

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Clarke, Damian; Muehlrad, Hanna

Working Paper The Impact of Abortion Legalization on Fertility and Maternal Mortality: New Evidence from Mexico

CINCH series, No. 2016/02

Provided in Cooperation with: CINCH – Health Economics Research Center, Universität Duisburg-Essen (UDE)

Suggested Citation: Clarke, Damian; Muehlrad, Hanna (2016) : The Impact of Abortion Legalization on Fertility and Maternal Mortality: New Evidence from Mexico, CINCH series, No. 2016/02, University of Duisburg-Essen, CINCH - Health Economics Research Center, Essen, https://doi.org/10.17185/duepublico/70955

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/270560

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



#2016/02

Damian Clarke and Hanna Mühlrad

The Impact of Abortion Legalization on Fertility and Maternal Mortality:

New Evidence from Mexico

Imprint

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Martin Karlsson, Essen

MANAGING EDITOR

Daniel Avdic, Essen

EDITORIAL BOARD

Boris Augurzky, Essen Jeanette Brosig-Koch, Essen Stefan Felder, Basel Annika Herr, Düsseldorf Nadja Kairies-Schwarz, Essen Hendrik Schmitz, Paderborn Harald Tauchmann, Erlangen-Nürnberg Jürgen Wasem, Essen

CINCH SERIES

CINCH – Health Economics Research Center Weststadttürme Berliner Platz 6-8 45127 Essen

Phone +49 (0) 201 183 - 6326 Fax +49 (0) 201 183 - 3716 Email: daniel.avdic@uni-due.de Web: www.cinch.uni-due.de

All rights reserved. Essen, Germany, 2016

The working papers published in the Series constitute work in progress circulated to stimulate discussion and critical comments. Views expressed represent exclusively the authors' own opinions and do not necessarily reflect those of the editors.



#2016/02

Damian Clarke and Hanna Mühlrad

The Impact of Abortion Legalization on Fertility and Maternal Mortality:

New Evidence from Mexico





The Impact of Abortion Legalization on Fertility and Maternal Mortality:

New Evidence from Mexico

Abstract

We examine the effect of a large-scale, free, elective abortion program implemented in Mexico City in 2007. Prior to this program, all states and districts in Mexico had very limited, or no, access to elective abortion. A localized reform in Mexico City resulted in a sharp increase in the request and use of early term elective abortions: approximately 90,000 abortions were administered by public health providers in the four years following the reform, versus only 62 in the five years preceding the reform. We provide evidence using national vital statistics data from Mexico covering over 23 million births and over 11,000 cases of maternal deaths. Our difference-in-difference estimates suggest that this program resulted in a reduction in births by 2.3 to 3.8% among women aged 15-44 and by 5.1 to 7.1% among teenage women (15-19 year-olds). Similar results are found for maternal mortality, for which we find a sharp fall in the rate of maternal deaths, by 8.8 to 16.2% for women aged 15-44 and by 14.9 to as much as 30.3% among teenagers. All told, the reform appears to increase the average age of women at first birth, and reduce the number of mothers giving birth at higher parities.

JEL Classifications: J13, I15, I18, O15.

^{*} Department of Economics, Universidad de Santiago de Chile. Contact: damian.clarke@usach.cl

⁺ Department of Economics, University of Gothenburg. Contact: hanna.muhlrad@gu.se We are grateful to Andrea Mitrut, Randi Hjalmarsson, Elin Larsson, Blair G. Darney, Hans Grönqvist and seminar audiences at the Department of Economics at University of Gothenburg, SOFI Stockholm University, Karolinska Institute and the CSAE conference for their very useful comments and discussions. We thank Alejandro del Valle for sharing data, and Susanna Lindahl for excellent research assistance.

1 Introduction

Breathtaking figures suggest that world-wide, unsafe abortions may result in as many as 8 maternal deaths per hour (The Lancet, 2009). By the best available estimates, 13% of all maternal deaths are due to complications surrounding clandestine and unsafe abortion, with these numbers being much higher in certain regions and groups (WHO, 2011). Nevertheless, the issue of abortion legalization continues to be a highly controversial social topic. This is especially true in Latin America, which has some of the world's most rigid abortion laws (United Nations, 2014) as well as the highest estimated rates of unsafe abortions in the world, with 31 abortions per 1,000 fertile-aged women compared to the worldwide rate of 14 per 1,000 women. The high number of unsafe abortions in the Latin American and Caribbean (LAC) region corresponds to an estimated 4.2 million unsafe induced abortions each year, and 12% of all maternal deaths in the region (WHO, 2011).

The relative paucity of recent large-scale reforms to abortion law has meant that estimating the causal effect of safe access to legal abortion procedures has not always been straightforward. This is particularly so when considering the effect of legalized abortion on rates of maternal death, given the well-known measurement challenges involved in collecting these figures (Hogan et al., 2010). While evidence from historical reforms points to large potential effects on rates of births and a wide range of social outcomes (Ananat et al., 2009; Angrist and Evans, 1996; Donohue and Levitt, 2001; Charles and Stephen, 2002; Bailey et al., 2013; Pop-Eleches, 2006), and while ample evidence exists to suggest that unsafe abortions have an extremely high toll on women's health (see for instance Grimes et al. (2006)), few estimates of the effect of legalizing abortion on maternal deaths when using complete vital registries are available.

In this study, we examine the effect of a sharply defined local abortion reform in Mexico

City and document the effect of free access to legal and safe abortion services on fertility and maternal mortality. We combine the state-level variation over time resulting from this natural experiment with high quality vital statistics data on 23 millions of births and more than 11,000 maternal deaths. This reform—the so called legal interruption of pregnancy program (or ILE for its name in Spanish)—was of considerable importance. During the pre-reform period of 2001-2007 a total of 62 legal abortions (available in restrictive conditions) were performed in Mexico City. Following the 2007 reform, more than 90,000 women accessed safe legal abortion between 2008 and 2012.

Abortion laws are determined at the state level in Mexico, where Mexico City (also known as the federal district of Mexico) has its own legislative assembly. The ILE reform provided all women who reside in Mexico City with access to legal and safe abortion procedures, free of charge and for any reason, during the first trimester of pregnancy. The law was a radical change from previous legislation in Mexico City, and also compared to the rest of the states of Mexico, where abortion is still banned in all but the extreme circumstances of rape, to save the mother's life, or in cases of severe fetal malformation.¹ Moreover, by legalizing abortion, Mexico City distinguishes itself from nearly all other countries in Latin America and the Caribbean which remain highly restrictive in their policies related to elective abortion (Fraser, 2015).²

We find that providing access to safe and legal abortions free of charge resulted in a decrease in rates of fertility between 2.3 to 3.8% and a reduction in maternal deaths by 11.1 to 20.0% among women aged 15-44 years. When considering only the direct effect of the reform on maternal mortality net of the mechanical reduction in deaths due to lower rates of birth, we find a lower, but still significant, reduction in rates of maternal deaths of between 8.8 and

¹Depending on the state, these circumstances include none, some, or all of rape, fetal inviability or grave danger to the health of the mother. One exception is the state of Yucatan, which, since 1931, has permitted legally induced abortions for socio-economic reasons for women with at least three children (Juarez et al., 2013).

²According to the most recent United Nations figures (United Nations, 2014), Mexico is one of only three countries in the LAC region (along with Uruguay and Guyana) to be classified as the "Least restrictive" in abortion policy, implying that abortions are permitted for economic or social reasons upon request.

16.2%. Our results suggest that there are heterogeneous effects across ages. We document a particularly strong effect among teenage women (aged 15-19) of a 5.1-7.1% reduction in fertility and between a 14.9 to 30% reduction in the maternal mortality rate (when considering only the direct effect of the reform). The decline in teenage fertility in Mexico City is consistent with the findings from abortion legalization in other circumstances around the world. For example, estimates of the effect of *Roe v. Wade* in the US suggest an 8-12% reduction in teenage fertility (Angrist and Evans, 1996). These findings are noteworthy given the high proportion of teenage motherhood in Mexico (18% of all pregnancies are teenage pregnancies) and a well-documented negative association between teenage childbearing and education and labor market outcomes (Furstenberg, 1976).

When turning to the composition of all mothers, we find that the reform has a number of important effects on the characteristics of women giving birth. Firstly, and unsurpisingly, we find that conditional on motherhood, the probability of the birth occurring to a teenager decreases by 5.6%. We also find that the probability of having a first birth increases (compared to higher order births) and that the average age by parity (birth order) slightly increases. That is to say, following the reform, cohorts of mothers became on average older, less likely to be teenagers, and shifts in parity towards lower total fertility were observed. Finally, the reform explicitly encouraged contraceptive usage by giving out contraceptives to women who accessed abortions, as well as improved sexual education in schools. We supplement out analysis using vital statistics data with survey data from the Mexican Family Life Survey (MxFLS), and find that the main mechanism of reduced fertility and mortality during the period under study was access to legal and safe induced abortion, rather than other minor components of this program.

Despite increasing attention being paid to rates of morbidity and mortality following unsafe abortion procedures (Grimes et al., 2006; Brown, 2007; Kulczycki, 2011), adequately measuring the impact of access (or lack thereof) to safe abortion is often hindered by poor and incomplete vital registration systems, especially in developing countries (Grimes et al., 2006). In this study, we provide a rich set of empirical results to begin to fill this gap. Moreover, this is the first study to evaluate the overall effect on both fertility and maternal mortality from the 2007 abortion reform in Mexico City using the full set of available vital statistics for the period 2002-2011.³ Many previous studies examine the effect of abortion legalization that took place in conjunction with other major laws and reforms. In contrast to those studies, contraception has been legal and freely provided by the government since 1974 in Mexico.

All told, the results from this study suggest that the April 2007 abortion reform in Mexico City is associated with an important reduction in fertility and maternal mortality. These results are highly relevant when considering the achievement of international development goals, including the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which require a two thirds reduction in maternal deaths world-wide by the year 2030.

2 Mexican Context and the ILE Reform

The fertility rate in Mexico declined rapidly from roughly 6 children per woman in 1975 to approximately 2.2 in 2015. This major shift in fertility can be partially attributed to changes in access to modern contraceptive methods in the country (Juarez et al., 2013). In 1975, the Mexican government passed the General Population Law, which obliged the government to supply family planning services and provide contraceptives via the public health care sector free of charge. Although 67% of all women of childbearing age in Mexico report using modern contraceptive methods (and 5% use traditional and less safe methods), it is estimated that

³This is, however, not the first study of the effect of Mexico's 2007 abortion reform. A considerable academic literature exists across the fields of law (Johnson, 2013), public health (Contreras et al., 2011; Schiavon et al., 2010; Becker, 2013; Mondragón y Kalb et al., 2011) and medicine (Madrazo, 2009). It is, however, the first comprehensive analysis of the reform's effect using the full power of the vital statistics data. Other existing studies either use infrequent registers and focus only on fertility (Gutierrez-Vazquez and Parrado, 2015) or highly questionable data selection and analysis techniques (Koch et al., 2015).

more than half of all pregnancies are unintended. Estimates suggest that up to 54% of these unintended pregnancies are terminated (Juarez et al., 2013).

Mexico consists of 32 federal entities, 31 of which are federal states plus the federal district of Mexico (also known as Mexico D.F. or Mexico City). As well as having a national constitution, each of the 32 federal entities has its own state or local constitution, defined by its own legislative power. Abortion laws in all of Mexico are determined at the state level (Becker, 2013). Mexico City contains approximately 8% of the entire population (8.9 million of Mexico's 119.5 million inhabitants according to 2015 estimates) and, since 2007, is the only state that allows for elective abortion during the first trimester.

Prior to the reform in Mexico City, abortion laws were rather uniform across the 32 federal entities of Mexico. Induced abortion continues to be considered a criminal offense with the risk of legal consequence of up to 30 years imprisonment in many states (Juarez et al., 2013), and legal abortion was only permitted in the limited cases if rape, threat to the life of the mother, or severe malformation of the fetus. In practice, even in these limited cases, legal abortion has been described by human rights organizations as extremely difficult to access due to rigid legal barriers (Juarez et al., 2013). In the densely populated Mexico City, only 62 abortions were legally performed during 2001-2007 (Becker, 2013). As a substitute to legal options, abortions were performed in clandestine and often unsafe settings. For example, in 2009 alone, medical records from public hospitals show that an estimated 159,000 women in Mexico were treated for abortion-related complications (Juarez et al., 2013). The estimated national abortion rate in Mexico is 38 abortions per 1,000 women of fertile age (Juarez et al., 2013), which is considered high internationally (Becker, 2013).

Due to the high number of unsafe abortions as well as a growing movement for women's reproductive health rights, the legislative assembly of the Federal District of Mexico City voted to legalize elective abortion (termed legal interruption of pregnancy, or ILE for its name in Spanish) on April 24, 2007, reforming Articles 145-148 of the penal code of Mexico City, and Article 14 of the Health Code. These reforms were signed into law the following day, and published in the official Gazette of the Federal District on April 26, 2007 (Ciudad de México, 2007). A broader discussion of the reform's social and legal setting is provided in Kulczycki (2011); Madrazo (2009) and Johnson (2013). This immediately permitted women above the age of 18 to request legal interruption of pregnancy at up to 12 weeks of gestation without restriction, while for those aged under 18, access required a parent or guardian's consent. The law included public provision of abortion procedures free of charge for women with residency in Mexico City at a selected number of public health clinics operated via the Ministry of Health in Mexico City (MOH-DF)⁴. Additionally, under this law sexual education in schools was improved, and post-abortion contraceptives were made freely available directly from the health clinics which provided abortions (Contreras et al., 2011). Records from public hospitals show that the demand for post-abortion contraceptives is high (approximately 82% of all women accept contraceptives) and that prevalence of repeated abortion procedures are low (Becker, 2013).

On August 29 in 2008 the decision to pass the ILE law was ratified by the Supreme Court of Mexico. The abortion reform in Mexico City is distinguishable as a major social and political reform and makes Mexico City, together with Cuba and Uruguay, the most liberal jurisdiction in terms of abortion legislation in the entire Latin American and Caribbean region (Fraser, 2015).

Women with residency outside Mexico City can also access the public provision of abortion through MOH-DF but are charged with a sliding fee scale determined with regard to the woman's socioeconomic background. In 2010, 74% of all women who received an abortion through the

⁴The public health care sector in Mexico is divided at both federal and state level, where the Ministry of Health (MOH) in Mexico City provides abortion procedures at a selected number of MOH-DF hospitals. Other MOH facilities (federally or state funded) are not legally required to provide abortion procedures.

public health care were women living in Mexico City, 24% were living in the state of Mexico (which shares a border with Mexico City) and 2% were living in other states (Mondragón y Kalb et al., 2011). Figures from the Secretary of Health's administrative data suggest that abortions were used by women of all ages, though were disproportionately sought by younger (21-25 year-olds) and older women (36 year-olds and above), with lower rates of abortion among 26 to 35 year olds. The proportion of all births by age and all abortions in public health clinics by age is presented in figure 1.

The law also allowed for private clinics to provide abortion services. Information regarding the private provision of abortion services is limited due to the lack of supervision of the private market for legal abortion services (Becker, 2013). During the year of 2007, (when the reform was implemented), more than 7,000 abortion procedures were performed at 14 selected MOH-DF clinics. Over the years, the MOH-DF abortion program expanded its services and became more efficient at meeting the high demand for elective abortion (shifting from surgical abortion procedures to medical procedures). As of 2012, approximately 90,000 abortions have been carried out at the MOH-DF clinics (Becker, 2013), with no significant complications reported.

3 Data

To examine the effects on fertility and maternal mortality, we use vital statistics on all births and maternal deaths in Mexico for the time period 2002-2011. The data is provided by the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI for its name in Spanish) and covers 23,151,080 live births and 11,858 maternal deaths among women aged 15-44. Vital statistics for births in Mexico are compiled by INEGI based on birth registries completed by each parent or guardian at the civil registry, rather than being based on birth certificates issued at hospitals (as is the case, for example with the National Vital Statistics System in the USA). Using data from the 2010 census and birth records up until 2009, recent (backward looking) analysis suggests that 93.4% of all births in Mexico were registered within 1 year of birth of the child, and in total, 94.2% of birth are eventually registered at the national level (Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía, 2012). The birth register is released once per year, containing all births *registered* in that year, as well as the year the birth occurred. In order to avoid problems of under-reporting, differential reporting over time, and double-reporting, we collate all birth registers between 2002-2014, and then keep all births registered within 3 years of the date of birth⁵. This implies that we only have complete birth registers based on birth years up to (and including) 2011.

Maternal death data is taken from INEGI's full mortality register, which officially classifies maternal deaths according to ICD-10 codes and the World Health Organization's definition (see the data section of the online appendix for full details). Mexico's register of maternal deaths is recognised to be of high quality, with Mexico being classified as belonging to the "A-class" (World Health Organization, 1987) in the latest WHO report on maternal mortality trends. This data has had particular improvements from 2002, and as such, we restrict our period of analysis to 2002 and beyond (see Schiavon et al. (2012) and the online appendix to this paper for further details). In the online appendix (table A1), we display the number of births and maternal deaths recorded in each federal entity over the period of study. There exists considerable variation in births and deaths (in line with large variations in population between geographic areas), and also considerable variation in rates of maternal deaths per live births. This ranges from as low as 25 deaths per 100,000 live births in the relatively highly developed state of Colima to as high as 87 deaths per 100,000 live births in the mountainous state of Guerrero. On average in the whole country over the period of study, microdata records suggest that the maternal mortality ratio was 51.21 deaths per 100,000 live births, a value which agrees with the official international figures released by WHO for this period (World

⁵This is very similar to the methodology employed by Mexico's population authority in their calculation of official demographic trends (Consejo Nacional de Población, 2012).

Health Organization, 2015). Data from the birth and death registers is aggregated by each age group between 15-44, state, and year, resulting in a total of 9,600 cells (years×states×age). The INEGI Birth Register contains information about the date of birth, actual birthplace and the official residency of the mother. In addition, information on maternal characteristics such as age, total fertility, educational attainment, marital status and employment status are recorded. The death register has a similar structure to the birth register and also records background information on the deceased women.

We then merge a range of time-varying covariates with birth and death data for each year and state group described above. This includes the population of women (variation by age, state and year) from the National Population Council of Mexico (CONAPO), socioeconomic variables including illiteracy, schooling, and access to health insurance from the National Institute for Federalism and Municipal Development (INAFED) and the National Education Statistical Information System (SNIE), and data on the municipal-level roll-out of the national health insurance program *Seguro Popular*⁶ from the INEGI data bank. Socioeconomic data and measures of *Seguro Popular* coverage vary by state and year. We provide further details of data access and construction of covariates in the online appendix.

Summary statistics are presented in table 1. In the top panel we present state-level characteristics, and in the bottom panel, individual characteristics from the vital statistics registers. Unsurprisingly, there are relatively large differences between the densely populated Mexico City and the nearby state of Mexico and the rest of the country. Residents of Mexico City have higher education and better access to health care. There are also substantial differences in the age composition, where mothers in Mexico City are, on average, older especially when having their

⁶Mexico's General Health Law underwent a major reform in 2003, which intended to provide 50 million Mexican citizens lacking social security with subsidized and publicly financed health insurance. The core of this reform was the health insurance program *Seguro Popular* (SP). The "People's Insurance" or *Seguro Popular* was launched in 2002, offering health service free of charge or subsidized to those without formal health insurance. By 2005, two years before the reform, all 32 states had enrolled the SP program (Knaul et al., 2007).

first and second child. Women dying from causes related to childbirth are on average less educated compared to the mean: a pattern observed in all areas of the country. Turning to rates of maternal death and births per woman, Mexico City has slightly higher rates of maternal death than the country in general (see online appendix table A1 for a full breakdown), and lower rates of fertility per woman.

4 Empirical Strategy

The impact of the abortion reform is evaluated by using the subnational variation in abortion laws, and thus the access to legal and safe abortion procedures, resulting from the ILE reform. Given the temporal- and geographical-variation in availability of free legal abortions, we estimate the following difference-in-differences (DiD) specification:

$$Outcome_{ast} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ILE_{st} + \beta_2 Close ILE_{st} + \mathbf{X}_{st} \mathbf{\delta} + \alpha_s + \nu_t + \pi_a + \lambda_s \cdot t + \varepsilon_{ast}$$
(1)

where $Outcome_{ast}$ represents our dependent variable of interest (fertility or maternal death) for age group a in state s and year t. The treatment variable is determined by the official residency of the woman, and indicated by ILE_{st} . This variable takes the value of one in Mexico City nine months after the ILE reform was adopted in order to compensate for the lag caused by the pregnancy length (assuming 40 weeks of gestation), and zero otherwise.⁷ As discussed in the previous section, a non-negligible proportion of all elective abortions were accessed by women with residency in the neighbouring state of Mexico. Thus, to account for the potential spillover effects of the reform, we separately control for this state using the binary variable CloseILE_{st}

⁷We choose the most conservative definition of the post-treatment period starting in January 2008 and onwards for our baseline specification. A more detailed description of the definition of treatment is provided in the online appendix, particularly table A3. As a robustness check, we use data at a monthly level and control separately for the partially treated births and maternal deaths and show that these results are consistent with our baseline results. These are available in online appendix tables A6-A7.

(equal to one in the state of Mexico nine months or more after the reform was passed, and zero otherwise).

The difficulty in evaluating effects of a new law lies in the fact that legislative changes often are endogenously determined. That is, abortion legalization is likely to be correlated with observed and unobserved characteristics of Mexico City. Even though the distribution of treatment is non-random, the inclusion of state (α_a), year (ν_t) and age (π_a) fixed effects allows us to estimate the impact of the reform in a so called difference-in-difference setting. Under the parallel-trends assumption that in the absence of the reform treated and untreated states would have followed similar trends over time, DiD gives the causal impact of the reform on outcome variables. We examine the veracity of this assumption in following sections. In certain specifications, we include a full set of state-level time varying controls X_{st} , and also allow for differential linear time trends in each state over time, captured by the $\lambda_s \cdot t$ term. The idiosyncratic error term ε_{ast} is clustered at the state level in order to allow for autocorrelation of unobserved shocks within states over time⁸, and age by state by year cells are weighted by the state population (see for example Dell (2015) for a discussion).

In our main specifications, births are measured as the log number of total births occurring in each cell. While births can be measured in a number of ways, including counts, gross fertility rate and total fertility rate (which we report in the online appendix), we prefer the logged number of births for a number of reasons. Firstly, we lack micro-data registers of population in each year and are constrained to demographic projections based on the census, quinquennial surveys, migration, births and deaths (Consejo Nacional de Población, 2012). Secondly, we

⁸This is the generally accepted method in a DiD model (Bertrand et al., 2004). However, there is a potential inconsistency in the standard error caused by serial correlation when the time period is long and numbers of groups (i.e. states) are small (Bertrand et al., 2004). A likely outcome in these circumstances is underestimated standard errors leading to falsely significant DiD estimates. This raises concern, since the number of clusters in our case are 32, which is slightly below commonly accepted "rule of thumb" thresholds for consistent estimation of standard errors (Angrist and Pischke, 2009; Cameron and Miller, 2015). One suggested way of dealing with this problem is to use wild bootstrapped standard errors (Bertrand et al., 2004; Cameron and Miller, 2015), and as such, we also examine our main specifications using wild bootstrapped standard errors and show that these results are consistent with our baseline results.

estimate regressions with log births using ordinary least squares (OLS) regressions. Without the log normalisation of births, regression residuals are not normally distributed, and predicted values are at times negative. Taking the log transformation allows us to resolve these issues in our case.

In contrast to the birth data which is strictly positive in all cells, the data on maternal mortality has a large number of cases where zero deaths are observed. In our main specifications for maternal deaths, we estimate using the count of maternal deaths as the dependent variable, and use a Poisson regression model (estimates using the maternal mortality ratio and OLS are presented in the online appendix). When using Poisson regression, the log average number of maternal deaths is modeled as a function of explanatory variables ($\log(\mu) = x\beta$), and taking the exponential of estimated coefficients gives the incidence rate ratios (percentage effect) corresponding to the reform.

5 Results

5.1 The effect of the reform on fertility and maternal mortality

In table 2 we present the results of the ILE reform on fertility. Results are presented for all 15-44 year-old women in columns 1-5, and for adolescents (15-19 year-olds) in columns 6-10. We present results separately for adolescents given that rates of teenage childbearing in Mexico are very high (approximately 18% of all births are to teenage mothers) and that teenage motherhood is correlated with worse outcomes in terms of education and poverty (see for instance (Furstenberg, 1976).) We begin by estimating simple DiD models, excluding state-specific linear time trends and time-varying controls (columns 1 and 6). We then gradually include trends (column 2 and 7) and further time-varying controls (economic, education and health factors). These

results clearly indicate that the reform resulted in a reduction in childbearing. For women of all ages, the ILE reform is associated with a reduction in birth rates of between approximately 2.3 and 3.8%, while the reform had a larger effect on young women: between 5.1-7.1% depending on the specification used. These results are similar in magnitude to historical reforms in the United States and Romania (Levine, 2004; Pop-Eleches, 2010) and more recent results from Nepal (Valente, 2014). For women with residency in the state of Mexico (the neighboring state to Mexico City), we find little evidence to suggest a statistically significant effect of the reform, perhaps unsurprising given lower rates of usage spread over a much larger population.

Results examining maternal deaths are presented in table 3. In this case, as outlined above, rather than estimate using OLS regression, we use Poisson regression models. The point estimates for the full sample of women aged 15-44 (column 1-5) suggest that the abortion reform is associated with a significant decline in maternal mortality: by between 11.1 and 20.0% depending on the specification examined.⁹ For 15-19 year-olds (columns 6-10), the point estimates suggest a much larger reduction in rates of maternal death of between 19.0-37.6%. The effects on teenage women are double the magnitude of the full sample results but are only marginally statistically significant when including trends and state level controls. For women living close to the reform area (i.e. in Mexico State), a significant effect is found for the full sample and for teenage women, however is no longer statistically significant in the most demanding specifications.

The effect sizes for maternal mortality are very large, particularly when cast in terms of prevailing estimates of the proportion of maternal deaths due to abortion. When examining the cause of maternal deaths in Mexico between 1990-2008, Schiavon et al. (2012) estimate the 7.2% of maternal deaths were due to unsafe abortion, while the WHO estimates that approximately

⁹The effect size is calculated by taking the exponent of coefficients i.e. $\exp(\hat{\beta})$ -1, and can be interpreted as the incidence rate ratio.

13% and 10% of maternal deaths are due to unsafe abortion in the world and the Americas respectively (WHO, 2011). However, it is important to note that these estimates nest both the mechanical reduction in maternal deaths due to the reform's effect on fertility, as well as the direct effect of the reform on fewer unsafe abortions, and hence fewer maternal deaths.

We can conduct a back of the envelope calculation to determine what proportion of the reform's effect is due to the fact that there are fewer undesired births in general (and hence a lower likelihood of maternal death in childbirth), and what proportion is due to the remaining direct effect of the reform. For all women, our most demanding estimates suggest that the reform resulted in 3.8% fewer births. From table 1, we know that there were 1,505,790 births and 818 maternal deaths recorded in Mexico city during the period under study, resulting in a maternal mortality ratio of 54.3 deaths per 100,000 live births. Thus, if the rate of maternal death remained constant, the mechanical effect of the reform would be to (similarly) reduce the number of maternal deaths by 3.8%. In reality, our corresponding estimate is a 20% reduction, implying that the *direct* effect of the reform (net of the mechanical effect) is a 16.2% reduction in maternal deaths: much closer to proportion of deaths due to unsafe abortion estimated by the WHO. In the same vein, the *direct* effect on young women is estimated to vary between 14.9 and 30%, depending on the specification examined, and in this case the lower bound value is quite close to the WHO's estimate of the percent of maternal deaths due to unsafe abortion.

The regression results for births and for maternal deaths appear to be quite robust to alternative specifications and definitions of the control group. Quantitatively similar results are found when we work only with urban states (online appendix table A8), or omit the nearby state of Mexico entirely from the analysis. Likewise, estimating at a monthly rather than a yearly level leads to similar conclusions (online appendix table A6). Alternative definitions of outcome variables (for example birth rates and the maternal mortality ratio) are examined in table A9, and results using Wild bootstrap for clustering are presented in appendix table A10.

5.2 Validity of Difference-in-Differences Strategy

The quasi-experimental framework which we use to motivate estimation is based on the reform's arrival only to Mexico city, and not to other areas of the country simultaneously. While resulting in a striking change in rates of access to safe abortion, consistent estimation in a DiD framework requires the parallel trend assumption to hold. This requires, among other things, that no prevailing difference in average trends between the treatment and control area existed before the ILE law was passed in Mexico City. We provide some partial tests of this assumption in this section.

Raw trends in the main outcome variables over the time period of interest are presented in figure 2. Although there is a clear level difference in the number of births (panel A) and births per 1,000 woman of fertile age (panel B) between Mexico city and the rest of the country, changes in the number of births appear to be parallel by visual inspection prior to the reform. For maternal mortality the variation is large and it appears rather difficult to draw conclusions from a visual inspection (see Figure 2c and Figure 2d).

In order to test this more formally, we run an event study analysis surrounding the date of the reform. This consists of fully interacting the treatment indicator (1 if Mexico City, 0 otherwise) with a dummy for each year in our sample, both before and after the arrival of the reform. If the difference between treatment and control areas really only does emerge after the reform, we should see that no pre-treatment interaction terms are significantly different to zero, with the differential effect only emerging once the ILE reform has taken place.¹⁰

Results for these event studies are presented in figure 3. In these figures, point estimates

 $^{^{10}}$ We also test whether a linear time trend in the control group for the pre-treatment period is statistically different from the trend in Mexico City. This test suggests that *no* statistically distinguishable trend exists in either outcome variable in the pre-reform period.

reflect the interaction between the treatment area dummy and each respective lag or lead, and 95% confidence intervals are plotted to accompany each estimate. Year 2007 is omitted as the base year in each case, so all estimates are cast as changes relative to this year. In both cases, we see that the difference between Mexico City and the rest of the country (Mexico state is excluded to ensure that local spillovers do not bias the control group) occurs only after the introduction of the reform. In panel A the event study for log births is presented. This suggests an immediate effect of the reform occurring when examining births in 2008, and then a further reduction, before approximately constant coefficients (at approximately -4 to -5%) in years 2009-2011. Although the effect size is similar in the final two years of data, these are not significantly different, due to larger standard errors on effects given that the absolute number of births in early and late years is most different to the number in the omitted base case. Similarly, in panel B the event study on maternal deaths suggests a similar dynamic: a small but significant difference in the first post-reform year, which then increases in magnitude (though is less tightly estimated) in later post-reform years. In both cases, these results provide support for the parallel trends assumption and the use of DiD estimates to assess the impact of the reform.

5.3 Compositional Changes

We examine whether the ILE reform had distinguishable effects on the characteristics of cohorts of mothers. In order to do so, we use the disaggregated (micro-level) data on all births and maternal deaths contained in the Mexican vital statistics. By comparing the characteristics of all mothers before and after the reform in Mexico city, and comparing them with any changes in general characteristics in the rest of the country, we can estimate the reform's effect on any compositional changes in a similar logic to the DiD tests described in section 5.1. There is considerable evidence to suggest that abortion reforms can have important effects on the composition of mothers, and, subsequently, children over the long- (Ananat et al., 2009; Pop-Eleches, 2006; Bailey, 2013) and even short-term (Bailey et al., 2014). Here, given the reform's timing, we are restricted to looking at short-term changes in the characteristics of mothers. Given that the most complete record of motherhood is available in the birth registry, we are also restricted to analysis with variables recorded in this data. As such, we examine the effects of the reform on the probability of teenage motherhood, the probability of a given birth being a mother's first, second, or higher order birth, and the age of birth at each of these parities.¹¹ In each case, the regression from equation 1 is estimated, however at the individual-, rather than aggregate-level.

Results are displayed in Table 4. The DiD analysis suggest that, conditional on motherhood, the reform decreases the probability of teenage motherhood by 5.6% when comparing the estimate to the mean of the dependent variable (column 1). The significance and magnitude of this result from micro-data provides good support for the aggregate results described in previous sections. In columns 2-4 we examine the effect of the reform on the parity at which births occur. While point estimates suggest a shift of births from higher to lower birth orders, these are not large, nor statistically significant results. In examining age at birth, we find some evidence to suggest that mothers are slightly older (though only for their second birth). This provides some evidence that the ILE reform did affect birth timing and birth spacing, though again, the evidence is not particularly strong. While these results suggest that the immediate effect of the reform has done relatively little to change the characteristics of mothers in Mexico City, this is perhaps unsurprising given the well known difference between short-term and longer-term changes in cohorts of mothers, as well as the next generation, following contraceptive reform (Ananat et al., 2009; Gruber et al., 1999).

¹¹In online appendix tables we present additional available measures of mother's education. We do not report these results in the paper given that examination of trends between reform and non-reform areas suggests that DiD estimates are unlikely to be causal.

5.4 Abortion Access, Contraceptive Use, or Education?

The 2007 ILE reform had three components, with legal access to safe and free abortion being the most central. However, the reform also called for improved sexual education in schools as well as access to free post-abortion contraceptives through the MOH-DF program (Becker, 2013). In the analysis up to this point, we have examined the general effect of the reform, which will capture all changes flowing from each aspect: access to abortion, as well as contraceptive knowledge and access. To close, we examine the mechanism through which the reform reduced rates of births and maternal death.

In order to examine potential mechanisms, we generate data on contraceptive use and knowledge from the Mexican Family Life Survey (MxFLS), a panel survey which was conducted in 2002-2003, 2005-2006 and 2009-2012 and covers a representative sample (at the state level) of 15,768 women. Using these survey waves, we are thus able to generate quite rich measures of contraceptive use and contraceptive knowledge, both in Mexico City and the rest of the country, though only at three points in time. We provide a description of this dataset and its generation in the online appendix to this paper.

In order to determine whether these simultaneous changes crowd out the estimated effect of the reform, we re-estimate equation (1) by DiD, however now supplement the regression models to include measures of contraceptive useage and knowledge. For those time periods in which no data is available from the MxFLS, we linearly interpolate points (see online appendix).

These results are presented in Table 5. Column 1 displays baseline results from table 2 and 3 when controlling for a full set of fixed effects, state-specific trends and time varying covariates. To this specification, we then add controls for each of: the prevalence of usage of modern contraceptives by fertile aged women (column 2), current use of any type of contraceptives by fertile aged women (column 3), and knowledge about modern contraceptives (column 4). For fertility, we see that the estimated effects of the reform in all cases hold up, even when controlling for these potential correlated inputs. The baseline effect of a 3.8% reduction in fertility is, at most, attenuated to a 2.5% reduction in rates of births. Similarly, in examining the results for maternal deaths, the main estimated effects of the reform remain, even conditional upon changes in contraceptive knowledge and use. The estimated baseline effect (with trends) of a 23.3% reduction in maternal deaths, never falls below 22.6% when including contraceptive controls, and in no case are the coefficients statistically distinguishable.

Overall, the results of this exercise seem to confirm the notion that the main channel by which fertility and maternal deaths were affected by the reform were through the access to free and legal abortion procedures. Although only based on infrequently available survey data, adding additional covariates, which may themselves be an effect of the reform, does little to dampen the significant estimated effects of the 1000 fold increase in legally accessed abortions in Mexico City.

6 Conclusion

In this paper, we examine the effect of abortion legalization on women's fertility and health in Mexico City. The 2007 passing of the ILE law was a major social and political reform, providing all women with residency in Mexico City access to safe elective abortion during the first trimester. Prior to the reform very few legal abortions were performed, although clandestine abortion was very common (Becker, 2013) leading to a high number of women suffering from complications associated with unsafe procedures each year (Juarez et al., 2013). By using subnational variation in abortion laws, we analyze the effect of the reform on fertility and maternal mortality using vital statistics from the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI) during the time period 2002-2011.

We document two main findings. Firstly, the reform has a considerable effect on fertility rates, reducing births in Mexico City by between 2.3 and 3.8%. Secondly, access to elective abortions significantly reduces maternal mortality by between 11.1 to 20.0%. These effects are especially strong among teenage women, with a decline in fertility of between 5.1 and 7.1% and in maternal mortality of between 14.9 to 30%. Moreover, our results suggest that the reform affected the composition of mothers. Conditional on motherhood, we find that mothers are 5.6% less likely to be teenage mothers and a document a small effect on age by parity, where age slightly increases for the second child. In addition, we utilize survey data from the MxFLS regarding knowledge and use of contraceptives and investigate potential mechanisms. Our findings indicate that the main mechanism behind these striking reductions in fertility and maternal death was free access to legal and safe induced abortion, with only smaller effects flowing from the program's other complimentary (and more minor) aspects.

The reduction in fertility indicates that despite the large number of risky clandestine abortions practiced prior to the reform in Mexico City, considerable unmet demand for contraception still remained. In the presence of high rates of teenage pregnancy and undesired births, the legalization of abortion was thus responsible for an important reduction in the number of births observed. Moreover, our maternal mortality results suggest that safe procedures in legal environments can have large impacts on the significant number of women who still die from complications due to unsafe abortion in Mexico.

This last finding has significant implications for reproductive health policy in Mexico. The Sustainable Development Goals, to which Mexico is a signatory, require a 75% reduction in maternal deaths by 2030. If Mexico is to achieve such a reduction, this would require cutting maternal deaths from 50 deaths per 100,000 births to approximately 13: ratios prevailing in

countries like France, New Zealand, or South Korea (World Health Organization, 2015). To do so will require a multifaceted response, involving significant work on health infrastructure, accessibility in remote regions, and work with marginalized groups. However, the results in this paper suggest that even with impressive gains in other areas, clandestine abortions currently play an important role in maternal deaths in Mexico. The natural experiment played out over the past decade suggests that abortion legalization can have extremely important impacts on women's health, and lead to significantly fewer maternal deaths.

References

- ANANAT, E. O., J. GRUBER, P. B. LEVINE, AND D. STAIGER (2009): "Abortion and Selection," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 91, 124–136.
- ANGRIST, J. D. AND W. N. EVANS (1996): "Schooling and Labor Market Consequences of the 1970 State Abortion Reforms," NBER Working Papers 5406, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- ANGRIST, J. D. AND J.-S. PISCHKE (2009): Mostly harmless econometrics: an empiricist's companion, Princeton University Press.
- BAILEY, M., M. GULDI, AND B. HERSHBEIN (2013): "Recent Evidence on the Broad Benefits of Reproductive Health Policy," *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 32, 888–896.
- BAILEY, M. J. (2013): "Fifty Years of Family Planning: New Evidence on the Long-Run Effects of Increasing Access to Contraception," *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 46, 341–409.
- BAILEY, M. J., O. MALKOVA, AND J. NORLING (2014): "Do Family Planning Programs Decrease Poverty? Evidence from Public Census Data," *CESifo Economic Studies*, 60, 312– 337.
- BECKER, D. (2013): "Decriminalization of Abortion in Mexico City: The Effects on Women's Reproductive Rights," *American Journal of Public Health*, 103, 590–593.
- BERTRAND, M., E. DUFLO, AND S. MULLAINATHAN (2004): "How Much Should We Trust Differences-in-Differences Estimates?" *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119.
- BROWN, H. (2007): "Abortion round the world," BMJ, 335, 1018–1019.
- CAMERON, A. C. AND D. L. MILLER (2015): "A Practitioner's Guide to Cluster-Robust Inference," *Journal of Human Resources*, 50, 317–372.
- CHARLES, K. K. AND M. STEPHEN, JR. (2002): "Abortion Legalization and Adolescent Substance Use," NBER Working Papers 9193, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- CIUDAD DE MÉXICO (2007): "Gaceta Oficial del Distrito Federal," Gaceta Oficial, No 70, Órgano del Gobierno del Distrito Federal, 26 April, 2007, accessed at http://web.archive.org/web/20150924024041/http://www.inmujeres.df.gob.mx/wb/ inmujeres/decreto_por_el_que_se_reforma_el_codigo_penal_para (consulted April 26, 2016).
- CONSEJO NACIONAL DE POBLACIÓN (2012): "Proyecciones de la población de México 2010–2050," Tech. Rep. Documento Metodológico, CONAPO.
- CONTRERAS, X., M. G. VAN DIJK, T. SANCHEZ, AND P. S. SMITH (2011): "Experiences and Opinions of Health-Care Professionals Regarding Legal Abortion in Mexico City: A Qualitative Study," *Studies in Family Planning*, 42, 183–190.
- DELL, M. (2015): "Trafficking Networks and the Mexican Drug War," American Economic Review, 105, 1738–79.
- DONOHUE, J. J. AND S. D. LEVITT (2001): "The Impact Of Legalized Abortion On Crime," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 116, 379–420.
- FRASER, B. (2015): "Tide begins to turn on abortion access in South America," *The Lancet*, 383, 2113 2114.

- FURSTENBERG, F. F. (1976): Unplanned parenthood: The social consequences of teenage childbearing, Free Press.
- GRIMES, D. A., J. BENSON, S. SINGH, M. ROMERO, B. GANATRA, F. E. OKONOFUA, AND I. H. SHAH (2006): "Unsafe Abortion: The Preventable Pandemic," *The Lancet*, 368, 1908–1919.
- GRUBER, J., P. LEVINE, AND D. STAIGER (1999): "Abortion Legalization and Child Living Circumstances: Who is the "Marginal Child"?" The Quarterly Journal of Economics, 114, 263–291.
- GUTIERREZ-VAZQUEZ, E. Y. AND E. A. PARRADO (2015): "Abortion Legalization and Fertility Rates in Mexico," Unpublished manuscript, University of Pennsylvania, available at http: //paa2015.princeton.edu/uploads/151146.
- HOGAN, M. C., K. J. FOREMAN, M. NAGHAVI, S. Y. AHN, M. WANG, S. M. MAKELA, A. D. LOPEZ, R. LOZANO, AND C. J. MURRAY (2010): "Maternal mortality for 181 countries, 1980–2008: a systematic analysis of progress towards Millennium Development Goal 5," *The lancet*, 375, 1609–1623.
- INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTADÍSTICA Y GEOGRAFÍA (2012): "Derecho a la identidad. La cobertura del registro de nacimiento en México en 1999 y 2009," Tech. rep., INEGI and UNICEF.
- JOHNSON, T. (2013): "Guaranteed Access to Safe and Legal Abortions: The True Revolution of Mexico City's Legal Reforms Regarding Abortion," *Columbia Human Rights Law Review*, 44, 437–476.
- JUAREZ, F., S. SINGH, I. MADDOW-ZIMET, AND D. WULF (2013): "Unintended Pregnancy and Induced Abortion in Mexico: Causes and Consequences," Tech. rep., Guttmacher Institute, New York.
- KNAUL, F. M., H. ARREOLA-ORNELAS, O. MÉNDEZ-CARNIADO, C. BRYSON-CAHN, J. BAROFSKY, R. MAGUIRE, M. MIRANDA, AND S. SESMA (2007): "Evidence is good for your health system: policy reform to remedy catastrophic and impoverishing health spending in Mexico," Salud Pública De México, 49, S70–87.
- KOCH, E., M. CHIREAU, F. PLIEGO, J. STANFORD, S. HADDAD, B. CALHOUN, P. ARACENA, M. BRAVO, S. GATICA, AND J. THORP (2015): "Abortion legislation, maternal healthcare, fertility, female literacy, sanitation, violence against women and maternal deaths: a natural experiment in 32 Mexican states," *BMJ Open*, 5.
- KULCZYCKI, A. (2011): "Abortion in Latin America: Changes in Practice, Growing Conflict, and Recent Policy Developments," *Studies in Family Planning*, 42, 199–220.
- LEVINE, P. B. (2004): "Abortion policy and the economics of fertility," Society, 41, 79-85.
- MADRAZO, A. (2009): "The evolution of Mexico City's abortion laws: From public morality to women's autonomy," International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics, 106, 266 269.
- MONDRAGÓN Y KALB, M., A. AHUED ORTEGA, J. MORALES VELAZQUEZ, C. DIAZ OLAVAR-RIETA, J. VALENCIA RODRIGUEZ, D. BECKER, AND S. GARCIA (2011): "Patient characteristics and service trends following abortion legalization in Mexico City, 2007-10," *American journal of public health*, 3, 159–66.
- POP-ELECHES, C. (2006): "The Impact of an Abortion Ban on Socioeconomic Outcomes of Children: Evidence from Romania," *Journal of Political Economy*, 114, 744–773.

(2010): "The Supply of Birth Control Methods, Education, and Fertility: Evidence from Romania," *Journal of Human Resources*, 45, 971–997.

- SCHIAVON, R., M. E. COLLADO, E. TRONCOSO, J. E. S. SÁNCHEZ, G. O. ZORRILLA, AND T. PALERMO (2010): "Characteristics of private abortion services in Mexico City after legalization," *Reproductive Health Matters*, 18, 127–135.
- SCHIAVON, R., E. TRONCOSO, AND G. POLO (2012): "Analysis of maternal and abortionrelated mortality in Mexico over the last two decades, 1990–2008," *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, 118, Supplement 2, S78 – S86.
- THE LANCET (2009): "Unsafe abortions: eight maternal deaths every hour," *The Lancet*, 374, 1301 –.
- UNITED NATIONS (2014): "Abortion Policies and Reproductive Health around the World," Tech. Rep. E.14.XIII.11, Department of Economic and Social Affairs: Population Division.
- VALENTE, C. (2014): "Access to abortion, investments in neonatal health, and sex-selection: Evidence from Nepal," *Journal of Development Economics*, 107, 225–243.
- WHO (2011): "Unsafe abortion: global and regional estimates of incidence of unsafe abortion and associated mortality in 2008," Tech. Rep. 6th edition, World Health Organization Geneva.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (1987): "Studying Maternal Mortality in Developing Countries: A Guidebook," Tech. Rep. HO/FHE/87.7, WHO.
- (2015): "Maternal mortality ratio (modeled estimate, per 100,000 live births)," WHO, UNICEF, UNFPA, The World Bank, and the United Nations Population Division. Trends in Maternal Mortality: 1990 to 2015., accessed at http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/ SH.STA.MMRT (consulted April 26, 2016).

Tables

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Mexico	State of	Rest of	Full
	City	Mexico	Mexico	Country
	Ū			v
		State Cha	aracteristics	8
Birth rate	64.7	85.7	88.5	86.0
	(33.5)	(48.9)	(47.7)	(47.3)
Maternal Mortality Ratio	54.3	54.7	50.3	51.2
	(47.4)	(41.6)	(68.0)	(63.8)
Illiteracy	2.409	11.74	8.756	8.606
	(0.257)	(1.202)	(5.125)	(5.104)
People aged 6-14 with no schooling	2.951	7.976	5.373	5.398
r copro agoa o rr min no sencomig	(0.151)	(1.005)	(1.562)	(1.706)
No health rights	39.13	59.74	42.74	43.52
	$(4\ 334)$	(11.35)	(15.47)	(15, 43)
	(1.001)	(11.00)	(10.11)	(10.10)
	I	ndividual (Characterist	ics
$\underline{Mothers}$				
Age	26.51	25.60	25.61	25.66
	(6.235)	(6.064)	(6.146)	(6.145)
Age at first birth	24.71	22.78	22.72	22.94
	(6.140)	(5.620)	(5.453)	(5.559)
Incomplete Primary	2.123	6.810	13.06	12.16
	(0.346)	(2.150)	(9.363)	(9.273)
Primary	10.51	20.60	25.66	24.62
	(1.937)	(2.742)	(6.207)	(6.847)
Secondary	39.35	44.70	34.69	35.48
	(0.750)	(1.795)	(7.704)	(7.703)
Preparatory	28.93	19.40	16.68	17.45
	(1.333)	(2.339)	(4.588)	(5.153)
Professional education	19.08	8.490	9.911	10.29
	(1.470)	(0.847)	(2.840)	(3.397)
Deceased Mothers				
No Schooling	2.049	5.810	10.60	9.903
	(8.949)	(14.64)	(25.32)	(24.36)
Incomplete Primary	18.44	30.47	35.45	34.32
	(26.29)	(27.00)	(40.25)	(39.22)
Primary	10.25	10.76	10.18	10.22
	(19.99)	(17.69)	(26.34)	(25.64)
Secondary	32.05	29.99	24.27	24.98
v	(32.17)	(24.51)	(36.79)	(36.05)
Prepatory	22.94	14.59	11.40	12.15
- *	(29.17)	(17.32)	(27.04)	(26.82)
Professional education	14.28	8.380^{-1}	8.101	8.426
	(24.28)	(14.38)	(23.06)	(22.76)
N Births	1,505,790	3,186.751	18,464,578	23,157,119
N Maternal Deaths	818	1,745	9,295	11,858
N States	1	1	30	32

Table 1: State and Maternal Characteristics

Note to Table 1: The data regarding fertility, mortality and maternal characteristics is obtained from the National Institute of Statistics and Geography and covers all births and deaths among women aged 15-44 during the time period 2002-2011. Data on state level education and health care is obtained from the National Institute for Federalism and Municipal Development respectively the National Education Statistical Information System for the same period. Proportion of the population in percentage and standard deviation in the parenthesis.

		Ful	ll-Sample 15	-44			Teen	age women	15-19	
	(1) OLS OLS	$\overset{(2)}{\operatorname{OLS}}$	(3) OLS OLS	(4) OLS OLS	(5) OLS OLS	(6) OLS OLS	OLS OLS OLS	(8) OLS	(9) OLS OLS	(10) OLS
	Log Num. of Births	Log Num. of Births	Log Num. of Births	Log Num. of Births	Log Num. of Births	ьоg Num. of Births	Log Num. of Births	ьоg Num. of Births	ьод Num. of Births	Log Num. of Births
Reform	-0.0218^{**} (0.009)	-0.0282^{***} (0.005)	-0.0353^{***} (0.006)	-0.0376^{***} (0.008)	-0.0379*** (0.007)	-0.0509*** (0.012)	-0.0581^{***} (0.007)	-0.0717^{***} (0.007)	-0.0704^{***} (0.010)	-0.0711^{***} (0.010)
ReformClose	-0.00865 (0.009)	0.00543 (0.005)	0.00109 (0.006)	0.00356 (0.011)	0.00211 (0.012)	-0.0261^{**} (0.012)	0.00926 (0.006)	0.00223 (0.006)	0.000573 (0.011)	-0.00288 (0.013)
R ² Mean of Dep. Var.	0.988 7.819	0.989 7.819	0.989 7.819	0.989 7.819	$\begin{array}{c} 0.989 \\ 7.819 \end{array}$	0.993 7.918	0.994 7.918	$0.994 \\ 7.918$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.994 \\ 7.918 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.994 \\ 7.918 \end{array}$
Observations Number of women	9600 269189193	9600 269189193	9600 269189193	9600 269189193	9600 269189193	1600 53570502	1600 53570502	$1600 \\ 53570502$	1600 53570502	1600 53570502
State, Year and Age FE State Specific Linear Trends	>	>>	>>	>>	>>	>	>>	>>	>>	>>
Economic controls Education controls			>	>>	>>`			>	>>	>>`
Health controls Note to Table 2. Difference-in- the sub-sample of 15-19 year-o. ILE law was passed. Similarly economic controls are state lev not enrolled in school. The he Ponular" (at the state level). F	-differences es- olds (column 6- ; <i>ReformClose</i> rel income, un- valth controls d	timates from (-10) for the pc ? is a binary v employment a are the propoi	OLS regressio eriod 2002-201 ariable equal und rurality. 7 rtion of reside state and vea	ns are present [1. The indep to one in the The controls f ants with no l	ted. The samplendent variab endent variab eneighboring or education ε health rights ε	ple consists of ble <i>Reform</i> tak State of Mexi are state level and the cover	all births am tes the value c co nine mont illiteracy and age of the nat	ong women ag one in Mexico hs after the re proportion o cional health i ate level *** r	ged 15-44 (col City nine mo eform was inti of people aged insurance pro	\checkmark umn 1-5) and nths after the coduced. The 6-14 who are gram "Seguro 0.05.* $n < 0.1$.

Table 2: Effects of the Reform on Fertility

		Full	-Sample 15	6-44			Teena	ge women	15-19	
	(1) Poisson	(2)Poisson	(3) Poisson	$\begin{array}{c} (4) \\ \text{Poisson} \end{array}$	(5) Poisson	(6) Poisson	(7) Poisson	(8) Poisson	$^{(9)}_{ m Poisson}$	(10) Poisson
	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths	Num. Maternal Deaths
Num Maternal Deaths Reform	-0.118***	-0.220***	-0.215***	-0.217***	-0.224***	-0.211***	-0.428**	-0.440**	-0.454*	-0.471*
	(0.027)	(0.050)	(0.061)	(0.067)	(0.064)	(0.067)	(0.188)	(0.192)	(0.235)	(0.250)
ReformClose	-0.102***	-0.0213	0.00835	0.0364	0.0461	-0.162**	-0.103	-0.146	-0.121	-0.180
	(0.027)	(0.050)	(0.058)	(0.064)	(0.063)	(0.068)	(0.185)	(0.190)	(0.234)	(0.207)
Pseudo R^2	0.322	0.324	0.324	0.324	0.324	0.295	0.299	0.299	0.299	0.301
Mean of Dep. Var.	2.588	2.588	2.588	2.588	2.588	1.935	1.935	1.935	1.935	1.935
Observations	0096	9600	9600	0096	9600	1600	1600	1600	1600	1600
Number of births	23157119	23157119	23157119	23157119	23157119	4053832	4053832	4053832	4053832	4053832
State, Year and Age FE	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
State Specific Linear Trends		>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
Economic controls			>	>	>			>	>	>
Education controls				>	>				>	>
Health controls					>					>
Notes to Table 3. The table of	displays the c	lifference in (difference est	timates from	Poisson reg	ressions. Th	le sample co	nsists of all	maternal de	aths amo

Table 3: Effects of the Reform on Maternal Mortality

women aged 15-44 (column 1-5) and sub-sample of teenage women aged 15-19 (column 6-10), for the period 2002-2011. The dependent variable is de-fined as the number of maternal deaths. Refer to table 2 for a description of control variables. Standard errors are clustered at state level.^{***} p<0.01,^{**} p<0.05,^{*} p<0.05,^{*} p<0.1.

			F	Aaternal Cc	mposition		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS
	Teen Birth	1st Child	2nd Child	3rd Child	Age 1st Child	Age 2st Child	Age 3st Child
Reform	-0.00986***	0.0220^{**}	-0.00251	-0.0109^{***}	0.0828	0.125^{***}	0.0437
	(0.002)	(0.010)	(0.006)	(0.003)	(0.084)	(0.040)	(0.048)
Observations	1157301	1157301	1157301	1157301	491307	320960	345034
R^{2}	0.00203	0.01077	0.00327	0.00203	0.01850	0.01328	0.00540
Mean of Dep. Var.	0.175	0.425	0.277	0.165	22.94	25.56	29.64
FEs, Trends and Controls	1	1	~	~	1	1	1
Notes to Table 4. The table displ for women aged 15-44 for the peri	ays the difference iod 2002-2011. T	in difference he dependent	estimates from variables are t	OLS regression the probability of	s. The sample cons of teen birth (colum	ists of a representat n 1). probability of	ive 5% of all births first child (column

of Mothers
Composition
n the 6
Reform o
of the
Effects
Table 4:

for women aged 15-44 for the period 2002-2011. The dependent variables are the probability of the period 2002-2011. The dependent variables are the probability of the period 2002-2011. The dependent variables are the probability of second child (column 3), probability of third or higher order children (column 4), mother's age at first child (column 5) mother's age at third child (column 7). Additional details on control variables are provided in table 2. Standard errors are clustered at state level.*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1. II

Table 5: Mechanism

(a)	Fertility
(a)	Fertility

		Full-Samp	ole 15-44	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Baseline	Modern Method	Any Method	Information
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS
	Log	Log	Log	Log
	N. Births	N. Births	N. Births	N. Births
Reform	-0.0332***	-0.0387***	-0.0348***	-0.0253**
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.010)
ReformClose	0.0124	0.0270**	0.0309**	0.0141
<u></u>	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.012)
R^2	0.989	0.989	0.989	0.989
Mean of Dep. Var.	8.064	8.064	8.064	8.064
Observations	4890	4890	4890	4890
Number of women	188587527	188587527	188587527	188587527
FEs, Trends, Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Use of modern method		\checkmark		
Use of any method			\checkmark	
Information				\checkmark

	(b) Mate	ernal Mortality		
		Full-Samp	ole 15-44	
	(1) <u>Baseline</u> Poisson	(2) <u>Modern Method</u> Poisson	(3) Any Method Poisson	(4) <u>Information</u> Poisson
	N. Deaths	N. Deaths	N. Deaths	N. Deaths
Reform	-0.266^{***}	-0.271^{***}	-0.256^{***}	-0.320^{***}
ReformClose	0.0445	0.0743	0.103	0.0244
Drauda D ²	(0.075)	(0.076)	(0.068)	(0.074)
Mean of Dep. Var.	0.322 3.089	0.322 3.089	$0.322 \\ 3.089$	0.322 3.089
Observations	4890	4890	4890	4890
Number of births	15825960	15825960	15825960	15825960
FEs, Trends, Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Use of modern method		\checkmark		
Use of any method			\checkmark	
Information				\checkmark

Notes Table 5. State-level information on contraceptive use and knowledge is obtained from the Mexican Family Life Survey (MxFLS). The baseline specification is presented in column 1. We include state-level information on current use of modern contraceptives (column 2), current use of any type of contraceptives (column 3) and knowledge about modern contraceptives (column 4). In all regressions, fixed effects (age, state and year), state-specific trends and state-level controls are included (see notes to Table 2). The sample size is smaller compared to the main results due to missing values for some states. *** p < 0.01,** p < 0.05,* p < 0.1.

Figures



Figure 1: Birth and Abortion Descriptives: Mexico

NOTES TO FIGURE: Total births are plotted between 2002 and 2011. Abortions are plotted from the date of reform (April 26, 2007) until 2011. The total quantity of births is 23.2 million (all of Mexico), and total abortions are 69,861 (Mexico City only). Births are calculated from administrative data (INEGI) and abortions from administrative data (Secretary of Health, Mexico DF).



Figure 2: Trends in Reform and non-Reform Areas

Note to Figure 2: Each figure is constructed from administrative data made available by INEGI. Trends are constructed based on population weighted means for fertile aged women 15-44. The vertical dashed line indicates the year of the reform. The State of Mexico is excluded due to potential spillover effects. In Figure a) trends in the number of births are displayed. In Figure b) trends in birth rates (the annual number of births per 1,000 women) are presented. In Figure c) the number of maternal deaths are presented followed by the trends in maternal mortality ratio (the annual number of deaths per 100,000 deaths) presented in Figure d). In Figure a) and c) the y-axis to the left shows the levels in Mexico City and y-axis to the right shows the levels in the rest of Mexico.

Figure 3: Placebo tests



Note to Figure 3: The coefficient plot shows point estimates and confidence intervals estimated using OLS regressions (Figure 3a) and Poisson regressions (Figure 3b). The sample consists of all births and maternal deaths among women aged 15-44 for the period 2002-2011. Leads and lags (5 years before and 4 years after the reform) defined by interaction terms for each year and the treatment area (Mexico City) are included in order to examine anticipatory effects as well as post treatment effects for fertility (a) and maternal mortality (b) respectively. The year of the reform, 2007, is used as the baseline category. The State of Mexico is excluded due to potential spillover effects. A full set of fixed effects, time varying controls and state-specific linear time trends are included in each regression (see note to Table 2).

ONLINE APPENDIX

For the paper:

The impact of abortion legalization on fertility and maternal mortality: New evidence from Mexico

Damian Clarke and Hanna Mühlrad

Contents

\mathbf{A}	Data Appendix	A2
	A.1 Vital Statistics Data	A2
	A.2 Time Varying Controls	A3
	A.3 MxFLS	A3
в	Additional Details of the ILE Reform	A 4
	B.1 Reform Background	A4
	B.2 Spillover Effects	A5
С	Appendix Tables	A7
D	Appendix Figures A	19

A Data Appendix

A.1 Vital Statistics Data

Vital statistics data are publicly available from Mexico's National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI). We download all microdata files from 2002 to 2014 (the most recent data available at the time of writing). We then keep all records corresponding to events occurring in 2002 to 2011. We do not use data from 2012 onwards given problems of reporting births in subsequent years, and concerns that births may be only partially recorded until a number of years after the event (Consejo Nacional de Población, 2012). This results in data on 23,157,119 births, and 11,858 cases of maternal deaths (see table A1).

Maternal deaths are recorded officially in the vital statistics registers of deahts, and are classified accourding to the 10th revision of the International Classification of Disease (ICD-10) codes. Formally, maternal deaths are defined by the WHO as "The death of a woman while pregnant or within 42 days of termination of pregnancy, irrespective of the duration and the site of the pregnancy, from any cause related to or aggravated by the pregnancy or its management, but not from accidental or incidental causes".

The final sample consists of all births and maternal deaths of women aged 15-44 (most fertile ages) occurring during 2002-2011, though results are not sensitive to including all women up to the age of 49. The time period is chosen due to data availability and quality. In the effort of meeting the UN Millennium Development Goals (MDG 5), a study was conducted in 2002 in order to investigate misclassification of maternal mortality in Mexico. Maternal deaths were found to be incorrectly registered in the death certificates as well as underreported. This together with lagged reporting in live births (affecting the denominator) gave the false impression of a reduction in the maternal mortality ratio. To correct these deficiencies, large improvements were made in 2002 by deliberately searching for maternal deaths as well as reclassifying maternal deaths according to the standards of the WHO. As such, we begin analysis in year 2002, when the updated maternal death reporting standards were put into place.

A.2 Time Varying Controls

We merge data on the female population with birth and death data aggregated at the level of age, year and state. This population data is obtained from the National Population Council in Mexico (CONAPO), and is constructed from censal and inter-censal survey data, which is a national representative sample of over ten million individuals stratified geographically by municipality (Consejo Nacional de Población, 2012). This survey was conducted in 2000, 2005 and 2010 and the data between those years are interpolated using multiple imputation.

The economic and educational variables available at the state level are rates of illiteracy, schooling and the proportion of the population that lacks health insurance. These variables are obtained from the National Institute for Federalism and Municipal Development (INAFED) and the National Education Statistical Information System (SNIE) respectively. Information on the roll out of the national health insurance program *Seguro Popular* is obtained from the INEGI data bank. The full dataset is made available on the authors' webpages.

A.3 MxFLS

In order to examine potential mechanisms through which the reform may have affected fertility and maternal mortality, longitudinal data on contraceptive use and knowledge is collected from the Mexican Family Life Survey (MxFLS). The MxFLS is a nationally representative longitudinal dataset that follows individuals over time, covering various topics regarding the well-being of the Mexican population including information on reproductive health. This survey was conducted during three waves in 2002-2003, 2005-2006 and 2009-2012. The data between the years when the survey was conducted is generated by linear interpolation. The analysis sample used consists of women aged 15-44 who completed the reproductive health questionnaire resulting in a total of 15,114 individuals. Further descriptive statistics are displayed in table A2.

In classifying contraceptive use, modern contraceptives are defined as condoms, oral or injectable implants of hormones preventing ovulation, IUD, sterilization and emergency contraception. Traditional or less safe methods are defined as the usage of the calendar method or rhythm method, coitus interruptis, herbs or teas. A detailed account of modern and traditional methods is provided by World Health Organization (2015).

B Additional Details of the ILE Reform

B.1 Reform Background

A brief description of the reform and its timing is provided in section 2 of the main paper. In figure A1, a map of Mexico is presented, with the reform are (Mexico City) highlighted in grey. In table A3, we provide a break down of reform timing and affected cohorts. A medical abortion is a non-invasive procedure that causes contractions of the womb terminating the pregnancy. The MOH-DF program offers both surgical and medical abortion procedures and is the main provider of medical abortion (Winikoff and Sheldon, 2012). Medical abortion procedures are safer and more cost-efficient compared to other methods and the patient self-administers the abortion at home. The large shift from 25% of all abortion procedures being medical in 2007 to as much as 74% in 2011 have played a key part of meeting the demand (Becker, 2013).

A final concern regarding the identification strategy is interventions occurring at the same time as the abortion reform. Of particular concern is the large scale welfare program called Seguro Popular (SP) or "People's' Insurance" that was launched in 2002. SP is a national health insurance program with the aim to provide the then 50 million uninsured Mexican citizens with access to health care. The evidence of SP's impact on actual health outcomes is mixed. Results from multiple previous studies on SP show a positive effect on public health care utilization (see for instance Knox (2008) and Barros (2008)). In contrast to these studies, results indicating no effect on public health care utilization, medical expenditure and self-assessed health has also been found King et al. (2009). Moreover, there is evidence that SP is associated with lower infant mortality and improved antenatal care (Conti and Ginja, 2014) and better access to obstetric care (Sosa-Rubi et al., 2009). However, to the best of our knowledge there is no evidence of decreased maternal mortality, see for instance (Conti and Ginja, 2014) who analyze mortality. In 2005 all 32 states had enrolled in the SP program (Knaul et al., 2007) and the program was implemented in a time varying fashion up until 2013. As of 2010, 90% of the uninsured population was affiliated

with SP (Bosch et al., 2012). The SP program offers a wide range of health care services, including 250 indispensable services and 57 expensive interventions of "catastrophic diseases" (Darney et al., 2016). Amongst these are services related to childbirth, antenatal care (Knox, 2008) and contraceptives (Darney et al., 2016). However, contraceptives and other family planning services have been provided free of charge by the government since 1975 (Juarez et al., 2013). Moreover, to the best of our knowledge there is no evidence of an effect on fertility from the SP program. Similarly, the effect on mortality from the SP program has been documented only for infants (Conti and Ginja, 2014). Nevertheless, the SP program may have a direct or indirect effect on fertility and maternal mortality, which is why we control for the roll out of this program as well as the percentage of population that is covered by health insurance at the state level.

B.2 Spillover Effects

The ILE reform took place in Mexico City, and in our main specification, we compare changes in fertility and maternal deaths in Mexico City with those occurring in the rest of the country. The causal effect of the reform can only be estimated in the absence of spillover effects. Women with residency outside of Mexico City, traveling to Mexico City to have an induced abortion would lead to a partially treated control group causing a downward bias in the estimates. Even in the presence of spillover effects however, the treatment effect can be estimated if these spillover effects occur in areas located close to Mexico City but not in more distant areas. This assumption is further supported by data available from the public hospital records (MOH), showing that approximately 74% of all women accessing the reform are women with residency in Mexico City, 24% are resident in the neighboring State of Mexico and only 2% of the women accessing the reform are residents in other states. Accordingly, we assume that the spillover effects are concentrated to the State of Mexico. In order to mitigate concern for spillover effects in the State of Mexico, which constitute a large part of the greater metropolitan area of Mexico City, the State of Mexico is either controlled for or omitted from the analysis. In addition, we estimate the treatment effect using a specification to flexibly capture local spillover effects:

$$Outcome_{ast} = \beta_1 ILE_{st} + \sum_j \beta_j Close_{st}^j + X_{st}\delta + \alpha_s + \nu_t + \pi_a + \lambda_s \times t + \varepsilon_{ast}, \quad (A1)$$

for $\in \{0 - 5km, 6 - 10km, 11 - 15km, \dots, 51 - 55km\}.$

This equation is analogous to equation 1 from the paper, however now including multiple "close to treatment variables" equal to one after the law was passed for states within j km of Mexico City. As in Equation 1, we include age, year and state fixed effects in order to account for unobserved heterogeneity. In addition, we include state-specific time trends as well as state level time-invariant controls. As in the main paper, the fertility equation is estimated using OLS regression, and the maternal mortality specification is estimated by Poisson regression. Standard errors are clustered at the level of the state.

Results to this specification are presented in figure A3, which find little evidence to suggest that spillover important spillover effects exist, at least when agglomerating to the level of the state.

C Appendix Tables

State	Number of maternal deaths	Number of births	MMR
Aguascalientes	87	257,576	33.77
Baja California	250	$5,\!68,\!276$	43.99
Baja California Sur	53	120,621	43.94
Campeche	88	160, 185	54.94
Chiapas	825	$1,\!220,\!254$	67.61
Chihuahua	440	$678,\!600$	64.84
Coahuila	198	$552,\!608$	35.83
Colima	31	$120,\!840$	25.65
Distrito Federal (Mexico City)	818	$1,\!505,\!790$	54.32
Durango	154	$362,\!410$	42.49
Guanajuato	489	1,160,802	42.13
Guerrero	702	809,783	86.69
Hidalgo	297	$538,\!216$	55.18
Jalisco	577	1,522,825	37.89
State of México	1,745	$3,\!186,\!751$	54.76
Michoacán	458	$946,\!165$	48.41
Morelos	185	$326,\!129$	56.73
Nayarit	109	$216,\!272$	50.40
Nuevo León	204	$882,\!618$	23.11
Oaxaca	639	$851,\!138$	75.08
Puebla	739	$1,\!377,\!091$	53.66
Querétaro	168	$385,\!391$	43.59
Quintana Roo	136	$256,\!223$	53.08
San Luis Potosí	276	$552,\!094$	49.99
Sinaloa	173	$554,\!838$	31.18
Sonora	197	$513,\!172$	38.39
Tabasco	211	$477,\!473$	44.19
Tamaulipas	258	$630,\!260$	40.94
Tlaxcala	121	$261,\!363$	46.30
Veracruz	922	$1,\!467,\!936$	62.81
Yucatán	176	$360,\!051$	48.88
Zacatecas	132	$333,\!368$	39.60
Total	11,858	$23,\!157,\!119$	51.21

Table A1: List of States

Administrative data made available by INEGI on all births and maternal deaths by each state for ages 15-44 during the time period 2002-2011.

	М	exico Ci	ty	The r	rest of M	Iexico
Variable	Mean	Std.	Obs	Mean	Std.	Obs
Contraception use	$0,\!647$	0,479	$0,\!479$	0,604	$0,\!489$	14766
Modern Contraception use	$0,\!612$	$0,\!488$	$0,\!488$	0,563	$0,\!496$	14768
Knowledge of contraception	$0,\!981$	$0,\!137$	$0,\!137$	0,969	$0,\!173$	23473

Table A2: Descriptives MxFLS data

Note to Table A2: The data is obtained from the Mexican Family Life Survey (MxFLS).

		Fertility		M	aternal Mortality	
		Partial exposure	Full exposure		Partial exposure	Full exposure
$Month \ of$	$Month \ of$	$A bortion \ legal \ at$	Abortion legal at	$Month \ of$	Spillover effect	No spillover effects
Conception	Birth	at the month	some point during	Death	$from \ illegal$	$and \ abortion$
		$of\ conception$	the first trimester		abortions	available the full
						$1st\ trimester$
24 Dec 06-23 Jan 07	24 Sep-23 Oct, 2007	no	no	24 Apr-23 May, 2007	yes	no
24 Jan-23 Feb, 2007	24 Oct-23 Nov, 2007	yes	no	24 May-23 Jun, 2007	yes	no
24 Feb-23 Mar, 2007	24 Nov-23 Dec, 2007	yes	no	24 Jun-23 Jul, 2007	yes	no
24 Mar-23 Apr, 2007	24 Dec 07-23 Jan, 08	yes	no	24 Jul-23 Aug, 2007	yes	no
24 Apr-23 May, 2007	24 Jan-23 Feb, 2008		yes	24 Aug- 23 Sep, 2007	yes	no
24 May-23 Jun, 2007	24 Feb-23 Mar, 2008		yes	24 Sep-23 Oct, 2007	yes	no
24 Jun-23 Jul, 2007	24 Mar-23 Apr, 2008		yes	24 Oct-23 Nov, 2007	no	yes
24 Jul-23 Aug, 2007	24 Apr-23 May, 2008		yes	24 Nov-23 Dec, 2007	I	yes
24 Aug-23 Sep, 2007	24 May-23 Jun, 2008		yes	24 Dec 07-23 Feb 08	ı	yes
24 Sep-23 Oct, 2007	24 Jun-23 Jul, 2008	ı	yes	24 Jan-23 Feb, 2008	I	yes
This table displays exp terms of fertility outcor (assuming 40 weeks of are therefore considerec access the reform, and October 2007 and onwi the first trimester while before 24 January 2007 remaining six months o	osure to the reform at tir ne, the full effect of the r gestation). That is, wone I as fully treated. Women are therefore considered ards. Regarding matern , women conceiving after , that is women pregnant f their pregnancy and he is (without contaminatio	ne of conception for bi eform will be observed an who got pregnant th partially treated. Th al mortality, women c the reform was passe beyond their first trim nce "contaminate" the	rth and maternal de l in January 2008 sir after the law was ps ree months before th a earliest time for v onceiving after 24 Jf d could access the re nester at the time wh moster and f	aths respectively. The ab- ice fertility exhibits a nin issed, had access to abor- e law was passed, had at which any impact on fert unuary 2007 could access form during the whole fi nen the reform was passed king place in the six mon orward. Additionally, we	ortion law was passed e month lag due to r tion during their who least some period of ility can be detected the reform at least rst trimester. Howev l, could have an illeg ths after the reform also expect a lagreed	l on 24 April 2007. In ealization of gestation ole first trimester and time when they could l would then be from at some point during er, women conceiving al abortion during the was passed. The fully leffect from maternal
mortality since it is a fu	inction of fertility (i.e lo	wer fertility implies lo	wer mortality).	2	•	

Table A3: Exposure to the reform at time of conception

Table A4: Heterogeneous Effects of the Reform Across Ages

	Ages 15-18	Ages 19-24	Ages 25-29	Ages 30-24	Ages 35-39	Ages 40-44
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	ÔĹS	ÔĹS	ÔĹS	ÒĹS	ÔĹS	ÔĹS
	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log
	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of
	Births	Births	Births	Births	Births	Births
Reform	-0.0872***	-0.0124	0.0107	-0.0622***	-0.0472***	-0.0358**
	(0.011)	(0.009)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.014)
ReformClose	-0.00861	0.00693	0.0128	0.00402	-0.0248**	0.0282
	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.012)	(0.015)	(0.010)	(0.020)
R^2	0.994	0.998	0.998	0.997	0.994	0.987
Mean_of_dep_Var	7.753	8.644	8.495	8.091	7.292	5.731
Observations (State*Year*Age)	1280	1920	1600	1600	1600	1600
Number of women	43106477	60894528	47542195	44176996	39516001	33952996
FEs, Trends and Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

(a) Fertility

		(b) Maternal	Mortality			
	Ages 15-18	Ages 19-24	Ages 25-29	Ages 30-24	Ages 35-39	Ages 40-44
	(1) Poisson	(2) Poisson	(3) Poisson	(4) Poisson	(5) Poisson	(6) Poisson
	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths
Reform	-0.593^{**} (0.253)	0.308^{**} (0.137)	-0.690^{***} (0.105)	-0.393^{***} (0.128)	0.0631 (0.152)	-0.371^{***} (0.125)
ReformClose	-0.460^{**} (0.223)	0.129 (0.110)	0.0315 (0.097)	0.101 (0.152)	0.247 (0.151)	-0.0261 (0.073)
Pseudo R^2	0.313	0.331	0.330	0.332	0.293	0.228
Mean_of_dep_Var	1.727	2.731	2.791	2.861	2.354	1.037
Observations (State*Year*Age)	1280	1920	1600	1600	1600	1600
Number of births	2732961	8296491	5918229	3948224	1817803	443411
FEs, Trends and Controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

Notes to Table A4. The table shows the difference-in-difference estimates of the heterogeneous effects of the reform on (a) log number of births (b) and number of maternal deaths by age group. There are six different subsamples of the following age intervals: 15-18, 19-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39 and 40-44 for the period 2002-2011. The dependent variables are defined as the log number of births (A5a) and number of maternal deaths (A5b). The independent variable *Reform* takes the value one in Mexico City nine months after the law was passed due to realization of gestation and zero otherwise. Similarly, *ReformClose* is a binary variable equal to one in the neighboring State of Mexico nine months after the reform was introduced. The economic controls are state level income, unemployment and rurality. The controls for education are illiteracy and proportion of people aged 6-14 who are not enrolled in school. The health controls are the proportion of residents with no health rights and the roll out of the national health insurance program "Seguro Popular". The fixed effects consist of age, state and year binary variables. Standard errors are clustered at state level.*** p < 0.01,** p < 0.05,* p < 0.1.

		Full	-Sample 15	-44			Teen	age women	15-19	
	$^{(1)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(2)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(3)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(4)}_{ m OLS}$	(5) OLS	(6)	$^{(7)}_{ m OLS}$	(8) OLS	$^{(9)}_{ m OLS}$	(10) OLS
	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log
	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births
PartiallyBirth	-0.0217^{*}	-0.00821	-0.00692	-0.0101	-0.00919	-0.0153	-0.00489	-0.00284	-0.00526	-0.000861
	(0.013)	(0.00)	(600.0)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.014)	(0.011)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.012)
FullyBirth	-0.0307^{***}	-0.00781	-0.0166^{***}	-0.0147^{**}	-0.0144**	-0.0551^{***}	-0.0375***	-0.0517^{***}	-0.0461^{***}	-0.0467^{***}
	(0.011)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.011)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.00)	(0.008)
R^2	0.970	0.970	0.970	0.970	0.970	0.977	0.978	0.978	0.978	0.978
Mean_of_dep_Var	4.562	4.562	4.562	4.562	4.562	4.846	4.846	4.846	4.846	4.846
Observations (State*Year*Age)	111529	111529	111529	111529	111529	18653	18653	18653	18653	18653
State, Year and Age FE	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
State Specific Linear Trends		>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
Economic controls			>	>	>			>	>	>
Education controls				>	>				>	>
Health controls					>					>
Notes to Table A6. The table display 1-5) and sub-sample of teenage w	plays the differ vomen aged 15	tence in diffe -19 (column	rence estimat 6-10), for the	es from OLS period 2002	regressions. 2-2011, on a	The sample of monthly level	Consists of all consists of the construction of the constructi	births among ated women (women aged 1 who had som	5-44 (column e exposure to
as the log number of births. The	independent v	ariable <i>Refor</i>	m takes the	value one in	Mexico City	nine months	after the law v	vas passed du	e to realizatio	n of gestation

Table A6: The Effect of the Reform on Births, monthly data

and zero otherwise. Similarly, *ReformClose* is a binary variable equal to one in the neighboring State of Mexico nine months after the reform was introduced. The economic controls are state level income, unemployment and rurality. The controls for education are illiteracy and proportion of people aged 6-14 who are not enrolled in school. The health controls are the proportion of residents with no health rights and the roll out of the national health insurance program "Seguro Popular". The fixed effects consist of age, state and year binary variables. Standard errors are clustered at state level.*** p<0.01,** p<0.05,* p<0.1.

		Full	-Sample 1	5-44			Teena	ige women	15-19	
	(1) Poisson	$\mathop{\rm Poisson}\limits_{\rm Poisson}$	(3) Poisson	$\stackrel{(4)}{\rm Poisson}$	(5)Poisson	(6) Poisson	(7) Poisson	(8) Poisson	(9) Poisson	(10) Poisson
	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal	Num. Maternal
PartiallyMMR	Deaths 0.0947* (0.052)	Deaths 0.0744 (0.066)	Deaths 0.0562 (0.065)	Deaths 0.0608 (0.064)	Deaths 0.0634 (0.066)	Deaths 0.306* (0.179)	Deaths 0.230 (0.172)	Deaths 0.229 (0.176)	Deaths 0.211 (0.174)	Deaths 0.212 (0.175)
FullyMMR	-0.0414 (0.026)	-0.0728 (0.049)	-0.102^{**} (0.048)	-0.0776^{*} (0.046)	-0.0809*(0.045)	-0.0966 (0.061)	-0.212^{**} (0.089)	-0.231^{**} (0.100)	-0.331^{**} (0.147)	-0.312^{*} (0.169)
Pseudo R^2	0.13641	0.13712	0.13725	0.13726	0.13726	0.14010	0.14307	0.14309	0.14325	0.14365
Mean_of_dep_Var	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.103	0.0785	0.0785	0.0785	0.0785	0.0785
Observations (State*Year*Age)	115146	115146	115146	115146	115146	19259	19259	19259	19259	19259
State, Year and Age FE	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	~
State Specific Linear Trends		>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
Economic controls			>	>	>			>	>	>
Education controls				>	>				>	>
Health controls					>					>
Notes to Table A7. The table disp	lays the diffe	erence in diff	erence estim	ates from O	LS regressio	ns. The sam	ple consists o	of all matern	ial deaths ar	nong women
aged 15-44 (column 1-5) and sub-s	ample of tee	Detober 200	aged $15-19$	(column 6-10))), for the p	eriod 2002-20	011, on a mo	onthly level.	Partially tre	which mater-
not occur if these women have had	l access to le	eal first trin	lester aborti	on. These al	te excluded	from the san	nole. The de	spendent var	iables are de	sfined as the
number of maternal deaths. The ir	ndependent v	ariable <i>Refo</i>	rm takes the	e value one in	Mexico Cit	y six months	after the la	w was passed	d on order to	account for

The economic controls are state level income, unemployment and rurality. The controls for education are illiteracy and proportion of people aged 6-14 who are not enrolled in school. The health controls are the proportion of residents with no health rights and the roll out of the national health insurance program

"Seguro Popular": The fixed effects consist of age, state and year binary variables. Standard errors are clustered at state level.*** p<0.01,** p<0.05,* p<0.1.

late term abortions. Similarly, Reform Close is a binary variable equal to one in the neighboring State of Mexico six months after the reform was introduced.

Table A7: The Effect of the Reform on Maternal Mortality, monthly data

			Fertility				Mate	ernal Mort	ality	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)
	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Poisson	Poisson	Poisson	Poisson	Poisson
	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths
main										
Reform	-0.0217^{**}	-0.0285^{***}	-0.0374^{***}	-0.0338^{***}	-0.0341^{***}	-0.119^{***}	-0.216^{***}	-0.226^{***}	-0.193^{***}	-0.205^{***}
	(0.00)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.027)	(0.049)	(0.060)	(0.053)	(0.054)
$\overline{R^2}$	0.986	0.986	0.986	0.986	0.986					
Pseudo R^2						0.188	0.190	0.191	0.191	0.191
Mean_of_dep_Var	7.633	7.633	7.633	7.633	7.633	1.875	1.875	1.875	1.875	1.875
Observations (State [*] Year [*] Age)	9300	9300	9300	9300	9300	9300	9300	9300	9300	9300
Number of women	231990879	231990879	231990879	231990879	231990879					
Number of births						19970368	19970368	19970368	19970368	19970368
State, Year and Age FE	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
State Specific Linear Trends		>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
Economic controls			>	>	>			>	>	>
Education controls				>	>				>	>
Health controls					<u>ل</u>					~
Note to Table A8. The table disp consists of all births and maternal dependent variables are defined as one in Mexico City nine months a	lays the differ deaths amon s the log num fter the law w	ence in differ- g women aged iber of births as passed. Si	ence estimate 1 15-44 for the (column 1-5) milarly, <i>Refor</i>	s from OLS r e period 2002- and materne "mClose is a h	egressions (co 2011. The St. d deaths (colu inary variable	ate of Mexico atmost Mexico atmostration (10). 7 e equal to on	id Poisson re o is omitted o The independ e in the neig	gressions (cc lue to poten lent variable hboring Stat	dumn 6-10). tial spillover <i>Reform</i> tak e of Mexico	The sample effects. The es the value aine months
after the reform was introduced. ⁷ proportion of people aged 6-14 wl	l'he economic ho are not en	controls are s rolled in scho	state level inc	ome, unemplc th controls ar	wment and ru e the proport	rality. The c ion of reside	controls for each on the second secon	ducation are health rights	state level il s and the rol	literacy and 1 out of the
national health insurance program state level. *** $p<0.01$, ** $p<0.05$, *	"Seguro Pop p<0.1.	ular" (state le	evel). The fix	ed effects con	sist of age, sta	tte and year	binary variał	oles. Standa	rd errors are	clustered at

Table A8: State of Mexico is Omitted

			Fertility				Mat	ernal Mort	ality	
	(1)	(2)	(3) 01 c	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)
	Log	Log	Log	Log	Log	Poisson	Poisson	Poisson	Poisson	Poisson
	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Births	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths	Num. of Deaths
main Reform	-0.0283**	-0.0961***	-0.0308***	-0.0331***	-0.0330***	-0 148***	-0 917***	-0.950***	-0 978***	-0 967***
	(0.012)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.00)	(0.009)	(0.019)	(0.057)	(0.047)	(0.060)	(0.052)
ReformClose	-0.0149	0.00748	0.00536	0.0112	0.0129	-0.132***	-0.0168	0.0176	0.0331	0.0632
	(0.012)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.013)	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.057)	(0.058)	(0.071)	(0.071)
R^2	0.990	0.990	0.990	0.990	066.0					
Pseudo R^2						0.371	0.372	0.372	0.372	0.372
Mean_of_dep_Var	7.888	7.888	7.888	7.888	7.888	2.823	2.823	2.823	2.823	2.823
Observations (State*Year*Age)	6300	6300	6300	6300	6300	6300	6300	6300	6300	6300
Number of women	193099050	193099050	193099050	193099050	193099050					
Number of births						15763586	15763586	15763586	15763586	15763586
State, Year and Age FE	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
State Specific Linear Trends		>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
Economic controls			>	>	>			>	>	>
Education controls				>	>				>	>
Health controls					>					>
Note to Table A9. The table disp consists of all births and maternal ted from the analysis. The depend	lays the differ deaths among lent variables	ence in differ 5 women aged are defined as	ence estimate 15-44 for the s the log num	s from OLS re period 2002-2 ber of births (gressions (co) 011. States w column 1-5) s	lumn 1-5) an here more th and maternal	ld Poisson re 1an 50% of al 1 deaths (colı	gressions (cc ll births occu umn 6-10). 7	lumn 6-10). 1 in rural are Che independ	The sample as are omit- ent variable

Reform takes the value one in Mexico City nine months after the law was passed. Similarly, Reform Close is a binary variable equal to one in the neighboring State

of Mexico after nine months after the reform was introduced. The economic controls are state level income, unemployment and rurality. The controls for education

are state level illiteracy and proportion of people aged 6-14 who are not enrolled in school. The health controls are the proportion of residents with no health rights and the roll out of the national health insurance program "Seguro Popular" (state level). The fixed effects consist of age, state and year binary variables. Standard

errors are clustered at state level.*** p<0.01,** p<0.05,* p<0.1.

$\operatorname{Robustness}$	
A9:	
Table	

					Mat	ernal Mort	ality	
$^{(2)}_{ m OLS}$	(3) OLS	(4) OLS	(5) OLS	(9)	(1)	(8) OLS	(0)	(10) OLS
Birth Rate	Birth Rate	Birth Rate	Birth Rate	MMR	MMR	MMR	MMR	MMR
-1.758***	-2.264***	-2.337***	-2.361***	-3.061**	-9.930***	-11.21***	-11.03^{***}	-11.32^{***}
(0.382)	(0.535)	(0.584)	(0.585)	(1.295)	(1.753)	(2.202)	(2.225)	(2.097)
0.843^{**}	0.489	0.701	0.624	-5.263^{***}	2.288	2.711	2.243	2.678
(0.374)	(0.513)	(0.859)	(0.954)	(1.297)	(1.750)	(2.086)	(3.309)	(3.223)
0.969	0.969	0.969	0.969	0.221	0.224	0.225	0.225	0.225
86.03	86.03	86.03	86.03	51.21	51.21	51.21	51.21	51.21
9600	0096	9600	0096	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600
269189193	269189193	269189193	269189193					
				23157119	23157119	23157119	23157119	23157119
>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
	>	>	>			>	>	>
		>	>				>	>
			1					~
mce in differ- women aged fic area and one in Mexid ths after the l proportion nal health in vel *** $n < 0$	ence estimate 15-44 for the maternal mor co City nine r reform was in of people age surance progr	s from OLS r period 2002- tality ratio de nonths after t troduced. Th troduced. Th 1.6-14 who ar am "Seguro I * $n < 0.1$	egressions (co) 2011. The dep fined as the a he law was pa e economic cc e not enrolled opular" (stat	lumn 1-5) an pendent varie nnual numbe ussed. Simila nutrols are sta in school. T e level). The	d Poisson re ables are birt er of deaths I rly, <i>ReformC</i> ate level inco The health co	gressions (cc ch rate defin ber 100,000 1 <i>Uose</i> is a bin me, unemplo mtrols are th consist of a	olumn 6-10). ed as the ani ive births (cc ary variable yyment and r e proportion ge, state and	The sample unal number olumn 6-10). equal to one urality. The of residents year binary
9 2691 mrce worm worm fite au fite au	600 89193 \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	600 9600 89193 269189193 \checkmark	600 9600 9600 9600 89193 269189193 269189193 \checkmark	600 9600 9600 9600 9600 89193 269189193 269189193 269189193 269189193 269189193 269189193 269189193 269189193 \checkmark	600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 89193 269189193 269189193 23157119 \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark \checkmark	600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600	600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600	600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600 9600

Table A10: Alternative Specifications

			Fertility				Mate	ernal Mort	ality	
	$^{(1)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(2)}_{ m OLS}$	(3) OLS	(4) OLS	(5) OLS	(9)	(1)	(8) OLS	$^{(0)}_{OLS}$	(10) OLS
	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of	Num. of
	Births	Births	Births	Births	Births	\mathbf{Deaths}	Deaths	Deaths	Deaths	Deaths
Reform	-442.7***	-48.91^{**}	-59.30^{**}	-82.99*	-78.96*	-0.482***	-0.558***	-0.546***	-0.579***	-0.595***
	(32.686)	(21.747)	(26.138)	(42.347)	(40.603)	(0.054)	(0.096)	(0.137)	(0.105)	(0.102)
ReformClose	-463.3^{***}	328.8^{***}	321.3^{***}	369.1^{***}	373.0^{***}	-1.098***	0.347^{***}	0.392^{***}	0.467^{***}	0.499^{**}
	(32.238)	(21.013)	(24.272)	(65.804)	(70.545)	(0.052)	(0.095)	(0.125)	(0.169)	(0.183)
R^2	0.815	0.816	0.816	0.816	0.816	0.612	0.618	0.618	0.618	0.619
Mean_of_dep_Var	4402.4	4402.4	4402.4	4402.4	4402.4	2.588	2.588	2.588	2.588	2.588
Observations (State*Year*Age)	0096	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600
Number of women	269189193	269189193	269189193	269189193	269189193					
Number of births						23157119	23157119	23157119	23157119	23157119
State, Year and Age FE	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
State Specific Linear Trends		>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
Economic controls			>	>	>			>	>	>
Education controls				>	>				>	>
Health controls					>					>
Note to Make All The deble die	1	diff. di diff.	and out into	too from OI 6	increased by	1	The second	le appointe of	د ما <i>ا</i> س:ط 11 م	La motonnol
Note to 1ante A11. The table dis deaths among women aged 15-44 t	for the period	гепсе пі чше 2002-2011. Т	he dependen	t variables ar	e the number	coumn 1-10 s of births (c). тие samp olumn 1-5) а	and numbers	of maternal	deaths (col-
umn 6-10). The independent varia	ble $Reform$ to	the value	one in Mexic	to City nine r	nonths after t	he law was p	assed. Simila	arly, Reform	<i>Close</i> is a bin	ary variable
equal to one in the neighboring St	tate of Mexico) after nine m	onths after t	he reform wa	s introduced.	The econom	uic controls a	tre state leve	l income, un	employment

Specifications
ernative
Alt_{0}
A11:
Table

A16

and rurality. The controls for education are state level illiteracy and proportion of people aged 6-14 who are not enrolled in school. The health controls are the proportion of residents with no health rights and the roll out of the national health insurance program "Seguro Popular" (state level). The fixed effects consist of age, state and year binary variables. Standard errors are clustered at state level.^{***} p<0.01, ^{***} p<0.05, ^{*} p<0.1.

			Fertility				Mate	ernal Mort	ality	
	$^{(1)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(2)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(3)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(4)}_{ m OLS}$	(5) OLS	(6)	(1)	$^{(8)}_{ m OLS}$	$^{(9)}_{OLS}$	(10) OLS
	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num	Log Num
	Births	Births	Births	Births	Births	Deaths	Deaths	Deaths	Deaths	Deaths
Reform	-0.0300^{**}	-0.0283**	-0.0370**	-0.0385^{**}	-0.0382**	-0.0674^{**}	-0.163^{**}	-0.185^{**}	-0.187^{**}	-0.189**
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.029)	(0.070)	(0.080)	(0.080)	(0.081)
ReformClose	-0.0173	0.00290	-0.00258	-0.00292	-0.00766	-0.112**	0.108^{**}	0.101^{***}	0.0911^{***}	0.0891^{**}
	(0.013)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.012)	(0.008)	(0.048)	(0.000)	(0.00)	(0.00)	(0.038)
Observations	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600	9600
R^{2}	0.98806	0.98853	0.98854	0.98854	0.98855	0.49129	0.49468	0.49508	0.49515	0.49520
State, Year and Age FE	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
State Specific Linear Trends		>	>	>	>		>	>	>	>
Economic controls			>	>	>			>	>	>
Education controls				>	>				>	>
Health controls					>					>
Note to Table A12. The table ternal deaths amono women as	displays the ord 15-44 for	difference in the neriod 20	difference es 102-2011 TF	timates from	1 OLS regres	sions (colum) e the number	a 1-10). The	sample cons	sists of all bir	ths and ma- of maternal
	Pod 10 11 10 11 101	i sound orro	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		TAC A DET TAC A DE TACA A				AND ATTENTS DITE	TATE AND THE AND THE TATE AND THE AND

Table A12: Inference

are state level illiteracy and proportion of people aged 6-14 who are not enrolled in school. The health controls are the proportion of residents with no health rights and the roll out of the national health insurance program "Seguro Popular" (state level). The fixed effects consist of age, state and year binary variables. standard errors are estimated using wild bootstrapping.*** p<0.05,* p<0.05,* p<0.1. deaths (column 6-10) (wild bootstrapping is not compatible in non-linear models such as Poisson regressions). The independent variable Reform takes the value one in Mexico City nine months after the law was passed. Similarly, ReformClose is a binary variable equal to one in the neighboring State of Mexico after nine months after the reform was introduced. The economic controls are state level income, unemployment and rurality. The controls for education

Table A13: Educational Composition

		Maternal	Composition	n, Educatio	n
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS
	No	Primary	Secondary	High	Professional
	Education	Education	Education	School	Education
Reform	-0.00235	0.00417	0.0191^{***}	-0.0136***	-0.00701***
	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.002)
Observations	1081393	1081393	1081393	1081393	1081393
R^2	0.10147	0.03111	0.05042	0.02922	0.05671
Mean_of_dep_Var	0.120	0.242	0.360	0.176	0.101
State and Year	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
State Specific Linear Trends	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Economic controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Health controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark

(a) Birth	ı R	legister
---	---	---------	-----	----------

(b) Deaths Register									
	Maternal Composition, Education								
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS				
	No	Primary	Secondary	High Calcard	Professional				
	Education	Education	Education	School	Education				
Reform	0.0587^{**}	-0.0941***	0.0296	-0.0247	0.0306^{**}				
	(0.026)	(0.015)	(0.018)	(0.015)	(0.012)				
Observations	11556	11556	11556	11556	11556				
R^2	0.12714	0.08852	0.05357	0.04339	0.02780				
Mean_of_dep_Var	0.443	0.101	0.245	0.126	0.0852				
State and Year	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				
State Specific Linear Trends	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				
Economic controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				
Health controls	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark				

Note to Table A13. The table displays the difference in difference estimates from OLS regressions. The sample consists of all births and maternal deaths for women aged 15-44 for the period 2002-2011. The dependent variables are, conditional on motherhood, the probability of having no or incomplete primary education (column 1), probability of primary education (column 2), probability of secondary education (column 3), probability of high school degree (column 4), probability of professional education (column 5) age (column 6) teenage death (column 7) and probability of single motherhood. The independent variable *Reform* takes the value of one in locations and time where the reform was passed i.e. in the Mexico City after 2007. A full set of fixed effects, time varying controls and state-specific linear time trends are included in each regression. Standard errors are clustered at state level.*** p<0.01,** p<0.05,* p<0.1.

D Appendix Figures

Figure A1: Map of Mexico



Figure A2: MxFLS data

(a) Current use of modern(b) Current use of modern or method traditional method (c) Contraceptive knowledge



Note to Figure A2: The data is obtained from the Mexican Family Life Survey (MxFLS) conducted in 2002-2003, 2005-2006 and 2009-2012. The sample consists of all women aged 15-44 who completed the reproductive health questionnaire resulting in a total of 15,114 individuals. The data for the years between when the surveys were conducted is linearly interpolated. Modern contraceptives are condoms, oral or/injectable/implants of hormones preventing ovulation, IUD, sterilization and emergency contraception. Traditional or less safe methods are calendar method or rhythm method, coitus interrupts, herbs or teas.





Note to figure A3: The coefficient plot shows point estimates and confidence intervals estimated according to Equation (A1) using OLS regressions (Figure A3a) and Poisson regressions (Figure A3b). The sample consists of all births and maternal deaths among women aged 15-44 for the period 2002-2011. We include multiple treatment variables equal to unity (9 months) after the law was passed for states with the minimum distance intervals 0-5, 6-10, 11-15 up to 51-55 kilometers to Mexico City, in order to examine spillover effects. DF=Mexico City, Mex=State of Mexico, MR=Morelos, HI=Hidalgo, PU=Puebla and TL=Tlaxcala. A full set of fixed effects, time varying controls and state-specific linear time trends are included in each regression (see note to Table A4).

References

- BARROS, R. (2008): "Wealthier But Not Much Healthier: Effects of a Health Insurance Program for the Poor in Mexico," Discussion Papers 09-002, Stanford Institute for Economic Policy Research.
- BECKER, D. (2013): "Decriminalization of Abortion in Mexico City: The Effects on Women's Reproductive Rights," *American Journal of Public Health*, 103, 590–593.
- BOSCH, M., M. B. COBACHO, AND C. PAGES (2012): "Taking stock of eight years of implementation of Seguro Popular in Mexico," Washington, DC, United States: Inter-American Development Bank. Mimeographed document.
- CONSEJO NACIONAL DE POBLACIÓN (2012): "Proyecciones de la población de México 2010–2050," Tech. Rep. Documento Metodológico, CONAPO.
- CONTI, G. AND R. GINJA (2014): Evaluating a Universal Health Insurance Program: Evidence from Mexico, Unpublished Manuscript.
- DARNEY, B. G., S. SOSA-RUBI, E. SERVAN-MORI, M. I. RODRIGUEZ, D. WALKER, AND R. LOZANO-ASCENCIO (2016): "The relationship of age and place of delivery with postpartum contraception prior to discharge in Mexico: A retrospective cohort study," *Contraception*, In Press.
- JUAREZ, F., S. SINGH, I. MADDOW-ZIMET, AND D. WULF (2013): "Unintended Pregnancy and Induced Abortion in Mexico: Causes and Consequences," Tech. rep., Guttmacher Institute, New York.
- KING, G., E. GAKIDOU, K. IMAI, J. LAKIN, R. T. MOORE, C. NALL, N. RAVISHANKAR, M. VARGAS, M. M. TÉLLEZ-ROJO, J. E. H. ÁVILA, ET AL. (2009): "Public policy for the poor? A randomised assessment of the Mexican universal health insurance programme," *The lancet*, 373, 1447–1454.
- KNAUL, F. M., H. ARREOLA-ORNELAS, O. MÉNDEZ-CARNIADO, C. BRYSON-CAHN, J. BAROFSKY, R. MAGUIRE, M. MIRANDA, AND S. SESMA (2007): "Evidence is good for your health system: policy reform to remedy catastrophic and impoverishing health spending in Mexico," Salud Pública De México, 49, S70–87.
- KNOX, M. (2008): "Health Insurance for All: An Evaluation of Mexico's Seguro Popular Program," Unpublished manuscript, Department of Economics, University of California Berkley.
- SOSA-RUBI, S. G., O. GALARRAGA, AND J. E. HARRIS (2009): "Heterogeneous impact of the "seguro popular" program on the utilization of obstetrical services in mexico, 2001–2006: a multinomial probit model with a discrete endogenous variable," *Journal* of health economics, 28, 20–34.
- WINIKOFF, B. AND W. R. SHELDON (2012): "Abortion: what is the problem?" The Lancet, 379, 594 596.
- WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION (2015): "Family planning/Contraception," Fact sheet Number 351, accessed at http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs351/en/ (consulted April 26, 2016).

CINCH working paper series

- Halla, Martin and Martina Zweimüller. Parental Responses to Early Human Capital Shocks: Evidence from the Chernobyl Accident. CINCH 2014.
- 2 Aparicio, Ainhoa and Libertad González. **Newborn Health and the Business Cycle:** Is it Good to be born in Bad Times? CINCH 2014.
- **3** Robinson, Joshua J. **Sound Body, Sound Mind?:** Asymmetric and Symmetric Fetal Growth Restriction and Human Capital Development. CINCH 2014.
- Bhalotra, Sonia, Martin Karlsson and Therese Nilsson. Life
 Expectancy and Mother-Baby Interventions: Evidence from A Historical Trial. CINCH 2014.
- Goebel, Jan, Christian Krekel, Tim Tiefenbach and Nicolas R.
 Ziebarth. Natural Disaster, Environmental Concerns, Well-Being and Policy Action: The Case of Fukushima. CINCH 2014.
- 6 Avdic, Daniel, A matter of life and death? Hospital Distance and Quality of Care: Evidence from Emergency Hospital Closures and Myocardial Infarctions. CINCH 2015.
- 7 Costa-Font, Joan, Martin Karlsson and Henning Øien. Informal Care and the Great Recession. CINCH 2015.
- 8 Titus J. Galama and Hans van Kippersluis. A Theory of Education and Health. CINCH 2015.
- 9 Dahmann, Sarah. How Does Education Improve Cognitive Skills?: Instructional Time versus Timing of Instruction. CINCH 2015.
- Dahmann, Sarah and Silke Anger. The Impact of Education on
 Personality: Evidence from a German High School Reform. CINCH 2015.
- 11 Carbone, Jared C. and Snorre Kverndokk. Individual Investments in Education and Health. CINCH 2015.
- **12** Zilic, Ivan. **Effect of forced displacement on health.** CINCH 2015.

- De la Mata, Dolores and Carlos Felipe Gaviria. Losing Health
 Insurance When Young: Impacts on Usage of Medical Services and
 Health. CINCH 2015.
- **14** Tequame, Miron and Nyasha Tirivayi. **Higher education and fertility:** Evidence from a natural experiment in Ethiopia. CINCH 2015.
- **15** Aoki, Yu and Lualhati Santiago. **Fertility, Health and Education of UK Immigrants:** The Role of English Language Skills. CINCH 2015.
- **16** Rawlings, Samantha B., **Parental education and child health:** Evidence from an education reform in China. CINCH 2015.
- Kamhöfer, Daniel A., Hendrik Schmitz and Matthias Westphal.
 Heterogeneity in Marginal Non-monetary Returns to Higher Education. CINCH 2015.
- Ardila Brenøe, Anne and Ramona Molitor. Birth Order and Health of Newborns: What Can We Learn from Danish Registry Data? CINCH 2015.
- **19** Rossi, Pauline. **Strategic Choices in Polygamous Households:** Theory and Evidence from Senegal. CINCH 2016.
- 20 Clarke, Damian and Hanna Mühlrad. The Impact of Abortion Legalization on Fertility and Maternal Mortality: New Evidence from Mexico. CINCH 2016.



DuEPublico



Duisburg-Essen Publications online

This text is made available via DuEPublico, the institutional repository of the University of Duisburg-Essen. This version may eventually differ from another version distributed by a commercial publisher.

DOI:10.17185/duepublico/70955**URN:**urn:nbn:de:hbz:464-20191209-104606-8

All rights reserved.