

Plaček, Michal et al.

Article — Published Version

Gender heterogeneity and politics in decision-making about green public procurement in the Czech Republic

Politics and Governance

Provided in Cooperation with:

Leibniz Institute of Agricultural Development in Transition Economies (IAMO), Halle (Saale)

Suggested Citation: Plaček, Michal et al. (2022) : Gender heterogeneity and politics in decision-making about green public procurement in the Czech Republic, Politics and Governance, ISSN 2183-2463, Cogitatio, Lisbon, Vol. 10, Iss. 3, pp. 239-250, <https://doi.org/10.17645/pag.v10i3.5408>, <https://www.cogitatiopress.com/politicsandgovernance/article/view/5408>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/264526>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>

Appendix A

Table no. 3: Structure of questionnaire

No. of question	Wording	Possible answers	Connection to assumptions defined in conceptualization
1	Size category of municipality	Up to 500 inhabitants, between 501 – 999, between 1,000 – 9,999, between 10,000 – 49,999, 50,000 and more	
2	Do you have experience in awarding public contracts with ecological (or environmental) criteria?	Yes/no	
3	It is socially useful to award ‘green public contracts,’ i.e. contracts taking into account environmental criteria.	Absolutely disagree, somewhat disagree, do not know, somewhat agree, absolutely agree	Assumption nr. 1
4	I am in favor of awarding public contracts that take into account the environmental criteria.	Absolutely disagree, somewhat disagree, do not know, somewhat agree, absolutely agree	
5	Personally, I would prefer to announce a tender for a cheaper contract (without environmental requirements) before awarding a more expensive public contract with environmental criteria.	Absolutely disagree, somewhat disagree, do not know, somewhat agree, absolutely agree	Assumption nr. 2
6	If I have information that a public contract with an environmental criterion increases the risk probability of an appeal to the Office for Protection of Competition, then I will clearly prefer the public contract without environmental criteria	Absolutely disagree, somewhat disagree, do not know, somewhat agree, absolutely agree	
7	If the contract has the following evaluation criteria: “employment	Absolutely disagree, somewhat disagree, do	Assumption nr. 3

	<p>criterion,” “criterion of the support for local companies,” and “criterion of ecological impact of public procurement on the environment,” then I will always (or mostly) consider “criterion of ecological impact” as most important.</p>	<p>not know, somewhat agree, absolutely agree</p>
8	<p>If I wanted to include a criterion of ecological impact on the environment in the contract, then I would classify it as a general obligation of the supplier under the contract.</p>	<p>Absolutely disagree, somewhat disagree, do not know, somewhat agree, absolutely agree</p>

Source: Authors