

Chaisse, Julien

**Research Report**

## Rethinking umbrella clauses in international investment agreements

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 368

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

*Suggested Citation:* Chaisse, Julien (2023) : Rethinking umbrella clauses in international investment agreements, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 368, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/278786>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



# Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment

A JOINT CENTER OF COLUMBIA LAW SCHOOL  
AND COLUMBIA CLIMATE SCHOOL

## Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant ([Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu](mailto:Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu))

Managing Editor: Matthew Conte ([msc2236@columbia.edu](mailto:msc2236@columbia.edu))

*The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the authors do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or our partners and supporters.*

No. 368 October 16, 2023

### **Rethinking umbrella clauses in international investment agreements**

by

Julien Chaisse\*

While umbrella clauses are a common feature in international investment agreements (IIAs), their implications are far from straightforward or universally beneficial. These clauses allow private investors to escalate contract breach claims arising from the exercise of sovereign authority or power, to treaty violation claims, thus making international arbitration a possible route for dispute resolution.

However, the assumption that such clauses invariably fortify protection for foreign investors is not without contention. In fact, the incorporation of umbrella clauses often leads to a complex entanglement of legal and contractual obligations, creating an environment ripe for potential misuse and misunderstanding. As such, the perceived value and efficacy of these clauses merit closer examination and a more critical discourse.

The key concern is the determination of whether commercial commitments are encompassed within an umbrella clause's scope. In some cases, such as [\*Salini v Jordan\*](#), [\*El Paso v Argentina\*](#) and [\*Siemens v Argentina\*](#), the tribunals held that only when states exercise sovereign authority do they assume obligation under IIAs. Not all rely on this "sovereign authority" requirement; but when they do, tribunals have conflicting views on sovereign authority, especially regarding attribution. In [\*Hamester v Ghana\*](#), Cocomod's breach was not attributable to Ghana, while in [\*Kardossopoulos v Georgia\*](#), it was held that contractual commitments entered into by state-owned entities could be attributed to Georgia. In both cases, tribunals relied on [Articles on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts](#).

If there is a forum-selection clause in a contract, the umbrella clause must be superseded to establish jurisdiction. However, at present, the applicability of contractual forum-selection clauses is uncertain. The tribunal in [\*SGS v Pakistan\*](#) held that an umbrella clause does not automatically elevate a contract breach to a treaty violation when a contract contained a valid

forum-selection clause. The tribunal in [\*SGS v Philippines\*](#) determined that the exclusive forum-selection clause in the contract rendered the contractual claims inadmissible before the tribunal, although it did not otherwise deprive it of jurisdiction. The tribunal in [\*BIVAC v Paraguay\*](#) permitted the use of a forum-selection clause to prevent the misuse of an umbrella clause.

Finally, there is the question of whether investors that are parties to contracts with sub-national entities can use umbrella clauses. In [\*Azurix v Argentina\*](#), the tribunal held that the Province of Buenos Aires was party to the contract, and Argentina had not undertaken any obligations due to the lack of privity between itself and the investor.

Umbrella clauses breed unpredictability and inconsistent outcomes, influenced by external factors. Case-by-case interpretations by tribunals have resulted in conflicting rulings and ignited extensive debates, intensifying legal complexity.

Accordingly, and as a prudent policy recommendation, new IIAs should steer clear of umbrella clauses. Encouragingly, recent IIAs increasingly omit these clauses, reflecting a positive trend.

However, complete elimination in the near future is unlikely due to their interaction with most-favored-nation clauses, enabling investors to incorporate comprehensive umbrella clauses into other agreements. Therefore, countries should prioritize refining the negotiation, formulation and implementation of umbrella clauses with three main considerations in mind:

*Aspects related to inter-departmental coordination and negotiation:*

- As state contracts are negotiated by line ministries, IIA negotiators should liaise with these ministries to monitor potential interactions between state contracts and IIAs. Moreover, line ministries should negotiate state contracts in light of a country's existing IIAs and umbrella clauses.

*Clarity in drafting IIAs:*

- Reforming IIAs going forward, umbrella clauses should explicitly state which types of breach are outside the scope of the clause.
- IIAs should clearly state that a forum-selection clause supersedes an umbrella clause.
- The inclusion of an MFN clause in a new IIA should explicitly state that it does not apply to umbrella clauses in other agreements.
- All terms used should be properly defined, leaving little doubt as to whether a state is a party to contracts between sub-national entities and investors. However, the language should be carefully chosen to clearly show intent.

*Scope of IIAs in protecting different interests:*

- IIAs should enshrine the possibility of excluding certain investor protection standards from specific types of state contracts, for example, those based on industry or investment size.
- The inclusion of national security and public policy exceptions within an IIA may be sufficient [to protect regulatory discretion](#), even in a treaty regime that is otherwise expansively protective of state contracts.

In conclusion, two strategic policy directions are suggested. The first emphasizes the crucial need for host countries to exercise prudence before signing IIAs, ensuring they negotiate the provisions that are essential to protect their interests, which may include the explicit avoidance of an umbrella clause. The second strategy suggests that, if the omission of an umbrella clause is unattainable, any forthcoming clause of this kind should be meticulously crafted to navigate the inherent intricacies and uncertainties that it entails. This presents a significant challenge for policymakers. Collectively, the recommendations outlined above aim to bolster the transparency, predictability and precision of these agreements, thereby reducing potential legal ambiguities and fostering a more predictable investment environment.

---

\* Julien Chaisse ([julien.chaisse@cityu.edu.hk](mailto:julien.chaisse@cityu.edu.hk)) is Professor of Law, City University of Hong Kong, and President, Asia Pacific FDI Network. The author wishes to thank three anonymous peer reviewers for their helpful peer reviews.

*The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Julien Chaisse, ‘Rethinking umbrella clauses in international investment agreements,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 368, October 16, 2023. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<http://ccsi.columbia.edu>).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at [ccsi@law.columbia.edu](mailto:ccsi@law.columbia.edu).*

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Matthew Conte, at [msc2236@columbia.edu](mailto:msc2236@columbia.edu).

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and Columbia Climate School at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu>.

**Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives**

- No. 367, Brian Egan and Katie Clarke, [‘CFIUS part II? The US moves to restrict outbound FDI to China,’](#) *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, October 2, 2023
- No. 366, Gary Gereffi, [‘Navigating 21<sup>st</sup> century industrial policy,’](#) *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, September 18, 2023
- No. 365, Gaurav Pundir, [‘How can governments help small enterprises integrate into global value chains?’](#) *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, September 4, 2023

- 
- No. 364, Gary Clyde Hufbauer, '[Learning from Brexit: what parallels for decoupling from China?](#)' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, August 21, 2023
  - No. 363, Karl P. Sauvant, '[The new WTO Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, August 7, 2023

**All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <https://ccsi.columbia.edu/content/columbia-fdi-perspectives>.**