joachim

Working Paper

Union membership and age: the inverted U-shape hypothesis under test

Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 107

Provided in Cooperation with:

Institute of Economics, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

Suggested Citation: Schnabel, Claus; Wagner, Joachim (2008) : Union membership and age: the inverted U-shape hypothesis under test, Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 107, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre, Lüneburg

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/28225>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

**Union Membership and Age:
The inverted U-shape hypothesis under test**

by
Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner

University of Lüneburg
Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 107

November 2008

www.leuphana.de/vwl/papers

ISSN 1860 - 5508

Union Membership and Age: The inverted U-shape hypothesis under test

Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner

[This version: November 25, 2008]

Abstract

In this note we cast some doubt on the claim put forward by David Blanchflower (2007) that the probability of being unionized follows an inverted U-shaped pattern in age with a maximum in the mid- to late 40s. By using a special test for an inverted U-shaped pattern that has not been applied to the age-membership nexus before, and by constructing exact confidence intervals for the maximum value, we demonstrate that at least for West Germany Blanchflower's hypothesis does not hold. Our findings suggest that more definitive evidence is needed before the existence of international unionization-age patterns can be taken for granted.

JEL classification: J51

Keywords: unionization, age, inverted U-shape, Germany

Prof. Dr. Claus Schnabel
University of Erlangen-Nuernberg
Lange Gasse 20
D-90403 Nuernberg
Tel.: +49 (0) 911/5302-330, -481
Fax.: +49 (0) 911/5302-721
E-mail: claus.schnabel@wiso.uni-erlangen.de

Prof. Dr. Joachim Wagner
Leuphana University Lueneburg
PO Box 2440
D-21314 Lueneburg
Tel.: +49 (0)4131/677-2330
Fax: +49 (0)4131/677-2026
E-mail: wagner@leuphana.de

1. Motivation

In a recent contribution David Blanchflower documents “an empirical regularity not hitherto identified, namely the probability of being unionized follows an inverted U-shaped pattern in age, maximizing in the mid- to late 40s in 34 of the 38 countries [studied]” (Blanchflower 2007: 1). Germany is a case in point according to the figures reported in his Table 7, with an age maximum in unionization at 43 in both West and East Germany. To test for this inverted U-shaped pattern and to compute the estimated maximum, Blanchflower estimates probit functions with a union membership dummy as the endogenous variable, while the exogenous variables include age and age squared plus a set of control variables (such as gender, education, and year dummies if appropriate). He argues that a statistically significant positive coefficient of age and a statistically significant negative coefficient of age squared indicate an inverted U-shaped pattern, and reports the maximum of this pattern obtained from solving this quadratic equation (Blanchflower 2007: 15).

While this procedure is standard in many fields of economics and social sciences, it is not fully appropriate. Lind and Mehlum (2007) recently showed that statistically significant regression coefficients of a variable and its squared term that have opposite signs, plus a computed extreme value based on these estimated coefficients that lies inside the data range, are only necessary but not sufficient to prove the existence of a U-shaped (or inverted U-shaped) relationship.¹ They point out that standard testing methodology is no longer suitable for the U shape test of the *composite* null hypothesis that the relationship is decreasing at the left hand side of the interval *and/or* is increasing at the right hand side (resp. the opposite in case of

¹ Lind and Mehlum (2007: 2) argue “that this criteria is too weak. The problem arises when the true relationship is convex but monotone. A quadratic approximation will then erroneously yield an extreme point and hence a U shape.”

an inverted U shape). In other words, even if the estimated coefficients of age and age squared in a union membership function are positive and negative, respectively, and statistically significantly different from zero at a conventional error level, and if the computed maximum of the probability of being a union member based on these estimates is neither smaller nor larger than the age of the youngest or oldest person in the sample, this is not sufficient to claim that there is an inverted U-shaped pattern of union membership in age. Lind and Mehlum (2007) adopt a general framework developed by Sasabuchi (1980) to test for the presence of a U-shaped or inverted U-shaped pattern, and they propose the Fieller method to compute the confidence interval for the estimated extreme value.

In this note we compute Sasabuchi tests and Fieller confidence intervals to test the hypothesis of an inverted U-shaped pattern of union membership in age with a maximum in the mid- to late 40s put forward by Blanchflower (2007) using data for West Germany. Section 2 describes the data and outlines our empirical strategy. Section 3 reports the results of our econometric investigation. Section 4 concludes.

2. Data and empirical strategy

In this note, the relationship between unionization and age is investigated using data that are taken from various waves of the ALLBUS, the German general social survey. This survey has been conducted every second year since 1980. Note that the ALLBUS data sets are not part of a panel study; for each wave an independent random sample is drawn covering people aged 18 years or more (for additional information on the ALLBUS, see Terwey 2000). We look at individuals who were 18 to 64 years old and who were working full time or part time, either as blue-collar workers, white-collar workers (except top managers) or civil servants (*Beamte*). Foreigners are excluded here because they were not covered in the years before

1991 and because they form a small and rather heterogeneous proportion of the samples. We focus on West Germany because of the special modalities of quasi-automatic union recruitment in East Germany before and after unification and because this enables us to cover a longer period of observation. We conduct separate analyses for male and female employees to take into account the different work histories of men and women and the lower labour force attachment of women which both can be expected to affect union membership differently.

Data are taken from the ALLBUS surveys conducted in every other year between 1980 (the starting year of this series of surveys) and 2006 (the most recent year for which data were available). Since information on one important variable, the political orientation of the individuals, is missing in 1984, this wave could not be included. The data were pooled over all surveys in a decade, leading to three data sets covering 1980 to 1988, 1990 to 1998, and 2000 to 2006.

Table 1 reports descriptive statistics on the share of union members and non-members, and on the average age of both groups, for West German men and women for the 1980s, 1990s, and 2000s. It can be seen that union density is higher for men than for women, but this gender gap in unionization narrows over time because the substantial fall in union density is much more pronounced for men. The average age of both union members and non-members increases between the 1980s and 2000s, and union members tend to be slightly older than non-members.

[Table 1 near here]

To investigate the role of age as a determinant of union membership, we estimate membership functions separately for men and women using the probit method and pooled data for the 1980s, the 1990s, and the 2000s. The endogenous

variable is a dummy variable that is one if a person is a union member, and zero otherwise. To test for the presence of an inverted U-shaped pattern of union membership in age, four different (nested) empirical models are estimated. Model 1 includes only age and age squared (plus a constant). Model 2 augments model 1 by adding a set of dummy variables indicating whether or not a person is a member of one of the following cohorts of employees who were born within periods of ten years: 1916-1925, 1926-1935, 1936-1945, 1946-1955, 1956-1965, 1966-1975, 1976-1985. Model 3 further adds a set of dummy variables for the ALLBUS surveys the data are taken from. Model 4 augments model 3 by including the following control variables: dummy variables for completed apprenticeship or master craftsman, polytech or university degree, blue-collar worker, civil servant, public sector employee, and father being a blue collar worker, as well as the value of an index measuring the political orientation of individuals (from 1 = extreme left to 10 = extreme right).²

We test the Blanchflower (2007) hypothesis of an inverted U-shaped pattern of union membership in age with a maximum at the mid- to late 40s in three stages: First, we apply the standard significance tests to the estimated coefficients of the variables age and age squared (both separately and jointly). Second, we conduct a Sasabuchi (1980) test of an inverted U-shape in age (which is also known as an intersection-union test): This tests the composite null hypothesis that the relationship is increasing at low values of the age interval and/or is decreasing at high values. Third, for the estimated extreme point we compute the Fieller confidence interval (for the ratio of the two normally distributed estimates for the age and age squared variables) and check whether this confidence interval is contained within the data

² See Schnabel and Wagner (2005, 2008) for a discussion of these control variables.

range. We also look whether the estimated maximum lies in the age range found by Blanchflower (i.e. the mid- to late 40s).³

3. Empirical results

The results of our empirical investigation are reported in Tables 2.1 – 2.3 for men and in Tables 3.1 – 3.3 for women. Given our focus on testing the inverted U-shape hypothesis, we just report the estimated coefficients of the age and age squared variables, but not the coefficients of the cohort dummy variables, the survey dummy variables, and the control variables measured at the individual level.⁴

Our results for men clearly reject the hypothesis of an inverted U-shaped pattern of union membership in age with a maximum at the mid- to late 40s. While age (age squared) has a positive (negative) sign in all 12 empirical models, the estimated coefficients are statistically significant (separately and jointly) at an error level of five percent or less only in model 1 for all three decades plus in model 4 for the pooled data from 1990 to 1998. The Sasabuchi test rejects the hypothesis of an inverted U-shape at the five percent level for all models with the sole exception of model 4 in the 1990s. Even in this model, however, a closer look casts doubt on the second part of the hypothesis under test, i.e. that the maximum is at the mid- to late 40s. The Fieller confidence interval is rather broad, spanning an age period from the late 20s to the mid-50s. The bottom line thus is that we find no stable evidence on a

³ For details regarding the statistical theory underlying these methods, see Lind and Mehlum (2007). All computations use Stata 10.0 and the ado-file `utest` provided by Lind and Mehlum. To facilitate replication and extensions all do-files are available from the second author.

⁴ Detailed results for the individual-level control variables in membership functions estimated with data for 1980 and 2006 can be found in Schnabel and Wagner (2008).

Blanchflower-type relationship between unionization and age among West German men.

[Tables 2.1 – 2.3 near here]

The results for West German women are even less in line with the hypothesis put forward by Blanchflower. The estimated coefficients of the age and age squared variables are statistically significantly different from zero (individually and jointly) at an error level of five percent or better for model 1 in the 1990s and 2000s only. Only the latter model also passes the Sasabuchi test with a prob-value of 0.039. While the point estimates of the maximum of the inverted U are in both cases in line with Blanchflower's hypothesis (taking values of 47.6 and 45.7 years), the Fieller confidence intervals demonstrate that these estimates for the maxima are too imprecise to rectify the conclusion that the maximum falls into the range of the mid- to late 40s.

[Tables 3.1 – 3.3 near here]

4. Concluding remarks

The results presented in this note cast some doubt on the claim put forward by David Blanchflower (2007) that the probability of being unionized follows an inverted U-shaped pattern in age with a maximum in the mid-to late 40s. We demonstrate that at least for West Germany this is not the case – contrary to the findings for Germany presented by Blanchflower (2007). Since our findings are based on a different data set than Blanchflower's, distinguish between men and women, and apply a new statistical method for appropriately testing U-shaped patterns that has not been used

to investigate the relationship between union membership and age before, we would agree that the jury is still out on this issue. Using the data sets and empirical models which Blanchflower's study is based upon and replicating the estimations with the test procedures used here might be a promising way to gain more definitive evidence on the existence of international patterns of unionization and age.

Acknowledgements

This paper uses data from various ALLBUS surveys provided by the Zentralarchiv für Empirische Sozialforschung in Cologne. The authors alone are responsible for the use of the data in this study and for any conclusions drawn here.

References

- Blanchflower, D. G. (2007). 'International Patterns of Union Membership'. *British Journal of Industrial Relations*, 45 (1): 1-28.
- Lind, J. T. and Mehlum, H. (2007). 'With or Without U? – The appropriate test for a U shaped relationship'. Munich Personal RePEc Archive MPRA Paper No. 4823, posted 11 September, 2007. [online at <http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/4823/>]
- Terwey, M. (2000). 'ALLBUS: A German General Social Survey'. *Schmollers Jahrbuch / Journal of Applied Social Science Studies*, 120 (1): 151-158.
- Sasabuchi, S. (1980). 'A test of a multivariate normal mean with composite hypotheses determined by linear inequalities'. *Biometrika*, 67 (2): 429-39.
- Schnabel, C. and Wagner, J. (2005). 'Determinants of trade union membership in West Germany: evidence from micro data, 1980-2000'. *Socio-Economic Review*, 3 (1): 1-24.

Schnabel, C. and Wagner, J. (2008). 'The Aging of the Unions in West Germany, 1980-2006'. IZA Discussion Paper No. 3661. Bonn. (forthcoming in *Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik / Journal of Economics and Statistics*).

Table 1: Descriptive statistics on union membership and age in West Germany, 1980 – 2006

Sample	1980 – 1988		1990 – 1998		2000 – 2006	
	Share (percent)	Age (mean) (years)	Share (percent)	Age (mean) (years)	Share (percent)	Age (mean) (years)
Men						
Union members	38.0	40.7	34.2	40.8	26.6	42.9
Non-members	62.0	39.1	65.8	39.1	73.4	40.4
Women						
Union members	19.0	36.6	18.9	39.8	16.5	42.0
Non-members	81.0	36.7	81.1	37.9	83.5	40.5

Note: Computed from various waves of the ALLBUS survey; see text for details.

Table 2-1: Test of an inversely U-shaped relationship between the probability of union membership and age for West German men, Part I: 1980 – 1988

		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Age (years)	β	0.04076	0.03092	0.02578	0.02205
	p	0.008	0.248	0.347	0.480
Age squared	β	-0.00040	-0.00032	-0.00030	-0.00027
	p	0.032	0.323	0.348	0.459
Test of joint significance of age variables. prob-value		0.0001	0.431	0.635	0.760
Sasabuchi-test of inverse U-shape in age. prob-value		0.114	0.276	0.232	0.264
Estimated extreme point (years) (bounds of 95% Fieller interval)		50.5 44.3 ; 154.7	48.8 -inf. ; +inf.	42.8 -inf. ; +inf.	40.3 -inf. ; +inf.
Test of joint significance of cohort dummy variables. prob-value		[-]	0.004	0.0025	0.054
Test of joint significance of survey dummy variables. prob-value		[-]	[-]	0.145	0.338
Test of joint significance of control variables. prob-value		[-]	[-]	[-]	0.000
LR-Test of entire regression. prob-value		0.0001	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Number of observations		2943	2943	2943	2234

Notes: β is the estimated regression coefficient from a probit model, p is the prob-value (based on robust standard errors). For an explanation of the Sasabuchi-test and the Fieller interval see text. Cohort dummy variables are included for birth years 1926-1935, 1936-1945, 1946-1955, 1956-1965, and 1966-1975, using 1916-1925 as the reference category. Survey dummy variables are included for the ALLBUS surveys 1982, 1986, and 1988, using 1980 as the reference category. The control variables include dummy variables for completed apprenticeship or master craftsman, polytech or university degree, blue-collar worker, civil servant, public sector employee, and father being a blue collar worker, and the value of an index measuring the political orientation (from 1 = extreme left to 10 = extreme right). Data from the ALLBUS survey for 1984 were excluded due to missing information on the political orientation. [-] indicates that the group of variables is not included in the model.

Table 2-2: Test of an inversely U-shaped relationship between the probability of union membership and age for West German men, Part II: 1990 – 1998

		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Age (years)	β	0.04833	0.03262	0.03906	0.08597
	p	0.004	0.241	0.170	0.009
Age squared	β	-0.00049	-0.00050	-0.00045	-0.00107
	p	0.015	0.140	0.185	0.008
Test of joint significance of age variables. prob-value		0.000	0.176	0.388	0.028
Sasabuchi-test of inverse U-shape in age. prob-value		0.067	0.183	0.164	0.011
Estimated extreme point (years) (bounds of 95% Fieller interval)		49.3 44.2 ; 86.5	32.8 -inf. ; +inf.	43.8 -inf. ; +inf.	40.2 27.5 ; 55.2
Test of joint significance of cohort dummy variables. prob-value		[-]	0.133	0.965	0.670
Test of joint significance of survey dummy variables. prob-value		[-]	[-]	0.114	0.241
Test of joint significance of control variables. prob-value		[-]	[-]	[-]	0.0000
LR-Test of entire regression. prob-value		0.0000	0.0002	0.0002	0.0000
Number of observations		2907	2907	2907	2320

Notes: β is the estimated regression coefficient from a probit model, p is the prob-value (based on robust standard errors). For an explanation of the Sasabuchi-test and the Fieller interval see text. Cohort dummy variables are included for birth years 1926-1935, 1936-1945, 1946-1955, 1956-1965, and 1966-1975, using 1916-1925 as the reference category. Survey dummy variables are included for the ALLBUS surveys 1992, 1994, 1996, and 1998, using 1990 as the reference category. The control variables include dummy variables for completed apprenticeship or master-craftsman, polytech or university degree, blue-collar worker, civil servant, public sector employee, and father being a blue collar worker, and the value of an index measuring the political orientation (from 1 = extreme left to 10 = extreme right). [-] indicates that the group of variables is not included in the model.

Table 2-3: Test of an inversely U-shaped relationship between the probability of union membership and age for West German men, Part III: 2000 – 2006

		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Age (years)	β	0.06930	0.00042	0.00996	0.01514
	P	0.003	0.993	0.831	0.779
Age squared	β	-0.00067	-0.00012	-0.00008	-0.00010
	P	0.016	0.819	0.875	0.872
Test of joint significance of age variables. prob-value		0.000	0.580	0.962	0.786
Sasabuchi-test of inverse U-shape in age. prob-value		0.104	1.000	0.487	1.000
Estimated extreme point (years) (bounds of 95% Fieller interval)		52.0 46.1 ; 98.2	1.7 -inf. ; +inf.	58.7 -inf. ; +inf.	75.6 -inf. ; +inf.
Test of joint significance of cohort dummy variables. prob-value		[-]	0.0015	0.008	0.411
Test of joint significance of survey dummy variables. prob-value		[-]	[-]	0.243	0.397
Test of joint significance of control variables. prob-value		[-]	[-]	[-]	0.000
LR-Test of entire regression. prob-value		0.0000	0.0000	0.0000	0.0000
Number of observations		1708	1708	1708	1410

Notes: β is the estimated regression coefficient from a probit model, p is the prob-value (based on robust standard errors). For an explanation of the Sasabuchi-test and the Fieller interval see text. Cohort dummy variables are included for birth years 1946-1955, 1956-1965, 1966-1975, and 1976-1985, using 1936-1945 as the reference category. Survey dummy variables are included for the ALLBUS surveys 2002 and 2004, using 2000 as the reference category. The control variables include dummy variables for completed apprenticeship or master-craftsman, polytech or university degree, blue-collar worker, civil servant, public sector employee, and father being a blue collar worker, and the value of an index measuring the political orientation (from 1 = extreme left to 10 = extreme right). [-] indicates that the group of variables is not included in the model.

Table 3-1: Test of an inversely U-shaped relationship between the probability of union membership and age for West German women, Part I: 1980 – 1988

		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Age (years)	β	-0.015	-0.0126	-0.0095	-0.0172
	p	0.478	0.737	0.807	0.709
Age squared	β	0.00019	-0.00009	-0.00011	0.0002
	p	0.490	0.848	0.819	0.714
Test of joint significance of age variables, prob-value		0.7745	0.1126	0.3816	0.9316
Sasabuchi-test of inverse U-shape in age, prob-value		0.262	1.000	1.000	0.389
Estimated extreme point (years) (bounds of 95% Fieller interval)		40.0 [-inf. ; +inf.]	-68.5 [-inf. ; 35.2]	-43.2 [-inf. ; +inf.]	41.2 [-inf. ; +inf.]
Test of joint significance of cohort dummy variables, prob-value		[-]	0.1300	0.3751	0.4519
Test of joint significance of survey dummy variables, prob-value		[-]	[-]	0.8258	0.6926
Test of joint significance of control variables, prob-value		[-]	[-]	[-]	0.0000
LR-Test of entire regression, prob-value		0.7745	0.2492	0.4466	0.0000
Number of observations		1767	1767	1767	1323

Notes: β is the estimated regression coefficient from a probit model, p is the prob-value (based on robust standard errors). For an explanation of the Sasabuchi-test and the Fieller interval see text. Cohort dummy variables are included for birth years 1926-1935, 1936-1945, 1946-1955, 1956-1965, and 1966-1975, using 1916-1925 as the reference category. Survey dummy variables are included for the ALLBUS surveys 1982, 1986, and 1988, using 1980 as the reference category. The control variables include dummy variables for completed apprenticeship or master craftsman, polytech or university degree, blue-collar worker, civil servant, public sector employee, and father being a blue collar worker, and the value of an index measuring the political orientation (from 1 = extreme left to 10 = extreme right). Data from the ALLBUS survey for 1984 were excluded due to missing information on the political orientation. [-] indicates that the group of variables is not included in the model.

Table 3-2: Test of an inversely U-shaped relationship between the probability of union membership and age for West German women, Part II: 1990 – 1998

		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	
Age (years)	β	0.0544	0.0494	0.0595	0.0649	
	p	0.020	0.215	0.134	0.180	
Age squared	β	-0.0006	-0.00062	-0.00062	-0.00071	
	p	0.049	0.197	0.198	0.236	
Test of joint significance of age Variables, prob-value		0.0028	0.4340	0.2947	0.3885	
Sasabuchi-test of inverse u-shape in age, prob-value		0.0947	0.123	0.233	0.218	
Estimated extreme point (years) (bounds of 95% Fieller interval)		47.6 [41.9 ; 1564.1]	39.6 [-inf. ; +inf.]	48.4 [-inf. ; +inf.]	45.4 [-inf. ; +inf.]	
Test of joint significance of cohort dummy variables, prob-value		[-]	0.2988	0.3681	0.7734	0.4528
Test of joint significance of survey dummy variables, prob-value		[-]	[-]	0.2326	0.1833	[-]
Test of joint significance of control Variables, prob-value		[-]	[-]	[-]	0.0000	0.0000
LR-Test of entire regression, prob-value		0.0028	0.0106	0.0107	0.0000	0.0000
Number of observations		1950	1950	1950	492	1492

Notes: β is the estimated regression coefficient from a probit model, p is the prob-value (based on robust standard errors). For an explanation of the Sasabuchi-test and the Fieller interval see text. Cohort dummy variables are included for birth years 1926-1935, 1936-1945, 1946-1955, 1956-1965, and 1966-1975, using 1916-1925 as the reference category. Survey dummy variables are included for the ALLBUS surveys 1992, 1994, 1996, and 1998, using 1990 as the reference category. The control variables include dummy variables for completed apprenticeship or master-craftsman, polytech or university degree, blue-collar worker, civil servant, public sector employee, and father being a blue collar worker, and the value of an index measuring the political orientation (from 1 = extreme left to 10 = extreme right). [-] indicates that the group of variables is not included in the model.

Table 3-3: Test of an inversely U-shaped relationship between the probability of union membership and age for West German women, Part III: 2000 – 2006

		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
Age (years)	β	0.0738	0.0224	0.0407	0.0920
	p	0.013	0.692	0.488	0.186
Age squared	β	-0.00081	-0.00043	-0.000383	-0.00088
	P	0.024	0.523	0.577	0.288
Test of joint significance of age Variables, prob-value		0.0179	0.4489	0.7183	0.2970
Sasabuchi-test of inverse U-shape in age, prob-value		0.039	0.417	0.405	0.312
Estimated extreme point (years) (bounds of 95% Fieller interval)		45.7 [40.7 ; 78.0]	26.1 [-inf. ; +inf.]	53.1 [-inf. ; +inf.]	52.0 [-inf. ; +inf.]
Test of joint significance of cohort dummy variables, prob-value		[-]	0.0424	0.1143	0.1392
Test of joint significance of survey dummy variables, prob-value		[-]	[-]	0.0199	0.0802
Test of joint significance of control variables, prob-value		[-]	[-]	[-]	0.0000
LR-Test of entire regression, prob-value		0.0179	0.0044	0.0008	0.0000
Number of observations		1309	1309	1309	1058

Notes: β is the estimated regression coefficient from a probit model, p is the prob-value (based on robust standard errors). For an explanation of the Sasabuchi-test and the Fieller interval see text. Cohort dummy variables are included for birth years 1946-1955, 1956-1965, 1966-1975, and 1976-1985, using 1936-1945 as the reference category. Survey dummy variables are included for the ALLBUS surveys 2002 and 2004, using 2000 as the reference category. The control variables include dummy variables for completed apprenticeship or master-craftsman, polytech or university degree, blue-collar worker, civil servant, public sector employee, and father being a blue collar worker, and the value of an index measuring the political orientation (from 1 = extreme left to 10 = extreme right). [-] indicates that the group of variables is not included in the model.

Working Paper Series in Economics

(see www.leuphana.de/vwl/papers for a complete list)

- No.106: *Alexander Vogel & Joachim Wagner*: Higher Productivity in Importing German Manufacturing Firms: Self-selection, Learning from Importing, or Both? November 2008
- No.105: *Markus Groth*: Kosteneffizienter und effektiver Biodiversitätsschutz durch Ausschreibungen und eine ergebnisorientierte Honorierung: Das Modellprojekt „Blühendes Steinburg“. November 2008
- No.104: *Alexander Vogel & Joachim Wagner*: Export, Import und Produktivität wissensintensiver KMUs in Deutschland. Oktober 2008
- No.103: *Christiane Clemens & Maik Heinemann*: On Entrepreneurial Risk – Taking and the Macroeconomic Effects Of Financial Constraints, October 2008
- No.102: *Helmut Fryges & Joachim Wagner*: Exports and Profitability – First Evidence for German Manufacturing Firms. October 2008
- No.101: *Heike Wetzel*: Productivity Growth in European Railways: Technological Progress, Efficiency Change and Scale Effects. October 2008
- No.100: *Henry Sabrowski*: Inflation Expectation Formation of German Consumers: Rational or Adaptive? October 2008
- No.99: *Joachim Wagner*: Produktdifferenzierung in deutschen Industrieunternehmen 1995 – 2004: Ausmaß und Bestimmungsgründe, Oktober 2008
- No.98: *Jan Kranich*: Agglomeration, vertical specialization, and the strength of industrial linkages, September 2008
- No.97: *Joachim Wagner*: Exports and firm characteristics - First evidence from Fractional Probit Panel Estimates, August 2008
- No.96: *Nils Braakmann*: The smoking wage penalty in the United Kingdom: Regression and matching evidence from the British Household Panel Survey, August 2008
- No.95: *Joachim Wagner*: Exportaktivitäten und Rendite in niedersächsischen Industrieunternehmen, August 2008
[publiziert in: Statistische Monatshefte Niedersachsen 62 (2008), 10,552-560]
- No.94: *Joachim Wagner*: Wirken sich Exportaktivitäten positiv auf die Rendite von deutschen Industrieunternehmen aus?, August 2008
[publiziert in: Wirtschaftsdienst, 88 (2008) 10, 690-696]
- No.93: *Claus Schnabel & Joachim Wagner*: The aging of the unions in West Germany, 1980-2006, August 2008
[forthcoming in: Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik]
- No.92: *Alexander Vogel and Stefan Dittrich*: The German turnover tax statistics panels, August 2008
[forthcoming in: Schmollers Jahrbuch 128 (2008)]
- No.91: *Nils Braakmann*: Crime does pay (at least when it's violent!) – On the compensating wage differentials of high regional crime levels, July 2008
- No.90: *Nils Braakmann*: Fields of training, plant characteristics and the gender wage gap in entry wages among skilled workers – Evidence from German administrative data, July 2008
- No.89: *Alexander Vogel*: Exports productivity in the German business services sector: First evidence from the Turnover Tax Statistics panel, July 2008

- No.88: *Joachim Wagner*: Improvements and future challenges for the research infrastructure in the field *Firm Level Data*, June 2008
- No.87: *Markus Groth*: A review of the German mandatory deposit for one-way drinks packaging and drinks packaging taxes in Europe, June 2008
- No.86: *Heike Wetzel*: European railway deregulation. The influence of regulatory and environmental conditions on efficiency, May 2008
- No.85: *Nils Braakmann*: Non scholae, sed vitae discimus! - The importance of fields of study for the gender wage gap among German university graduates during market entry and the first years of their careers, May 2008
- No.84: *Markus Groth*: Private ex-ante transaction costs for repeated biodiversity conservation auctions: A case study, May 2008
- No.83: *Jan Kranich*: R&D and the agglomeration of industries, April 2008
- No.82: *Alexander Vogel*: Zur Exporttätigkeit unternehmensnaher Dienstleister in Niedersachsen - Erste Ergebnisse zu Export und Produktivität auf Basis des Umsatzsteuerstatistikpanels, April 2008
- No.81: *Joachim Wagner*: Exporte und Firmenerfolg: Welche Firmen profitieren wie vom internationalen Handel?, März 2008
- No.80: *Stefan Baumgärtner*: Managing increasing environmental risks through agro-biodiversity and agri-environmental policies, March 2008
- No.79: *Thomas Huth*: Die Quantitätstheorie des Geldes – Eine keynesianische Reformulierung, März 2008
- No.78: *Markus Groth*: An empirical examination of repeated auctions for biodiversity conservation contracts, March 2008
- No.77: *Nils Braakmann*: Intra-firm wage inequality and firm performance – First evidence from German linked employer-employee-data, February 2008
- No.76: *Markus Groth*: Perspektiven der Nutzung von Methanhydraten als Energieträger – Eine Bestandsaufnahme, Februar 2008
- No.75: *Stefan Baumgärtner, Christian Becker, Karin Frank, Birgit Müller & Christian Quaas*: Relating the philosophy and practice of ecological economics. The role of concepts, models, and case studies in inter- and transdisciplinary sustainability research, January 2008
[published in: *Ecological Economics* 67 (2008), 3, 384-393]
- No.74: *Thorsten Schank, Claus Schnabel & Joachim Wagner*: Higher wages in exporting firms: Self-selection, export effect, or both? First evidence from German linked employer-employee data, January 2008
- No.73: *Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre*: Forschungsbericht 2007, Januar 2008
- No.72: *Christian Growitsch and Heike Wetzel*: Testing for economies of scope in European railways: An efficiency analysis, December 2007
[revised version of Working Paper No. 29, forthcoming in: *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*]
- No.71: *Joachim Wagner, Lena Koller and Claus Schnabel*: Sind mittelständische Betriebe der Jobmotor der deutschen Wirtschaft?, Dezember 2007
[publiziert in: *Wirtschaftsdienst* 88 (2008), 2, 130-135]

- No.70: *Nils Braakmann*: Islamic terror, the war on Iraq and the job prospects of Arab men in Britain: Does a country's direct involvement matter?, December 2007
- No.69: *Maik Heinemann*: E-stability and stability learning in models with asymmetric information, December 2007
- No.68: *Joachim Wagner*: Exporte und Produktivität in Industriebetrieben – Niedersachsen im interregionalen und internationalen Vergleich, Dezember 2007
- No.67: *Stefan Baumgärtner and Martin F. Quaas*: Ecological-economic viability as a criterion of strong sustainability under uncertainty, November 2007
- No.66: *Kathrin Michael*: Überbrückungsgeld und Existenzgründungszuschuss – Ergebnisse einer schriftlichen Befragung drei Jahre nach Gründungsbeginn, November 2007
- No.65: *The International Study Group on Export and Productivity*: Exports and Productivity – Comparable Evidence for 14 Countries, November 2007
[forthcoming in: *Review of World Economics* 144 (2008), 4]
- No.64: *Lena Koller, Claus Schnabel und Joachim Wagner*: Freistellung von Betriebsräten – Eine Beschäftigungsbremse?, November 2007
[publiziert in: *Zeitschrift für Arbeitsmarktforschung*, 41 (2008), 2/3, 305-326]
- No.63: *Anne-Kathrin Last*: The Monetary Value of Cultural Goods: A Contingent Valuation Study of the Municipal Supply of Cultural Goods in Lueneburg, Germany, October 2007
- No.62: *Thomas Wein und Heike Wetzel*: The Difficulty to Behave as a (regulated) Natural Monopolist – The Dynamics of Electricity Network Access Charges in Germany 2002 to 2005, September 2007
- No.61: *Stefan Baumgärtner und Martin F. Quaas*: Agro-biodiversity as natural insurance and the development of financial insurance markets, September 2007
[published in: A. Kontoleon, U. Pascual and M. Smale (eds.): *Agrobiodiversity, conservation and economic development*, Routledge, London, 293-317]
- No.60: *Stefan Bender, Joachim Wagner, Markus Zwick*: KombiFiD - Kombinierte Firmendaten für Deutschland, September 2007
- No.59: *Jan Kranich*: Too much R&D? - Vertical differentiation in a model of monopolistic competition, August 2007
- No.58: *Christian Papilloud und Ingrid Ott*: Convergence or mediation? Experts of vulnerability and the vulnerability of experts' discourses on nanotechnologies – a case study, July 2007
[published in: *European Journal of Social Science Research* 21 (2008), 1, 41-64]
- No.57: *Ingrid Ott und Susanne Soretz*: Governmental activity, integration and agglomeration, July 2007
[published in: *ICFAI Journal of Managerial Economics* 5 (2008), 2, 28-47]
- No.56: *Nils Braakmann*: Struktur und Erfolg von Ich-AG-Gründungen: Ergebnisse einer Umfrage im Arbeitsagenturbezirk Lüneburg, Juli 2007
[revidierte Fassung erscheint in: Richter, J., Schöning, S. & Wetzel, H., *Mittelstand 2008. Aktuelle Forschungsbeiträge zu gesellschaftlichen und finanzwirtschaftlichen Herausforderungen*, Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang, 2008]
- No.55: *Nils Braakmann*: Differences in the earnings distribution of self- and dependent employed German men – evidence from a quantile regression decomposition analysis, July 2007

- No.54: *Joachim Wagner*: Export entry, export exit, and productivity in German Manufacturing Industries, June 2007
[published in: International Journal of the Economics of Business 15 (2008), 2, 169-180]
- No.53: *Nils Braakmann*: Wirkungen der Beschäftigungspflicht schwerbehinderter Arbeitnehmer – Erkenntnisse aus der Einführung des „Gesetzes zur Bekämpfung der Arbeitslosigkeit Schwerbehinderter“, Juni 2007
[revidierte Fassung erscheint in: Zeitschrift für Arbeitsmarktforschung/ Journal for Labour Market Research 41 (2008),1, 9-24]
- No.52: *Jan Kranich und Ingrid Ott*: Regionale Spitzentechnologie auf internationalen Märkten, Juni 2007
[erscheint in: Merz, J. und Schulte, R. (Hrsg.): Neue Ansätze der MittelstandsForschung, Münster, 2007]
- No.51: *Joachim Wagner*: Die Forschungspotenziale der Betriebspaneldaten des Monatsberichts im Verarbeitenden Gewerbe, Mai 2007
[publiziert in: AStA – Wirtschafts- und Sozialwirtschaftliches Archiv 2 (2008), 3, 209-221]
- No.50: *Stefan Baumgärtner, Frank Jöst und Ralph Winkler*: Optimal dynamic scale and structure of a multi-pollution economy, May 2007
[forthcoming in: Ecological Economics]
- No.49: *Helmut Fryges und Joachim Wagner*: Exports and productivity growth – First evidence from a continuous treatment approach, May 2007
[forthcoming in: Review of World Economics]
- No.48: *Ulrich Kaiser und Joachim Wagner*: Neue Möglichkeiten zur Nutzung vertraulicher amtlicher Personen- und Firmendaten, April 2007
[publiziert in: Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik 9 (2008), 3, 329-349]
- No.47: *Joachim Wagner*: Jobmotor Mittelstand? Arbeitsplatzdynamik und Betriebsgröße in der westdeutschen Industrie, April 2007
[publiziert in: Vierteljahrshefte zur Wirtschaftsforschung, 76 (2007), 3, 76-87]
- No.46: *Christiane Clemens und Maik Heinemann*: Credit Constraints, Idiosyncratic Risks, and the Wealth Distribution in a Heterogenous Agent Model, March 2007
- No.45: *Jan Kranich*: Biotechnologie und Internationalisierung. Ergebnisse der Online-Befragung, März 2007
- No.44: *Joachim Wagner*: Entry, exit and productivity. Empirical results for German manufacturing industries, March 2007
[forthcoming in: German Economic Review]
- No.43: *Joachim Wagner*: Productivity and Size of the Export Market Evidence for West and East German Plants, 2004, March 2007
[publiziert in: Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik, 227 (2007), 4, 403-408]
- No.42: *Joachim Wagner*: Why more West than East German firms export, March 2007
[forthcoming in: International Economics and Economic Policy]
- No.41: *Joachim Wagner*: Exports and Productivity in Germany, March 2007
[publiziert in: Applied Economics Quarterly 53 (2007), 4, 353-373]
- No.40: *Lena Koller, Klaus Schnabel und Joachim Wagner*: Schwellenwerte im Arbeitsrecht. Höhere Transparenz und Effizienz durch Vereinheitlichung, Februar 2007
[publiziert in: Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik, 8 (2007), 3, 242-255]

- No.39: *Thomas Wein und Wiebke B. Röber*: Sind ausbildende Handwerksbetriebe erfolgreicher?, Januar 2007
- No.38: *Institut für Volkswirtschaft*: Forschungsbericht 2006, Januar 2007
- No.37: *Nils Braakmann*: The impact of September 11th, 2001 on the job prospects of foreigners with Arab background – Evidence from German labor market data, January 2007
[revised version forthcoming as "The impact of September 11th, 2001 on the employment prospects of Arabs and Muslims in the German labor market" in Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik / Journal of Economics and Statistics]
- No.36: *Jens Korunig*: Regulierung des Netzmonopolisten durch Peak-load Pricing?, Dezember 2006
- No.35: *Nils Braakmann*: Die Einführung der fachkundigen Stellungnahme bei der Ich-AG, November 2006
[erscheint in: Schulte, Reinhard: Neue Ansätze der MittelstandsForschung, Münster etc.: Lit, 2008]
- No.34: *Martin F. Quaas and Stefan Baumgärtner*: Natural vs. financial insurance in the management of public-good ecosystems, October 2006
[published in: Ecological Economics 65 (2008), 2, 397-406]
- No.33: *Stefan Baumgärtner and Martin F. Quaas*: The Private and Public Insurance Value of Conservative Biodiversity Management, October 2006
- No.32: *Ingrid Ott and Christian Papilloud*: Converging institutions. Shaping the relationships between nanotechnologies, economy and society, October 2006
[published in: Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society 2007 (27), 4, 455-466]
- No.31: *Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner*: The persistent decline in unionization in western and eastern Germany, 1980-2004: What can we learn from a decomposition analysis?, October 2006
[published in: Industrielle Beziehungen/The German Journal of Industrial Relations 14 (2007), 118-132]
- No.30: *Ingrid Ott and Susanne Soretz*: Regional growth strategies: fiscal versus institutional governmental policies, September 2006
[published in: Economic Modelling 25 (1008), 605-622]
- No.29: *Christian Growitsch and Heike Wetzel*: Economies of Scope in European Railways: An Efficiency Analysis, July 2006
- No.28: *Thorsten Schank, Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner*: Do exporters really pay higher wages? First evidence from German linked employer-employee data, June 2006
[published in in: Journal of International Economics 72 (2007), 1, 52-74]
- No.27: *Joachim Wagner*: Markteintritte, Marktaustritte und Produktivität
Empirische Befunde zur Dynamik in der Industrie, März 2006
[publiziert in: AStA – Wirtschafts- und Sozialwirtschaftliches Archiv 1 (2007), 3, 193-203]
- No.26: *Ingrid Ott and Susanne Soretz*: Governmental activity and private capital adjustment, March 2006
[forthcoming in: Icfai Journal of Managerial Economics]
- No.25: *Joachim Wagner*: International Firm Activities and Innovation: Evidence from Knowledge Production Functions for German Firms, March 2006
[published in: The Icfai Journal of Knowledge Management VI (2008), 2, 47-62]

- No.24: *Ingrid Ott und Susanne Soretz*: Nachhaltige Entwicklung durch endogene Umweltwahrnehmung, März 2006
publiziert in: Clemens, C., Heinemann, M. & Soretz, S., Auf allen Märkten zu Hause (Gedenkschrift für Franz Haslinger), Marburg: Metropolis, 2006, 233-256
- No.23: *John T. Addison, Claus Schnabel, and Joachim Wagner*: The (Parlous) State of German Unions, February 2006
[published in: Journal of Labor Research 28 (2007), 3-18]
- No.22: *Joachim Wagner, Thorsten Schank, Claus Schnabel, and John T. Addison*: Works Councils, Labor Productivity and Plant Heterogeneity: First Evidence from Quantile Regressions, February 2006
[published in: Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik 226 (2006), 505 - 518]
- No.21: *Corinna Bunk*: Betriebliche Mitbestimmung vier Jahre nach der Reform des BetrVG: Ergebnisse der 2. Befragung der Mitglieder des Arbeitgeberverbandes Lüneburg Nordostniedersachsen, Februar 2006
- No.20: *Jan Kranich*: The Strength of Vertical Linkages, July 2006
- No.19: *Jan Kranich und Ingrid Ott*: Geographische Restrukturierung internationaler Wertschöpfungsketten – Standortentscheidungen von KMU aus regionalökonomischer Perspektive, Februar 2006
[publiziert in: Merz, J. und Schulte, R. (Hrsg.): Fortschritte in der MittelstandsForschung, Münster, 2006, 113-129]
- No.18: *Thomas Wein und Wiebke B. Röber*: Handwerksreform 2004 – Rückwirkungen auf das Ausbildungsverhalten Lüneburger Handwerksbetriebe?, Februar 2006
- No.17: *Wiebke B. Röber und Thomas Wein*: Mehr Wettbewerb im Handwerk durch die Handwerksreform?, Februar 2006
- No.16: *Joachim Wagner*: Politikrelevante Folgerungen aus Analysen mit wirtschaftsstatistischen Einzeldaten der Amtlichen Statistik, Februar 2006
[publiziert in: Schmollers Jahrbuch 126 (2006) 359-374]
- No.15: *Joachim Wagner*: Firmenalter und Firmenperformance
Empirische Befunde zu Unterschieden zwischen jungen und alten Firmen in Deutschland, September 2005
[publiziert in: Lutz Bellmann und Joachim Wagner (Hrsg.), Betriebsdemographie (Beiträge zur Arbeitsmarkt- und Berufsforschung, Band 305), Nürnberg: IAB der BA, 83-111]
- No.14: *Joachim Wagner*: German Works Councils and Productivity: First Evidence from a Nonparametric Test, September 2005
[published in: Applied Economics Letters 15 (2008), 727-730]
- No.13: *Lena Koller, Claus Schnabel und Joachim Wagner*: Arbeitsrechtliche Schwellenwerte und betriebliche Arbeitsplatzdynamik: Eine empirische Untersuchung am Beispiel des Schwerbehindertengesetzes, August 2005
[publiziert in: Zeitschrift für ArbeitsmarktForschung/ Journal for Labour Market Research 39 (2006), 181-199]

- No.12: *Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner: Who are the workers who never joined a union? Empirical evidence from Germany, July 2005*
[published in: Industrielle Beziehungen/ The German Journal of Industrial Relations 13 (2006), 118-131]
- No.11: *Joachim Wagner: Exporte und Produktivität in mittelständischen Betrieben Befunde aus der niedersächsischen Industrie (1995 – 2004), June 2005*
[publiziert in: Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Statistik, Statistische Berichte Niedersachsen, Sonderausgabe: Tagung der NLS am 9. März 2006, Globalisierung und regionale Wirtschaftsentwicklung - Datenlage und Datenbedarf in Niedersachsen. Hannover, Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Statistik, Juli 2006, 18 – 29]
- No.10: *Joachim Wagner: Der Noth gehorchend, nicht dem eignen Trieb. Nascent Necessity and Opportunity Entrepreneurs in Germany. Evidence from the Regional Entrepreneurship Monitor (REM), May 2005*
[published in: RWI: Mitteilungen. Quarterly 54/ 55 (2003/04), 287-303
{published June 2006}]
- No. 9: *Gabriel Desgranges and Maik Heinemann: Strongly Rational Expectations Equilibria with Endogenous Acquisition of Information, March 2005*
- No. 8: *Joachim Wagner: Exports, Foreign Direct Investment, and Productivity: Evidence from German Firm Level Data, March 2005*
[published in: Applied Economics Letters 13 (2006), 347-349]
- No. 7: *Thomas Wein: Associations' Agreement and the Interest of the Network Suppliers – The Strategic Use of Structural Features, March 2005*
- No. 6: *Christiane Clemens and Maik Heinemann: On the Effects of Redistribution on Growth and Entrepreneurial Risk-Taking, March 2005*
- No. 5: *Christiane Clemens and Maik Heinemann: Endogenous Redistributive Cycles – An overlapping Generations Approach to Social Conflict and Cyclical Growth, March 2005*
- No. 4: *Joachim Wagner: Exports and Productivity: A Survey of the Evidence from Firm Level Data, March 2005*
[published in: The World Economy 30 (2007), 1, 60-82]
- No. 3: *Thomas Wein and Reimund Schwarze: Is the Market Classification of Risk Always Efficient? - Evidence from German Third Party Motor Insurance, March 2005*
- No. 2: *Ingrid Ott and Stephen J. Turnovsky: Excludable and Non-Excludable Public Inputs: Consequences for Economic Growth, June 2005 (Revised version)*
[published in: Economica 73 (2006), 292, 725-742
also published as CESifo Working Paper 1423]
- No. 1: *Joachim Wagner: Nascent and Infant Entrepreneurs in Germany. Evidence from the Regional Entrepreneurship Monitor (REM), March 2005*
[erschienen in: Joachim Merz, Reinhard Schulte (Hrsg.), Neue Ansätze der Mittelstandsforschung, Berlin: Lit Verlag 2008, S.395-411]

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg
Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre
Postfach 2440
D-21314 Lüneburg
Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321
email: brodt@leuphana.de
www.leuphana.de/vwl/papers