

Williams, Christopher J.; Hunger, Sophia

Article — Published Version

How challenger party issue entrepreneurship and mainstream party strategies drive public issue salience: evidence from radical-right parties and the issue of immigration

European Political Science Review

Provided in Cooperation with:

WZB Berlin Social Science Center

Suggested Citation: Williams, Christopher J.; Hunger, Sophia (2022) : How challenger party issue entrepreneurship and mainstream party strategies drive public issue salience: evidence from radical-right parties and the issue of immigration, European Political Science Review, ISSN 1755-7747, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Vol. 14, Iss. 4, pp. 544-565, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773922000297>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/266559>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Appendix

**Table A.1: Effects of Radical-right Salience on Public Salience of Immigration
(Modules 2 and 3 Split)**

Variable	Model A.1	Model A.2
	Coeff. (SE)	Coeff. (SE)
RRP Salience	0.06 (0.04)	0.05 (0.04)
Left-Right Self-Placement	0.09*** (0.02)	0.09*** (0.02)
Age	-0.001 (0.003)	-0.003 (0.001)
Sex	0.11 (0.08)	-0.08 (0.04)
Education Level	-0.07 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.02)
Marital Status	-0.17 (0.10)	0.001 (0.05)
Income	-0.02 (0.04)	-0.02 (0.02)
Rural	-0.01 (0.10)	-0.06 (0.05)
Constant	-4.01*** (0.82)	-2.62*** (0.43)
Random Effects Parameter Constant	1.56 (1.25)	0.45 (0.67)
Random Effects Parameter Residual	.	.
N	6,061	15,436
Groups	5	10
ICC	0.32	0.12

Note: Dependent variable for models A.1 and A.2 is whether or not an individual views immigration as a most important issue. Model A.1 includes only respondents in module 2 of the CSES, Model A.2 includes only respondents in module 3 of the CSES. Importantly, there are 5 groups in module 2 and 10 groups in module 3. This means that it is difficult to include all country/party level variables for module 2 as it models out all variance. Therefore, we have removed from the models all country/party level variables other than radical-right party salience. As we are only interested in establishing that the questions used in modules 2 and 3 are comparable, what is important is that the findings in the tests using only module 2 are similar to the findings in the tests using only module 3.

*** $p \leq 0.001$; ** $p \leq 0.01$; * $p \leq 0.05$

Table A.2: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Public Salience of Immigration (Excluding Slovenia)

Variable	Model A.3	Model A.4	Model A.5
	Coeff. (SE)	Coeff. (SE)	Coeff. (SE)
RRP Salience	0.07** (0.03)	0.16*** (0.03)	0.14*** (0.03)
Distance between Mainstream and RRP	.	-0.72*** (0.17)	-0.77** (0.30)
Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position	.	0.15 (0.15)	0.24 (0.18)
Left-Right Self-Placement	0.09*** (0.01)	0.09*** (0.01)	0.09*** (0.01)
Age	-0.002 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)	-0.002 (0.001)
Sex	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.04)	-0.04 (0.04)
Education Level	-0.03 (0.02)	-0.04 (0.02)	-0.03 (0.02)
Marital Status	-0.04 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.05)	-0.04 (0.05)
Income	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.02)	-0.02 (0.02)
Rural	-0.05 (0.05)	-0.05 (0.05)	-0.05 (0.05)
Party System Immigration Salience	0.02* (0.007)	-1.90*** (0.87)	0.11 (0.87)
Non-EU	1.78*** (0.45)	1.46*** (0.37)	2.23*** (0.41)
CSES Wave 2	-1.65*** (0.37)	-1.09*** (0.31)	-1.05** (0.38)
Constant	-4.15*** (0.43)	-2.46*** (0.47)	-2.53*** (0.70)
Random Effects Parameter Constant	0.28 (0.53)	0.16 (0.06)	0.18 (0.07)
Random Effects Parameter Residual	.	.	.
N	21,151	21,151	21,151
Groups	14	14	14
ICC	0.08	0.05	0.05

Note: Dependent variable for models A.3 through A.5 is whether or not an individual views immigration as a most important issue. Model A.4 looks at the distance between a center-right mainstream party and a radical-right party. Model A.5 looks at the distance between a center-left mainstream party and a radical-right party.

***p<0.001; **p<0.01; *p<0.05

Table A.3: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Positivity towards the Radical-right Party (Excluding Slovenia)

Variable	Model A.6	Model A.7
	Coeff. (SE)	Coeff. (SE)
RRP Salience	0.09*** (0.03)	-0.01 (0.35)
Distance between Mainstream and RRP	-0.21 (0.16)	-0.16 (0.34)
Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position	0.98*** (0.14)	0.81*** (0.20)
Left-Right Self-Placement	0.54*** (0.008)	0.54*** (0.008)
Age	-0.009*** (0.001)	-0.009*** (0.001)
Sex	0.45*** (0.04)	0.45*** (0.04)
Education Level	-0.45*** (0.02)	-0.45*** (0.02)
Marital Status	0.12** (0.04)	0.12** (0.04)
Income	-0.14*** (0.02)	-0.14*** (0.02)
Rural	0.16*** (0.05)	0.16*** (0.05)
Party System Immigration Salience	-0.58 (0.84)	1.39 (0.98)
Non-EU	2.45*** (0.34)	1.96*** (0.42)
CSES Wave 2	-1.41*** (0.28)	-1.10** (0.41)
Constant	1.18 (0.45)	1.62 (0.79)
Random Effects Parameter Constant	0.14 (0.06)	0.23 (0.09)
Random Effects Parameter Residual	6.91 (0.07)	6.91 (0.07)
N	19,925	19,925
Groups	14	14
ICC	0.02	0.03

Note: The dependent variable for models A.6 and A.7 is individual positivity towards a radical-right party. Model A.6 looks at the distance between a center-right mainstream party and a radical-right party. Model A.7 looks at the distance between a center-left mainstream party and a radical-right party.

***p<0.001; **p<0.01; *p<0.05

Table A.4: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Public Salience of Immigration (Excluding Norway and Switzerland)

Variable	Model A.8	Model A.9	Model A.10
	Coeff. (SE)	Coeff. (SE)	Coeff. (SE)
RRP Salience	0.09*** (0.02)	0.17*** (0.02)	0.16*** (0.007)
Distance between Mainstream and RRP	.	-0.69*** (0.13)	-1.03*** (0.06)
Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position	.	0.09 (0.08)	0.30*** (0.04)
Left-Right Self-Placement	0.04*** (0.01)	0.04*** (0.01)	0.04*** (0.01)
Age	-0.003* (0.002)	-0.003* (0.002)	-0.003* (0.002)
Sex	0.10 (0.05)	0.10 (0.05)	0.10 (0.05)
Education Level	0.01 (0.03)	0.008 (0.03)	0.005 (0.03)
Marital Status	-0.06 (0.06)	-0.05 (0.06)	-0.06 (0.06)
Income	0.006 (0.02)	0.006 (0.02)	0.007 (0.02)
Rural	-0.008 (0.07)	-0.01 (0.07)	-0.02 (0.07)
Party System Immigration Salience	0.01* (0.006)	-1.79** (0.59)	-0.82*** (0.19)
Eastern Europe	1.10 (0.84)	0.92 (0.72)	-1.14 (0.58)
CSES Wave 2	-2.41*** (0.43)	-1.94*** (0.36)	-1.06*** (0.21)
Constant	-4.04*** (0.36)	-2.58*** (0.32)	-1.78*** (0.23)
Random Effects Parameter Constant	0.15 (0.38)	0.04 (0.03)	0.00 (0.00)
Random Effects Parameter Residual	.	.	.
N	12,661	12,661	12,661
Groups	10	10	10
ICC	0.04	0.01	0.00

Note: Dependent variable for models A.6 through A.8 is whether or not an individual views immigration as a most important issue.

***p<0.001; **p<0.01; *p<0.05

Table A.5: Effects of Radical-right Salience and Mainstream Proximity on Positivity towards the Radical-right Party (Excluding Norway and Switzerland)

Variable	Model A.11	Model A.12
	Coeff. (SE)	Coeff. (SE)
RRP Salience	0.07* (0.03)	-0.04 (0.03)
Distance between Mainstream and RRP	-0.02 (0.22)	0.26 (0.37)
Δ Mainstream Party Immigration Position	0.95*** (0.15)	0.75*** (0.20)
Left-Right Self-Placement	0.35*** (0.01)	0.35*** (0.01)
Age	-0.01*** (0.001)	-0.01*** (0.001)
Sex	0.33*** (0.05)	0.33*** (0.05)
Education Level	-0.34*** (0.02)	-0.34*** (0.02)
Marital Status	0.002 (0.05)	0.000 (0.05)
Income	-0.11*** (0.02)	-0.11*** (0.02)
Rural	0.16** (0.06)	0.16** (0.06)
Party System Immigration Salience	0.32 (1.10)	2.27* (0.98)
Eastern Europe	2.46*** (0.64)	2.69** (0.97)
CSES Wave 2	-1.86*** (0.44)	-1.71** (0.57)
Constant	1.69** (0.56)	1.67* (0.85)
Random Effects Parameter Constant	0.17 (0.08)	0.19 (0.09)
Random Effects Parameter Residual	6.46 (0.08)	6.46 (0.08)
N	12,289	12,289
Groups	10	10
ICC	0.03	0.03

Note: The dependent variable for models A.9 and A.10 is individual positivity towards a radical-right party.
 ***p≤0.001; **p≤0.01; *p≤0.05

Table A.6: Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Observations	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Public Immigration Salience	29,386	0.15	0.35	0	1
Positivity towards RRP	26,125	3.48	3.12	0	10
RRP Salience	29,386	12.81	10.96	1.71	40
Distance between CR and RRP	29,386	1.95	0.94	-0.54	4.13
Distance between CL and RRP	29,386	2.66	0.80	0.28	3.97
Δ CR Immigration Position	26,156	-0.08	0.80	-2.18	1.35
Δ CL Immigration Position	26,156	0.17	0.91	-2.00	1.36
Left-Right Self-Placement	24,343	5.31	2.31	0	10
Age	29,368	48.43	17.23	17	106
Sex	29,374	0.49	0.50	0	1
Education Level	28,964	2.48	1.06	0	4
Marital Status	29,211	0.65	0.48	0	1
Income	23,228	2.97	1.41	1	5
Rural	26,990	0.24	0.43	0	1
Party System Immigration Sal. (All but RR)	26,156	0.43	0.30	0.14	1.22
Party System Immigration Sal. (All but RR & CR)	26,156	0.37	0.30	0.11	1.20
Party System Immigration Sal. (All but RR & CL)	26,156	0.38	0.29	0.11	1.14
Eastern Europe	29,386	0.03	0.18	0	1
Non-EU	29,386	0.35	0.48	0	1
CSES Wave 2	29,386	0.33	0.47	0	1

