

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Caporale, Guglielmo Maria; Spagnolo, Nicola; Almajali, Awon

Working Paper Fossil and Renewable Energy Stock Indices: Connectedness and the COP Meetings

CESifo Working Paper, No. 9824

Provided in Cooperation with: Ifo Institute – Leibniz Institute for Economic Research at the University of Munich

Suggested Citation: Caporale, Guglielmo Maria; Spagnolo, Nicola; Almajali, Awon (2022) : Fossil and Renewable Energy Stock Indices: Connectedness and the COP Meetings, CESifo Working Paper, No. 9824, Center for Economic Studies and ifo Institute (CESifo), Munich

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/263754

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Fossil and Renewable Energy Stock Indices: Connectedness and the COP Meetings

Guglielmo Maria Caporale, Nicola Spagnolo, Awon Almajali



Impressum:

CESifo Working Papers ISSN 2364-1428 (electronic version) Publisher and distributor: Munich Society for the Promotion of Economic Research - CESifo GmbH The international platform of Ludwigs-Maximilians University's Center for Economic Studies and the ifo Institute Poschingerstr. 5, 81679 Munich, Germany Telephone +49 (0)89 2180-2740, Telefax +49 (0)89 2180-17845, email office@cesifo.de Editor: Clemens Fuest https://www.cesifo.org/en/wp An electronic version of the paper may be downloaded • from the SSRN website: www.SSRN.com

- from the RePEc website: <u>www.RePEc.org</u>
- from the CESifo website: <u>https://www.cesifo.org/en/wp</u>

Fossil and Renewable Energy Stock Indices: Connectedness and the COP Meetings

Abstract

This paper investigates static and dynamic connectedness between the first and second moments of fossil and renewable energy stock indices in the last decade at the daily frequency. For this purpose the Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) methodology is applied; in addition, endogenous break tests are carried out and sub-sample estimates are also obtained. The results suggest that renewable energy stock indices play a significant role in terms of connectdness; moreover, the two detected breaks indicate that both the unsuccessful COP17 held in Durban in 2011 and the anticipation of decisive action at the COP26 in Glasgow affected the degree of connectedness. The finding that spillovers are stronger during periods characterised by more effective climate change policies confirms the crucial importance of policy intervention and support for renewable energy to tackle climate change.

JEL-Codes: C320, G150, Q400.

Keywords: COP, fossil and renewable energy, VAR, connectedness.

Guglielmo Maria Caporale* Department of Economics and Finance Brunel University London, Uxbridge United Kingdom – Middlesex, UB8 3PH Guglielmo-Maria.Caporale@brunel.ac.uk

Nicola Spagnolo Department of Economics and Finance Brunel University London, Uxbridge United Kingdom – Middlesex, UB8 3PH nicola.spagnolo@brunel.ac.uk Awon Almajali Department of Economics and Finance Brunel University London, Uxbridge United Kingdom – Middlesex, UB8 3PH awon.almajali@brunel.ac.uk

*corresponding author

June 30, 2022

1 Introduction

The use of renewable instead of fossil energy is being increasingly advocated by experts, governments and public opinion as a necessary choice to address climate change, namely the observed large-scale, long-term shift in temperatures and weather patterns. This is because one of its main drivers since the start of the industrial revolution in the late 18th century has been the burning of fossil fuels such as coal, oil and gas. These generate greenhouse gas emissions, including carbon dioxide (CO2) and methane, which bring about rising temperatures and global warming; as a result, the Earth is now about 1.1 degrees Celsius warmer than in the late 1800s, the latest decade having been the warmest on record. The 2018 UN Climate Change Annual Report concluded that it was essential to decrease global temperature rise to no more than 1.5 degrees Celsius (from the expected 2.7 by the end of the century without any measures) to slow down the effects of climate change. However, in October 2018, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) warned in its "Global Warming of 1.5 °C" report that even if that target were achieved the impact of global warming on the environment would be far greater than expected, and in January 2019 The World Economic Forum for a third year in a row identified climate change as the main threat to the planet in its Global Risks Report.

To tackle these issues since 1995 Annual UN Climate Change Conferences have been held within the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC); each of these meetings is known as a Conference of the Parties (COP), the latest having taken place in Glasgow, 31 October - 13 November 2021 (COP26). Over the years a number of COP protocols have been signed with the aim of reducing reliance on fossil fuels and encouraging the transition to renewable energy, which comes from the Earth's natural resources (sunlight, wind, waves, the tides and geothermal heat from within the planet), is inexhaustible and does not pollute the environment; moreover, new clean energy technologies are reducing its costs compared to fossil fuels and making it more affordable. The International Energy Agency (IAE) reported in its "Global Energy Review 2021" that a record amount of renewable electricity was added to energy systems globally in 2021, despite higher commodity prices increasing production and transportation costs for solar panels and wind turbines.

It is clear that governments have an important role to play in accelerating the shift to clean energy by providing more support and incentives for investment in renewables as well as by adopting measures at the very least to "phase down" polluting energy sources such as coal as agreed at COP26. It is therefore interesting to analyse the relationship between the fossil and renewable energy markets and whether such policy measures could affect it. Whilst there exists already a vast literature examining linkages between those markets the latter issue has not been considered by previous papers. The present one aims to fill this gap. In particular, it follows a connectedness network approach (Diebold and Yilmaz, 2014) as in Song et al. (2019) by extending their analysis in several ways. Specifically, it updates their sample, it uses a wider set of renewable energy indices, both global and sectoral, to check robustness and shed light on sectoral as well as aggregate linkages, and it examines to what extent the observed dynamic linkages have been affected by energy policies introduced at the COP meetings by carrying out endogenous break tests and re-estimating the models over the corresponding sub-samples.

The layout of the paper is as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the relevant literature. Section 3 provides some information about the COP meetings and the key policy decisions adopted on those occasions. Section 4 outlines the Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) method used for the analysis. Section 5 presents the data and the empirical results. Section 6 offers some concluding remarks.

2 Literature Review

Linkages between the fossil and renewable energy markets have been investigated in numerous papers. For instance, Henriques and Sadorsky (2008) estimated a VAR and found causal effects of oil prices and technology stock prices on those of renewable energy companies. Sadorsky (2012) adopted a GARCH framework to examine volatility spillovers and concluded that the stock prices of clean energy companies in their second moments are linked more strongly to technology ones than to oil prices. Kumar et al. (2012) showed that renewable energy stock prices are responsive to interest rates, past oil price changes and technology stock prices. Wen et al. (2014) focused on China and found volatility spillovers between oil prices and renewable energy company stock prices using a GARCH model incorporating asymmetries. Bondia et al. (2016) applied threshold cointegration tests allowing for endogenous structural breaks and reported that the stock prices of alternative energy companies are affected by technology stock prices, oil prices and interest rates only in the short run.

Reboredo et al. (2017) implemented instead a wavelet decomposition approach and detected stronger dependence between oil and renewable energy returns in the long run compared to the short run, whilst Reboredo and Ugolini (2018) used a multivariate vine-copula dependence setup and found that during the period 2009–2016 oil and electricity prices were the main drivers of clean energy stock returns in the US and the EU, respectively. Dutta (2017) reported that clean energy stock market returns are affected by changes in the crude oil volatility index (OVX). Ferrer et al. (2018) analysed connectedness between crude oil prices, the stock prices of US clean energy companies and various financial variables in the frequency domain and found linkages mainly in the short run, whilst he could not detect any impact of crude oil prices on the stock prices of renewable energy companies. Alkathery and Chaudhuri (2021) estimated multivariate GARCH models to analyse the co-movement between oil price, EU carbon allowance prices, the global clean energy index and the equity index in three GCC countries (Kuwait, Saudi Arabia and the United Arab Emirates) and found evidence of significant volatility spillovers in all three markets.

Liu and Shigeyuki (2020) applied the Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) approach to examine return and volatility spillovers from fossil fuel (crude oil and natural gas) and traditional stock markets to renewable stock markets in the US and Europe and estimated stronger spillovers in the case of the US and from traditional stock markets to renewable energy stocks in both regions. Hanif et al. (2021) investigated frequency volatility spillovers, connectedness and the nonlinear dependence between the European emission allowance (EUA) prices and renewable energy indices using a time-scale spillover index and different copula functions. They found stronger short-run spillovers in the case of carbon prices and both S&P clean energy and wind energy indices in the short, and stronger long-run ones in the case of the clean energy indices and carbon price. Finally, Geng et al. (2021) applied the connectedness network approach to Europe and found high interdependence between crude oil returns and clean energy companies' returns and also a greater impact of bad news on information connectedness compared to good news.

Other studies also take into account the possible role of investment sentiment. In particular, Song et al. (2019) used the Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) connectedness measure to investigate the relationship between the fossil energy and renewable energy markets as well as investor sentiment. They found that there are stronger linkages between volatilities compared to returns, and also that the fossil energy market, especially crude oil, has a greater impact on the renewable energy stock market than investor sentiment. Our analysis below extends their study by considering an updated sample as well as a wider set of indices and examining the possible impact of the COP policy decisions on the evolution of the connectedness parameters by testing for breaks and doing sub-sample estimation as well.

3 The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

In June 1992, years of diplomatic efforts finally led to the establishment of the UN Conference on the Environment and Development (UNCED), also known as the Earth Summit, and of three frameworks: the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the UN Convention on Biological Diversity (UNCBD), and the UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD). The governments of the signatory countries became parties to these legally binding conventions and began to meet regularly to discuss progress at the so-called Conferences of Parties (COPs) on climate, biodiversity, and desertification.

The UNFCCC, signed by 197 countries as of 2015, has since become the best known of the three conventions, with the 197 national delegations being divided into five regional groups: Africa, Asia, Latin America, Western Europe, Eastern Europe and Other States. Starting with COP1 in Berlin in 1995, the UNFCCC Secretariat has been convening its signatories yearly at what has become the world's largest climate event. Growing interest from civil society groups, journalists, business representatives, academics, and others has meant that recent COP meetings have attracted thousands of participants worldwide.

The pace of progress in tackling climate change has differed across the 197 signatories. In some cases, individual countries have been developing and publishing new versions of their national action plans to deal with climate issues. The departure of the US, the second largest emitter of greenhouse gases, from the Paris Agreement in 2019 severely affected the global community's overall ability to address climate change. The US re-joined the agreement in early 2021, thus bringing renewed focus and momentum. Therefore, COP26, which was held in Glasgow on 31 October - 13 November 2021, marked an important milestone. By its conclusion, 151 countries had submitted new climate plans (nationally determined contributions) to reduce their emissions by 2030. The goal of limiting temperature rise to 1.5 degrees C would require reducing global emissions by half by 2030. The 2030 targets previously set by various major emitters were still very weak (especially in the case of Australia, China, Saudi Arabia, Brazil and Russia) and in those countries credible pathways to achieve net-zero targets were still lacking. The COP26 agreements represent some encouraging progress in this direction: all countries have been asked to strengthen their 2030 targets by the end of 2022 to align them with the Paris Agreement's temperature goals, and those that had not yet done so have also been asked to submit long-term strategies aiming to reach net-zero emissions by 2050.

4 The Model

We use the methodology proposed by Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) to examine the connectedness between the fossil and renewable energy indices considered in this study and their dynamic spillovers. This approach is based on a vector auto-regressive (VAR) model specified as follows:

$$A_t = \sum_{i=1}^{P} \Psi_i A_{t-1} + \epsilon_t, \tag{1}$$

where A_t is an $N \times 1$ vector of endogenous variables, *i* indicates the VAR order, and ϵ_t is a vector of *iid* error terms. The moving average representation of the VAR(p) process is given by:

$$A_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Z_i \epsilon_{t-1},\tag{2}$$

where the $N \times N$ coefficient matrices Z_i are recursively defined as $Z_i = \sum_{k=1}^{P} Z_{i-k}$ with Z_0 being the $N \times N$ identity matrix. We use the generalized decomposition of the covariance matrix of ϵ_t calculated as in Koop et al. (1996) and Pesaran and Shin (1998). The main advantage of this method over the Cholesky decomposition is that the resulting spillover indices are robust to the ordering of the variables. The generalized version of the *H*-step-ahead forecast-error variance decomposition has the following form:

$$c_{ij}^{g}(H) = \frac{\sigma_{jj}^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left(\epsilon_{i}^{\prime} Z_{h} \sum \epsilon_{j}\right)^{2}}{\sum_{h=0}^{H-1} \left(\epsilon_{i}^{\prime} Z_{h} \sum Z_{h}^{\prime} \epsilon_{j}\right)},\tag{3}$$

where the term σ_{jj} is a vector of standard deviations of the error terms for the *j*th equation and *i*th is an $N \times 1$ vector, with 1 for the *i*th equation and 0 elsewhere. In order to create spillover indices, each entry of the variance decomposition table is normalized by its row sum as follows:

$$\ddot{c}_{ij}^{g}(H) = \frac{c_{ij}^{g}(H)}{\sum_{j=1}^{N} c_{ij}^{g}(H)}$$
(4)

Having calculated the spillovers from market j to market i, for all i and j, three spillover indices are then constructed, namely: (i) the total spillover index, which measures spillovers across all markets, and has the following form:

$$S^{g}(H) = \frac{\sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{N} \ddot{c}_{ij}^{g}(H)}{N} * 100$$
(5)

(ii) the spillover to market i from all other markets, which is defined as

$$S_{i,0}^{g}(H) = \frac{\sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^{N} \ddot{c}_{ij}^{g}(H)}{N} * 100$$
(6)

and (iii) the spillover from market i to all other markets, which is equal to

$$S_{0,i}^g(H) = \frac{\sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^N \ddot{c}_{ji}^g(H)}{N} * 100$$
(7)

In the analysis that follows, we provide estimates of the spillover indices given by Eqs. (5-7) for both the returns and volatilities of all the fossil and renewable energy indices selected.

Furthermore, we use a rolling window approach to estimate 10-step ahead dynamic spillover indices based on a 40-week window.

5 Empirical Analysis

The dataset used for the analysis includes conventional and renewable energy daily indices retrieved from Bloomberg. More specifically, the benchmark model comprises five indices, namely: the MAC Global Solar Energy Index (MAC), the ISE Global Wind Energy Index (ISE Wind), the West Texas Intermediate Crude Oil Index, the Newcastle Coal Index, and the Natural Gas Index. One of the following four renewable energy indices is then added in turn to the benchmark model: the European Renewable Energy Index (ERIX), the S&P500 Global Clean Energy Index (S&P500), the World Renewable Energy Index (RENIXX), and the Wilder Hill Clean Energy Index (ECO). The sample period goes from 25/03/2010 to 23/12/2021, for a total of 2943 observations. Table 1 provides precise variable definitions and data sources. Daily returns are then calculated as the log difference of consecutive daily prices indices, whereas their volatility is modelled as a GARCH (1,1) process. Table 2 reports descriptive statistics for fossil and renewable energy stock index returns. It can be seen that ERIX and RENIXX exhibit the highest daily mean (0.03%), followed by ISE Wind, Crude Oil, and Coal (0.02%). The Natural Gas Index has the highest standard deviation (2.98%), followed by Crude Oil (2.64%) and MAC (2.23%). Of the renewable energy indices, ECO is the most volatile (2.00%), whereas S&P500 has the lowest mean returns (0.01%) and volatility (1.51%). Excess skewness is exhibited by ISE Wind and Coal, whilst all series appear to be leptokurtic, especially in the case of ISE Wind, Crude Oil and Coal.

Please Insert Table 1-2

The first (benchmark) model estimated to investigate both static and dynamic connectdness following the approach of Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) includes five widely used energy indices for both renewable and fossil energy sources (MAC, ISE, Crude Oil, Coal and Natural Gas). As already mentioned, the analysis is carried out for both returns and their volatilities, the latter being specified as a GARCH(1,1) process.

Please Insert Table 3-13 and Figures 1-10

The static results are presented in Tables 4-8 for returns, and in Tables 9-13 for their volatilities. These tables report the percentage contribution from i to j in each case. The row "Directional to others" presents the total spillover effects from each variable to all others, while the last column, "From", reports the total spillover received by each series from all others. Total connectedness is in bold.

The benchmark model for returns yields an estimate of total connectedness of 12.89%, with MAC having a strong effect on ISE Wind (20.96%). MAC and Gas appear to be the two givers, whereas ISE Wind, Crude Oil and Coal are the receivers. In the extended system including in turn ERIX, S&P500, RENIXX and ECO the results (Tables 5-8) suggest that the additional variable is in each case the biggest giver in the corresponding system, with ERIX contributing 24.26%, S&P500 12.19%, RENIXX 2.52%, and ECO 9.07%. Furthermore, total connectedness is higher (on average, twice as big) in all cases compared to the benchmark model, which implies that the renewable energy indices play a major role. By contrast, in the case of the corresponding volatilities (Tables 10-13), although the same indices are still the main givers, total connectdness is lower compared to the model for returns. The dynamic analysis (see Figures 1-5 for returns and Figures 6-10 for their volatilities) suggests the possible presence of two structural breaks in the connectedness coefficients, which we investigate next by applying the Bai and Perron (1998) endogenous break tests. The results indicate that there are two breaks in all cases, for both returns and their volatilities, the first on 29/10/2012, and the second on 6/3/2020 (see Table 3). Therefore, we re-run both the static and the dynamic analysis for each of the three corresponding sub-samples (before the first break, between the first and the second break, and

finally after the second break). These estimates suggest a higher degree of spillovers during the first and third sub-samples compared to the second one in all cases, for both returns and their volatilities. Interestingly, the first break follows the 2011 UNCCC (COP17) meeting held in Durban, South Africa, 28 November - 11 December 2011, which was not very successful, despite a new legally binding treaty to limit carbon emissions being agreed, since experts soon concluded that this was not sufficient to avoid global warming beyond 2.0 degrees and that more decisive action would be required. The second break follows instead COP25, Madrid, 2-13 December 2019. This was also a disappointing event, since any decisions concerning carbon emission cuts were postponed to the next climate conference, namely COP26. However, precisely because of the perceived failure of this meeting, calls for further action soon gathered momentum and, in a briefing given exactly on 6/3/2020 about the UN Climate Change Conference COP26, expected to take place in Glasgow in November 2020 (then postponed to 31 October - 13 November 2021 due to COVID-19), UN Secretary-General António Guterres called 2020 "a pivotal year for how we address climate change", adding that "success in Glasgow depends on countries, the private sector and civil society demonstrating that they are taking significant steps to raise ambition on cutting greenhouse gas emissions, building resilience to climate and financing both." ¹ He listed four priorities for COP26: first, that national climate plans - the NDCs - should show that countries are working to implement the Paris Agreement, and that each new NDC should show more ambition than the previous one; second, that all nations should adopt strategies to reach net zero emissions by 2050; third, the development of a robust package of projects and initiatives to help communities and nations adapt to climate disruption and build resilience against future impacts; fourth, the provision of finance, with developed countries at COP26 delivering on their commitment to mobilize 100 billion dollars a year by 2020. Key measures towards achieving at least some of these goals were in fact agreed at COP26, as previously detailed (see Section 3) above).

6 Conclusions

This paper contributes to the literature on renewable energy and climate change by investigating static and dynamic connectedness between the first and second moments of fossil and renewable energy stock indices in the last decade at the daily frequency. For this purpose the Diebold and Yilmaz (2014) methodology is applied; in addition, endogenous break tests are implemented to detect any shifts that might have occurred over time and, two breaks having been identified, sub-sample estimates are also obtained and the findings are related to policies agreed in the COP meetings. The analysis extends in several ways that carried out by Song et al. (2019) in an earlier study, since it considers a longer sample as well as a wider set of indices, it allows for parameter shifts and provides a policy interpretation of the detected spillover changes. The results suggest that the renewable energy indices under examination play a significant role in terms of connectdness and that markets have reacted to the policy measures adopted at the COP meetings. In particular, both the unsuccessful COP17 held in Durban in 2011 and the anticipation of decisive action at the then forthcoming COP26 in Glasgow to be held in 2021 affected the degree of connectedness of the estimated systems including both fossil and renewable energy indices.

Although the existence of significant spillovers between the two types of markets had already been established in previous papers (see, e.g., Reboredo et al., 2017; Reboredo and Ugolini, 2018;

¹See https://unfccc.int/news/2020-is-a-pivotal-year-for-climate-un-chief-and-cop26-president

Song et al., 2019; Liu and Shigeyuli, 2020), our analysis provides an additional, important piece of information, namely the fact that such linkages appear to be affected by policy changes. More specifically, it is clear that they are weaker during periods when less effective climate change policies are in place, whilst more decisive measures and tighter targets tend to strengthen spillover effects. This confirms the crucial importance of policy intervention and support for renewable energy to tackle climate change. It is only to be hoped that the COP26 agreements will be fully implemented and followed by even more ambitious targets to promote the use of renewable energy and reduce global warming with its devastating effects on the environment.

References

- Alkathery, M.A., Chaudhiri, K., 2021. Co-movement between oil price, CO₂ emission, renewable energy and energy equities: Evidence from GCC countries. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 297, 113350.
- [2] Bai, J., Perron, P., 1998. Estimating and testing linear models with multiple structural changes, *Econometrica*, 66, 1, 47-78
- [3] Bondia, R., Ghosh, S., Kanjilal, K., 2016. International crude oil prices and the stock prices of clean energy and technology companies: Evidence from non-linear cointegration tests with unknown structural breaks. *Energy*, 101, 15, 558-565.
- [4] Diebold, F.X., Yilmaz, K., 2014. On the network topology of variance decompositions: Measuring the connectedness of financial firms. *Journal of Econometrics*, 182, 119–134.
- [5] Dutta, A., 2017. Oil price uncertainty and clean energy stock returns: New evidence from crude oil volatility index. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 164, 1157–1166.
- [6] Ferrer, R., Shahzad, S.J.H., Lópeze, R., Jareno, F., 2018. Time and frequency of connectedness between renewable energy stocks and crude oil prices. *Energy Economics*, 76, 1–20.
- [7] Geng, J.-B., Liu, C., Ji, Q., Zhang, D., 2021. Do oil price changes really matter for clean energy returns? *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 150, 111429.
- [8] Hanif, W., Hernandez, J.A., Mensi, W., Kang, S.H., Uddin, G.S., Yoon, S.-M., 2021. Nonlinear dependence and connectedness between clean/renewable energy sector equity and European emission allowance prices. *Energy Economics*, 101, 105409.
- [9] Henriques, I., Sadorsky, P., 2008. Oil prices and the stock prices of alternative energy companies. *Energy Economics*, 30, 998–1010.
- [10] Koop, G., Pesaran, M. H., and Potter, S. M., 1996. Impulse response analysis in nonlinear multivariate models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 74, 1, 119–147.
- [11] Kumar, S., Managi, S., Matsuda, A., 2012. Stock prices of clean energy firms, oil and carbon markets: A vector autoregressive analysis. *Energy Economics*, 34, 215–226.
- [12] Liu, T., Shigeyuki, H., 2020. Spillovers to renewable energy stocks in the US and Europe: Are they different? *Energies*, 13, 3162; doi:10.3390/en13123162.

- [13] Pesaran, H. H., and Shin, Y., 1998. Generalized impulse response analysis in linear multivariate models. *Economics Letters*, 58, 1, 17–29.
- [14] Reboredo, J.C., Ugolini, A., 2018. The impact of energy prices on clean energy stock prices. A multivariate quantile dependence approach. *Energy Economics*, 76, 136-152.
- [15] Reboredo, J.C., Rivera-Castro, M.A., Ugolini, A., 2017. Wavelet-based test of comovement and casualty between oil and renewable energy stock prices. *Energy Economics*, 61, 241–252.
- [16] Sadorsky, P., 2012. Correlations and volatility spillovers between oil prices and the stock prices of clean energy and technology companies. *Energy Economics*, 34, 248–255.
- [17] Songa, Y., Qiang, J., Duc, Y.-J. and J.-B. Geng (2019), The dynamic dependence of fossil energy, investor sentiment and renewable energy stock market, *Energy Economics*, 104564.
- [18] Wen, X., Guo, Y., Wei, Y., Huang, D., 2014. How do the stock prices of new energy and fossil fuel companies correlate? Evidence from China. *Energy Economics*, 41, 63–75.

Index	Definition	Source
MAC Global Energy Index	The MAC Global Solar Energy Index is a rules-based stock index tracking the performance of companies in global solar energy businesses.	The "MAC Global Solar Energy Stock Index" is the tracking Index for the "Invesco Solar ETF" which is an exchange-traded fund (ETF) that is traded on the New York Stock Exchange ARCA. MAC includes 43 companies.
ISE Global Wind Energy Index	The ISE Global Wind Energy Index is designed to track public companies that are active in the wind energy industry based on analysis of the products and services offered by those companies. The Index began on December 16, 2005 with a base value of 100.00.	It is one of the Nasdaq ISE indices. ISE includes 52 companies.
Crude Oil	West Taxes Intermediate crude oil.	New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX).
Coal	Newcastle Coal Index.	New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX).
Natural Gas	Natural Gas Index.	It is listed on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange.
European Renewable Energy Index	ERIX tracks the performance of European renewable energy companies that are active in either or several of the following six investment clusters: biofuels, geothermal, marine, solar, water, and wind.	The Index is provided by Societe Generale, which has contracted with S&P Opco, LLC (a subsidiary of S&P Dow Jones Indices LLC) ("S&P Dow Jones Indices") to maintain and calculate the Index. The index members are the 10 largest and most liquid stocks from the list of eligible companies. ERIX is rebalanced every quarter and an index review takes place every six months.
S&P500 Global Clean Energy Index	It is designed to measure the performance of the one hundred largest companies by market capitalization in global clean energy-related businesses from both developed and emerging markets , with target constituent count of 100.	It is one of the S&P DOW JONES indices. S&P500 has a target constituent count of 100 companies.
The World Renewable Energy Index	Renewable energy tracks the 30 largest companies of the renewable energy industry worldwide by market capitalization. The RENIXX comprises stocks such as sectors as wind energy, solar energy industry, hydropower, geothermal energy, bio-energy or fuel cell technology.	RENIXX has been created and is calculated by IWR, a renewable energy institute. RENIXX comprises the world's 30 largest companies in the renewable energy industry whose weighting in the index is based on the market capitalization.
Wilder Hill Clean Energy Index	Renewable Energy Supplies, Power Energy Delivery, Storage, Clean Fuels, as well as Green Utilities.	The WilderHill Clean Energy Index (ECO), live since 2004, and is calculated by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE). ECO includes 78 stocks.

Table 1: Variables Sources and Definitions

Note: The series used are daily and span the period from 25/03/2010 to 23/12/2021 for a total of 2943 observations.

 Table 2: Descriptive Statistics

	Fossil and Renewable Energy Stock Index Returns							
Variables	Mean	S.D.	Min	Max	Skewness	Kurtosis		
MAC	0.01	2.23	-14.96	11.95	-0.14	6.53		
ISE Wind	0.02	1.40	-23.42	11.95	1.11	97.12		
Crude Oil	0.02	2.64	-28.22	31.96	0.17	31.87		
Coal	0.02	1.59	-43.25	14.49	-6.49	29.25		
Gas	0.01	2.98	-18.05	19.80	0.33	6.95		
ERIX	0.03	1.62	-12.97	8.76	-0.41	6.51		
S&P500	0.01	1.51	-12.50	11.03	-0.55	10.15		
RENIXX	0.03	1.65	-10.79	9.12	-0.26	6.71		
ECO	0.01	2.00	-16.24	13.40	-0.48	8.76		

Note: The series used are daily and span from 25/03/2010 to 23/12/2021, for a total of 2943 observations.

Table 3: Structural Breaks Dates

Systems	First Break	Second Break
Panel A: Return		
Five Variable System	29/10/2012	06/03/2020
First System	29/10/2012	06/03/2020
Second System	29/10/2012	21/12/2015
Third System	29/10/2012	05/03/2020
Fourth System	29/10/2012	06/03/2020
Panel B: Volatility		
Five Variable System	06/08/2012	12/02/2018
First System	06/08/2012	06/02/2019
Second System	06/08/2012	21/03/2018
Third System	06/08/2012	07/02/2019
Fourth System	06/08/2012	24/03/2020

Note: The break dates have been obtained by carrying out the Bai and Perron (1998) tests

Table 4: Benchmark model (Returns)

Variables	Whole sample						
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	From	
MAC	75.23	19.27	5.28	0.05	0.17	24.77	
ISE.Wind	20.96	74.79	4.09	0.07	0.09	25.21	
Crude Oil	6.12	4.45	88.00	0.53	0.91	12.00	
Coal	0.04	0.15	0.62	98.84	0.35	1.16	
Gas	0.11	0.09	1.00	0.09	98.69	1.31	
Directional to others	27.23	23.96	11.00	0.74	1.53	64.45	
Net Directional Con.	2.46	-1.25	-1.00	-0.42	0.22	12.89	
		Fii	st Sub-samp	le			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	From	
MAC	70.31	16.98	12.21	0.48	0.03	29.69	
ISE.Wind	17.21	72.87	9.08	0.74	0.10	27.13	
Crude Oil	13.02	9.46	74.87	1.39	1.26	25.13	
Coal	0.99	1.42	2.29	94.82	0.48	5.18	
Gas	0.57	1.04	1.67	0.60	96.12	3.88	
Directional to others	31.79	28.90	25.26	3.21	1.87	91.03	
Net Directional Con.	2.09	1.77	0.12	-1.98	-2.01	18.21	
		Coo	and Cub some	ala			
	MAC	ISE Wind	Crude Oil		Cas	From	
MAC	77.39	15.90	6.66	0.03	0.03	22.61	
ISE Wind	18.31	75.03	6.47	0.00	0.00	24.01 24.97	
Crude Oil	6.91	6.67	85.61	0.10	0.03	14 39	
Coal	0.01	0.01	0.17	99.26	0.10	0.74	
Gas	0.04	0.18	0.91	0.14	98 73	1 27	
Directional to others	25.27	22.92	14.21	0.29	1.27	63.97	
Net Directional Con.	2.66	-2.05	-0.18	-0.44	0.01	12.79	
	2.00	2.000	0110	0.11	0.01	12110	
		Th	ird Sub-samp	le			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	From	
MAC	64.25	32.47	1.69	0.03	1.56	35.75	
ISE.Wind	33.77	64.35	1.33	0.05	0.50	35.65	
Crude Oil	3.02	3.15	91.71	1.34	0.78	8.29	
Coal	0.01	0.07	1.42	97.65	0.84	2.35	
Gas	1.11	0.43	0.80	0.51	97.15	2.85	
Directional to others	37.91	36.12	5.24	1.93	3.68	84.88	
Net Directional Con.	2.16	0.47	-3.05	-0.42	0.84	16.98	

Note: The table reports in each case the contributions from i to j. The row "Directional to others" shows the spillover effects from each variable to all others, while the last column, "From", reports the total spillover received by each variable from all others. The total connectedness is in bold.

Table 5: Benchmark model including ERIX (Returns)

Variables	Whole sample						
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ERIX	From
MAC	62.15	15.98	4.36	0.04	0.14	17.32	37.85
ISE.Wind	15.37	54.65	3.02	0.05	0.08	26.83	45.35
Crude Oil	5.95	4.36	85.58	0.51	0.89	2.71	14.42
Coal	0.04	0.14	0.62	98.76	0.35	0.08	1.24
Gas	0.12	0.12	1.00	0.10	98.60	0.07	1.40
ERIX	16.73	26.62	1.89	0.04	0.05	54.67	45.33
Directional to others	38.21	47.22	10.90	0.74	1.51	47.01	145.59
Net Directional Con.	0.36	1.87	-3.53	-0.50	0.11	1.68	24.26
			D: (01	1			
	MAG		First Sub-sai	nple	0	DDIV	P
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	EKIX	From
MAC	53.87	14.14	9.31	0.36	0.02	22.30	46.13
ISE. Wind	14.15	56.86	7.58	0.73	0.15	20.55	43.14
Crude Oil	11.61	9.32	67.06	1.22	1.10	9.68	32.94
Coal	0.96	1.72	2.24	94.06	0.45	0.57	5.94
Gas	0.57	1.23	1.62	0.59	95.74	0.24	4.26
ERIX	22.39	19.61	7.48	0.26	0.07	50.19	49.81
Directional to others	49.68	46.03	28.23	3.15	1.79	53.34	182.22
Net Directional Con.	3.55	2.88	-4.71	-2.79	-2.47	3.53	30.37
		ç	Second Sub-sa	ample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ERIX	From
MAC	68.08	13.97	5.88	0.02	0.02	12.03	31.92
ISE.Wind	12.56	51.50	4.47	0.06	0.07	31.34	48.50
Crude Oil	6.70	6.49	82.73	0.04	0.74	3.29	17.27
Coal	0.01	0.17	0.17	99.14	0.37	0.13	0.86
Gas	0.04	0.20	0.89	0.13	98.49	0.25	1.51
ERIX	11.08	32.58	2.35	0.03	0.03	53.92	46.08
Directional to others	30.39	53.42	13.77	0.28	1.23	47.05	146.14
Net Directional Con.	-1.53	4.92	-3.50	-0.58	-0.28	0.97	24.36
	MAC	ISE Wind	Crude Oil	mpie Cool	Cas	FDIV	From
MAC	51.06	26.76	1 20	0.02	1 92	19.75	48.04
ISE Wind	01.90	∠0.70 42.70	1.29	0.02	1.20	10.70 21 56	40.04
ISE. Whid	23.34	45.70	1.00	0.05	0.30	0 56	00.00
Crude Oli	2.90	5.40 0.07	91.12	1.31	0.70	0.00	0.00
Coa	1.06	0.07	1.30	97.08	0.00	0.12	2.42
Gas	17.74	0.47	0.73	0.00	97.10	0.10	2.90
ERIA Directional to other	11.14	34.30	0.20	0.11	0.11	47.04	$\frac{32.40}{171.00}$
Not Directional to others	40.00	00.00	4.00	1.97	১.∠ ১ ০.২২	01.10	1/1.00
met Directional Coll.	-2.99	0.11	-4.20	-0.40	0.55	-1.91	20.00

Table 6: Benchmark model including S&P500 (Returns)

Variables			Whole san	nple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	48.63	12.70	3.39	0.03	0.11	35.14	51.37
ISE.Wind	15.63	54.78	3.06	0.05	0.07	26.41	45.22
Crude Oil	5.67	4.24	82.00	0.50	0.84	6.75	18.00
Coal	0.04	0.14	0.63	98.74	0.36	0.09	1.26
Gas	0.11	0.11	0.99	0.10	98.48	0.22	1.52
S&P500	32.26	20.24	3.71	0.04	0.16	43.59	56.41
Directional to others	53.71	37.44	11.79	0.71	1.53	68.60	173.78
Net Directional Con.	2.33	-7.78	-6.21	-0.55	0.01	12.19	28.96
			First Sub-sa	ample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	44.13	11.07	7.68	0.30	0.02	36.80	55.87
ISE.Wind	13.92	57.43	7.19	0.63	0.14	20.69	42.57
Crude Oil	11.12	8.15	63.79	1.19	1.10	14.65	36.21
Coal	0.97	1.51	2.27	93.48	0.46	1.30	6.52
Gas	0.57	1.24	1.70	0.59	95.46	0.44	4.54
S&P500	34.07	15.51	9.45	0.41	0.07	40.51	59.49
Directonal to others	60.64	37.48	28.28	3.12	1.78	73.89	205.20
Net Directional Con.	4.77	-5.09	-7.93	-3.39	-2.75	14.40	34.20
			Second Sub-	sample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	57.30	10.07	2.46	0.05	0.21	29.91	42.70
ISE.Wind	13.04	58.78	2.02	0.19	0.07	25.89	41.22
Crude Oil	3.58	2.50	88.94	0.37	1.06	3.55	11.06
Coal	0.09	0.17	0.85	98.56	0.12	0.21	1.44
Gas	0.28	0.10	1.22	0.30	98.05	0.05	1.95
S&P500	28.09	20.23	2.73	0.05	0.05	48.84	51.16
Directional to others	45.09	33.08	9.27	0.96	1.51	59.61	149.53
Net Directional Con.	2.38	-8.14	-1.78	-0.48	-0.44	8.45	24.92
			m), 1 d 1	,			
	1440		Third Sub-s	ample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	44.46	17.20	2.99	0.05	0.55	34.76	55.54
ISE.Wind	19.19	46.42	2.84	0.02	0.29	31.25	53.58
Crude Oil	5.52	4.81	82.43	0.48	0.75	6.00	17.57
Coal	0.01	0.07	0.57	98.77	0.51	0.07	1.23
Gas	0.42	0.38	0.86	0.13	97.68	0.52	2.32
S&P500	31.27	25.90	2.85	0.05	0.41	39.53	60.47
Directional to others	56.41	48.35	10.11	0.73	2.51	72.61	190.71
Net Directional Con.	0.86	-5.22	-7.47	-0.51	0.19	12.14	31.79

Table 7: Benchmark model including RENIXX (Returns)

Variables			Whole sa	mple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	RENIXX	From
MAC	53.98	13.82	3.80	0.02	0.12	28.25	46.02
ISE.Wind	16.78	59.96	3.30	0.06	0.07	19.83	40.04
Crude Oil	5.91	4.30	84.70	0.51	0.88	3.71	15.30
Coal	0.04	0.15	0.62	98.83	0.35	0.01	1.17
Gas	0.12	0.10	1.00	0.09	98.62	0.07	1.38
RENIXX	29.87	16.78	2.57	0.05	0.08	50.65	49.35
Directional to others	52.72	35.14	11.29	0.74	1.50	51.87	153.26
Net Directional Con.	6.69	-4.90	-4.01	-0.43	0.12	2.52	25.54
			First Sub-s	ample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	RENIXX	From
MAC	50.69	12.34	8.80	0.34	0.02	27.81	49.31
ISE.Wind	14.58	61.77	7.65	0.65	0.09	15.27	38.23
Crude Oil	12.00	8.73	68.95	1.28	1.16	7.88	31.05
Coal	0.98	1.49	2.28	94.29	0.47	0.50	5.71
Gas	0.57	1.10	1.66	0.60	95.77	0.31	4.23
RENIXX	31.36	13.75	6.45	0.19	0.04	48.21	51.79
Directional to others	59.47	37.40	26.84	3.06	1.79	51.77	180.33
Net Directional Con.	10.16	-0.84	-4.21	-2.66	-2.44	-0.02	30.05
			Second Sub-	sample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	RENIXX	From
MAC	60.17	11.55	4.05	0.02	0.01	24.19	39.83
ISE.Wind	13.97	60.84	3.97	0.07	0.09	21.06	39.16
Crude Oil	5.50	5.13	85.42	0.02	1.03	2.89	14.58
Coal	0.01	0.15	0.14	99.26	0.37	0.06	0.74
Gas	0.03	0.21	1.22	0.13	98.40	0.00	1.60
RENIXX	26.07	18.54	2.50	0.07	0.02	52.80	47.20
Directional to others	45.58	35.59	11.89	0.31	1.52	48.21	143.10
Net Directional Con.	5.75	-3.57	-2.69	-0.43	-0.08	1.01	23.85
			Third Sub-s	sample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	RENIXX	From
MAC	42.07	22.26	1.90	0.00	1.08	31.47	56.03
ISE.Wind	45.97	22.20	1.20	0.02	1.00	01.41	00.00
	43.97 25.10	47.66	1.20	$0.02 \\ 0.03$	0.36	25.85	52.34
Crude Oil	43.97 25.10 3.36		1.20 1.01 88.21	$0.02 \\ 0.03 \\ 1.27$	$0.36 \\ 0.93$	25.85 2.80	$52.34 \\ 11.79$
Crude Oil Coal	43.97 25.10 3.36 0.00		$ 1.20 \\ 1.01 \\ 88.21 \\ 1.38 $	0.02 0.03 1.27 97.66	$ \begin{array}{r} 1.00 \\ 0.36 \\ 0.93 \\ 0.84 \end{array} $	25.85 2.80 0.04	52.34 11.79 2.34
Crude Oil Coal Gas	$ \begin{array}{r} 43.97 \\ 25.10 \\ 3.36 \\ 0.00 \\ 1.10 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 22.20\\ 47.66\\ 3.42\\ 0.08\\ 0.47\end{array}$	$ 1.20 \\ 1.01 \\ 88.21 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.11 $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.03 \\ 1.27 \\ 97.66 \\ 0.51 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.00\\ 0.36\\ 0.93\\ 0.84\\ 96.00\end{array}$	25.85 2.80 0.04 0.82	$52.34 \\ 11.79 \\ 2.34 \\ 4.00$
Crude Oil Coal Gas RENIXX	$\begin{array}{c} 43.97\\ 25.10\\ 3.36\\ 0.00\\ 1.10\\ 31.88\end{array}$	$22.20 \\ 47.66 \\ 3.42 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.47 \\ 23.12$	$ 1.20 \\ 1.01 \\ 88.21 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.11 \\ 0.70 $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.03 \\ 1.27 \\ 97.66 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36 \\ 0.93 \\ 0.84 \\ 96.00 \\ 0.70 \end{array}$	25.85 2.80 0.04 0.82 43.48	52.34 11.79 2.34 4.00 56.52
Crude Oil Coal Gas RENIXX Directional to others	$\begin{array}{c} 43.97\\ 25.10\\ 3.36\\ 0.00\\ 1.10\\ 31.88\\ 61.45\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.20\\ 47.66\\ 3.42\\ 0.08\\ 0.47\\ 23.12\\ 49.34\end{array}$	$1.20 \\ 1.01 \\ 88.21 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.11 \\ 0.70 \\ 5.41$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.03 \\ 1.27 \\ 97.66 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.12 \\ 1.95 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36\\ 0.93\\ 0.84\\ 96.00\\ 0.70\\ 3.91 \end{array}$	$25.85 \\ 2.80 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.82 \\ 43.48 \\ 60.98$	$52.34 \\ 11.79 \\ 2.34 \\ 4.00 \\ 56.52 \\ 183.03$
Crude Oil Coal Gas RENIXX Directional to others Net Directional Con.	$\begin{array}{c} 43.97\\ 25.10\\ 3.36\\ 0.00\\ 1.10\\ 31.88\\ 61.45\\ 5.42\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 22.20\\ 47.66\\ 3.42\\ 0.08\\ 0.47\\ 23.12\\ 49.34\\ -3.01 \end{array}$	$1.20 \\ 1.01 \\ 88.21 \\ 1.38 \\ 1.11 \\ 0.70 \\ 5.41 \\ -6.39$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 0.03 \\ 1.27 \\ 97.66 \\ 0.51 \\ 0.12 \\ 1.95 \\ -0.39 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.36\\ 0.93\\ 0.84\\ 96.00\\ 0.70\\ 3.91\\ -0.09\\ \end{array}$	$25.85 \\ 2.80 \\ 0.04 \\ 0.82 \\ 43.48 \\ 60.98 \\ 4.45$	52.34 11.79 2.34 4.00 56.52 183.03 30.50

Table 8: Benchmark model including ECO (Returns)

Variables			Whole samp	ole			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	48.88	12.61	3.43	0.03	0.11	34.94	51.12
ISE.Wind	17.35	61.37	3.42	0.05	0.08	17.72	38.63
Crude Oil	5.61	4.17	80.75	0.49	0.83	8.16	19.25
Coal	0.04	0.15	0.63	98.80	0.35	0.03	1.20
Gas	0.12	0.11	0.99	0.09	98.48	0.21	1.52
ECO	34.41	12.62	4.82	0.03	0.12	48.00	52.00
Directional to others	57.53	29.65	13.29	0.70	1.49	61.07	173.72
Net Directional Con.	6.40	-8.97	-5.96	-0.50	-0.03	9.07	27.29
			First Sub-san	nple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	45.96	11.13	7.99	0.31	0.02	34.58	54.04
ISE.Wind	14.66	61.64	7.71	0.59	0.09	15.32	38.36
Crude Oil	11.03	7.94	63.30	1.14	1.08	15.51	36.70
Coal	0.99	1.37	2.22	93.14	0.48	1.80	6.86
Gas	0.57	1.03	1.68	0.60	95.55	0.58	4.45
ECO	33.20	11.35	10.76	0.50	0.13	44.05	55.95
Directional to others	60.44	32.83	30.37	3.14	1.79	67.79	196.36
Net Directional Con.	6.40	-5.53	-6.34	-3.72	-2.66	11.84	32.73
		S	econd Sub-sa	mple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	50.07	10.20	4.26	0.02	0.02	35.44	49.93
ISE.Wind	15.20	60.51	5.27	0.07	0.08	16.87	37.49
Crude Oil	6.19	5.89	77.72	0.03	0.72	9.46	22.28
Coal	0.01	0.16	0.17	99.24	0.37	0.05	0.76
Gas	0.04	0.19	0.92	0.13	98.71	0.01	1.29
ECO	34.39	10.45	6.03	0.01	0.01	49.12	50.88
Directional to others	55.82	26.90	16.65	0.26	1.19	61.82	162.64
Net Directional Con.	5.89	-10.59	-5.63	-0.51	-0.09	10.94	27.11
		,	Third Sub-sai	nple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	43.15	22.10	1.10	0.02	1.03	32.60	56.85
ISE.Wind	25.96	48.82	1.09	0.04	0.39	23.70	51.18
Crude Oil	2.76	3.20	87.03	1.27	0.66	5.09	12.97
Coal	0.01	0.07	1.41	97.55	0.84	0.12	2.45
Gas	1.06	0.49	0.70	0.50	96.11	1.13	3.89
ECO	33.02	20.37	2.10	0.08	0.62	43.81	56.19
Directional to others	62.81	46.23	6.40	1.91	3.54	62.63	183.53
Net Directional Con.	5.96	-4.95	-6.57	-0.54	-0.35	6.45	30.59

Table 9: Benchmark model (Volatility)

Variables	Whole sample						
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	From	
MAC	97.14	1.92	0.86	0.07	0.02	2.86	
ISE.Wind	1.29	98.61	0.09	0.00	0.01	1.39	
Crude Oil	4.06	0.36	95.41	0.10	0.07	4.59	
Coal	0.05	0.00	0.36	98.86	0.73	1.14	
Gas	0.06	0.04	0.48	0.28	99.14	0.86	
Directional to others	5.45	2.33	1.78	0.44	0.83	10.84	
Net Directional Con.	2.59	0.94	-2.80	-0.70	-0.02	2.17	
		Fii	st Sub-sampl	e			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	From	
MAC	68.70	21.41	9.61	0.02	0.26	31.30	
ISE.Wind	18.57	69.65	11.32	0.06	0.39	30.35	
Crude Oil	4.97	16.45	78.44	0.01	0.13	21.56	
Coal	0.16	0.09	0.46	93.08	6.21	6.92	
Gas	0.06	2.11	0.74	0.54	96.56	3.44	
Directional to others	23.75	40.06	22.13	0.64	6.99	93.57	
Net Directional Con.	-7.55	9.71	0.57	-6.28	3.55	18.71	
		Seco	ond Sub-samp	ole			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	From	
MAC	99.42	0.22	0.19	0.15	0.02	0.58	
ISE.Wind	0.24	99.70	0.04	0.00	0.02	0.30	
Crude Oil	2.07	0.19	97.50	0.03	0.21	2.50	
Coal	0.30	0.02	0.04	98.20	1.44	1.80	
Gas			0.01				
	0.09	0.19	0.45	0.12	99.14	0.86	
Directional to others	$0.09 \\ 2.70$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.19 \\ 0.62 \end{array}$	$0.45 \\ 0.72$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12\\ 0.31 \end{array}$	$99.14 \\ 1.68$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.86 \\ 6.03 \end{array}$	
Directional to others Net Directional Con.	$0.09 \\ 2.70 \\ 2.12$	$0.19 \\ 0.62 \\ 0.32$	0.45 0.72 -1.78	0.12 0.31 -1.49	$99.14 \\ 1.68 \\ 0.83$	0.86 6.03 1.21	
Directional to others Net Directional Con.	$0.09 \\ 2.70 \\ 2.12$	0.19 0.62 0.32	0.45 0.72 -1.78	0.12 0.31 -1.49	$99.14 \\ 1.68 \\ 0.83$	0.86 6.03 1.21	
Directional to others Net Directional Con.	0.09 2.70 2.12	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th	0.45 0.72 -1.78 ird Sub-samp	0.12 0.31 -1.49 le	99.14 1.68 0.83	0.86 6.03 1.21	
Directional to others Net Directional Con.	0.09 2.70 2.12 MAC	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th ISE.Wind	0.45 0.72 -1.78 ird Sub-samp Crude Oil	$0.12 \\ 0.31 \\ -1.49 \\ le \\ \hline Coal \\ 0.52 \\ \hline 0$	99.14 1.68 0.83 Gas	0.86 6.03 1.21	
Directional to others Net Directional Con.	0.09 2.70 2.12 MAC 55.42 28 11	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th ISE.Wind 36.54 52.57	0.45 0.72 -1.78 ird Sub-samp Crude Oil 7.28 0.18	$ \begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.31 \\ -1.49 \\ \hline $	99.14 1.68 0.83 Gas 0.24 0.07	0.86 6.03 1.21 From 44.58	
Directional to others Net Directional Con. MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil	0.09 2.70 2.12 MAC 55.42 38.11 14.05	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th ISE.Wind 36.54 52.57 7 52	0.45 0.72 -1.78 ird Sub-samp Crude Oil 7.28 9.18 77 75	$0.12 \\ 0.31 \\ -1.49 \\ le \\ \hline Coal \\ 0.52 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.42 \\ \hline \end{array}$	99.14 1.68 0.83 Gas 0.24 0.07 0.26	0.86 6.03 1.21 From 44.58 47.43 22 25	
Directional to others Net Directional Con. MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Cool	0.09 2.70 2.12 MAC 55.42 38.11 14.05 0.26	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th ISE.Wind 36.54 52.57 7.52 0.18	0.45 0.72 -1.78 ird Sub-samp <u>Crude Oil</u> 7.28 9.18 77.75 1 13	$0.12 \\ 0.31 \\ -1.49 \\ le \\ \hline Coal \\ 0.52 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.42 \\ 0.7 \\ 77 \\ \hline \end{array}$	99.14 1.68 0.83 Gas 0.24 0.07 0.26 0.66	0.86 6.03 1.21 From 44.58 47.43 22.25 2.23	
Directional to others Net Directional Con. MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal	0.09 2.70 2.12 MAC 55.42 38.11 14.05 0.26 0.04	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th ISE.Wind 36.54 52.57 7.52 0.18 0.12	0.45 0.72 -1.78 ird Sub-samp Crude Oil 7.28 9.18 77.75 1.13 0.51	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.31 \\ -1.49 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.52 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.42 \\ 97.77 \\ 0.08 \\ \end{array}$	99.14 1.68 0.83 Gas 0.24 0.26 0.66 0.024	0.86 6.03 1.21 From 44.58 47.43 22.25 2.23 0.76	
Directional to others Net Directional Con. MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal Gas Directional to others	0.09 2.70 2.12 <u>MAC</u> 55.42 38.11 14.05 0.26 0.04 52.47	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th ISE.Wind 36.54 52.57 7.52 0.18 0.13 44.37	$0.45 \\ 0.72 \\ -1.78 \\ \hline Crude Oil \\ \hline 7.28 \\ 9.18 \\ 77.75 \\ 1.13 \\ 0.51 \\ 18 \\ 10 \\ \hline 10 \\ \hline 10 \\ 10 \\ \hline 10 \\ 10 \\ 1$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12 \\ 0.31 \\ -1.49 \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.52 \\ 0.08 \\ 0.42 \\ 97.77 \\ 0.08 \\ 1.09 \\ \end{array}$	99.14 1.68 0.83 Gas 0.24 0.07 0.26 0.66 99.24 1.22	0.86 6.03 1.21 From 44.58 47.43 22.25 2.23 0.76 117.26	
Directional to others Net Directional Con. MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal Gas Directional to others Net Directional Cor	0.09 2.70 2.12 <u>MAC</u> 55.42 38.11 14.05 0.26 0.04 52.47 7.80	0.19 0.62 0.32 Th ISE.Wind 36.54 52.57 7.52 0.18 0.13 44.37 2.07	$0.45 \\ 0.72 \\ -1.78 \\ \hline Crude Oil \\ \hline 7.28 \\ 9.18 \\ 77.75 \\ 1.13 \\ 0.51 \\ 18.10 \\ 4.15 \\ \hline $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.12\\ 0.31\\ -1.49\\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ 0.52\\ 0.08\\ 0.42\\ 97.77\\ 0.08\\ 1.09\\ 1.14\\ \end{array}$	99.14 1.68 0.83 Gas 0.24 0.07 0.26 0.66 99.24 1.23 0.47	0.86 6.03 1.21 From 44.58 47.43 22.25 2.23 0.76 117.26 23 45	

Table 10: Benchmark model including ERIX (Volatility)

Variables			Whole samp	ple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ERIX	From
MAC	72.02	1.37	0.56	0.08	0.02	25.96	27.98
ISE.Wind	1.03	95.66	0.08	0.00	0.01	3.21	4.34
Crude Oil	3.61	0.33	93.62	0.09	0.07	2.27	6.38
Coal	0.04	0.00	0.37	98.79	0.73	0.08	1.21
Gas	0.04	0.04	0.47	0.27	99.11	0.06	0.89
ERIX	20.49	2.38	0.20	0.01	0.03	76.88	23.12
Directional to others	25.21	4.12	1.68	0.46	0.86	31.57	63.90
Net Directional Con.	-2.76	-0.22	-4.70	-0.75	-0.03	8.45	10.65
			First Sub-san	nple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ERIX	From
MAC	56.91	18.26	7.82	0.02	0.17	16.81	43.09
ISE.Wind	13.31	50.47	8.10	0.05	0.27	27.80	49.53
Crude Oil	4.28	14.98	69.32	0.01	0.09	11.31	30.68
Coal	0.17	0.09	0.48	92.87	6.29	0.09	7.13
Gas	0.04	2.19	0.66	0.54	93.63	2.93	6.37
ERIX	13.76	32.60	6.31	0.04	0.48	46.81	53.19
Directional to others	31.56	68.13	23.38	0.65	7.31	58.95	189.97
Net Directional Con.	-11.52	18.61	-7.30	-6.48	0.94	5.76	31.66
		S	econd Sub-sa	mple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ERIX	From
MAC	91.52	0.17	0.01	0.19	0.04	8.06	8.48
ISE.Wind	0.27	99.30	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.41	0.70
Crude Oil	0.85	0.03	94.60	0.01	0.07	4.44	5.40
Coal	0.39	0.00	0.09	98.90	0.55	0.07	1.10
Gas	0.01	0.10	0.03	0.07	99.55	0.23	0.45
ERIX	10.60	0.18	0.21	0.18	0.06	88.77	11.23
Directional to others	12.12	0.49	0.35	0.47	0.72	13.21	27.36
Net Directional Con.	3.64	-0.22	-5.05	-0.63	0.28	1.98	4.56
		r	Third Sub-sa	mple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ERIX	From
MAC	41.29	26.46	2.14	0.26	0.56	29.29	58.71
ISE.Wind	22.18	37.76	1.74	0.00	0.10	38.23	62.24
Crude Oil	8.98	4.40	82.42	0.41	0.49	3.30	17.58
Coal	0.46	0.01	0.67	97.54	1.24	0.08	2.46
Gas	0.02	0.01	0.84	0.19	98.31	0.63	1.69
ERIX	22.05	27.95	1.13	0.09	0.05	48.73	51.27
Directional to others	53.68	58.83	6.53	0.96	2.44	71.52	193.95
Net Directional Con.	-5.33	-3.41	-11.06	-1.50	0.75	20.26	32.33

Table 11: Benchmark model including S&P500 (Volatility)

Variables			Whole san	nple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	56.78	0.85	0.35	0.04	0.04	41.93	43.22
ISE.Wind	1.22	95.52	0.06	0.00	0.00	3.19	4.48
Crude Oil	3.57	0.27	87.20	0.09	0.08	8.79	12.80
Coal	0.05	0.00	0.37	98.84	0.72	0.02	1.16
Gas	0.06	0.06	0.49	0.28	99.07	0.04	0.93
S&P500	36.33	1.50	1.25	0.01	0.03	60.89	39.11
Directional to others	41.21	2.68	2.53	0.42	0.88	53.97	101.69
Net Directional Con.	-2.00	-1.80	-10.27	-0.74	-0.05	14.87	16.95
			First Sub-sa	ample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	46.42	13.65	5.31	0.03	0.14	34.45	53.58
ISE.Wind	14.87	46.92	6.20	0.06	0.22	31.73	53.08
Crude Oil	5.72	14.48	65.45	0.00	0.09	14.26	34.55
Coal	0.05	0.08	0.70	92.77	6.36	0.04	7.23
Gas	0.09	2.08	0.63	0.54	95.59	1.07	4.41
S&P500	26.22	23.74	6.75	0.04	0.23	43.01	56.99
Directional to others	46.95	54.03	19.60	0.68	7.04	81.55	209.85
Net Directional Con.	-6.63	0.95	-14.96	-6.55	2.63	24.57	34.98
			~ . ~ .				
			Second Sub-s	sample	~		
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	74.54	0.17	0.15	0.11	0.02	25.01	25.46
ISE. Wind	0.23	98.99	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.73	1.01
Crude Oil	1.93	0.06	93.78	0.03	0.27	4.04	6.22
Coal	0.31	0.00	0.03	98.20	1.44	0.02	1.80
Gas	0.12	0.15	0.26	0.06	99.28	0.13	0.72
S&P500	27.52	0.08	1.07	0.08	0.13	71.12	28.88
Directional to others	30.12	0.46	1.51	0.28	1.80	29.93	64.10
Net Directional Con.	4.65	-0.55	-4.71	-1.52	1.08	1.05	10.68
			m 1 · 1 G 1	,			
	MAG	ICE W. 1	Third Sub-s	ample	a		
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	S&P500	From
MAC	36.42	23.17	5.44	0.31	0.16	34.50	63.58
ISE. Wind	25.77	32.28	7.16	0.03	0.03	34.74	67.72
Crude Oil	15.21	15.33	50.87	0.15	0.03	18.40	49.13
Coal	0.17	0.17	0.47	98.61	0.53	0.05	1.39
Gas	0.03	0.65	0.11	0.07	99.06	0.08	0.94
S&P500	31.03	24.79	7.16	0.08	0.08	36.86	63.14
Directional to others	72.22	64.10	20.34	0.64	0.82	87.78	245.90
Net Directional Con.	8.64	-3.62	-28.79	-0.74	-0.12	24.64	40.98

Table 12: Benchmark model including RENIXX (Volatility)

Variables			Whole sa	mple			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	RENIXX	From
MAC	63.62	1.19	0.65	0.08	0.04	34.43	36.38
ISE.Wind	1.19	96.83	0.10	0.00	0.01	1.87	3.17
Crude Oil	3.75	0.33	91.08	0.09	0.08	4.67	8.92
Coal	0.05	0.00	0.36	98.83	0.73	0.02	1.17
Gas	0.07	0.05	0.47	0.29	99.10	0.01	0.90
RENIXX	29.79	1.40	1.35	0.00	0.02	67.44	32.56
Directional to others	34.86	2.97	2.92	0.46	0.87	41.01	83.09
Net Directional Con.	-1.52	-0.20	-6.00	-0.71	-0.03	8.46	13.85
			First Sub-s	ample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	RENIXX	From
MAC	52.23	16.20	6.95	0.03	0.16	24.44	47.77
ISE Wind	14.64	54.82	8.63	0.05	0.27	21.59	45.18
Crude Oil	4.62	15.24	71.58	0.00	0.08	8.48	28.42
Coal	0.16	0.09	0.48	92.97	6.26	0.04	7.03
Gas	0.06	2.08	0.60	0.57	95.84	0.85	4.16
RENIXX	23.74	22.42	4.94	0.02	0.02	48.86	51.14
Directional to others	43.21	56.03	21.59	0.68	6.80	55.39	183.70
Net Directional Con.	-4.56	10.84	-6.82	-6.35	2.64	4.25	30.62
			Second Sub-	sample			
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	RENIXX	From
MAC	79.05	0.12	0.02	0.16	0.06	20.58	20.95
ISE.Wind	0.14	99.76	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.06	0.24
Crude Oil	1.14	0.09	91.10	0.02	0.11	7.53	8.90
Coal	0.36	0.00	0.11	98.94	0.58	0.01	1.06
Gas	0.05	0.15	0.02	0.07	99.69	0.03	0.31
RENIXX	23.29	0.06	1.30	0.28	0.78	28.21	55.97
Directional to others	24.97	0.43	1.30	0.28	0.78	28.21	55.97
Net Directional Con.				0.00			
nee Breetionar com	4.02	0.19	-7.60	-0.78	0.47	3.70	9.33
	4.02	0.19	-7.60 Third Sub-s	-0.78	0.47	3.70	9.33
	4.02	0.19 ISE.Wind	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil	-0.78 sample Coal	0.47 Gas	3.70 RENIXX	9.33 From
MAC	4.02 MAC 37.55	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33	0.47 Gas 0.60	3.70 RENIXX 35.05	9.33 From 62.45
MAC ISE.Wind	4.02 MAC 37.55 25.33	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97 43.73	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51 2.13	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33 0.01	0.47 Gas 0.60 0.08	3.70 RENIXX 35.05 28.72	9.33 From 62.45 56.27
MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil	4.02 MAC 37.55 25.33 10.15	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97 43.73 4.69	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51 2.13 78.48	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33 0.01 0.47	0.47 Gas 0.60 0.08 0.26	3.70 RENIXX 35.05 28.72 5.94	9.33 From 62.45 56.27 21.52
MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal	4.02 MAC 37.55 25.33 10.15 0.44	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97 43.73 4.69 0.01	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51 2.13 78.48 0.79	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33 0.01 0.47 97.15	0.47 Gas 0.60 0.08 0.26 1.36	3.70 RENIXX 35.05 28.72 5.94 0.25	9.33 From 62.45 56.27 21.52 2.85
MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal Gas	4.02 MAC 37.55 25.33 10.15 0.44 0.00	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97 43.73 4.69 0.01 0.01	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51 2.13 78.48 0.79 0.83	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33 0.01 0.47 97.15 0.21	0.47 Gas 0.60 0.08 0.26 1.36 98.71	3.70 RENIXX 35.05 28.72 5.94 0.25 0.24	9.33 From 62.45 56.27 21.52 2.85 1.29
MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal Gas RENIXX	4.02 MAC 37.55 25.33 10.15 0.44 0.00 28.42	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97 43.73 4.69 0.01 0.01 17.37	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51 2.13 78.48 0.79 0.83 2.44	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33 0.01 0.47 97.15 0.21 0.02	0.47 Gas 0.60 0.08 0.26 1.36 98.71 0.06	3.70 <u>RENIXX</u> 35.05 28.72 5.94 0.25 0.24 51.69	9.33 From 62.45 56.27 21.52 2.85 1.29 48.31
MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal Gas RENIXX Directional to others	4.02 MAC 37.55 25.33 10.15 0.44 0.00 28.42 64.34	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97 43.73 4.69 0.01 0.01 17.37 46.06	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51 2.13 78.48 0.79 0.83 2.44 8.70	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33 0.01 0.47 97.15 0.21 0.02 1.04	0.47 Gas 0.60 0.08 0.26 1.36 98.71 0.06 2.36	3.70 RENIXX 35.05 28.72 5.94 0.25 0.24 51.69 70.20	9.33 From 62.45 56.27 21.52 2.85 1.29 48.31 192.70
MAC ISE.Wind Crude Oil Coal Gas RENIXX Directional to others Net Directional Con.	4.02 MAC 37.55 25.33 10.15 0.44 0.00 28.42 64.34 1.89	0.19 ISE.Wind 23.97 43.73 4.69 0.01 0.01 17.37 46.06 -10.21	-7.60 Third Sub-s Crude Oil 2.51 2.13 78.48 0.79 0.83 2.44 8.70 -12.83	-0.78 sample Coal 0.33 0.01 0.47 97.15 0.21 0.02 1.04 -1.81	0.47 Gas 0.60 0.08 0.26 1.36 98.71 0.06 2.36 1.06	3.70 RENIXX 35.05 28.72 5.94 0.25 0.24 51.69 70.20 21.89	9.33 From 62.45 56.27 21.52 2.85 1.29 48.31 192.70 32.12

Table 13: Benchmark model including ECO (Volatility)

Variables	Whole sample						
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	60.65	1.07	0.39	0.05	0.02	37.83	39.35
ISE.Wind	1.13	97.00	0.06	0.00	0.01	1.81	3.00
Crude Oil	3.35	0.27	87.86	0.09	0.06	8.37	12.14
Coal	0.05	0.00	0.39	98.83	0.73	0.00	1.17
Gas	0.05	0.04	0.46	0.28	98.89	0.28	1.11
ECO	35.90	1.65	1.12	0.00	0.01	61.32	38.68
Directional to others	40.47	3.04	2.41	0.42	0.83	48.28	95.45
Net Directional Con.	1.12	0.03	-9.73	-0.75	-0.27	9.60	15.91
	First Sub-sample						
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	49.78	14.68	6.28	0.01	0.14	29.10	50.22
ISE.Wind	15.25	56.32	8.73	0.05	0.28	19.37	43.68
Crude Oil	4.51	14.23	70.42	0.02	0.08	10.73	29.58
Coal	0.15	0.09	0.44	93.01	6.19	0.13	6.99
Gas	0.05	1.93	0.62	0.53	96.42	0.44	3.58
ECO	22.46	19.96	9.33	0.02	0.16	48.07	51.93
Directional to others	42.43	50.90	25.39	0.63	6.84	59.77	185.97
Net Directional Con.	-7.78	7.22	-4.18	-6.35	3.26	7.84	30.99
	Second Sub-sample						
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	44.26	0.40	18.72	0.04	0.04	36.53	55.74
ISE.Wind	1.69	94.16	2.02	0.02	0.01	2.09	5.84
Crude Oil	15.17	0.93	55.86	0.02	0.04	27.98	44.14
Coal	0.27	0.01	0.02	98.84	0.74	0.12	1.16
Gas	0.03	0.04	0.24	0.19	99.43	0.07	0.57
ECO	28.13	1.10	26.36	0.02	0.05	44.34	55.66
Directional to others	45.28	2.49	47.36	0.30	0.89	66.80	163.11
Net Directional Con.	-10.45	-3.35	3.22	-0.87	0.32	11.13	27.18
	Third Sub-sample						
	MAC	ISE.Wind	Crude Oil	Coal	Gas	ECO	From
MAC	54.67	9.34	0.15	0.64	0.77	34.43	45.33
ISE.Wind	20.86	57.23	0.24	0.02	0.02	21.63	42.77
Crude Oil	0.14	0.08	98.73	0.37	0.52	0.16	1.27
Coal	0.42	0.01	1.63	93.69	4.18	0.07	6.31
Gas	1.63	0.04	0.56	0.94	96.67	0.16	3.33
ECO	34.03	11.17	0.14	0.07	0.07	54.51	45.49
Directional to others	57.09	20.63	2.73	2.03	5.56	56.45	144.49
Net Directional Con.	11.76	-22.14	1.47	-4.28	2.23	10.97	24.08



Figure 1: Benchmark model overall spillover (Return System).



Figure 2: Benchmark model including ERIX overall spillover (Return System).



Figure 3: Benchmark model including S&P500 overall spillover (Return System).



Figure 4: Benchmark model including RENIXX overall spillover (Return System).



Figure 5: Benchmark model including ECO overall spillover (Return System).



 $Figure \ 6: \ {\rm Benchmark \ model \ overall \ spillover \ (Volatility \ System)}.$



 $Figure \ 7: \ {\rm Benchmark \ model \ including \ ERIX \ overall \ spillover \ (Volatility \ System)}.$



 $Figure \ 8: \ {\tt Benchmark \ model \ including \ S\&P500 \ overall \ spillover \ (Volatility \ System)}.$



 $Figure \ 9: \ {\tt Benchmark \ model \ including \ RENIXX \ overall \ spillover \ (Volatility \ System)}.$



 $Figure \ 10: \ {\rm Benchmark \ model \ including \ ECO \ overall \ spillover \ (Volatility \ System)}.$