

Sauvant, Karl P.; Zimny, Zbigniew

Research Report

How to get the best deal for massive FDI incentives

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 369

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Sauvant, Karl P.; Zimny, Zbigniew (2023) : How to get the best deal for massive FDI incentives, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 369, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/279951>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Matthew Conte (msc2236@columbia.edu)

The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the authors do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or our partners and supporters.

No. 369 October 30, 2023

How to get the best deal for massive FDI incentives

by

Karl P. Sauvant and Zbigniew Zimny*

The [US](#) and [EU](#) offer hundreds of billions of dollars for semiconductor, electric vehicle and renewable energy projects undertaken by domestic and foreign firms. Other countries—including [Canada](#), [China](#), [India](#), [Japan](#)—also grant incentives for strategic investments. A good part of enticements translates into unprecedented incentives for foreign investors. They can be as high as US\$3.6 million per job ([Intel's investment in Magdeburg, Germany](#)), super-charging a global FDI incentives race.

These incentives serve several objectives: not to fall behind or regain leadership in the technology race, accelerate the green transition and/or reduce dependence on others (especially China), by expanding/locating production domestically.

FDI incentives remain [controversial](#). This *Perspective* does *not* deal with the general desirability of granting incentives. Rather, it focusses narrowly on how countries can get the best deal for the incentives they grant to foreign investors. They do this by ensuring benefits and externalities (strengthening physical, educational, technological, relational infrastructure) beyond the investments themselves and associated jobs. (The suggestions below apply also to incentives for domestic firms.)

There are various types of incentives:

- Unconditional financial incentives (mostly grants), often [preferred by investors](#). They can be problematic because they involve upfront cash without guarantees that projects succeed. Therefore, claw-back clauses are a must in case obligations are not met.¹
- Unconditional fiscal incentives (e.g., tax holidays). These are less problematic (especially expenditure-based) because they typically only kick in when facilities have

been built and become profitable. However, the [global minimum tax-rate agreement](#) will constrain such incentives.

Incentivized investments can create tax revenue that may compensate for the incentives granted, if investors are successful and stay long enough. But incentives should be linked, through eligibility conditions, to:

- Other incentives related to externalities and host countries' policy goals.

“Other incentives”² are least problematic because they involve financial and/or fiscal support for activities resulting not only in benefits for investors but also for other firms [and the local economy](#)—benefits that remain even if investors leave. Since customized incentives benefit both sides, they have a good potential to be obtainable, as seen in incentive packages granted in the past. “Other incentives” include:

- *Strengthening infrastructure.* Alabama's 1994 US\$253 million incentives package to attract Mercedes [included US\\$62 million](#) for highway work, sewage and water improvements and a fire station. Such projects upgrade local infrastructure—benefitting the local community for good.
- *Developing talent.* Investors in cutting-edge technology projects often require highly skilled workers and, therefore, may be interested in co-establishing/expanding local vocational and degree programs. North Carolina's 2022 VinFast's [incentives package](#) included US\$38 million for training. Training programs upgrade the workforce—benefitting also local firms.
- *Engaging in R&D cooperation.* [Such cooperation](#) is especially important in modern industries, with investors benefitting from local research capabilities and host countries from augmented potential for innovation. [Poland requires](#) recipients to spend 15% of grants on cooperation with local universities, leaving it to foreign affiliates to choose areas of cooperation.
- *Building backward linkages and creating an ecosystem conducive to more clustered investment by domestic and foreign firms.* Investors may wish to reduce their reliance on complex global supply chains and cut transportation costs, while suppliers can benefit from knowledge transfers and increased productivity. Supplier-development programs may be needed to identify and upgrade suppliers, and institutions may need to be strengthened, to create an attractive ecosystem, such as [Silicon Saxony](#).
- *Contributing to the green transition.* [More and more MNEs](#) undertake climate commitments—and they will [increasingly be held to them](#). Encouraging the implementation of [such commitments](#) includes linking incentives to the recycling of water, the use of low-carbon concrete or energy recovery—thus also helping countries

meet their own climate goals. The [US Inflation Reduction Act](#) requires firms receiving incentives to have energy-efficient commercial buildings.

Governments could also stipulate that investors [prioritize serving the domestic market](#), especially during times of crisis.

When host countries or their sub-divisions negotiate investment packages, they need to specify investors' benefits and obligations, to obtain [sustainable](#) or [quality](#) FDI. Packages may combine various types of benefits. [Poland](#) determines the size of incentives packages by assigning points for various benefits accruing to its economy.

When “other incentives”—all of which involve mutual gain—are granted, large strategic projects benefitting from a smart mix of incentives can become anchor/flagship investments with important agglomeration advantages. They can create an ecosystem and a value proposition that can attract other foreign (and domestic) investments in the same and related industries, while avoiding the risk of large projects becoming modern enclaves. INTEL's investment in Costa Rica led to [additional foreign and domestic investments](#) in electronics and [medical equipment](#).

Supporting—or igniting—such growth poles benefits the wider economy. It requires coordination across various parts of administrations, the judicious leveraging and monitoring of incentives and finding the right balance between what is acceptable to investing firms and host countries. Only a few economies have the capacity to offer massive incentives. But if they do, they should make the most of the incentives they grant.

* Karl P. Sauvant (karlsauvant@gmail.com) is Senior Fellow, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Columbia Law School, Columbia University; Zbigniew Zimny (z.zimny@vistula.edu.pl) is Professor of Economics at the Academy of Finance and Business Vistula in Warsaw, Poland. The authors wish to thank Erich Barke, Boris Dolgonos, Gary Gereffi, Henry Loewendahl, and Lou Wells for their helpful feedback on an earlier draft of this *Perspective* and Alvaro Cuervo-Cazurra, Sebastian James and Martin Wermelinger for their helpful peer reviews.

¹ In 1999, the claw back clause [required Siemens to return a £18 million grant](#) after it closed a plant in Tyneside, UK.

² They can include regulatory incentives, not discussed here.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Karl P. Sauvant and Zbigniew Zimny, ‘How to get the best deal for massive FDI incentives,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 369, October 30, 2023. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<http://ccsi.columbia.edu>).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Matthew Conte, at msc2236@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and Columbia Climate School at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through

interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu>.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 368, Julien Chaisse, '[Rethinking umbrella clauses in international investment agreements](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, October 16, 2023
- No. 367, Brian Egan and Katie Clarke, '[CFIUS part II? The US moves to restrict outbound FDI to China](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, October 2, 2023
- No. 366, Gary Gereffi, '[Navigating 21st century industrial policy](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, September 18, 2023
- No. 365, Gaurav Pundir, '[How can governments help small enterprises integrate into global value chains?](#)' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, September 4, 2023
- No. 364, Gary Clyde Hufbauer, '[Learning from Brexit: what parallels for decoupling from China?](#)' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, August 21, 2023

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at <https://ccsi.columbia.edu/content/columbia-fdi-perspectives>.