# **ECONSTOR** Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Callo-Concha, Daniel; Lagneaux, Elisabeth; Zenteno, Rodrigo; Frör, Oliver

#### Working Paper

## Assessing householder social-ecological resilience in transboundary conditions: The case of the MAP region in southwestern Amazonia

ZEF Working Paper Series, No. 213

**Provided in Cooperation with:** Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung / Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn

*Suggested Citation:* Callo-Concha, Daniel; Lagneaux, Elisabeth; Zenteno, Rodrigo; Frör, Oliver (2022) : Assessing householder social-ecological resilience in transboundary conditions: The case of the MAP region in southwestern Amazonia, ZEF Working Paper Series, No. 213, University of Bonn, Center for Development Research (ZEF), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/264366

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



# Working Paper 213

DANIEL CALLO-CONCHA, ELISABETH LAGNEAUX, RODRIGO ZENTENO AND OLIVER FRÖR

Assessing householder social-ecological resilience in transboundary conditions: The case of the MAP region in southwestern Amazonia



ZEF Working Paper Series, ISSN 1864-6638 Center for Development Research, University of Bonn Editors: Christian Borgemeister, Joachim von Braun, Manfred Denich, Till Stellmacher and Eva Youkhana

#### Authors' addresses

Dr. Daniel Callo-Concha Institute for Environmental Sciences (iES), University of Koblenz-Landau, Landau Fortstraße 7, 76829 Landau, Germany

Center for Development Research (ZEF), University of Bonn Genscherallee 3, 53113 Bonn, Germany E-mail: <u>callo-concha@uni-landau.de</u>, <u>d.callo-concha@uni-bonn.de</u>

Elisabeth Lagneaux Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Koblenz-Landau Fortstraße 7, 76829 Landau, Germany

Plant Production Systems Group, Wageningen University, 6708 PB Wageningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: <u>elisabeth.lagneaux@wur.nl</u>

Rodrigo Zenteno Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Koblenz-Landau Fortstraße 7, 76829 Landau, Germany E-mail: <u>zent7143@uni-landau.de</u>

Prof. Dr. Oliver Frör iES Landau, Institute for Environmental Sciences, University of Koblenz-Landau Fortstraße 7, 76829 Landau, Germany E-mail: <u>froer@uni-landau.de</u>

# Assessing householder social-ecological resilience in transboundary conditions: The case of the MAP region in southwestern Amazonia

Daniel Callo-Concha, Elisabeth Lagneaux, Rodrigo Zenteno and Oliver Frör

### Abstract

Land use change is continuously accelerating in the Amazon basin, intensified by road network expansion, farming, ranching, and mining activities, all major drivers of change. This pattern is expected to spread across the continent, fuelled by the economic benefits that road building provides. However, the extent of regional trade-offs regarding ecological stability and the provision of ecosystem services is generally unaccounted for, despite narratives on the loss of resilience and even the imminent trespassing of tipping points becoming common. In the PRODIGY project, we evaluate the social-ecological resilience of rural smallholders in the transboundary MAP (Madre de Dios – Acre – Pando) region in the southwestern Amazon, where the construction of the first transcontinental highway culminated a decade ago. This paper sets a baseline for this endeavour, by distinctively profiling the three country-sites constituting our sample; elucidating the complex system and disturbance and change approaches on which we rely to tackle the challenge; and detailing the three country-sites, but also pinpointed crucial ones, like the triggering role of political polarization in Brazil, the mono-dependence on Brazil nut in Bolivia, and the uncertain effects of economic diversification in Peru.

Keywords: Rural livelihoods; human-environmental systems; trans-border situation; complexity; participatory systems analysis; disruption and change; tipping point.

## Acknowledgments

We thank several anonymous reviewers who helped to improve the readability of previous versions of this manuscript.

This research is funded by the German Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF), grant number 01LC1824A.

## Table of contents

ABSTRACT	II
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	111
TABLE OF CONTENTS	IV
LIST OF ACRONYMS	V
LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES	VI
1 INTRODUCTION	1
2 THE MAP REGION AND ITS TRANSBOUNDARY CHALLENGES	2
2.1 A historical glimpse	2
2.2 The study sites	3
2.3 The MAP initiative	5
2.4 Some of the MAP region transboundary challenges	7
3 CONCEPTUAL AND METHODOLOGICAL BASIS	. 11
3.1 Transboundary research	. 11
3.2 The complex systems approach	. 12
3.3 Resilience et al.: disruption and change approaches	. 12
3.4 Towards the detection of tipping points	. 13
4 OPERATIONALIZING THE ANALYSIS OF SOCIAL-ECOLOGICAL SYSTEMS AND PREVENTION OF TIPPING POINTS	. 16
4.1 Research question and objective	. 16
4.2 Research and analytical frameworks	. 16
4.3 Preliminary findings	. 18
5 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK	. 20
6 REFERENCES	. 21

# List of Acronyms

ACTO	Amazon Cooperation Treaty Organization
AIDER	Asociación para la Investigación y Desarrollo Integral
FAO	United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
IBAMA	Instituto Brasileiro do Meio Ambiente e dos Recursos Naturais Renováveis
IBGE	Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística
ICMBio	Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade
IIRSA	Iniciativa para la Integración de la Infraestructura Regional Suramericana
INE	Instituto Nacional de Estadística
INEI	Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática
IPE	Instituto Peruano de Economía
MAP	Madre de Dios – Acre – Pando region
MINCETUR	Ministério de Comercio Exterior y Turismo
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
NTFP	Non-Timber Forest Products
OECD	Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development
PRODIGY	Process-based & Resilience-Oriented management of Diversity Generates sustainability project
RESEX	Reserva Extrativista
SERNANP	Servicio Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas por el Estado
SERNAP	Servicio Nacional de Áreas Protegidas
SES	Social-Ecological Systems
SPA	Science Panel for the Amazon

# List of Tables and Figures

Table 1: System's components by site	18
Figure 1: the MAP region. The PRODIGY research area stretches across the interoceanic highway, including the protected and neighboring areas alongside (marked polygons)	6
Figure 2: Distribution of Brazil nut trees in the Amazon basin (delineated in white). Notice the higher density in the MAP region	8
Figure 3: a. The 'Peru-Brazil-Bolivia hub' containing the MAP region and b. The proposed transport corridors to prop economic integration	11
Figure 4: The adaptive cycle	14
Figure 5: Trajectory of a gradually less diverse system towards its tipping point	14
Figure 6: PRODIGY approach: hypothetical succession of tipping elements across the subsystems in the MAP region	16
Figure 7: Sampling area in Madre de Dios, Peru. The sampling area stretches longitudinally along the Interoceanic Highway, involving the Tambopata national reserve and neighboring areas; and transversally, to cover a gradient of land-use intensity.	17
Figure 8: Research framework	18

### **1** Introduction

The Amazon basin encompasses about 6 million  $\text{km}^2$  and is inhabited by around 28 million people. Three quarters of this area corresponds to lowland evergreen rainforests, about 15% to a mixture of seasonal forest, savanna, montane forests and alpine formations, and 7% to farm and grazelands in constant expansion. The basin is an important provider of ecosystem services of different types. It holds both the largest biomass reservoir and the highest biodiversity in the world, sustains regular rainfall patterns and regulates the global climate. It contains enormous reserves of freshwater, stores huge amounts of carbon and plays an important role in sequestering anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (FAO, 2015; Nagy et al., 2016; SPA, 2021).

It is also a source of many products of anthropogenic value, including timber and non-timber forest products, metals and hydrocarbons. Indeed, massive reserves of oil and gas have been found recently (Bebbington & Unerman, 2018; Finer & Novoa, 2015; Lopes et al., 2019; Rumrill et al., 1986; Sonter et al., 2017). The exploitation and commercialization of these goods and services have generated numerous social and environmental trade-offs (Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Nepstad et al., 2008). Notorious examples are the repeated and increasing occurrence of forest fires (Cereceda, 2019). Concurrently, the Amazon rainforest is recognized as one of at least 15 tipping elements of the earth's climate system (Lenton et al., 2008). By surpassing such a 'tipping point', the system may abruptly and possibly irreversibly change its state, which may trigger severe and unforeseen repercussions on global, regional and local systems.

Besides, the Amazon geographically involves eight countries, all of which are interconnected, despite their national peculiarities, through a compact rainforest and rivers flowing across, and therefore subject to intense economic and cultural exchanges (ACTO, 2018). One of those transboundary areas is the MAP (<u>Madre de Dios</u>, Peru; <u>Acre</u>, Brazil and <u>Pando</u>, Bolivia) region. A transboundary area is one where intense exchanges occur across the formal borders, leading to common benefits but also generating challenges in the involved countries (Hataley & Leuprecht, 2018). Which is the case of the MAP region. Formerly marginalized, it has undergone considerable socio-economic development in recent decades due to the successive emergence of economic opportunities (mainly related to natural resources exploitation), related immigration waves and the recent construction of the interoceanic highway (Mendoza et al., 2015).

Since April 2019, the project Process-based & Resilience-Oriented management of Diversity Generates sustainabilitY (PRODIGY, https://prodigy-biotip.org/ has sought to identify and analyze the tipping points in the MAP region, and to question whether and how the crossing of a tipping point in a given element may lead to a cascade of other tipping elements. At the core of this endeavor is the analysis of resilience of social-ecological systems, since changes can equally occur on natural and/or socio-economic levels.

This publication aims to provide the basis and preliminary findings of our ongoing research. The following section gives a detailed account of the background of the MAP region, since its characteristics are decisive for understanding the functioning of local social-ecological systems. Section three identifies and reviews a suite of scientific approaches that can be applied to investigate such systems. Section four returns to the specific challenges in the MAP region and details the operationalization of our research framework through the sketching of a specific set of methods and presenting preliminary outcomes. Section five wraps up and outlines the upcoming steps.

## 2 The MAP region and its transboundary challenges

#### 2.1 A historical glimpse

The recent history of the MAP region has been influenced by two main conditions: its relative remoteness from power and decision centers and its vast wealth of natural resources of high economic value; both of which have determined successive waves of colonization and migration aiming to "extract" those valuable resources.

In the last decades of the XIX century, tree species like *Castilla ulei* and *Hevea brasiliensis*, providers of 'wild gum' or rubber, were high demand inputs for the manufactured products of the industrial revolution. Many *caucheros*, local and foreign entrepreneurs, rushed to the region seeking rapid wealth. Some succeeded and became 'rubber barons', key players in the region's governance (García, 1982; Rumrill et al., 1986).

Because of the aforementioned remoteness, the delimitation and enforcement of national borders was poorly handled, and with the emergence of economic opportunities, the area became the battleground of disputed sovereignties (Garay Vera, 2008; Rumrill et al., 1986). The current borders of the MAP region were established after a period of conflicts that raged between 1899 and 1912, including wars, revolutions, treaties, and even the ephemeral instauration of an independent state (García, 1982).

At the onset of the first world war, the international prices of rubber declined due to the establishment of plantations in Asia (Lindert & Verkoren, 2010). This caused a decrease in the MAP region's attractiveness. However other economic activities had already emerged and several cities, such as Rio Branco and Cobija, had become established.

Remnants of rubber exploitation, supplemented by farming, ranching and timber extraction, underpinned the regional economy until the mid-XX century. Around that time, national governments, wanting to take advantage of the enormous amount of 'unused' land, encouraged colonization ventures by offering large extensions of land at no cost (Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Rumrill et al., 1986). Descendants of these colonists became landowners, such as the *barraqueros*, large-scale Brazil nut collectors in Bolivia, and livestock ranchers in Brazil.

The second half of the XX century is characterized as a time of intensified extractive activities of renewable and non-renewable resources. Several forest products were 'discovered' and larger markets for these products later developed. Precious timber and the Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa*) became flagship products, and cities like Rio Branco, Riberalta and Puerto Maldonado evolved into regional markets and export hubs (Assies, 2002; Cronkleton et al., 2008; Dourojeanni, 2001). At the same time, cattle ranching was intensely promoted in Brazil, becoming Acre's economic keystone (Godfrey, 1990; Lindert & Verkoren, 2010).

In the 1970s gold and other minerals were re-discovered in some parts of the MAP region. As the opportunity for occasional and quick profit emerged, small mining operations mushroomed, which triggered an important influx of migrants, mainly from neighboring regions (García, 1982). This process continued and intensified until mining became the economic backbone of the Madre de Dios department in Peru (Alfaro, 2012). Later, in the 1980s and 1990s, in Bolivia and partially also in Peru, several public and private investors encouraged the emulation of the Brazilian ranching model with minor success, which also accelerated the conversion of forests into grazelands (Campell et al., 2010; Chávez Michaelsen et al., 2013; Killeen et al., 2008; Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Perz et al., 2016).

The above-described socioeconomic changes together with a growing global awareness of environmental problems created pressure on the respective national governments to act. This crystallized in the creation of national parks, which besides their conservationist mandates, also allow the restricted operation of other economic activities.

Over the years, the diversification of economic activities, the change of land-property regimes, and the installation of national parks, allowed many short-term immigrants to become permanent residents. Establishing peasant communities, they sustained their livelihoods with a strategic combination of productive and extractive activities (Assies, 1997).

At the turn of the XXI century, a major infrastructural project was set up to revamp the region: the construction of the Interoceanic Highway. Completed in March 2012, the highway extends for 2 600 km starting at the Peruvian coastline on the Pacific Ocean, traversing the Andes and the southwestern Amazon, and ending at the Atlantic Ocean's coast in Brazil. About a decade after its completion, the overall intention of the highway to dynamize the economy and trade and integrate the region seems to have succeeded: cities, markets, entrepreneurship and migration have grown and intensified; but social and environmental drawbacks have also arisen (Mendoza et al., 2007; Perz et al., 2010).

#### 2.2 The study sites

#### 2.2.1 Acre, Brazil

After successive occupations by the Viceroyalty of Peru, the Peru-Bolivian confederation, Bolivia, and a few attempts at independence, Acre became a state of Brazil in 1903 (Treaty of Petrópolis, 2019). Acre is the most western of Brazil's states and one of the most distant from its economic and administrative centers. With an area of 164 124 km<sup>2</sup> and a population of 881 935, it is the Brazilian Federation's 16<sup>th</sup> largest and fourth least densely populated state (IBGE, 2019). It generates about 14,2 million R\$ year<sup>-1</sup> (about 2,4 million €), which contributes a tiny 0,2% of the national GDP, surpassing only the state of Roraima (IBGE, 2018).

Although services and industry have become more important in recent times, Acre's location in the Amazon rainforest makes nature- and rural-related activities the determinants of its economy (Acre (State), 2019). Thus, at the end of the XX century, Acre was branded as a state dominated by timber, agriculture and the traditional extraction of forest products, such as rubber and Brazil nut (Rumrill et al., 1986).

Subsequent rapid colonization has posed a challenge to the balancing of conservation, economic production, and people's well-being. Existing land use regimes compete by resources, land and economic profit (Perz et al., 2012). For instance, some *seringeiros* and *castanheiros* (rubber and Brazil nut collectors, respectively) left their traditional activities to concentrate on livestock rearing and/or agriculture on deforested lands. With time this process intensified, leading to the acquisition and renting of land for the establishment of large cattle ranches, and the simultaneous expansion of the road network. In 2004, it was estimated that there were two million head of cattle in Acre (IBGE, n.d.; Mendoza et al., 2007), grazing in areas almost exclusively converted from natural forests (França Maia et al., 2016; Lima et al., 2013).

Conflicts between small-scale rubber collectors, subsistence farmers, conservationists and large-scale loggers and cattle ranchers scaled-up in the 1970s and 1980s. This led to the expulsion of settlers, and the prosecution and even assassination of some leaders, which brought the conservation movement national and international attention. As a result, and to prevent further conflicts, the government proposed alternatives to regulate landownership and land use (França Maia et al., 2016).

Thus, in 2000, the National System of Natural Conservation Units was established and two types of conservation zones were created: (i) the *Unidades de Proteção Integral*, where no economic activity and only conservation is allowed, and (ii) the *Unidades de Uso Sustentável*, also known as 'Extractive Reserves'. In Acre, two of the latter were implemented: Chico Mendes and Alto Juruá (Figure 1).

#### 2.2.2 Pando, Bolivia

Pando was officially founded in 1938. With an area of 63 827 km<sup>2</sup> and a population of 110 436, it is the least populated, connected and developed department of Bolivia (INE, 2012). Its annual GDP reached about 350 million € in 2018, corresponding to 0,91% of the national GDP (INE, 2019a). Similar to Acre and Madre de Dios, Pando's historical development was determined by waves of pioneers and entrepreneurs seeking rapid wealth via the exploitation of natural resources, especially rubber in the early XX century, followed by Brazil nut (Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Rumrill et al., 1986).

Pando's economic diversification was arrested by its relative isolation from Bolivia's and the late government's degree of reticence to the market economy. Its economy continues to overwhelmingly depend on the Brazil nut. As a consequence, well developed social and economic structures exist for the collection, postharvest and storage of Brazil nut; and to ensure forests and ecosystems are relatively well looked after (Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Marsik et al., 2011).

Nevertheless, deforestation remains an issue in Pando. 180 792 ha, about 3% of its total area, has been deforested, which constitutes over one third of the total area deforested at the national level. The drivers are livestock rearing (50%), mechanized agriculture (30%) and peasant and indigenous agriculture (20%) (Larrea-Alcázar, 2015; Quiróz Claros & Vos, 2017).

Similar to the Brazilian and Peruvian cases, Bolivia's legislation allows for two types of conservation areas: (i) Parks, Sanctuaries and Natural Monuments, where only scientific research, ecotourism, environmental education and provision of means of subsistence for native communities are allowed, and (ii) National Reserves of Wildlife, where economic activities are also allowed. In Pando, the Manuripi Amazon National Wildlife Reserve was created in 1973 (D.S. N° 24781 Reglamento de areas protegidas, 1997; SERNAP, 2012), and it is traversed from north to south on its eastern flank by the unpaved road 16 that extends until the shore of the Madre de Dios river (Van Damme, 2018) (Figure 1).

Brazil nut makes up more than half of the total income of community members, although its harvesting is constrained to just four months each year (García, 2016; INE, 2019b). However, there is an untapped potential for the collection of products from other species in the area, such as *açaí* (*Euterpe precatoria*), *majo* (*Oenocarpus bataua*), rubber (*Hevea* spp.), and *jatata* (*Geonoma deversa*, *Geonoma stricta*) (SERNAP, 2012). Recently, after a long hiatus and driven by an increase in its international price, rubber collection has regained importance (SERNAP, 2012); as has the collection of the *açaí* palm fruits (García, 2016).

#### 2.2.3 Madre de Dios, Peru

Madre de Dios, the third largest department of Peru but one of the least populated, was officially created in 1912 after the trilateral Acre border litigation was solved (Dourojeanni, 2013). Until recently, it was considered a marginal region, as its economic weight and political relevance were minor: it contributes barely 0,5% to the national GDP (about 1 017 million €) (MINCETUR, 2019) and elects only one representative to the parliament (OCI, 2017).

However, a recent economic boom has enhanced its reputation as a place that is undergoing development, and its population has correspondingly grown steadily: from 20 000 in 1970, to 100 000 in 2010, to more than 150 000 today (Brack et al., 2011; *MADRE DE DIOS, RESULTADOS DEFINITIVOS*, 2018). Currently, domestic and international immigrants make up more than 60% of its population (Sánchez Aguilar, 2017). About half of its GDP depends on the extraction of non-renewable resources: oil, gas and minerals (IPE, 2018). Gold mining is the department's major economic pillar, and its overspill effects have propelled other economic activities such as construction, trade and hosteling, and the reinforcement of precedent activities, like timber, NTFP extraction and farming (INEI, 2018). Lately, supported by non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and launched by private entrepreneurs, productive and industrial activities have more recently broadened: i.e., diversified agroforestry

systems, pisciculture, furniture construction, fruits and nuts processing, essential oil production, etc. (Brouwer, 2018; Rumrill et al., 1986).

Still, natural resources remain the major assets of Madre de Dios, which led the government to set forest conservation policies that evolved into conservation initiatives. The Tambopata and Manu national reserves, and the Alto Purus and Amarakaeri communal reserves were created to be used for conservation and research, though allowing restricted tourism and some extractive activities (Alfaro, 2012; Dourojeanni, 2013), which has led to the growth of ecotourism as a prominent activity in the region. Although the sector is nominally significant by its growing scale and the high per-capita spending, its overall impact on the local economy is limited, as it is run primarily by larger non-local companies (Doan, 2013).

However, this fast development also implies tradeoffs. The expansion of gold mining in the 1980s and 1990s triggered a large influx of artisanal and small-scale miners. By 2010, more than 1 500 requests for regularization of operations, involving about 30 000 miners, were approved (Brack et al., 2011). Because of the region's remoteness, the government implemented a *laissez faire* approach with the entrepreneurs. The absence of control and monitoring led to massive environmental and social impacts. It is estimated that the clearing of forests, topsoil removal and mercury release affect more than 4 000 ha year<sup>-1</sup> (Asner & Tupayachi, 2016). Furthermore the mushrooming of miner settlements triggered an abrupt surge in child labor and sexual exploitation (Salo et al., 2016). Various initiatives to curb and formalize artisanal and small mining have had limited impact, due to their inadequacy, volatility, inconsistent implementation, and overall, inability to offer economic alternatives to miners (Salo et al., 2016) (Figure 1).

#### 2.3 The MAP initiative

The MAP region encompasses about 300 000 km<sup>2</sup> and is inhabited by approximately one million people (Rioja et al., 2015). It is considered a promising case for cross-border partnership and economic integration because of the similar geopolitical, environmental and social-economic roles the region plays for its three respective countries (Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Mendoza et al., 2007) (Figure 1). Therefore, its situation is considered archetypical for transboundary studies.



Figure 1: The MAP region. The PRODIGY research area stretches across the interoceanic highway, including the protected and neighboring areas alongside (marked polygons)

Trans-border situation and transboundary regions have gained importance as globalization and interconnectedness across countries and political demarcations evolved and grew (Perkmann & Sum, 2002). A transboundary situation occurs when neighboring countries impact and influence each other directly, or indirectly through the use of public goods or commons (OECD & Joint Research Centre - European Commission, 2021). In the case of the MAP region, both occur as the efforts to build partnerships to meet common regional demands, such as to increase connectivity and economic development, and challenges, like to tackle deforestation and land degradation, have existed since the early 1990s (Gudynas, 2007; Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Perz et al., 2010).

Under those premises, the MAP initiative was created in 2000 as a politically neutral platform where stakeholders of varying positions but similar interests could productively interact and form coalitions for action. The idea was envisioned in a 1999 multilateral gathering organized by the University of Rio Branco in Acre, where they agreed that the region's environmental fragility was the major regional challenge, and that only joint actions could address it. Hence, the *Declaración de Rio Branco* was signed, giving birth to the 'MAP' acronym, standing for Madre de Dios, Acre and Pando.

The MAP initiative led to further projects, including one on road connectivity integration and administration improvement, sponsored by the United States cooperation (Mendoza et al., 2007); and another on developing measures to promote international trade, supported by the Union of South American Nations (Chávez et al., 2005; Parimbelli, 2016). Of late the MAP initiative's leadership and leverage has progressively declined, due to the uneven level of involvement of its counterparts and a corresponding decrease in their stakes and capabilities.

#### 2.4 Some of the MAP region transboundary challenges

As described above, the MAP region as a whole is confronted with common challenges including deforestation, land use change, conflicts among land users and fast urbanization (Dourojeanni, 2013; Duchelle, 2007; Lindert & Verkoren, 2010; Mendoza et al., 2007; PRODIGY Proposal, n.d.; Rumrill et al., 1986). However, its three constituent countries are also marked by historical and contemporary social and political differences. In the handling of common issues such as the Brazil nut collection and the national protected areas, these differences among countries become evident.

#### 2.4.1 The Brazil nut

Brazil nut (*Bertholletia excelsa*) is the most important non-timber forest product in the MAP region. Bolivia, Brazil and Peru are the top world producers, with a joint production volume of 120 000 ton year<sup>-1</sup> and an economic inflow above 45 million  $\in$  year<sup>-1</sup> (TRIDGE, n.d.). Currently Bolivia holds 42,8% of the global market share, followed by Brazil (35,5%); and Peru (5,2%) (TRIDGE, n.d.).

The harvesting of Brazil nut is seasonal; its collection, pre-processing and storage are generally artisanal, and appeal to traditional technologies, techniques and even infrastructures, which have been recognized as being socially and ecologically sustainable (Guariguata et al., 2017). Brazil nut trees can reach heights of 50 m, diameters of 2 m and have life spans of 500 years, and require, to prosper, an ecologically diverse surrounding. The species is thus acknowledged as an indicator of the adjacent forest's health. Its logging is banned, due to the species' symbolic character, economic importance and ecological significance, nevertheless it still often occurs (Brouwer, 2018; Capurso, 2018; Chávez Michaelsen et al., 2013; Hellström, 2017; Marsik et al., 2011; Rumrill et al., 1986; Soares-Filho et al., 2006) (Figure 2).

The regional production of Brazil nut is affected by broad and diverse challenges. For instance, in 2005, there was an abrupt rise in international prices, likely due to the 're-discovery' of Brazil nut as a super food by western consumers, which led to high demand and increased the benefits for collectors. Oppositely, in 2008, the El Niño Southern Oscillation caused droughts in the Amazon region, which seems to have halted Brazil nut tree flower pollination and severely diminished the development of nutshells and the final production of Brazil nuts themselves. At the same time, the international Brazil nut market is quite volatile, since buyers can react to a limited supply by increasing the international price, which occurred in 2017; but longer shortages can incite buyers to diverge and seek other types of nuts, such as macadamia (*Macadamia integrifolia*) and cashew (*Anacardium occidentale*), more broadly cultivated, which ultimately can cause a fall in the Brazil nut price (Terazono, 2017).



Figure 2: Distribution of Brazil nut trees in the Amazon basin (delineated in white). Notice the higher density in the MAP region (Source: Guariguata et al., 2017)

In addition, there are national differences regarding how the Brazil nut economy is affected across producing countries.

In Bolivia, slower economic growth and smaller trade scale has caused a persistent marginalization and under-competitiveness relative to neighboring countries; a situation lately enhanced by the alleged socialist and protectionist regime of Evo Morales (2006-2019). This contributed to the maintenance of pre-existing economic and organizational structures. Nevertheless, *ad-hoc* legislation by the government and institutional networks created by civil society have positively impacted the production of Brazil nut and the preservation of collection sites. Nowadays, Brazil nut accounts for almost 70% of the economy of Pando. The leading force are the *barraqueros*, inheritors of former regional landlords who were granted concessions by the government to operate the collection of Brazil nuts and rural communities. The methods and infrastructure upon which they rely for the collection, pre-processing and storage of nuts have barely changed throughout the last century. A beneficial side-effect of the 'over-dependence' on Brazil nut is the relatively good condition of the providing forests, as collectors acknowledge the importance of the tree for harvesting the nut.

In Brazil, similar edicts to protect forests and Brazil nut trees exist, but the profile of land users is evolving and diverging. For example, private ranchers are keen to expand their grazelands at the expense of forests, and inhabitants of the extractive reserves, supposedly allowed only to engage in extractive activities, are becoming small ranchers themselves, incentivized by the comparative high profits, the permissive regulations and weak control. Nowadays, the Brazil nut tree density has considerably decreased, and the surrounding ecosystems are poorly preserved, as the economic importance of Brazil nut shrank, and the priorities of locals drifted.

In Peru, due to the recent and fast diversification of work and business opportunities, land-users are exposed to several options, e.g., farming, ranching, agroforestry, pisciculture, and off-farm activities such as mining or urban-rural migration. Therefore, Brazil nut collection has become one option among many others. Hence, the *castanheiros* (Brazil nut gatherers) have started to widen their activities to increase their economic opportunities and livelihood resilience. This situation is widespread and may have an impact on the condition of Brazil nut trees, which are less cared for, although not to the extent of that observed in Brazil.

#### 2.4.2 National protected areas

In all three countries, the capital cities of the MAP region, Rio Branco, Pando and Puerto Maldonado, are relatively close to their respective national protected areas.

In Brazil, the Extractive Reserve (*Reserva Extrativista RESEX*) Chico Mendes covers an area of 970 570 ha and hosts about 10 000 inhabitants (França Maia et al., 2016; ICMBio, 2009). It was created in 1990 and is part of the protected areas created under the National System of Natural Conservation Units (França Maia et al., 2016). At the state level, these are administrated by the Chico Mendes Institute for the Conservation of Biodiversity (ICMBio), which is linked to the Ministry of the Environment; at the state and municipal levels, several *ad-hoc* organizations exist. At the local level a deliberative council, responsible for the RESEX administration, comprises representatives of local public entities, civil society organizations and traditional populations. In RESEX this council is chaired by ICMBio. Protected areas can either be classified as areas of integral protection or as areas of sustainable use. An Extractive Reserve is part of the latter and is defined as "(...) an area used by traditional populations whose survival is based on extractivism and, in addition, subsistence agriculture and small animal husbandry. Its basic objectives are to protect the livelihoods and culture of these populations, and to ensure the sustainable use of the Units of Conservation of natural resources" (Art. 18 of the law 9 985). By this, private areas in an extractive reserve must be expropriated and the areas occupied by traditional populations are to be regulated.

In Bolivia, the Manuripi Amazon National Wildlife Reserve of 747 000 ha was created in 1973 (Alfaro, 2012). National reserves aim to "(...) protect, manage and sustainably use, under official surveillance, wildlife. [They allow for] intensive and extensive uses, both non-extractive and extractive, according to its zonation, the latter subject to strict control and monitoring referred exclusively to wildlife management and use." (Decree N° 24781 *Reglamento de areas protegidas*, 1997). The National Reserve of Manuripi was formerly managed by the National Service of Protected Areas (SERNAP), an entity dependent on the Ministry of Environment and Water. Since 2008 its administration is co-led by a management committee, formed by representatives of civil society and populations residing in the area.

In Peru, the National Reserve of Tambopata of 274 690 ha was created in 1977 and established in 2003 by the law n°26834, with the objectives of protecting, conserving and evaluating the wild natural and cultural resources, as well as investigating and promoting their sustainable use and management (Alfaro, 2012). As in Brazil and Bolivia, Peruvian protected areas are divided into two categories: areas of indirect use, e.g. National Parks, and areas of direct use intended for the conservation of biological diversity and the sustainable use of wild flora and fauna. National Reserves are part of the latter and allow the commercial exploitation of natural resources under management plans which are approved, supervised and controlled by a competent national authority (Ley de Areas Naturales Protegidas Ley N° 26834, 1997). Locally, the National Reserve of Tambopata is managed by the National Service of Protected Areas (SERNANP), a public institution under the Ministry of Environment, together with the NGO Association for Research and Integrative Development (AIDER). A supervising management committee comprises representatives of civil society groups and traditional populations residing in the area.

All three reserves suffer from illegal deforestation and forest degradation, but at different rates. Between the creation of the RESEX Chico Mendes (in 1990) and 2010, 8,19% of the reserve's total area was deforested, a total of 76 430 ha (Wallace et al., 2018). In the same time period, the Manuripi reserve lost 3 390 ha (SERNAP, 2012), which does not account for the area affected by fires, e.g. 5 000 ha in 2005 alone (HERENCIA, 2010). Inside the Peruvian reserve of Tambopata, 750 ha were lost to mining activities in 2017 alone (Finer et al., 2017) and since then until 2019, deforestation continued at a rate of 6,5 ha per month (Finer & Mamani, 2020).

Brazil's RESEX reserve is meant to support extractive activities (mainly rubber and Brazil nut extraction), however many locals live off cattle ranching, which is also the main driver of land

conversion. RESEX is actually the most permissive of the three reserves when it comes to allowing farming. Indeed, the RESEX management plan allows for each resident to use up to 10% of their land for non-extractive activities, i.e. agriculture, small livestock rearing, fish farming and agroforestry. The total area dedicated to these activities cannot exceed 30 ha per concession; and in lands with no extractive potential, this number can be extended to 20% of the land, not exceeding 40 ha in total. Big animals -like cows- can only be bred on half the area dedicated to non-extractive activities. This means that grazelands should not surpass 15 to 20 ha per concession (IBAMA, 2006). In practice, these measures have proven to be insufficient. The deforested area between 1988 and 2014 reached 67 200 ha, corresponding to 6,9% of the reserve area (França Maia et al., 2016); by 2009 the socioeconomic census counted 21 000 heads of cattle which by 2016 increased to 29 000 (França Maia et al., 2016). In 2010 15% of RESEX households named livestock rearing as their main economic activity (Fernandes, 2017; França Maia et al., 2016), and the area used for it was estimated to be 52 900 ha; according to the Management Plan, the maximum area should have been 30 000 ha, meaning that the area dedicated to cattle was 76% above the maximum legal limit (França Maia et al., 2016).

In comparison, the national reserves of Bolivia and Peru are prohibiting all farming activities on land that was not owned or used by local people before the reserves' creation. In Bolivia, 25% of the Manuripi National Reserve (about 747 000 ha) is legally owned by local people: 23% by communities and 2% by *barraqueros* (SERNAP, 2012). Agriculture is only allowed for subsistence and as long as it does not weaken the reserve's conservation goals (SERNAP, 2010), it can be practiced on a very limited (1,3%) proportion of the Manuripi reserve. In the Tambopata reserve, agriculture is only allowed in zones which were already cultivated before the creation of the reserve. These represent 1,23% of the total area, and their expansion is not allowed (SERNAP, 2012).

Nevertheless, the Manuripi and Tambopata protected areas face a different challenge: mining. It is notable that 49% of the Manuripi national reserve area is allocated to the national state for hydrocarbon exploration (SERNAP, 2010), which began with the construction of a highway that aims to connect the Pando region with Bolivia's economic and political centers (Ruta 16 (Bolivien), 2020). In Peru, gold mining concessions exist just across the Tambopata reserve's border, along the buffer zone, and intrusions of illegal miners into the reserve are not uncommon (Asner & Tupayachi, 2016).

Although the highest proportion of Tambopata reserve is devoted to zones of strict protection (49%), a considerable part (6,8%) is used for ecotourism. Recently, the reserve became an important tourist attraction, receiving over 50 000 visitors year<sup>-1</sup> and generating around 35 million  $\notin$  year<sup>-1</sup> (Vilela et al., 2018). The situation is different in Manuripi, where tourism is much less developed, and less than 2% of the total area of the reserve is dedicated to it.

## 3 Conceptual and methodological basis

To operationalize our aim to assess the social-ecological resilience of rural householders, we rely to the premises set by transboundary research, and for its implementation on two scientific approaches: complex systems, and approaches to disruption and change. For the latter we draw on the conceptual models: adaptive cycle and panarchy, to elucidate the occurrence of tipping points.

#### 3.1 Transboundary research

Borders are much more than divisions between neighboring countries. Rich social and material dynamics occur across them. Exchange and integration but also exclusion and conflict, are intrinsic phenomena to national political boundaries (Hataley & Leuprecht, 2018). Therefore, the study of boundaries has transited beyond geography and political science, towards a diversity of social and environmental disciplines and interfaces among them, aiming to tackle the challenges that societies confront in those situations (Paasi, 1998; Popescu, 2011).

Operationally, the fundamental questions that **transboundary studies** address are: how do societies evolve in such a situations, which are the actors and factors that determine the societies' operation, and finally, how can they be steered to promote the parties' cooperation and overall prosperity (Castanho et al., 2018).

The Peru-Brazil-Bolivia hub, as it is called the commercial confluence of these three countries for commercial purposes (Figure 3a), contains the MAP region. It is singled out as one of the 10 integration and development hubs in South America, as it accounts for 10.5% of the area of the region, 4.5% of its population, and 2.1% of its GDP (IIRSA, 2010, 2011). Studies previous to the construction of the transoceanic highway, have shown the trade balance of the MAP region strongly oriented towards extra-regional exports: in 2000, 98% of exports reached destinations outside the region, and in 2008, it reached 96.6% (IIRSA, 2011). Must be noted that those exports were essentially of natural resources.





Figure 3: a. The 'Peru-Brazil-Bolivia hub' containing the MAP region and b. the proposed transport corridors to prop economic integration (Sources: IIRSA, 2011; Gudynas, 2007)

Situations like this are widespread in Latin America, evidencing the need to ease trade (mostly via roads), which has been heavily promoted by national governments and multilateral organizations, like the Initiative for the Integration of Regional Infrastructure in South America (Figure 3b). In the case of the MAP region, this has led to the construction of the intercontinental highway finalized in 2010.

Historically, the institutional and regulatory conditions were thought to benefit the more powerful actors, and most of the population, mainly rural, remained marginalized in terms of the provision of basic services such as health, education and justice. Thus, it remains unclear whether the benefits of this transboundary effort will overpower its tradeoffs, and not hampering the sustainable development of the region on the long run (Gudynas, 2005, 2007).

Therefore, the distinctive evaluation and analysis of the three country-sites involved, with respect to their peculiarities as well as their commonalities is crucial, as well as the relationships between and among country-sites, expressed in cross-border flows and exchanges. Hence, in this research variables such as migration, cross-border traffic and road network expansion gain special preponderance, and are therefore evaluated and compared among countries.

#### 3.2 The complex systems approach

A situation is called 'complex' when several elements, apparently disconnected from each other, together exhibit more and different properties than those possessed by the elements alone. For many real-world systems, this should be obvious, as most phenomena do not occur in isolation but are rather related to other elements and phenomena. Nevertheless, in applied research, there is a strong tendency to simplify a situation by opting for pre-existing and reductionist approaches, methods and tools. By acknowledging **complexity** as an inherent quality of the research subject, the selection of premises, approaches and methods to handle them is relativized to the problem itself (Callo-Concha, 2014).

Related to complexity is the notion of a **system**, as a collection of components intrinsically interconnected, and whose interaction determines the performance of the whole (Bertalanffy, 1950). It has become clear that complexity is an inherent feature of most systems. Indeed, components, while performing their own actions, interact with one another and define the system's overall functioning. Hence, complexity becomes the most important characteristic of systems, subsequently referred to as **complex systems** (Callo-Concha, 2014; Folke, 2006).

To operationalize the analysis of complex systems in applied research, the definition of an integrative unit of analysis is key. The concept of **Social-Ecological Systems (SES)** underlines the inherent interconnectedness of humans and their surroundings; hence, the adoption of SES as a unit of analysis has been favored by studies that prioritize the harmonization of social development and conservation, such as the provision of ecosystem services and the prevention of disturbances (Adger, 2006; Berkes & Folke, 1998; Gallopín, 2006; Ostrom, 2009).

In research on social-ecological systems, stakeholder **participation** is important to increase the quality of collected information, and to understand the systems' actors' viewpoints and actions, and the underlying structures behind them. Moreover, participation increases the legitimacy of outcomes, reduces the biases of centralized approaches, and promotes co-learning among participants (Stringer et al., 2006; Walker et al., 2002).

#### 3.3 Resilience et al.: disruption and change approaches

The ability of systems to fit into changing surroundings is often taken as a surrogate of sustainable behavior. Thus, the use of concepts such as resilience, vulnerability, adaptation, adaptability and transformation, was extended to the analysis of social-ecological systems. These complementary concepts are part of the disruption and change approach, and target situations where progressive change and/or sudden disruptions hit the systems, and how the systems seek ways to soften their impacts and return to a stable stage (Adger, 2006; Callo-Concha, 2014).

**Resilience** was first identified in biology and defined as the capacity of living systems to absorb shocks and recover functions across temporal and spatial scales (Holling, 1973). Later, adopted by social

science, other features like renewal and reorganization were attributed to the resilience of cultural-, economic- and political systems (Adger, 2000). The connection between natural and social systems was made with the coining of the concept **social-ecological resilience.** Including premises associated with operation and purpose, the concept underlines the abilities of systems to undergo changes and retain their function, structure and identity (Carpenter et al., 2001; Cumming & Peterson, 2017; Davidson et al., 2016; Sinclair et al., 2017; Walker et al., 2002). Differently to resilience, the application of **vulnerability** is generally associated with social issues and specifically with hazards (Adger, 2006; Turner et al., 2003). For instance, the vulnerability of a community to droughts will relate to the particular characteristics of that population, i.e., its exposure, susceptibility and coping ability (Gallopín, 2006), vulnerability can also be elicited as a condition that needs to be strengthened to prevent undesired effects, e.g., making a population less vulnerable to the effects of global warming (Acosta-Michlik & Espaldon, 2008; IPCC, 2007; Kasperson et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2008; Van der Leeuw, 2001).

Adaptation was applied in biology to refer to the features that contribute to a species' survival and reproduction (Douglas J, 1997), and in social sciences to the capabilities of individuals, groups and institutions to adjust to change (Denevan, 1983; Smit & Wandel, 2006). Different than resilience and vulnerability, adaptation offers many linguistic and semantic variations, i.e. **adaptive capacity** referring to a system's intrinsic ability to retain its characteristics and configuration throughout the duration of a disturbing event (Brooks et al., 2005); **adaptability**, relates to the capabilities of a system to change itself to cope with changes; and in the implementation arena, **adaptive management** alludes to the measures and review of their effects to refine subsequent decisions (Gunderson, 2002; Stringer et al., 2006), and if embodied on all operative levels, we would be speaking of a **complex adaptive system** (Folke et al., 2003).

When a change or shock is so great that a system is unable to cope, i.e. it is insufficiently resilient, or unable to adapt, a system experiences what is called **transformation** or regime shift, that is the conversion to a fundamentally new system when ecological, economic, or social conditions make the precedent system non-viable (Anderies et al., 2006; Berbés-Blázquez & Scott, 2017; Folke, 2006; Löf, 2010; Walker et al., 2004).

#### 3.4 Towards the detection of tipping points

The **adaptive cycle** has been proposed to represent the evolution of complex systems across disturbances and changes. In four successive phases: exploitation (r), conservation (K), release ( $\Omega$ ) and reorganization ( $\alpha$ ), it shows the stages that a system experiences during a hazardous situation, e.g., a forest growth in biomass accumulation (exploitation), the reaching and maintenance of its climax (conservation), the sudden loss of it by an undesirable event, like a fire (release), and its subsequent recovery (reorganization) (Figure 4).



Figure 4: The adaptive cycle indicating its four phases and their succession (Source: Gunderson and Holling, 2002)

All the concepts of disruption and change are implicit in the adaptive cycle. Resilience and vulnerability are the forces that drive the transitions and adaptability across the whole cycle. Transformation is more punctual, and if it occurs, it happens during the transition from the conservation to the release phase (Anderies et al., 2006; Berkes et al., 2002; Holling & Gunderson, 2002; Tversky & Kahneman, 1974; Ulrich & Probst, 1990; Walker et al., 2002).

**Tipping points** are the situations in which a small but constant perturbation triggers a major change in an overall complex system (Lenton, 2013). Although the first insights into tipping points were made in natural systems, more recent attention has targeted social-ecological systems, especially at the earth system scale, as they are at the center of major concern related to their large-scale impacts (Lenton et al., 2008). Tipping points are generally caused by an active force, such as soil erosion, that trigger a recognizable feedback loop on a tipping element, like vegetation mass in the Amazon. A control parameter, for the example, volume of soil loss per ha, indicates the status of the system; and if it reaches a critical point, e.g., +5 ton ha<sup>-1</sup> of soil loss, it indicates the approach and eventual triggering of a tipping point (Lenton, 2013; Lenton et al., 2008) (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Trajectory of a gradually less diverse system towards its tipping point (Source: Jungkunst, 2019)

As tipping points are mostly abrupt and mostly irreversible, a major concern is their anticipation, to enable the softening of their impacts when they are harmful, or to encourage them when they are beneficial (Lenton, 2013). In this regard, early warning indicators are avidly researched for the

diagnosis of the system, forecasting of the tipping points occurrence, and possibly their prevention (Liehr et al., 2017; Scheffer et al., 2009; Thonicke et al., 2019).

As can be implied, the concept of tipping points has been predominantly applied in biophysical systems, but lately its application is been stretched to the social and social-ecological domains. By the same there is not a clear-cut acknowledgement of the boundaries of the concept and of what should involve (Russill and Nyssa 2009; Kopp et al. 2016). But a review of its application suggests a compromised definition: "(...) a tipping point is a threshold at which small quantitative changes in the system trigger a non-linear change process that is driven by system-internal feedback mechanisms and inevitably leads to a qualitatively different state of the system, which is often irreversible" (Milkoreit et al. 2018) which will be considered along this research.

# 4 Operationalizing the analysis of Social-Ecological Systems and prevention of tipping points

Having outlined the real-life conditions of our research subject, and the conceptual trenches in which we stand, this section describes the operationalization of our work within the context of the PRODIGY project.

#### 4.1 Research question and objective

Aligned with Lenton et al. (2008), PRODIGY targets four sub-systems, i.e., soil ecosystem, economic livelihoods, social/institutional cohesion, and regional climate (Lenton et al., 2008). It is hypothesized that when a hazardous event occurs such as the loss of biodiversity, it operates via a cascade effect by striking these tipping elements successively amplifying their effects, which may eventually lead to the reaching of an overall system tipping point. Accordingly, the four sub-systems are assessed via *ad-hoc* approaches and tools, and their outcomes integrated to offer a comprehensive view on the resilience of the MAP system (Figure 6) (PRODIGY Proposal, n.d.).



Figure 6: PRODIGY approach: hypothetical succession of tipping elements across the subsystems in the MAP region

Although the MAP region is ecologically homogeneous, the three departments, by their diverging political and institutional settings, and economic priorities, have developed complex social-ecological systems that differ among themselves. Hence, we contend that the MAP region inhabitants' livelihoods framed in those systems, and the disturbances they are confronted by, the loss of resilience and the eventual reaching of (a) tipping point(s), will necessarily relate to disruptions in these systems' features and functioning.

Therefore, our two research questions are: What are the features of rural households' complex socialecological systems? What are the disturbances that could lead to the loss of resilience, and eventually the reaching of (a) tipping point(s)? To respond to them, we aimed at characterizing the socioecological systems, with a focus on the analysis of the structure, types and strengths of feedback loops between biodiversity and livelihoods aiming at identifying, describing and quantifying potential tipping points (PRODIGY Proposal, n.d.).

#### 4.2 Research and analytical frameworks

We rely on complex systems and disruption and change approaches; and mixed methods and analyses to implement our research. The participation of local stakeholders, not only as providers of data, but also as active research contributors is an overarching premise (Stringer et al., 2006).

The unit of analysis is the rural household/householders' livelihoods. The sampling strategy follows a gradient of land use change/cover/intensity, from a heavily intervened area (generally a nearby road) to a conservation area (generally a national park) (PRODIGY Proposal, n.d.). Householders' livelihoods, spread across this gradient, are assumed to broadly range from various types of extractivism to off-farm activities, including extensive, intensive and subsistence farming and/or ranching (Figure 7).



Figure 7: Sampling area in Madre de Dios, Peru. The sampling area stretches longitudinally along the Interoceanic Highway, involving the Tambopata national reserve and neighbouring areas; and transversally, to cover a gradient of land-use intensity (Source: Google Earth and demarcation by authors)

Our activities are divided into three successive and partially overlapping phases: (i) characterizing the countries' rural livelihood system(s), (ii) evaluating their functioning and resilience; and (iii) integrating the countries' evaluations into a larger assessment of the MAP region's tipping points.

The first phase aimed at the **systems' participatory characterization.** It relied upon a combination of ethnography, stakeholder and network analyses, and a participatory systems analysis with the previously identified key stakeholders, to produce the so-called system zero (SO). In this phase we identified the most salient features of the country-systems (composition, organization and functioning), as well as the delineation of their boundaries (Table 1) (Ackermann & Eden, 2011; Bryson, 2004).

The second phase validates the identified **systems' components and their interactions** (feedback loops), substantiating the previous findings with qualitative and quantitative data to produce the system one (S1). For that, we implement specific MSc and PhD investigations on component-related subjects and will carry out a household survey to profile the stakeholders' livelihoods. Complementary, we will execute interviews with key informants and institutions, and group interviews.

The third phase will focus on the **systems' integration and modeling.** Building on the outcomes of the previous phases, we will identify important country-specific subsystems that will constitute the system two (S2). These systems will be dynamically modeled and analyzed, to foresee interventions on their operation over time. This should be our major contribution for policy-making.

Finally, the S2 insights will be shared with the PRODIGY team which should integrate biophysical, socioeconomic, and institutional drivers into a regional model of the resilience and tipping points in the Amazon MAP region (Figure 8).



Figure 8: Research framework

#### 4.3 Preliminary findings

The findings below correspond to our current advances (early 2022).

After categorizing the stakeholders in: government, non-government, grassroots organizations and the private sector, we applied network analysis to gauge their types and degree of interaction, and took that as a proxy of their degree of influence on the system (Prell, 2012). The identified key stakeholders participated in a systems analysis exercise to determine the system's components, the interactions among them, functions of the system and overall rationale. To achieve this we applied the specialized software Vester Sensitivity Model<sup>®</sup> (Vester, n.d.).

Fifteen components were identified per country. Four to six were specific to each of the sites, nine to eleven common in two, and six components were present in all three sites (Table 1).

Brazil	Bolivia	Peru			
In all three sites					
<ul> <li>Extraction of NFTPs</li> </ul>					
Impacts and risks due to changes in climate					
Extraction of timber					
Lobbying of local organizations					
Governance and laws in operation					
Market action and influence					
In two sites					
Landscape/land use planning and implementation					
Influence of politicking and party bias					
Ecosystem services provision					
	Forest conservation/preservati	on			
	<ul> <li>Rural actors' agencies</li> </ul>				
Expansion of road network		<ul> <li>Expansion of road network</li> </ul>			
Exclusive to each site					
Forest land use conversion	• Sustainable management of	Growth of productive activities			
Livestock rearing expansion	natural resources	<ul> <li>Growth of small mining</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Strengthening of origin</li> </ul>	• Storage and transport	<ul> <li>Development of ecotourism</li> </ul>			
branding	infrastructure	<ul> <li>Indigenous communities'</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Devaluation of culture</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>NTFP post-harvesting</li> </ul>	existence and agency			
Cross-border traffic	<ul> <li>Financial injection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Increase of illegal activities</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Increase of regional immigration</li> </ul>			

Table 1. Systems components by site	Table 1: Systems'	components	by site
-------------------------------------	-------------------	------------	---------

The determining features of all three systems relate to the main activities on which their economies depend, still all related to natural resources exploitation. The three major institutional actors were associated local land-users, political/government institutions and the market. The major challenge that the region faces is the creeping effects of ecological crises, exacerbated by the customary land-use interventions.

In Brazil and Bolivia land use planning is particularly relevant, as both governments have made it a key strategy to control deforestation and promote conservation, such as of NTFP species. Also important in the political agendas of these two countries are the provision of ecosystem services, although their implementation is so far very limited. On the downside it is observed recurrently in RESEX and more recently in Manuripi, that authorities and local organizations are engaged in strong politicking in favor of political allies to push their own agendas, which not always favor the majority.

Bolivia and Peru share the prioritization of forest conservation as a core goal. In Bolivia, this is fueled by its economic dependence on the Brazil nut. In Peru, forest conservation efforts have been accelerated with the emergence of new forest-dependent economic activities, such as ecotourism; still, mining appears as the core economic contributor but also the major disrupting factor. The broader acknowledgment and involvement of local actors of diverse profiles, as their relevance grows, is also important in both sites.

In Brazil and Peru, the road expansion network appears to be more relevant than in Bolivia. This is because the Interoceanic Highway does not pass as close to the Manuripi reserve as it does in the case of the other two conservation areas.

## 5 Conclusions and outlook

In this review, we have screened the historical and political/institutional settings of the three countries/sites that are part of the MAP region, and identified their distinctive characteristics and how they have determined the current social-ecological profile of rural households and their livelihoods.

Though each country exhibits specific features, they also share common characteristics and confront similar challenges, such as widespread ecological damage, economic volatility and high levels of immigration, recently exacerbated by the construction of the transoceanic highway. Such a scenario may become archetypical in the future, as Latin American governments are planning similar projects, i.e., highways crossing large portions of the continent, and a couple of them transversally, from the Pacific to the Atlantic Ocean. Such highways will very likely disrupt sensitive ecological areas and affect relatively isolated communities (Gudynas, 2007). Hence, the standpoint of our research: to disentangle the interventions in the MAP region and to investigate how local social-ecological systems may react to them in transboundary conditions, is well-justified.

Our preliminary findings have partially confirmed the precedent social-ecological profiling and challenges in the involved countries, but have also widened them by identifying emerging issues, such as the tradeoffs between exploitation and conservation by the different levels of road network expansion across countries, the risks of the mono-dependence of the Bolivian regional economy on Brazil nut, or how growing diverse economic activities, all natural-resources dependent, interplay in Peru.

Ongoing work focuses on extensive data collection (via a structured household survey), aiming at substantiating the system models in construction. Also, some of the identified key components are currently investigated via specific and disciplinary researches. We expect that the integration of these streams will provide a comprehensive view on the country-system models, and facilitate the detection of drivers that weaken their resilience and may led to tipping points.

Methodologically, the use of the transboundary premise and the selection of a participatory systemsbased approach, seems adequate. The former allowed for the recognition of the peculiarities of each country-site despite their similitudes; and the latter, by helping to integrate the contribution of locals in the construction of plausible system models.

#### **6** References

- Ackermann, F., & Eden, C. (2011). Strategic Management of Stakeholders: Theory and Practice. *Long Range Planning*, 44(3), 179–196. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2010.08.001
- Acosta-Michlik, L., & Espaldon, V. (2008). Assessing vulnerability of selected farming communities in the Philippines based on a behavioural model of agent's adaptation to global environmental change. *Global Environmental Change*, *18*(4), 554–563.
- Acre (state). (2019, November 12). [Encyclopedia]. Wikipedia, the Free Encyclopedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Acre\_(state)&oldid=925795168
- ACTO, 2018. (2018). Regional Transboundary Diagnostic Analysis of the Amazon Basin- TDA (p. 84).
   Amazon Cooperation Treaty Organization, Global Environmental Facility, United Nations Environment Program. https://iwlearn.net/resolveuid/5582704b-c83d-4682-8b46d2c8edfbbc3f
- Adger, W. N. (2000). Social and ecological resilience: Are they related? *Progress in Human Geography*, 24(3), 347–364.
- Adger, W. N. (2006). Vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change*, 16(3), 268–281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.02.006
- Alfaro, L. (2012). *Reserva Nacional Tambopata: Diagnóstico del proceso de elaboración del Plan Maestro 2011-2016.* (p. 137). SERNANP - Servicio Nacional de Áreas Naturales Protegidas por el Estado.
- Anderies, J. M., Ryan, P., & Walker, B. H. (2006). Loss of resilience, crisis, and institutional change: Lessons from an intensive agricultural system in southeastern Australia. *Ecosystems*, 9(6), 865–878.
- Asner, G. P., & Tupayachi, R. (2016). Accelerated losses of protected forests from gold mining in the Peruvian Amazon. *Environmental Research Letters*, *12*(9), 094004. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aa7dab
- Assies, W. (1997). *Going nuts for the rainforest: Non-timber forest products, forest conservation and sustainability in Amazonia.* Thela Publishers.
- Assies, W. (2002). From rubber estate to simple commodity production: Agrarian struggles in the Northern Bolivian Amazon. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 29(3–4), 83–130. https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150412331311039
- Bebbington, J., & Unerman, J. (2018). Achieving the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals:
   An enabling role for accounting research. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 31*(1), 2–24. https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-05-2017-2929
- Berbés-Blázquez, M., & Scott, D. (2017). The development of resilience thinking. *Tourism and Resilience, CABI, Wallingford, Oxfordshire, UK*, 9–22.
- Berkes, F., Colding, J., & Folke, C. (2002). *Navigating social-ecological systems: Building resilience for complexity and change*. Cambridge University Press.
- Berkes, F., & Folke, C. (1998). Linking social and ecological systems for resilience and sustainability. Linking Social and Ecological Systems: Management Practices and Social Mechanisms for Building Resilience, 1(4), 4.
- Bertalanffy, L. V. (1950). An Outline Of General System Theory. *The British Journal for the Philosophy* of Science, 1(2), 134–165. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjps/I.2.134
- Brack, A., Ipenza, C., Álvarez, J., & Sotero, V. (2011). Minería Aurífera en Madre de Dios y Contaminación con Mercurio—Una Bomba de Tiempo. *Ministerio del Ambiente, Lima*, 54.

- Brooks, N., Adger, W. N., & Kelly, P. M. (2005). The determinants of vulnerability and adaptive capacity at the national level and the implications for adaptation. *Global Environmental Change*, *15*(2), 151–163.
- Brouwer, R. G. (2018). *Brazil nut enrichment planting practices in Peru: Effect of management on tree performance* [MSc Thesis Forest and Nature Conservation Forest Ecology & Forest Management Group]. Wageningen University.
- Bryson, J. M. (2004). What to do when Stakeholders matter: **Stakeholder Identification and Analysis Techniques**. *Public Management Review*, *6*(1), 21–53. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719030410001675722
- Callo-Concha, D. (2014). Approaches to managing disturbance and change: Resilience, vulnerability and adaptability (June 2014). June 2014, 24.
- Campell, C., Chicchón, A., Schmink, M., & Piland, R. (2010). *The Equitable Forest: Diversity, Community, and Resource Management* (Carol J. Pierce Colfer, Ed.; 1st ed.). Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR). https://doi.org/10.4324/9781936331673
- Capurso, A. (2018). Assessing Forest Degradation and Its Effects on Brazil Nut (Bertholletia Excelsa) Productivity, in The Amazonian Region Madre De Dios, in Peru [Master Thesis]. Wageningen University.
- Carpenter, S., Walker, B., Anderies, J. M., & Abel, N. (2001). From metaphor to measurement: Resilience of what to what? *Ecosystems*, 4(8), 765–781.
- Castanho, R., Loures, L., Fernández, J., & Pozo, L. (2018). Identifying critical factors for success in Cross Border Cooperation (CBC) development projects. *Habitat International*, 72, 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2016.10.004
- Cereceda, R. (2019, August 12). Amazonas state declares state of emergency over rising forest fires [Newspaper]. Euronews. https://www.euronews.com/2019/08/11/brazil-state-of-amazonas-declares-state-of-emergency-over-rising-number-of-forest-fires
- Chávez, A. R., Aguilar Jordán, C. J., & Tirina Berrocal, P. (2005). *Pensando la Amazonía desde Pando: El MAP (Madre de Dios, Acre y Pando), una iniciativa trinacional de desarrollo*. Prefectura de Pando : Gobierno Municipal de Cobija : Universidad Amazónica de Pando : PIEB.
- Chávez Michaelsen, A., Huamani Briceño, L., Fernandez Menis, R., Bejar Chura, N., Valera Tito, F., Perz, S., Brown, I., Domínguez Del Aguila, S., Pinedo Mora, R., & Alarcón Aguirre, G. (2013). Regional Deforestation Trends within Local Realities: Land-Cover Change in Southeastern Peru 1996–2011. Land, 2(2), 131–157. https://doi.org/10.3390/land2020131
- Cronkleton, P., Leigh Taylor, P., Barry, D., Stone-Jovicich, S., & Schmink, M. (2008). *Gobernanza Ambiental y el surgimiento de movimientos forestales de base*. Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR). https://doi.org/10.17528/cifor/002568
- Cumming, G. S., & Peterson, G. D. (2017). Unifying research on social–ecological resilience and collapse. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *32*(9), 695–713.
- Davidson, J. L., Jacobson, C., Lyth, A., Dedekorkut-Howes, A., Baldwin, C. L., Ellison, J. C., Holbrook, N. J., Howes, M. J., Serrao-Neumann, S., Singh-Peterson, L., & Smith, T. F. (2016). Interrogating resilience: Toward a typology to improve its operationalization. *Ecology and Society*, 21(2), art27. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-08450-210227
- Denevan, W. M. (1983). Adaptation, variation, and cultural geography. *The Professional Geographer*, 35(4), 399–407.
- Doan, T. M. (2013). Sustainable ecotourism in Amazonia: Evaluation of six sites in southeastern Peru. *International Journal of Tourism Research*, *15*(3), 261–271.
- Douglas J, F. (1997). Evolution. Sinauer Associates INC, 604.
- Dourojeanni, M. (2001). Impactos socioambientales probables de la carretera transoceánica (Río Branco-Puerto Maldonado-Ilo) y la capacidad de respuesta del Perú. *Boletín CF+ S, 19*.

Dourojeanni, M. (2013). El último siglo de Madre de Dios. 5.

- Duchelle, A. (2007). Measuring the resilience of Brazil nut production to landscape-level change in the Western Amazon. *Rainforest Alliance Kleinhans Fellow*, 10.
- FAO. (2015). *Transboundary River Basin Overview Amazon.* (AQUASTAT, p. 16). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). http://www.fao.org/3/ca2140en/CA2140EN.pdf
- Fernandes, J. L. B. (2017). A luta dos seringueiros do Acre pela preservação da floresta ou pela posse da terra?: Uma abordagem jurídica dos fatos históricos que culminaram com a criação da reserva extrativista Chico Mendes. UNIVERSIDADE DE BRASÍLIA - UNB FACULDADE DE DIREITO, 123.
- Finer, M., & Mamani, N. (2020). *Reduction Of Illegal Gold Mining In The Peruvian Amazon. MAAP:121*. https://www.amazonconservation.org/maap121-reduction-of-illegal-gold-mining-in-theperuvian-amazon/
- Finer, M., & Novoa, S. (2015). *Oil Palm-driven Deforestation in the Peruvian Amazon (Part 2: Shanusi)*. *MAAP:16*. https://maaproject.org/2015/image16-shanusi/
- Finer, M., Novoa, S., & Olexy, T. (2017). La Minería Aurífera se Reduce en la Reserva Nacional Tambopata. MAAP:61. https://maaproject.org/2017/maap61\_tambopata/
- Folke, C. (2006). Resilience: The emergence of a perspective for social–ecological systems analyses. *Global Environmental Change*, *16*(3), 253–267.
- Folke, C., Colding, J., & Berkes, F. (2003). Synthesis: Building resilience and adaptive capacity in socialecological systems. *Navigating Social-Ecological Systems: Building Resilience for Complexity and Change*, 9(1), 352–387.
- França Maia, F., Alves Neto, F. R., & Chagas Finco, R. T. (2016). OS CONFLITOS NA RESERVA EXTRATIVISTA CHICO MENDES: Da legislação às práticas ilegais dentro do território. 46.
- Gallopín, G. C. (2006). Linkages between vulnerability, resilience, and adaptive capacity. *Global Environmental Change*, *16*(3), 293–303.
- Garay Vera, C. (2008). EL ACRE Y LOS "ASUNTOS DEL PACÍFICO: BOLIVIA, BRASIL, CHILE Y ESTADOS UNIDOS, 1898-1909. *Historia (Santiago)*, *41*(2), 341–369. https://doi.org/10.4067/S0717-71942008000200002
- García, J. (1982). Del caucho al oro: El proceso colonizador de Madre de Dios. *Revista española de antropología americana*, XII(Ed. Univ. Conmplutense. Madrid), 255–271.
- García, V. (2016, May 5). *Manuripi explora alternativas sostenibles* [NGO, Newspaper]. WWF Bolivia -Manuripi. https://www.wwf.org.bo//noticias/noticias\_nacionales/?uNewsID=267090
- Godfrey, B. J. (1990). Boom Towns of the Amazon. *Geographical Review*, *80*(2), 103. https://doi.org/10.2307/215475
- Guariguata, M. R., Cronkleton, P., Duchelle, A. E., & Zuidema, P. A. (2017). Revisiting the 'cornerstone of Amazonian conservation': A socioecological assessment of Brazil nut exploitation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 26(9), 2007–2027. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-017-1355-3
- Gudynas, E. (2005). Contexto internacional y desarrollo sostenible amazónico: Las posibilidades y límites de un nuevo regionalismo. *Amazonía Política*, 1.
- Gudynas, E. (2007). El MAP entre la integración regional y las zonas de frontera en la nueva globalización. *Revista MAPiensa, Programa Integrado Para o Desenvolvimento Sustentável Da Amazônia Ocidental.*, 1, 1–9.
- Gunderson, L. H. (2002). Adaptive dancing: Interactions between social resilience and ecological crises.
   In C. Folke, F. Berkes, & J. Colding (Eds.), *Navigating Social-Ecological Systems: Building Resilience for Complexity and Change* (pp. 33–52). Cambridge University Press; Cambridge Core. https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511541957.005
- Hataley, T., & Leuprecht, C. (2018). Determinants of Cross-Border Cooperation. *Journal of Borderlands Studies*, *33*(3), 317–328. https://doi.org/10.1080/08865655.2018.1482776

- Hellström, S. (2017). Abundance and Diversity of Brazil nut pollinators and Orchid bees in Secondary and Primary forest habitats in East Amazon [Master thesis]. Lund University.
- HERENCIA. (2010). *Perfil Ambiental de Pando 2009*. Retrieved September 3, 2020, from http://www.herencia.org.bo/webdocs/pdfs/DEFORESTACIoN.pdf
- Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4(1), 1–23.
- Holling, C. S. (2003). Foreword: The backloop to sustainability. *Navigating Social-Ecological Systems:* Building Resilience for Complexity and Change, XV–XXI.
- Holling, C. S., & Gunderson, L. H. (2002). *Panarchy: Understanding transformations in human and natural systems*. Washington, DC: Island Press.
- IBAMA. (2006). Plano de Manejo Reserva Extrativista Chico Mendes. Instituto Brasileiro de Meio Ambiente e Dos Recursos, Naturais Renováveis – IBAMA, Superintendência do IBAMA no Estado do Acre.
- IBGE. (n.d.). *Pesquisa Industrial Mensal—Produção Física—Divulgação Brasil* [Stats]. Banco de Tabelas Estadísticas (SIDRA). Retrieved 3 February 2021, from https://sidra.ibge.gov.br/home/pimpfbr/brasil
- IBGE. (2018). Gross Domestic Product of Municipalities [Governmental]. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografía e Estadística. https://www.ibge.gov.br/en/statistics/economic/national-accounts/19567-gross-domestic-product-of-municipalities.html
- IBGE. (2019). *Acre, População* [Governmental]. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografía e Estadística. https://www.ibge.gov.br/estatisticas/sociais/populacao.html
- ICMBio. (2009). Diagnóstico Socioeconômico e Cadastro da Reserva Extrativista Chico Mendes. Plano Resex Sustentáve. Ministério do Meio Ambiente (MMA) e do Instituto Chico Mendes de Conservação da Biodiversidade (ICMBio). http://www.icmbio.gov.br/portal/images/stories/plano-demanejo/plano\_de\_manejo\_reserva\_extrativista\_chico\_mendes.pdf
- IIRSA, 2010. (2010). Project portfolio: Indicative Territorial Planning. *Iniciativa Para La Integración de La Infraestructura Regional Suramericana. Buenos Aires.*
- IIRSA, 2011. (2011). IIRSA 10 years later: Achievements and challenges. *Initiative for the Integration of Regional Infrastructure in South America. Technical Coordination Committee. Buenos Aires.*
- INE. (2012). Resultados Censo de Población y Vivienda [Governmental]. INE Bolivia::Redatam (CEPAL) - Diseminación de Información Estadística. http://datos.ine.gob.bo/binbol/RpWebEngine.exe/Portal?BASE=CPV2012COM&lang=ESP
- INE. (2019a). *Producto Interno Bruto Departamental* [Governmental]. Instituto Nacional de Estadística. https://www.ine.gob.bo/index.php/producto-interno-bruto-departamental/producto-internobruto-departamental-5
- INE. (2019b). Exportaciones Enero a Diciembre 2017 y 2018, cifras preliminares. Instituto Nacional de Estadística [INE]. https://www.ine.gob.bo/index.php/component/phocadownload/category/196exportaciones-2018?download=2035:enero-a-diciembre-2017-y-2018-cifras-preliminares
- INEI. (2018). Producto Bruto Interno Por Departamentos—Instituto Nacional de Estadistica e Informatica [Governmental]. Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática. http://m.inei.gob.pe/estadisticas/indice-tematico/producto-bruto-interno-pordepartamentos-9089/
- IPCC. (2007). Climate Change 2014: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, In: Parry M.L., Canziani O.F. Palutikof J.P., van der Linden P.J., Hanson C.E. (Eds.), Working. *Contribution of Working Group II to the Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. McCarthy, JJ et al.(Eds) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge: United Kingdom and New York.*

- IPE. (2018). *PERSPECTIVAS DE LA ECONOMÍA Y LA COMPETITIVIDAD DE PERÚ Y CUSCO* (p. 61). Instituto Peruano de Economía (IPE).
- Jungkunst, H. (2019). PRODIGY project presentation [Personal communication].
- Kasperson, R. E., Dow, K., Archer, E., Cáceres, D., Downing, T., Elmqvist, T., Eriksen, S., Folke, C., Han, G., & Iyengar, K. (2005). Vulnerable peoples and places. *Ecosystems and Human Wellbeing: Current State and Trends*, 1, 143–164.
- Kopp, R.E., Shwom, R.L., Wagner, G., Yuan, J., 2016. Tipping elements and climate–economic shocks: Pathways toward integrated assessment. Earth's Future 4, 346–372. <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/2016EF000362</u>
- Killeen, T. J., Guerra, A., Calzada, M., Correa, L., Calderon, V., Soria, L., Quezada, B., & Steininger, M. K. (2008). Total Historical Land-Use Change in Eastern Bolivia: Who, Where, When, and How Much? *Ecology and Society*, *13*(1), art36. https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-02453-130136
- Larrea-Alcázar, D. (2015). *Deforestación—Atlas Socioambiental de las Tierras Bajas y Yungas de Bolivia,* 2015. https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.4807.4004
- Lenton, T. M. (2013). Environmental Tipping Points. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 38(1), 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-102511-084654
- Lenton, T. M., Held, H., Kriegler, E., Hall, J. W., Lucht, W., Rahmstorf, S., & Schellnhuber, H. J. (2008). Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *105*(6), 1786–1793.
- Ley de Areas Naturales Protegidas Ley Nº 26834, Pub. L. No. 26834, 6215 9 (1997).
- Liehr, S., Röhrig, J., Mehring, M., & Kluge, T. (2017). How the Social-Ecological Systems Concept Can Guide Transdisciplinary Research and Implementation: Addressing Water Challenges in Central Northern Namibia. Sustainability, 9(7), 1109. https://doi.org/10.3390/su9071109
- Lima, J. G., Silva, S. S., & Mendoza, E. R. (2013). Análise da regeneração florestal na Reserva Extrativista Chico Mendes, Estado do Acre, Brasil. In Simpósio Brasileiro de Sensoriamento Remoto, 16. (SBSR) (pp. 3126–3132). INPE.
- Lindert, P. van, & Verkoren, O. (Eds.). (2010). *Decentralized development in Latin America: Experiences in local governance and local development*. Springer.
- Liu, C., Golding, D., & Gong, G. (2008). Farmers' coping response to the low flows in the lower Yellow River: A case study of temporal dimensions of vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change*, *18*(4), 543–553.
- Löf, A. (2010). Exploring adaptability through learning layers and learning loops, In: Krasny M., Lundholm C., and Plummer R. (Eds.),. *Environmental Education Research*, *16*(5–6), 529–543.
- Lopes, E., Soares-Filho, B., Souza, F., Rajão, R., Merry, F., & Ribeiro, S. C. (2019). Mapping the socioecology of Non Timber Forest Products (NTFP) extraction in the Brazilian Amazon: The case of açaí (Euterpe precatoria Mart) in Acre. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, *188*, 110–117.
- D.S. N° 24781 Reglamento de areas protegidas, 89 (1997).
- MADRE DE DIOS, RESULTADOS DEFINITIVOS: Vol. I (p. 907). (2018). Instituto Nacional de Estadística e Informática, Dirección Nacional de Censos y Encuestas.
- Marsik, M., Stevens, F. R., & Southworth, J. (2011). Amazon deforestation: Rates and patterns of land cover change and fragmentation in Pando, northern Bolivia, 1986 to 2005. *Progress in Physical Geography: Earth and Environment*, 35(3), 353–374. https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133311399492
- Mendoza, E., de Wit, F., Reis, V. L., Brown, I. F., da Silva, S. S., Palomino, W. S., Fuentes, H. L., Torres, J. O. L., Reyes, J. F., & Lopes, E. (2015). Adaptation to Climate Change in the Transboundary MAP Region. *Hg. v. IS RIVERS*. https://www.graie.org/ISRivers/docs/papers/2D43-49714DEW.pdf
- Mendoza, E., Perz, S., Schmink, M., & Nepstad, D. (2007). Participatory Stakeholder Workshops to Mitigate Impacts of Road Paving in the Southwestern Amazon. *Conservat Soc*, *5*(3), 382–407.

- Milkoreit, M., Hodbod, J., Baggio, J., Benessaiah, K., Calderón-Contreras, R., Donges, J.F., Mathias, J.-D., Rocha, J.C., Schoon, M., Werners, S.E., 2018. Defining tipping points for social-ecological systems scholarship—an interdisciplinary literature review. Environ. Res. Lett. 13, 033005. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/aaaa75
- MINCETUR. (2019). *Madre de Dios: Reporte de Comercio Primer Semestre*—2019 (p. 4). Ministerio de Comercio Exterior y Turismo. https://cdn.www.gob.pe/uploads/document/file/460068/RCR\_Madre\_de\_Dios\_2019\_ISem.p df
- Nagy, L., Forsberg, B. R., & Artaxo, P. (Eds.). (2016). *Interactions Between Biosphere, Atmosphere and Human Land Use in the Amazon Basin* (Vol. 227). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-49902-3
- Nepstad, D. C., Stickler, C. M., Filho, B. S.-, & Merry, F. (2008). Interactions among Amazon land use, forests and climate: Prospects for a near-term forest tipping point. *Philosophical Transactions* of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363(1498), 1737–1746. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.0036
- OCI. (2017). Composición Del Congreso De La República. Oficina de Cooperación Internacional del Congreso de la República. http://www.congreso.gob.pe/Docs/OCI/files/pdf/triptico-congreso-oci.pdf
- OECD & Joint Research Centre European Commission. (2021). Understanding the Spillovers and Transboundary Impacts of Public Policies: Implementing the 2030 Agenda for More Resilient Societies. OECD. https://doi.org/10.1787/862c0db7-en
- Ostrom, E. (2009). A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. *Science*, *325*(5939), 419–422.
- Paasi, A. (1998). Boundaries as social processes: Territoriality in the world of flows. *Geopolitics*, 3(1), 69–88. https://doi.org/10.1080/14650049808407608
- Parimbelli, M. (2016). *Caracterización Socioeconómica y Ambiental del Eje Perú—Brasil—Bolivia* (p. 62). UNASUR COSIPLAN.
- Perkmann, M., & Sum, N.-L. (2002). Globalization, Regionalization and Cross-Border Regions: Scales, Discourses and Governance. In M. Perkmann & N.-L. Sum (Eds.), *Globalization, Regionalization* and Cross-Border Regions (pp. 3–21). Palgrave Macmillan UK. https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230596092\_1
- Perz, S. G., Brilhante, S., Brown, I. F., Michaelsen, A. C., Mendoza, E., Passos, V., Pinedo, R., Reyes, J. F., Rojas, D., & Selaya, G. (2010). Crossing boundaries for environmental science and management: Combining interdisciplinary, interorganizational and international collaboration. *Environmental Conservation*, 37(4), 419–431. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0376892910000810
- Perz, S. G., Cabrera, L., Carvalho, L. A., Castillo, J., Chacacanta, R., Cossio, R. E., Solano, Y. F., Hoelle, J., Perales, L. M., Puerta, I., Rojas Céspedes, D., Rojas Camacho, I., & Costa Silva, A. (2012). Regional integration and local change: Road paving, community connectivity, and social–ecological resilience in a tri-national frontier, southwestern Amazonia. *Regional Environmental Change*, 12(1), 35–53. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-011-0233-x
- Perz, S. G., Espin, J., Castillo, J., Chavez, A., Rojas, R. O., & Barnes, G. (2016). Ideal type theories and concrete cases in land science: A multi-step appraisal of the evolutionary theory of land rights in Madre de Dios, Peru. Land Use Policy, 58, 9–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.07.008
- Popescu, G. (2011). *Bordering and ordering the twenty-first century: Understanding borders*. Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Prell, C. (2012). Social network analysis: History, theory and methodology. Sage.

PRODIGY Proposal. (n.d.).

- Quiróz Claros, G., & Vos, V. A. (2017). Castaña, condiciones laborales y medio ambiente: Propuestas de incidencia pública desde el sector zafrero de la castaña de la Amazonía boliviana. CIPCA.
- Rioja, G., Simione da Silva, S., Brown, F., Ferreira, E., F. Schmidlehner, M., G. Perz, S., Fuentes Nay, H., Hector, E., & Guimo, L. (2015). *Mapiense n°2: Una región en movimiento*. 100.
- Rumrill, R., Davila, C., & Barcia, F. (1986). *Madre de Dios: El Perú desconocido* (CORDEMAD-Gerencia de Estudios y Proyectos). Corporación Departamental de Desarrollo de Madre de Dios.
- Russill, C., Nyssa, Z., 2009. The tipping point trend in climate change communication. Global Environmental Change 19, 336–344. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2009.04.001</u>
- Ruta 16 (Bolivien). (2020, February 20). [Encyclopedia]. Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia. https://de.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Ruta\_16\_(Bolivien)&oldid=208219041
- Salo, M., Hiedanpää, J., Karlsson, T., Cárcamo Ávila, L., Kotilainen, J., Jounela, P., & Rumrrill García, R. (2016). Local perspectives on the formalization of artisanal and small-scale mining in the Madre de Dios gold fields, Peru. *The Extractive Industries and Society*, 3(4), 1058–1066. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.exis.2016.10.001
- Sánchez Aguilar, A. (2017). Migraciones Internas en El Perú a Nivel Departamental. Organización Internacional Para Las Migraciones-OIM Lima, 404.
- Scheffer, M., Bascompte, J., Brock, W. A., Brovkin, V., Carpenter, S. R., Dakos, V., Held, H., van Nes, E.
  H., Rietkerk, M., & Sugihara, G. (2009). Early-warning signals for critical transitions. *Nature*, 461(7260), 53–59. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08227
- Science Panel for the Amazon (2021). Executive Summary of the Amazon Assessment Report 2021. C. Nobre, A. Encalada, E. Anderson, F.H. Roca Alcazar, M. Bustamante, C. Mena, M. Peña-Claros, G. Poveda, J.P. Rodriguez, S. Saleska, S. Trumbore, A.L. Val, L. Villa Nova, R. Abramovay, A. Alencar, A.C.R. Alzza, D. Armenteras, P. Artaxo, S. Athayde, H.T. Barretto Filho, J. Barlow, E. Berenguer, F. Bortolotto, F.A. Costa, M.H. Costa, N. Cuvi, P.M. Fearnside, J. Ferreira, B.M. Flores, S. Frieri, L.V. Gatti, J.M. Guayasamin, S. Hecht, M. Hirota, C. Hoorn, C. Josse, D.M. Lapola, C. Larrea, D.M. Larrea-Alcazar, Z. Lehm Ardaya, Y. Malhi, J.A. Marengo, M.R. Moraes, P. Moutinho, M.R. Murmis, E.G. Neves, B. Paez, L. Painter, A. Ramos, M.C. Rosero-Peña, M. Schmink, P. Sist, H. ter Steege, P. Val, H. van der Voort, M. Varese, G. Zapata et al. (eds.) United Nations Sustainable Development Solutions Network, New York, USA. 48 pages.
- SERNAP. (2010). Plan de Manejo de la Reserva Nacional de Vida Silvestre Amazónica Manuripi, Diagnostico Integral. (p. 205). Servicio Nacional de Áreas Protegidas - SERNAP.
- SERNAP. (2012). *Plan De Manejo De La Reserva Nacional De Vida Silvestre Manuripi 2012 2022* (p. 158). SERNAP Servicio Nacional de Áreas Protegidas.
- Sinclair, K., Rawluk, A., Kumar, S., & Curtis, A. (2017). Ways forward for resilience thinking: Lessons from the field for those exploring social-ecological systems in agriculture and natural resource management. *Ecology and Society*, *22*(4), Article 4.
- Smit, B., & Wandel, J. (2006). Adaptation, adaptive capacity and vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change*, *16*(3), 282–292.
- Soares-Filho, B. S., Nepstad, D. C., Curran, L. M., Cerqueira, G. C., Garcia, R. A., Ramos, C. A., Voll, E., McDonald, A., Lefebvre, P., & Schlesinger, P. (2006). Modelling conservation in the Amazon basin. *Nature*, 440(7083), 520–523. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04389
- Sonter, L. J., Herrera, D., Barrett, D. J., Galford, G. L., Moran, C. J., & Soares-Filho, B. S. (2017). Mining drives extensive deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. *Nature Communications*, 8(1), 1–7.
- Stringer, L. C., Dougill, A. J., Fraser, E., Hubacek, K., Prell, C., & Reed, M. S. (2006). Unpacking "participation" in the adaptive management of social–ecological systems: A critical review. *Ecology and Society*, *11*(2).
- Terazono, E. (2017, May 16). *Brazil nut shortage after drought triggers big price jump* [Newspaper]. Financial Times. https://www.ft.com/content/507ca0aa-397a-11e7-821a-6027b8a20f23

- Thonicke, K., Langerwisch, F., Baumann, M., Leitão, P. J., Václavík, T., Alencar, A., Simões, M., Scheiter, S., Langan, L., Bustamante, M., Gasparri, I., Hirota, M., Börner, J., Rajao, R., Soares-Filho, B., Yanosky, A., Ochoa-Quinteiro, J.-M., Seghezzo, L., Conti, G., & de la Vega-Leinert, A. C. (2019). A social-ecological approach to identify and quantify biodiversity tipping points in South America's seasonal dry ecosystems. *Biogeosciences Discussions*, 1–22. https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-2019-221
- Treaty of Petrópolis. (2019, October 15). [Encyclopedia]. Wikipedia, the Free Encyclopedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Treaty\_of\_Petr%C3%B3polis&oldid=921298568
- TRIDGE. (n.d.). *Brazil Nut global production and top producing countries* [Stats]. TRIDGE. Retrieved 28 May 2020, from https://www.tridge.com/intelligences/brazil-nut/production
- Turner, B. L., Kasperson, R. E., Matson, P. A., McCarthy, J. J., Corell, R. W., Christensen, L., Eckley, N., Kasperson, J. X., Luers, A., & Martello, M. L. (2003). A framework for vulnerability analysis in sustainability science. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 100(14), 8074–8079.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. (1974). Judgment under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. *Science*, 185(4157), 1124. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.185.4157.1124
- Ulrich, H., & Probst, G. J. (1990). *Anleitung zum ganzheitlichen Denken und Handeln; Bern Stuttgart, 2*. Aufl.
- Van Damme, Paul. A. (2018). *Plan de control y aprovechamiento integral del paiche (arapaima gigas) en la RNVSA Manuripi 2019-2023*. Proyecto IAPA – Visión Amazónica. Unión Europea, Redparques, WWF, FAO, UICN, ONU Medio Ambiente.
- Van der Leeuw, S. E. (2001). Vulnerability and the integrated study of socio-natural phenomena. *IHDP* Update, 2(01), 6–7.
- Vester, F. (n.d.). *Vester Sensitivity Model*. Retrieved 30 October 2019, from https://www.frederic-vester.de/eng/sensitivity-model/
- Vilela, T., Ayllón, J. C. R., Grandez, A. J. E., Bruner, A., & Conner, N. (2018). El impacto económico local del turismo en áreas protegidas del Perú. *DOCUMENTO DE TRABAJO*.
- Walker, B., Carpenter, S., Anderies, J., Abel, N., Cumming, G., Janssen, M., Lebel, L., Norberg, J., Peterson, G. D., & Pritchard, R. (2002). Resilience management in social-ecological systems: A working hypothesis for a participatory approach. *Conservation Ecology*, 6(1).
- Walker, B., Holling, C. S., Carpenter, S. R., & Kinzig, A. (2004). Resilience, adaptability and transformability in social–ecological systems. *Ecology and Society*, 9(2).
- Wallace, R. H., Gomes, C. V. A., & Cooper, N. A. (2018). The Chico Mendes Extractive Reserve: Trajectories of agro-extractive development in Amazonia. *Desenvolvimento e Meio Ambiente*, 48.