

Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Adamus, Magdalena; Grežo, Matúš

Working Paper

Attitudes towards migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies before and during Russian invasion of Ukraine: The case of Slovakia

MUNI ECON Working Paper, No. 2023-01

Provided in Cooperation with:

Masaryk University, Faculty of Economics and Administration

Suggested Citation: Adamus, Magdalena; Grežo, Matúš (2023): Attitudes towards migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies before and during Russian invasion of Ukraine: The case of Slovakia, MUNI ECON Working Paper, No. 2023-01, Masaryk University, Faculty of Economics and Administration, Brno, https://doi.org/10.5817/WP_MUNI_ECON_2023-01

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/267975

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WORKING PAPER



n. 2023-01 ISSN 2571-130X

Attitudes towards migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies before and during Russian invasion of Ukraine: The case of Slovakia

Magdalena Adamus / Institute of Experimental Psychology of the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia; Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University, Czech Republic

Matúš Grežo / Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University, Czech Republic

Attitudes towards migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies before and during Russian invasion of Ukraine: The case **Slovakia**

Abstract

Extant literature shows that well-being is one of the key drivers of attitudes towards migrants as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies. To investigate the underpinnings of these relationships, two studies on representative samples of 600 Slovaks each were conducted before the Russian invasion of Ukraine and during its initial phase. The results show that well-being had a stable positive relationship with attitudes towards migrants across the studies, albeit not with preferences for asylum and refugee policies. During the Russian invasion of Ukraine, the negative feelings elicited by the war predicted preferences for asylum and refugee policies beyond well-being. The divergence between the attitudes towards migrants and the preferences urges that there is a need to extend the traditional focus on general attitudes towards migrants. Finally, the results indicate that incorporating psychological factors, such as well-being and emotional responses to the looming threat of war, may considerably inform the debate surrounding the support for inclusive asylum and refugee policies.

Masarvk University

Faculty of Economics and Administration

Magdalena Adamus / Institute of Experimental Psychology of the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Slovakia; Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University, Czech Republic Matúš Grežo / Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University, Czech Republic

Contact: lena.adamus@econ.muni.cz Creation date: 2023-01-02 Revision date:

Keywords: well-being; attitudes towards migrants; asylum and refugee policies; migration crisis; common ingroup identity model JEL classification: D64, F22, I31, K37

Citation:
Adamus, M., Grežo, M. 2023. Attitudes towards migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies before and during Russian invasion of Ukraine: The case of Slovakia. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2023-01. Brno: Masaryk University.

Attitudes towards migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies before and during Russian invasion of Ukraine: The case of Slovakia Magdalena Adamus^{1, 2}, Matúš Grežo¹

¹ Institute of Experimental Psychology of the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dúbravská cesta 9, 84104 Bratislava, Slovakia
² Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University, Lipová 41a, 602 00 Brnostřed, Czech Republic

Author Note

Magdalena Adamus https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5317-0415

Matuš Grežo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3537-2862

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Magdalena Adamus, Faculty of Economics and Administration, Masaryk University, Lipová 41a, 602 00 Brno-střed, Czech Republic, e-mail: lena.adamus@econ.muni.cz

Abstract

Extant literature shows that well-being is one of the key drivers of attitudes towards migrants

as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies. To investigate the underpinnings of

these relationships, two studies on representative samples of 600 Slovaks each were conducted

before the Russian invasion of Ukraine and during its initial phase. The results show that well-

being had a stable positive relationship with attitudes towards migrants across the studies, albeit

not with preferences for asylum and refugee policies. During the Russian invasion of Ukraine,

the negative feelings elicited by the war predicted preferences for asylum and refugee policies

beyond well-being. The divergence between the attitudes towards migrants and the preferences

urges that there is a need to extend the traditional focus on general attitudes towards migrants.

Finally, the results indicate that incorporating psychological factors, such as well-being and

emotional responses to the looming threat of war, may considerably inform the debate

surrounding the support for inclusive asylum and refugee policies.

Keywords: well-being; attitudes towards migrants; asylum and refugee policies; migration

crisis; common ingroup identity model

JEL: D64; F22; I31; K37

1 Introduction

Migration is one of the key social issues in the modern world. Due to current threats, such as climate change or international conflicts, it is reasonable to expect migration to increase in the future as well as people from affected regions to seek refuge in wealthier, better-protected or safer regions. Literature provides evidence that one of the most important drivers shaping people's attitudes towards migrants (ATM), and subsequently their preferences for asylum and refugee policies, is subjective well-being. However, several studies show that ATM and preferences for asylum and refugee policies may not be robust in relation to momentous events eliciting strong negative feelings, such as large-scale economic downturns or pandemics (Adam-Troian & Bagci, 2021; Andrighetto et al., 2016; Esses & Hamilton, 2021; Muis & Reeskens, 2022).

The Russian invasion of Ukraine caused a major migration crisis in Europe. The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR, 2022) estimated that by the end of November 2022, more than 7.8 million people had fled Ukraine, over half of whom had found refuge in the European Union. From the very beginning, Slovakia, as a neighbouring country, was among the EU states most actively involved in managing the crisis. Despite its image as a conservative country with negative attitudes towards migrants, both the Slovak government and the Slovak people provided vast humanitarian aid and support to the refugees. Common discourse and media were filled with compassion, and communications were much more positive than in the case of migrants and refugees originating from the Global South (Dingott Alkopher, 2018; Schmidt, 2021). Moreover, little voices opposed to accepting the refugees were heard during the initial phase of the invasion. Instead, there was an exceptional wave of spontaneous help offered by ordinary people.

This anecdotal evidence seems to indicate that people might have changed their ATM as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies in the aftermath of the Russian invasion.

Therefore, to delve deeper into the underpinnings of this possible attitudinal shift, the present paper reports and compares the results of two studies on the relationships between well-being, ATM, and preferences for asylum and refugee policies that were conducted on Slovak samples before the Russian invasion of Ukraine (Autumn 2021) and during its initial phase (March 2022). In Study 1, we aimed to examine the general levels of and the relationships between well-being, ATM, and preferences for asylum and refugee policies. In Study 2, we hypothesised that the effect of well-being upon ATM as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies would dampen, while the negative feelings of worry, anxiety and helplessness elicited by the ongoing war in Ukraine would come to the foreground. Unlike most previous studies, we focused on negative feelings elicited not by the migrants or refugees themselves, but by the war which could directly threaten national safety. In line with the common ingroup identity model (Gaertner & Dovidio, 2000), the close war might evoke a sense of shared fate and build up the understanding of the refugees' experiences. Therefore, we expected the negative feelings of worry, anxiety and helplessness to incline people towards showing more consideration for migrants and refugees, as well as more support for inclusive asylum and refugee policies.

The contribution of this study is twofold. Firstly, the study allows observing changes in ATM as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies over time. The results may show whether the relationship between subjective well-being and ATM is robust in relation to situational factors eliciting negative feelings of worry, anxiety and helplessness. Most importantly, however, the results may indicate how emotional responses to such situations are associated with changes in general ATM as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies. Our research extends the traditional focus on ATM by disentangling attitudes and policy preferences, and incorporating contextual factors that could be associated with attitudinal and behavioural aspects of the debates surrounding asylum and refugee policies.

2 Literature review and hypotheses

2.1 The relationship between well-being and attitudes towards migrants

The relationship between well-being and ATM is well established in literature (Nowakowski, 2021; Pinillos-Franco & Kawachi, 2022b, 2022a; Poutvaara & Steinhardt, 2018). Pursuing an explanation for the relationship, Welsch et al. (2021) suggested that those experiencing more well-being can afford being generous to others and may also draw additional utility from their more open, altruistic attitudes. Thus, people who are satisfied with their lives and feel happy may feel less threatened by the inflow of migrants and refugees and more supportive of inclusive asylum and refugee policies. On the contrary, those who experience less well-being may attempt to make up for it.

Indeed, European Social Survey (ESS) data supported the view that low subjective well-being is consistently associated with more support for populism and populist parties (Nowakowski, 2021; Pinillos-Franco & Kawachi, 2022b). In particular, throughout the EU, people who felt less happy and satisfied with their lives as well as those who considered their health to be poor were more likely to show more hostile ATM and support a populist party in the national elections. Populist parties — particularly right-wing parties — and their supporters tend to hold negative views on migrants and refugees (Chan, 2022; Glinitzer et al., 2021; Golec de Zavala et al., 2017, 2019; Hartman et al., 2021; Van Hauwaert & Van Kessel, 2018; Wirz et al., 2018; Xia, 2021). Consequently, low subjective well-being as a correlate of support for such parties could be further related to the support for those parties' political programmes and their firm opposition to migration and open asylum and refugee policies.

According to realistic group conflict theory (Sherif, 1966), one of the reasons as to why well-being may be related to less favourable ATM and lower support for inclusive policies is the sense that migrants and refugees pose a threat to the economic status, to safety, or a symbolic threat to cultural and traditional values (Goodman & Schimmelfennig, 2020; Landmann et al.,

2019). People who already have low subjective well-being, caused by either health-related and financial issues or generally low life satisfaction, may have limited resilience or ability to accommodate additional external threats. Common narratives showing migrants and refugees — particularly in new EU states — as threats to both individuals and countries' economy, culture and traditions aggravated the fears. Indeed, literature shows that both symbolic and realistic threats are associated with negative attitudes towards migrants and refugees and with support for dismissive asylum and refugee policies (Landmann et al., 2019; von Hermanni & Neumann, 2019). Based on these findings, we hypothesise that there is a positive relationship between well-being and attitudes towards migrants (H1) as well as preferences for more inclusive asylum and refugee policies (H2).

2.2 Possible shifts in attitudes towards migrants during crises

2.2.1 Negative shifts in attitudes towards migrants

Less is known about how situational factors could be associated with the relationship between well-being and ATM or preferences for asylum and refugee policies. Previous studies have shown that large-scale crises eliciting strong negative feelings, such as pandemics or economic cycles, may be associated with less favourable ATM and disturb the support for asylum and refugee policies (Adam-Troian & Bagci, 2021; Andrighetto et al., 2016; Esses & Hamilton, 2021; Muis & Reeskens, 2022). This is in line with realistic group conflict theory indicating that when resources — e.g. jobs, social transfers, or efficiency of the healthcare system — are (perceived to be) scarce, newcomers may be seen to be ripping natives off regarding what rightly belongs to them (Abeywickrama et al., 2018; Alarcon et al., 2016; Meuleman et al., 2020; Mols & Jetten, 2016; Sherif, 1966). Recent studies showed that during economic crises and the COVID-19 pandemic, common narratives pointed to migrants and refugees as additional risk factors increasing uncertainty among natives (Triandafyllidou, 2018). Migrants and refugees were then pointed towards as being responsible for spreading the

disease and/or posing an economic threat by intercepting jobs and overburdening welfare systems.

For instance, Hartman et al. (2021) showed that while generally the relationship between right-wing authoritarianism and anti-immigration sentiment is weak, threats of COVID-19 strengthen it. In other words, among people who held more authoritarian views and reported greater COVID-19-related anxiety, anti-migrant attitudes were stronger than among those who did not see the pandemic as a threat. Similar patterns were observed in the context of economic crises. ESS-5 data show that across European countries, the 2007–2008 economic downturn expressed as slower GDP growth — was consistently associated with more negative ATM (Billiet et al., 2014). Besides investigating macroeconomic factors, a study conducted by Billiet et al. (2014) showed that the perception of threats was stronger among unemployed and bluecollar workers who could be more easily replaced by fresh migrants than among more qualified employees. What is more, people whose income decreased due to or during the crisis perceived the threat posed by the migrants to be more profound. Meuleman et al. (2020) corroborated the view that the sense of an ingroup's relative deprivation could be associated with more of an ethnic threat and mediate the relationship between objective economic indicators and an ethnic threat. Indeed, Andrighetto et al. (2016) observed that after the 2012 earthquakes, native Italians who were more affected by the disaster felt more threatened by migrants and, consequently, were less likely to report helping intentions towards outgroups. In other words, people experiencing some hardships or mishaps — be they objective or subjective — may feel that migrants and refugees add yet another threat to their already-precarious existence and may oppose migration more strongly than in times of prosperity and peace.

2.2.2 Positive shifts in attitudes towards migrants

In the present study, we reasoned that the threat and worry driven by the Russian aggression can hardly be attributed to Ukrainian people fleeing their war-torn country. In accordance with

the common ingroup identity model (Gaertner & Dovidio, 2000), in such a case the threat posed by the war to both refugees and natives may build up a sense of shared fate (Vollhardt, 2009). This, in turn, may encourage people to show more understanding of the refugees' situation and behave altruistically towards people previously viewed as being members of an outgroup (Briciu, 2020; Doidge & Sandri, 2019; Klimecki et al., 2020). Following an individual's dire experiences or vivid perceptions of violence and suffering, psychological changes may transform the trauma into empathy. The sense of one's own vulnerability may, thus, enhance feelings of compassion and intentions to help others, for instance, by awakening awareness that others also suffer, perceiving the similarity between oneself and those who suffer, and looking at their ordeal from a non-egocentric perspective, whereby establishing the sense of a bond with those more severely affected. Staub and Vollhardt (2008) refer to this type of helping behaviour arising from formative experiences as altruism born of suffering. Indeed, Andrighetto et al. (2016) showed that shared traumatic experiences of the Italian earthquakes fostered minority members' notion of belonging to a common group with native Italians and inclined them towards showing more altruistic intentions towards the majority. Concurrently, Adam-Troian and Bagci (2021) showed that the perception of the COVID-19 threat was directly and negatively associated with anti-immigration attitudes, at the least, as long as the migrants were not perceived to be a threat themselves. Thus, through shared fears, those experiencing more war-related negative feelings could better understand and empathise with the refugees. Consequently, we expected negative feelings elicited by the war to explain ATM (H3) and preferences for more inclusive asylum and refugee policies (H4) above and beyond well-being. Furthermore, in line with realistic group conflict theory (Sherif, 1966), migrants and refugees

Furthermore, in line with realistic group conflict theory (Sherif, 1966), migrants and refugees representing various ethnic groups may be perceived differently depending on the salience of threat that they pose. As van Hootegem et al. (2020) observed, some groups of refugees may be perceived to be more deserving of help than others. Meanwhile, others may be viewed with

suspicion and there may be a tacit assumption that their reasons for migrating or seeking asylum may not be candid (Triandafyllidou, 2018). In Europe, this particularly applies to young males fleeing North Africa or the Middle East. In the case of the post-invasion migration wave, we speculated that there would be little reason with which to question the true motives of the asylum seekers. Again, in line with the common ingroup identity model, we expected that the level of negative attitudes towards migrants would decrease over time (H5), while the preference for inclusive asylum and refugee policies would increase (H6).

3 Study 1 – Before the Russian invasion of Ukraine

3.1 Methods

3.1.1 Participants and procedures

A gender-balanced sample of 600 Slovaks aged 16 to 87 years (M = 45.81; SD = 16.35) participated in the study. Participation was anonymous and voluntary and the participants could withdraw from the survey at any time. The data were collected by a research agency via an online survey hosted on Qualtrics. After reading and signing an informed consent form, participants answered sociodemographic questions on age, sex and education. Thereafter, they completed scales measuring their well-being, attitudes towards migrants, and preferences for asylum and refugee policies. The complete questionnaire in English along with the dataset are available at the Open Science Framework repository (https://osf.io/qsugz/?view only=a1d5a3bd54ed4afeaa9681366eeb24e1). The study was pre-(https://osf.io/djr9w/?view_only=f5575b36df304394932532288355ea6d) registered approved by the ethical committee of the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences of the Slovak Academy of Sciences.

3.1.2 Measures

3.1.2.1 Well-being

Well-being was assessed using four distinct measures that were combined into one well-being latent factor score computed by means of a least squares regression method. Firstly, the *Circles of life* (Kjell et al., 2016) method was used to ask participants the extent to which they feel in harmony and interconnected with the world. Participants had to select one picture from 10 pairs of circles that differed in the degree to which they overlap.

Secondly, a four-item *Subjective happiness scale* (Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999) was used to assess global subjective happiness. The scale asks participants to describe themselves via both absolute ratings and ratings relative to their peers (e.g. "*Some people are generally very happy. They enjoy life regardless of what is going on, getting the most out of everything. To what extent does this characterization describe you?*"). Participants answered on a seven-point scale.

Thirdly, an eight-item *Loneliness scale* (Roberts et al., 1993) was used to measure feelings of loneliness. The scale asks participants about their feelings of isolation, being in tune with other people, or lacking companionship (e.g. "*I am no longer close to anyone*"). Participants answered on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree).

Fourthly, a five-item *The satisfaction with life scale* (Diener et al., 1985) was used to measure global life satisfaction. The scale narrowly asks about what participants think about their lives without tapping any related constructs such as positive affect or loneliness (e.g. "*In most ways, my life is close to ideal*"). Participants answered on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree).

3.1.2.2 Attitudes towards migrants

A nine-item *Fear-based xenophobia scale* (van der Veer et al., 2013) was used to measure how people feel about immigration and migrants (e.g. "*Interacting with migrants makes me*

uneasy"). Participants indicated their attitudes on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree).

In addition, three questions from the European Social Survey (ESS, 2018) were used to extend the assessment of people's *Immigration threats*. The questions map what people think about migrants and immigration in terms of their effects on economics or culture (e.g. "Would you say it is generally bad or good for Slovakia's economy that people come to live here from other countries?"). Participants answered on a seven-point scale.

Based on Fear-based xenophobia and Immigration threats, we computed a factor score for the *attitudes towards migrants* latent construct by means of a least squares regression method.

3.1.2.3 Preferences for asylum and refugee policies

The original six-item *Preferences for asylum and refugee policies scale* was created to assess people's preferences for what specific asylum and refugee policies a state should follow (e.g. "Each EU country should make its own decisions on asylum applications within its territory"). We were inspired by the work of Jeannet et al. (2021), who identified six core dimensions that conceptualise the asylum and refugee policies of high-income countries. These dimensions relate to: 1) the right of refugees to apply for asylum, 2) the resettlement of already-recognised refugees, 3) the return of asylum seekers whose applications for protection have been unsuccessful, 4) family reunification for recognised refugees, 5) the state's independence concerning their migration policies, and 5) financial solidarity with countries that host refugees. Participants responded on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree).

3.1.2.4 Control variables

To control for the effects of sociodemographic characteristics, participants were asked questions on their gender, age, education and religiosity.

3.2 Results

We performed two separate multiple linear regressions to examine the effect of well-being upon attitudes towards migrants as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies before the Russian invasion of Ukraine. In both analyses, biological sex, age, education, and religiosity were entered as covariates to control for their effects.

As shown in Table 1, the model with well-being and covariates as predictors as well as ATM as the outcome was significant, explaining 6% of the variance of the attitudes. Well-being and education showed significant negative effects on ATM, while age and religiosity showed significant positive effects. The effect of sex was not significant.

Table 1. Total and direct effects in the multiple linear regression model with attitudes towards migrants as an outcome variable - Study 1

Variables	b	SE	β	t	p	95% CI [LL, UL]	
Outcome: Attitudes towards migrants $R^2 = .06, F(5, 594) = 7.92, p < .001$							
Well-being	11	.04	11	-2.61	<.01	[19,03]	
Sex	.06	.08	.03	.80	.43	[09, .22]	
Age	.01	<.01	.19	4.57	<.001	[.01, .02]	
Education	11	.03	13	-3.2	<.01	[17,04]	
Religiosity	.05	.02	.11	2.69	.01	[.01, .09]	

Considerably different results were shown for the model including preferences for asylum and refugee policies as an outcome variable (Table 2). Although the predictors accounted for significant variance in the preferences, and the explained variance was very similar to the model with ATM, the direct effects of specific predictors were different. In particular, age showed a

significant positive effect on preferences for asylum and refugee policies, while well-being, sex, education, and religiosity showed no significant effects.

Table 2. Total and direct effects in the multiple linear regression model with a preference for asylum and refugee policies as an outcome variable - Study 1

Variables	b	SE	β	t	p	95% CI [LL, UL]	
Outcome: Preference for asylum and refugee policies							
$R^2 = .05, F(5, 594) = 6, p < .001$							
Well-being	05	.03	07	-1.69	.09	[11, .01]	
Sex	06	.06	04	-1	.32	[17, .06]	
Age	.01	<.01	.20	4.96	<.001	[.01, .01]	
Education	04	.02	07	-1.56	.12	[09, .01]	
Religiosity	.01	.01	.03	.85	.40	[02, .04]	

4 Study 2 – During the initial phase of the Russian invasion of Ukraine

4.1 Methods

4.1.1 Participants

As for the first study, a gender-balanced sample of 600 Slovaks aged 16 to 87 years (M = 46.09; SD = 15.92) participated in the study. The procedure was similar to that of the previous study. Participation was anonymous and voluntary and the participants could withdraw from the survey at any time. The data were collected by a research agency via an online survey hosted on Qualtrics. After reading and signing an informed consent form, participants answered sociodemographic questions on age, sex and education and then reported their well-being, negative feelings elicited by the Russian invasion, attitudes towards migrants, and preferences for asylum and refugee policies. As in Study 1, the complete questionnaire in English along with the dataset available Open Science Framework repository are at the

(https://osf.io/qsugz/?view_only=a1d5a3bd54ed4afeaa9681366eeb24e1). The study was preregistered (https://osf.io/6hgd7/?view_only=2c600fdcd5014fe3b942f3e5722f7336) and approved by the ethical committee of the Centre of Social and Psychological Sciences of the Slovak Academy of Sciences.

4.1.2 Measures

4.1.2.1 Well-being

People's well-being was operationalised in a manner identical to that of Study 1. In particular, we used a least squares regression method to calculate factor scores based on the score from four measures: *Circles of life* (Kjell et al., 2016), *Subjective happiness scale* (Lyubomirsky & Lepper, 1999), *Loneliness scale* (Roberts et al., 1993) and *The satisfaction with life* (Diener et al., 1985).

4.1.2.2 Negative feelings elicited by the Russian invasion of Ukraine

The negative feelings elicited by the Russian invasion were assessed using three specific measures that were combined into one latent factor score by means of a least squares regression method. A 10-item *Climate change worry scale* (Stewart, 2021) was adapted/modified to ask about feelings of worry with regard to the Ukraine war (e.g. "*Thoughts about Ukrainian war cause me to have worries about what the future may hold*"). Participants answered on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree).

In addition, a short six-item *Spielberger state–trait anxiety inventory* (Marteau & Bekker, 1992) was adapted to ask about current feelings of anxiety with regard to the Ukraine war (e.g. "*I feel tense*"). Participants answered on a four-point scale (1 = not at all, 5 = very much).

Finally, a four-item *Helplessness helplessness scale* (Čavojová et al., 2022) was adopted to ask about feelings of helplessness regarding the Russian invasion of Ukraine (e.g. "*I feel helpless when thinking about the Ukrainian war*"). Participants answered on a five-point Likert scale (1 = strongly disagree; 5 = strongly agree).

4.1.2.3 Attitudes towards migrants

The exact same measures as in Study 1 were used for measuring attitudes towards migrants: *Fear-based xenophobia scale* (van der Veer et al., 2013) and three questions capturing *Immigration threats* (ESS, 2018). Based on these two measures, we computed a factor score by means of a least squares regression method.

4.1.2.4 Preferences for asylum and refugee policies

As in Study 1, the original *Preference for asylum and refugee policies scale* was used to assess people's preferences for what specific migration policies a state should follow.

4.1.2.5 Control variables

As in Study 1, we asked participants about their gender, age, education and religiosity to control for their effects.

4.2 Results

We performed two separate hierarchical linear regressions to examine how well-being and negative feelings predict ATM as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies during the Russian invasion of Ukraine. As in Study 1, biological sex, age, education, and religiosity were entered as covariates to control for their effects.

Table 3 reports the models with ATM as an outcome. The first model (including well-being and covariates) accounted for significant variance in ATM. Like before the invasion, well-being and education showed significant negative direct effects on ATM. Sex, age and religiosity showed no significant effects. In the second step, negative feelings were entered into the model, along with well-being and covariates. The model accounted for significant variance in ATM, and the explained variance increased significantly ($\Delta R^2 = .02$, $\Delta F(1, 593) = 12.91$, p < .001). In the second model, both well-being and negative feelings were significant negative predictors of ATM. As in the first model, education showed a significant negative effect and religiosity

showed a non-significant effect on ATM. Lastly, including negative feelings in the second model caused sex and age to show a significant positive effect on ATM.

Table 3. Total and direct effects in the multiple linear regression model with attitudes towards migrants as an outcome variable - Study 2

Variables	b	SE	β	t	p	95% CI [LL, UL]		
Outcome: Attitudes towards migrants Step 1:								
$R^2 = .05, F(5, 594) = 6.28, p < .001$								
Well-being	13	.04	13	-3.30	<.01	[21,05]		
Sex	.15	.08	.08	1.84	.07	[01, .31]		
Age	.01	<.01	.08	1.92	.06	[<.01, .01]		
Education	08	.03	10	-2.53	.01	[15,02]		
Religiosity	.03	.02	.07	1.71	.09	[01, .07]		
Step 2:								
$R^2 = .07, F(1, 593) = 12.91, p < .001$								
Well-being	16	.04	16	-3.88	<.001	[24,08]		
Negative feelings	15	.04	15	-3.59	<.001	[23,07]		
Sex	22	.08	.11	2.64	<.01	[.06, .38]		
Age	.01	<.01	.10	2.52	.01	[<.01, .01]		
Education	08	.03	10	-2.38	.02	[14,01]		
Religiosity	.03	.02	.07	1.67	.10	[01, .07]		

Table 4 reports the results of hierarchical linear regression with preferences for asylum and refugee policies as an outcome. In the first step, well-being was entered along with biological sex, age, education, and religiosity. The model accounted for significant variance in the preferences. Well-being and education showed significant negative direct effects on

preferences, just as before the Russian invasion of Ukraine. Sex, age and religiosity showed non-significant effects. In the second step, negative feelings were added as a predictor into the model. The model accounted for significant variance in the preferences, and the explained variance increased significantly ($\Delta R^2 = .05$, $\Delta F(1, 593) = 34.42$, p < .001). In the second model, both well-being and negative feelings were significant negative predictors of the preferences, but the preferences showed a considerably stronger effect. As in the first model, education continued to have a significant negative effect, while sex, age and religiosity showed a nonsignificant effect on the preferences.

Table 4. Total and direct effects in the multiple linear regression model with a preference for asylum and refugee policies as an outcome variable - Study 2

Variables	b	SE	β	t	p	95% CI [LL, UL]
Outcome: Preference for asylu Step 1:	um and refuge	ee polic	eies			
$R^2 = .03, F(5, 594) = 4.08,$	p = .001					
Well-being	07	.02	12	-2.97	<.01	[12,02]
Sex	.02	.05	.01	.35	.73	[08, .11]
Age	<.01	<.01	.03	.72	.47	[<.01, .01]
Education	06	.02	12	-2.98	<.01	[10,02]
Religiosity	<.01	.01	<.01	.01	.99	[02, .02]
Step 2:						
$R^2 = .09, F(1, 593) = 34.42$	2, p < .001					
Well-being	09	.02	16	-3.97	<.001	[13,05]
Negative feelings	14	.02	24	-5.87	<.001	[19,10]
Sex	.08	.05	.07	1.70	.09	[01, .18]
Age	<.01	<.01	.07	1.71	.09	[<.01, .01]
Education	05	.02	11	-2.79	.01	[09,02]

5 Comparing the levels of well-being, attitudes towards migrants, and preferences for asylum and refugee policies in two studies

To examine whether there was any shift in people's ATM and their preferences for asylum and refugee policies over time, we performed independent t-tests that compared the data on levels of well-being, attitudes towards migrants, and preferences for asylum and refugee policies that were collected before and during the Russian invasion of Ukraine. Since factor scores are centralised and, thus, not comparable, we were not able to use factor scores of well-being and ATM for these analyses. Therefore, we used observed variables that were representing these factor scores.

Table 5 shows that, with regard to ATM, both fear-based xenophobia and immigration threats showed a significant decrease over time. Moreover, people also reported significantly stronger preferences for inclusive asylum and refugee policies during the Russian invasion of Ukraine than before the invasion.

Table 5. The comparisons of the levels of attitudes toward migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies in two studies

Variable	Study	M	SD	t	p	95% CI [LB, UB]
Fear-based xenophobia	Study 1	3.28	.81	4.50	<.001	[.13, .32]
	Study 2	3.05	.86	4.73		
Immigration threats	Study 1	5.98	1.89	2.84	.005	[.10, .54]
	Study 2	5.66	2.01			
	Study 1	3.31	.74	13.35	<.001	[.44, .59]

Note. The lower score in preferences for asylum and refugee policies means more inclusive preferences

6 Discussion

The study investigated ATM as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies in Slovakia, one of the countries directly neighbouring Ukraine. The research comprised two studies performed before the invasion and soon after it had started. Firstly, the studies explored whether well-being has stable associations with ATM despite a sudden geopolitical crisis. Secondly, the studies examined whether negative emotions elicited by the Russian invasion of Ukraine may be associated both with more positive ATM and with enhanced support for inclusive asylum and refugee policies. The results indicate that there is a reason to disentangle ATM and policy preferences and incorporate contextual factors that could be associated with attitudinal and behavioural aspects of debates surrounding asylum and refugee policies. Finally, the study sheds light on antecedents of the wave of altruism towards Ukrainian refugees during the first weeks of the Russian invasion of Ukraine.

6.1 Disentangling ATM and policy preferences: the roles of well-being and emotions

In line with previous literature (Nowakowski, 2021; Pinillos-Franco & Kawachi, 2022b, 2022a; Poutvaara & Steinhardt, 2018), the two studies show that well-being has a stable relationship with attitudes towards migrants. Both before the invasion and soon after it started, well-being was consistently associated with more positive ATM, thus supporting Hypothesis 1. These results corroborate the view that subjective well-being is robustly associated with ATM despite the situational factors eliciting negative feelings of worry, anxiety and helplessness. However, our study provides less convincing evidence on the relationship between well-being and preferences for asylum and refugee policies. Inconsistent with Hypothesis 2, well-being

was positively associated with preferences for more inclusive asylum and refugee policies in Study 2, but the two were not related in Study 1. Our data show that although ATM and policy preferences are strongly related, their associations with well-being may diverge under specific circumstances.

A potential explanation for the divergence may be associated with the geographical proximity of the conflict. In line with the common ingroup identity model (Gaertner & Dovidio, 2000), the close war might elicit more negative emotions than those elicited by conflicts in distant parts of the world. This, in turn, could build up the understanding of the refugees' experiences prompting people to support more inclusive asylum and refugee policies. Moreover, the geographical proximity may have yet another impact. Before the Russian invasion of Ukraine, Slovakia was among the countries with the lowest numbers of asylum applications submitted and even fewer granted (Bozogáňová & Pethö, 2022). Migration crises were mostly observed from a distance and via media coverage. The lack of experiences gained through regular and meaningful contact with migrants may make people more distrustful towards members of outgroups (Bilgic et al., 2019; Briciu, 2020; Green, 2009; Knappert et al., 2021). In the aftermath of the invasion, not only was the media coverage much more sympathetic, people were also more likely to gain first-hand experiences with the refugees (Troszyński & El-Ghamari, 2022).

To delve deeper into the drivers of the shift in ATM and policy preferences, Study 2 also included a measure of negative emotions elicited by the Russian invasion of Ukraine. Our results indicate that negative feelings of fear, anxiety and helplessness are associated both with more positive ATM and with preferences for more inclusive policies. However, the results do not corroborate Hypothesis 3. Although adding negative feelings slightly increased the variance explained by the model, their presence also increased the role of well-being. Thus, although negative emotions were positively related to preferences for more inclusive policies — whereby

corroborating Hypothesis 4 — they were shown to be closely intertwined with well-being. It seems that in the aftermath of the invasion, immediate emotional responses to such an acute stressor may have close associations with subjective well-being. In general, acute stressors require time to accommodate and, thus, may more strongly resonate in subjective assessment of well-being through emotions than may any chronic factors. Similar mechanisms were observed in Slovakia at the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic when sudden and acute economic stressors — compared to long-term economic hardship — had an enhanced impact on individuals' sense of financial well-being (Adamus & Grežo, 2021).

6.2 ATM and policy preferences born of suffering

Our study shows that prosocial behaviour or empathy — as approximated by support for more inclusive asylum and refugee policies — may be rooted not only in positive and meaningful contact with refugees or migrants (Knappert et al., 2021), but also in shared traumatic experiences. The data show that immediate negative emotions elicited by the invasion may have the potential to curb xenophobia and the sense of threat posed by the migrants. Importantly, the results provide optimistic information that the effect of emotions upon attitudes could spill over beyond Ukrainian refugees. In other words, the newly emerged sense of shared fate may also include other ethnically or culturally more diverse groups of people in need (Muis & Reeskens, 2022). The fact that natives may perceive themselves to be equally threatened could, thus, reduce negative outgroup affect (Adam-Troian & Bagci, 2021; Andrighetto et al., 2016). Ultimately, those dire experiences may encourage more helping intentions and altruism. The results, thus, corroborate the hypothesis that formative experiences such as the invasion of a neighbouring country may foster altruism towards members of outgroups (Staub & Vollhardt, 2008; Vollhardt, 2009).

However, our results might also be driven by the methods that we used in both studies. Specifically, we asked about general ATM without specifying the target group of migrants or refugees. Although general ATM improved over time — whereby providing arguments in favour of Hypothesis 5 — this attitudinal shift could be associated with the fact that participants thought about different groups of refugees when answering those questions on general ATM. For instance, extant literature indicates that Middle Eastern refugees — often young, workingage males — are seen to be seeking opportunities to improve their economic circumstances (Verkuyten, 2004; Verkuyten et al., 2018). As such, they could seize less complex jobs and burden the welfare system. Therefore, in line with social identity theory, people with a lower socioeconomic status could see refugees and migrants as being a group competing for the same pool of scarce resources (Billiet et al., 2014; Green, 2009; Meuleman et al., 2020; Van Hootegem et al., 2020). For them, migration may be associated with less job security, as their jobs may be more easily taken by newcomers who generally lack the (recognised) required education or professional experience. The sense of competition could enhance hostility. Nonnegligible are also perceived symbolic threats allegedly posed by predominantly Muslim refugees from the Middle East. When we collected data for Study 1, the migration crisis was presented in the media in the context of Middle Eastern or African migrants and refugees. It is likely, thus, that Study 1 partly captured sentiments associated with this ethnic group.

On the contrary, the Ukrainian refugees were mostly women of various ages, often accompanied by children (UNHCR, 2022). As Hudson et al. (2019) noticed, women tend to be seen in a more idealistic way and, thus, may elicit more empathy and helping behaviour. The fact that Ukrainians may be more easily seen to be members of the European Christian community may additionally mitigate the sense of symbolic threat posed by members of outgroups. Ultimately, the ethnic and religious affinity may warm up responses to Ukrainian refugees and elicit more openness and helping behaviour. Therefore, the results of Study 2 could be influenced by the composition of the group of refugees. In conclusion, although we observed a positive shift in ATM, it could be associated with Ukrainians serving as an anchor in

answering the questions on general ATM in Study 2. Nevertheless, situational cues and related emotional responses could also manifest through enhanced preferences for more inclusive refugee and asylum policies, corroborating Hypothesis 6. Therefore, although we cannot draw far-reaching and definite conclusions, the findings provide an empirical argument in favour of the *altruism born of suffering* hypothesis (Staub & Vollhardt, 2008; Vollhardt, 2009).

6.3 Limitations and future recommendations

Despite our best efforts, the study is not free from limitations. Firstly, our data were collected only a couple of weeks after the invasion had started; thus, we cannot draw a conclusion with regard to the robustness of the changes in ATM as well as preferences for asylum and refugee policies. As Adam-Troian and Bagci (2021) observed, the necessary condition for enhanced altruism is to perceive the refugees to be non-threatening. The cumulative effects of the war and the looming economic and energy crises may distort the perception of refugees and asylum seekers. If the economic threats become more pronounced, the sense of competition for scarce resources could swiftly set the attitudes and preferences back, whereby thwarting any small gains that we observed in this study. Van Hootegem et al. (2020) pointed out that those who are generally struggling with their economic circumstances are more opposed to migrants and refugees. A lower socioeconomic status and the subjective appraisal of economic threats seem to be consequential for individuals' ATM and policy preferences. In the long term, economic threats allegedly posed by the refugees and asylum seekers may get to the fore. Consequently, altruistic impulses may soon be replaced by fears that refugees will deprive natives of resources and disturb the process of redistribution. In particular, when the efficiency of institutions responsible for redistribution and social assistance is viewed as being inefficient or deficient, the natives may withdraw their support and the anti-migrant attitudes may be revived (Andrighetto et al., 2016). The long-term effects could be addressed in future studies through more frequently probing ATM and policy preferences at intervals reflecting the current geopolitical and economic situation.

Moreover, well-being and negative emotions explained only a relatively small fraction of variation. The data show that there may be other factors that are more strongly associated with ATM and policy preferences. In our study, this applies to sociodemographic characteristics such as age and education. What is more, we are aware that particular variables such as political orientation, preferences for an intergroup hierarchy, or institutional trust may have an even-stronger impact on ATM and policy preferences (Hudson et al., 2019; Jennings et al., 2021). Future research could delve deeper into how political or value orientations are intertwined with emotional responses to acute stressors and migration crises. Perhaps endorsed values may prompt people to overcome immediate emotional responses, whereby diluting any effects that emotions could have on ATM as well as support for more inclusive asylum and refugee policies.

7 Conclusion

Our results indicate that the sense of shared fate and understanding have the potential to alleviate hostility towards refugees and migrants. The empathy-driven experience with Ukrainian refugees could encourage more favourable perceptions of migrants and refugees in general. In the current geopolitical and economic situation, this attitudinal shift — albeit frail — is precious and worth fostering. Enhanced sensitivity towards people suffering from war or climate change is a foundation of winning widespread support for policies aimed at bringing relief to those most affected. With the increasing threats — be they real or imagined — to the quality of life and safety, egoistic motivations may take precedence. Citizens of host countries may start to view refugees as competing for scarce economic resources and welfare benefits. Concurrently, those contributing to solidarity or relief funds may come to view the contributions to be disproportionate and unnecessary, thus nullifying potential successes in building the sense that we share our fate with people who differ from us or are far away. Our results indicate the

promising path that expanding the sense of community through more empathy towards experiences of other people may become a step in uniting responses to global challenges.

References

- Abeywickrama, R. S., Laham, S. M., & Crone, D. L. (2018). Immigration and receiving communities:

 The utility of threats and emotions in predicting action tendencies toward refugees, asylum-seekers and economic migrants. *Journal of Social Issues*, 74(4), 756–773.

 https://doi.org/10.1111/josi.12297
- Adam-Troian, J., & Bagci, S. C. (2021). The pathogen paradox: Evidence that perceived COVID-19 threat is associated with both pro- and anti-immigrant attitudes. *International Review of Social Psychology*, *34*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.5334/irsp.469
- Adamus, M., & Grežo, M. (2021). Individual differences in behavioural responses to the financial threat posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 179(April), 1–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2021.110916
- Alarcon, G. M., Lyons, J. B., & Christensen, J. C. (2016). The effect of propensity to trust and perceptions of trustworthiness over time. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *94*, 309–315. https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-017-0959-6
- Andrighetto, L., Vezzali, L., Bergamini, G., Nadi, C., & Giovannini, D. (2016). Inside the earthquake:

 Perceived disaster exposure and helping intentions among Italian and immigrant victims of the

 2012 Italian earthquakes. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 19(6), 753–768.

 https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430215591040
- Bilgic, A., Hoogensen Gjørv, G., & Wilcock, C. (2019). Trust, distrust, and security: An untrustworthy immigrant in a trusting community. *Political Psychology*, *40*(6), 1283–1296. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12613
- Billiet, J., Meuleman, B., & De Witte, H. (2014). The relationship between ethnic threat and economic insecurity in times of economic crisis: Analysis of European Social Survey data. *Migration Studies*, 2(2), 135–161. https://doi.org/10.1093/migration/mnu023

- Bozogáňová, M., & Pethö, T. (2022). Intergroup anxiety and national identity among Slovaks (Preliminary findings). In C. Pracana & M. Wang (Eds.), *Psychological Applications and Trends* (p. 529). inScience Press.
- Briciu, B. (2020). "Anyone can become a refugee:" Strategies for empathic concern in activist documentaries on migration. *Emotion, Space and Society*, *37*, 1–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emospa.2020.100737
- Čavojová, V., Adamus, M., & Ballova-Mikuskova, E. (2022). You before me: How vertical collectivism and feelings of threat predicted more socially desirable behaviour during COVID-19 pandemic. *Current Psychology*, *n.d.* https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03003-3
- Chan, K. M. (2022). The making of radical-right voters: Persuasion and contrast effects in a dynamic political context. *Political Psychology*, *43*(6), 1043–1060. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12801
- Diener, E., Emmons, R. A., Larsen, R. J., & Griffin, S. (1985). The Satisfaction With Life Scale.

 Journal of Personality Assessment, 49(1), 71–75.

 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa4901_13
- Dingott Alkopher, T. (2018). EU's disunited response to the 2015 refugee crisis: A view from the perspective of the psychological theory of DID. *Political Psychology*, *39*(6), 1389–1403. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12550
- Doidge, M., & Sandri, E. (2019). 'Friends that last a lifetime': The importance of emotions amongst volunteers working with refugees in Calais. *British Journal of Sociology*, 70(2), 463–480. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-4446.12484
- ESS. (2018). European Social Survey (2018): ESS-7 2014 Documentation Report. Edition 3.2. Bergen,

 European Social Survey Data Archive, Sikt Norwegian Agency for Shared Services in

 Education and Research, Norway for ESS ERIC. https://doi.org/doi:10.21338/NSD-ESS7-2014
- Esses, V. M., & Hamilton, L. K. (2021). Xenophobia and anti-immigrant attitudes in the time of COVID-19. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, 24(2), 253–259. https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430220983470
- Gaertner, S. L., & Dovidio, J. F. (2000). *Reducing intergroup bias: The common ingroup identity model*. Psychology Press.

- Glinitzer, K., Gummer, T., & Wagner, M. (2021). Learning facts about migration: Politically motivated learning of polarizing information about refugees. *Political Psychology*, 42(6), 1053–1069. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12734
- Golec de Zavala, A., Dyduch-Hazar, K., & Lantos, D. (2019). Collective narcissism: Political consequences of investing self-worth in the ingroup's image. *Political Psychology*, 40(S1), 37–74. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12569
- Golec de Zavala, A., Guerra, R., & Simão, C. (2017). The relationship between the brexit vote and individual predictors of prejudice: Collective narcissism, right wing authoritarianism, social dominance orientation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8(NOV), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02023
- Goodman, S. W., & Schimmelfennig, F. (2020). Migration: A step too far for the contemporary global order? *Journal of European Public Policy*, 27(7), 1103–1113. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2019.1678664
- Green, E. G. T. (2009). Who can enter? A multilevel analysis on public support for immigration criteria across 20 European countries. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations*, *12*(1), 41–60. https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430208098776
- Hartman, T. K., Stocks, T. V. A., McKay, R., Gibson-Miller, J., Levita, L., Martinez, A. P., Mason, L., McBride, O., Murphy, J., Shevlin, M., Bennett, K. M., Hyland, P., Karatzias, T., Vallières, F., & Bentall, R. P. (2021). The authoritarian dynamic during the COVID-19 pandemic: Effects on nationalism and anti-immigrant sentiment. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 12(7), 1274–1285. https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550620978023
- Hudson, S. T. J., Cikara, M., & Sidanius, J. (2019). Preference for hierarchy is associated with reduced empathy and increased counter-empathy towards others, especially out-group targets. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 85, 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2019.103871
- Jeannet, A. M., Heidland, T., & Ruhs, M. (2021). What asylum and refugee policies do Europeans want? Evidence from a cross-national conjoint experiment. *European Union Politics*, 22(3), 353–376. https://doi.org/10.1177/14651165211006838
- Jennings, W., Stoker, G., Valgarðsson, V., Devine, D., & Gaskell, J. (2021). How trust, mistrust and

- distrust shape the governance of the COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of European Public Policy*, 28(8), 1174–1196. https://doi.org/10.1080/13501763.2021.1942151
- Kjell, O. N. E., Daukantaitė, D., Hefferon, K., & Sikström, S. (2016). The Harmony in Life Scale complements the Satisfaction with Life Scale: Expanding the conceptualization of the cognitive component of subjective well-being. *Social Indicators Research*, 126(2), 893–919. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-015-0903-z
- Klimecki, O. M., Vétois, M., & Sander, D. (2020). The impact of empathy and perspective-taking instructions on proponents and opponents of immigration. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 7(1). https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-020-00581-0
- Knappert, L., van Dijk, H., Yuan, S., Engel, Y., van Prooijen, J.-W., & Krouwel, A. (2021). Personal contact with refugees is key to welcoming them: An analysis of politicians' and citizens' attitudes towards refugee integration. *Political Psychology*, 42(3), 423–442.
- Landmann, H., Gaschler, R., & Rohmann, A. (2019). What is threatening about refugees? Identifying different types of threat and their association with emotional responses and attitudes towards refugee migration. *European Journal of Social Psychology*, 49(7), 1401–1420. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.2593
- Lyubomirsky, S., & Lepper, H. S. (1999). A measure of subjective happiness: Preliminary reliability and construct validation. *Social Indicators Research*, *46*(2), 137–155. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006824100041
- Marteau, T. M., & Bekker, H. (1992). The development of a six-item short-form of the state scale of the Spielberger State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). *Bristish Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 31, 301–306.
- Meuleman, B., Abts, K., Schmidt, P., Pettigrew, T. F., & Davidov, E. (2020). Economic conditions, group relative deprivation and ethnic threat perceptions: A cross-national perspective. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 46(3), 593–611. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2018.1550157
- Mols, F., & Jetten, J. (2016). Explaining the appeal of populist right-wing parties in times of economic prosperity. *Political Psychology*, *37*(2), 275–292. https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12258
- Muis, Q., & Reeskens, T. (2022). Are we in this together? Changes in anti-immigrant sentiments

- during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 86(November 2021), 203–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2021.12.006
- Nowakowski, A. (2021). Do unhappy citizens vote for populism? *European Journal of Political Economy*, 68(December 2020), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2020.101985
- Pinillos-Franco, S., & Kawachi, I. (2022a). Do individuals' attitudes toward immigrants affect their own health? Analysis of 15 European countries. *European Journal of Public Health*, 32(2), 220–225. https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/ckab212
- Pinillos-Franco, S., & Kawachi, I. (2022b). Hostile attitudes toward immigrants and refugees are associated with poor self-rated health. Analysis of 21 European countries. *Social Science and Medicine*, 301(April). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.114969
- Poutvaara, P., & Steinhardt, M. F. (2018). Bitterness in life and attitudes towards immigration.

 *European Journal of Political Economy, 55(October 2017), 471–490.

 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2018.04.007
- Roberts, R. E., Lewinsohn, P. M., & Seeley, J. R. (1993). A brief measure of loneliness suitable for use with adolescents. *Psychological Reports*, 72(3), 1379–1391. https://doi.org/doi.org/10.2466/pr0.1993.72.3c.1379
- Schmidt, K. (2021). The dynamics of attitudes toward immigrants: Cohort analyses for Western EU member states. *International Journal of Comparative Sociology*, 62(4), 281–310. https://doi.org/10.1177/00207152211052582
- Sherif, M. (1966). *Group conflict and cooperation*. Routledge and Kegan Paul.
- Staub, E., & Vollhardt, J. (2008). Altruism born of suffering: The roots of caring and helping after victimization and other trauma. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 78(3), 267–280. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014223
- Stewart, A. E. (2021). Psychometric properties of the climate change worry scale. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(2), 1–22. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18020494
- Triandafyllidou, A. (2018). A "refugee crisis" unfolding: "Real" events and their interpretation in media and political debates. *Journal of Immigrant and Refugee Studies*, 16(1–2), 198–216.

- https://doi.org/10.1080/15562948.2017.1309089
- Troszyński, M., & El-Ghamari, M. (2022). A Great Divide: Polish media discourse on migration, 2015–2018. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 9(1), 2015–2018. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-01027-x
- UNHCR. (2022). The office of the United Nations High Commissionere for Refugees report on ukraine refugees situation. https://data.unhcr.org/en/situations/ukraine
- van der Veer, K., Ommundsen, R., Yakushko, O., Higler, L., Woelders, S., & Hagen, K. A. (2013).

 Psychometrically and qualitatively validating a cross-national cumulative measure of fear-based xenophobia. *Quality and Quantity*, 47(3), 1429–1444. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-011-9599-6
- Van Hauwaert, S. M., & Van Kessel, S. (2018). Beyond protest and discontent: A cross-national analysis of the effect of populist attitudes and issue positions on populist party support. *European Journal of Political Research*, *57*(1), 68–92. https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12216
- Van Hootegem, A., Meuleman, B., & Abts, K. (2020). Attitudes toward asylum policy in a divided Europe: Diverging contexts, diverging attitudes? *Frontiers in Sociology*, *5*(May), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2020.00035
- Verkuyten, M. (2004). Emotional reactions to and support for immigrant policies: Attributed responsibilities to categories of asylum seekers. *Social Justice Research*, *17*(3), 293–314. https://doi.org/10.1023/B:SORE.0000041295.83611.dc
- Verkuyten, M., Mepham, K., & Kros, M. (2018). Public attitudes towards support for migrants: The importance of perceived voluntary and involuntary migration. *Ethnic and Racial Studies*, 41(5), 901–918. https://doi.org/10.1080/01419870.2017.1367021
- Vollhardt, J. R. (2009). Altruism born of suffering and prosocial behavior following adverse life events: A review and conceptualization. *Social Justice Research*, 22(1), 53–97. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11211-009-0088-1
- von Hermanni, H., & Neumann, R. (2019). 'Refugees welcome?' The interplay between perceived threats and general concerns on the acceptance of refugees—a factorial survey approach in Germany. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 45(3), 349–374.

- https://doi.org/10.1080/1369183X.2018.1459183
- Welsch, H., Bierman, P., & Kühling, J. (2021). Immigration attitudes and subjective well-being: A matter of identity? *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 22(4), 1563–1581. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-020-00284-y
- Wirz, D. S., Wettstein, M., Schulz, A., Müller, P., Schemer, C., Ernst, N., Esser, F., & Wirth, W. (2018). The effects of right-wing populist communication on emotions and cognitions toward immigrants. *International Journal of Press/Politics*, 23(4), 496–516. https://doi.org/10.1177/1940161218788956
- Xia, W. (2021). Mediators explaining radical right voting patterns of Christians in Europe: Attitudes toward immigrants, values, or social capital? *Social Science Research*, 97, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssresearch.2021.102575

MUNI Econ Working Paper Series (since 2018)

- 2023-01 Adamus, M., Grežo, M. 2023. Attitudes towards migrants and preferences for asylum and refugee policies before and during Russian invasion of Ukraine: The case of Slovakia. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2023-01. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-12 Guzi, M., Kahanec, M., Mýtna Kureková, L. 2022. *The Impact of Immigration and Integration Policies*On Immigrant-Native Labor Market Hierarchies. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-12. Brno:

 Masaryk University.
- Antinyan, A., Corazzini, L., Fišar, M., Reggiani, T. 2022. *Mind the framing when studying social preferences in the domain of losses*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-11. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-10 Corazzini, L., Marini, M. 2022. *Focal points in multiple threshold public goods games: A single-project meta-analysis*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-10. Brno: Masaryk University.
- Fazio, A., Scervini, F., Reggiani, T. 2022. *Social media charity campaigns and pro-social behavior.*Evidence from the Ice Bucket Challenge.. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-09. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-08 Coufalová, L., Mikula, Š. 2022. *The Grass Is Not Greener on the Other Side: The Role of Attention in Voting Behaviour.*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-08. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-07 Fazio, A., Reggiani, T. 2022. *Minimum wage and tolerance for inequality*.. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-07. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-06 Mikula, Š., Reggiani, T. 2022. *Residential-based discrimination in the labor market*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-06. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-05 Mikula, Š., Molnár, P. 2022. Expected Transport Accessibility Improvement and House Prices: Evidence from the Construction of the World's Longest Undersea Road Tunnel. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-05. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-04 Coufalová, L., Mikula, Š., Ševčík, M. 2022. *Homophily in Voting Behavior: Evidence from Preferential Voting*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-04. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-03 Kecskésová, M., Mikula, Š. 2022. *Malaria and Economic Development in the Short-term:**Plasmodium falciparum vs Plasmodium vivax. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-03. Brno:

 *Masaryk University.
- 2022-02 Mladenović, D., Rrustemi, V., Martin, S., Kalia, P., Chawdhary, R. 2022. Effects of Sociodemographic Variables on Electronic Word of Mouth: Evidence from Emerging Economies. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-02. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2022-01 Mikula, Š., Montag, J. 2022. *Roma and Bureaucrats: A Field Experiment in the Czech Republic.*MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2022-01. Brno: Masaryk University.
- Abraham, E. D., Corazzini, L., Fišar, M., Reggiani, T. 2021. *Delegation and Overhead Aversion with Multiple Threshold Public Goods*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-14. Brno: Masaryk University.

- 2021-13 Corazzini, L., Cotton, C., Longo, E., Reggiani, T. 2021. *The Gates Effect in Public Goods Experiments: How Donations Flow to the Recipients Favored by the Wealthy*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-13. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-12 Staněk, R., Krčál, O., Mikula, Š. 2021. Social Capital and Mobility: An Experimental Study. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-12. Brno: Masaryk University.
- Staněk, R., Krčál, O., Čellárová, K. 2021. *Pull yourself up by your bootstraps: Identifying procedural preferences against helping others in the presence*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-11. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-10 Levi, E., Sin, I., Stillman, S. 2021. *Understanding the Origins of Populist Political Parties and the Role of External Shocks*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-10. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-09 Adamus, M., Grežo, M. 202. *Individual Differences in Behavioural Responses to the Financial Threat Posed by the COVID-19 Pandemic*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-09. Brno: Masaryk University.
- Hargreaves Heap, S. P., Karadimitropoulou, A., Levi, E. 2021. *Narrative based information: is it the facts or their packaging that matters?*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-08. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-07 Hargreaves Heap, S. P., Levi, E., Ramalingam, A. 2021. *Group identification and giving: in-group love, out-group hate and their crowding out.* MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-07. Brno: Masaryk University.
- Medda, T., Pelligra, V., Reggiani, T. 2021. *Lab-Sophistication: Does Repeated Participation in Laboratory Experiments Affect Pro-Social Behaviour?*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-06. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-05 Guzi, M., Kahanec, M., Ulceluse M., M. 2021. *Europe's migration experience and its effects on economic inequality*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-05. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-04 Fazio, A., Reggiani, T., Sabatini, F. 2021. *The political cost of lockdown's enforcement*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-04. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-03 Peciar, V. *Empirical investigation into market power, markups and employment.* MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-03. Brno: Masaryk University.
- Abraham, D., Greiner, B., Stephanides, M. 2021. On the Internet you can be anyone: An experiment on strategic avatar choice in online marketplaces. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-02. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2021-01 Krčál, O., Peer, S., Staněk, R. 2021. *Can time-inconsistent preferences explain hypothetical biases?*.

 MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2021-01. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2020-04 Pelligra, V., Reggiani, T., Zizzo, D.J. 2020. *Responding to (Un)Reasonable Requests by an Authority*.

 MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2020-04. Brno: Masaryk University.
- de Pedraza, P., Guzi, M., Tijdens, K. 2020. *Life Dissatisfaction and Anxiety in COVID-19 pandemic.*MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2020-03. Brno: Masaryk University.
- de Pedraza, P., Guzi, M., Tijdens, K. 2020. *Life Satisfaction of Employees, Labour Market Tightness and Matching Efficiency*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2020-02. Brno: Masaryk University.

- 2020-01 Fišar, M., Reggiani, T., Sabatini, F., Špalek, J. 2020. *a.* MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2020-01. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-08 Fišar, M., Krčál, O., Špalek, J., Staněk, R., Tremewan, J. 2019. *A Competitive Audit Selection Mechanism with Incomplete Information*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-08. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-07 Guzi, M., Huber, P., Mikula, M. 2019. *Old sins cast long shadows: The Long-term impact of the resettlement of the Sudetenland on residential migration*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-07. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-06 Mikula, M., Montag, J. 2019. *Does homeownership hinder labor market activity? Evidence from housing privatization and restitution in Brno.* MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-06. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-05 Krčál, O., Staněk, R., Slanicay, M. 2019. *Made for the job or by the job? A lab-in-the-field experiment with firefighters*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-05. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-04 Bruni, L., Pelligra, V., Reggiani, T., Rizzolli, M. 2019. *The Pied Piper: Prizes, Incentives, and Motivation Crowding-in.* MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-04. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-03 Krčál, O., Staněk, R., Karlínová, B., Peer, S. 2019. *Real consequences matters: why hypothetical biases in the valuation of time persist even in controlled lab experiments.* MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-03. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-02 Corazzini, L., Cotton, C., Reggiani, T., 2019. *Delegation And Coordination With Multiple Threshold Public Goods: Experimental Evidence*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-02. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2019-01 Fišar, M., Krčál, O., Staněk, R., Špalek, J. 2019. *The Effects of Staff-rotation in Public Administration on the Decision to Bribe or be Bribed*. MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2019-01. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2018-02 Guzi, M., Kahanec, M. 2018. *Income Inequality and the Size of Government: A Causal Analysis*.

 MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2018-02. Brno: Masaryk University.
- 2018-01 Geraci, A., Nardotto, M., Reggiani, T., Sabatini, F. 2018. *Broadband Internet and Social Capital*.

 MUNI ECON Working Paper n. 2018-01. Brno: Masaryk University.