

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Alemán Castilla, Benjamín

### Working Paper Trade and decent work: Adequate earnings in the Mexican manufacturing industries

ILO Working Paper, No. 37

**Provided in Cooperation with:** International Labour Organization (ILO), Geneva

*Suggested Citation:* Alemán Castilla, Benjamín (2021) : Trade and decent work: Adequate earnings in the Mexican manufacturing industries, ILO Working Paper, No. 37, ISBN 978-92-2-035059-1, International Labour Organization (ILO), Geneva

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/263103

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo/

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





ILO Working Paper 37
 July / 2021

# Trade and Decent Work:

Adequate Earnings in the Mexican Manufacturing Industries

Author / Benjamin Aleman-Castilla

Copyright © International Labour Organization 2021



This is an open access work distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 IGO License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo). Users can reuse, share, adapt and build upon the original work, even for commercial purposes, as detailed in the License. The ILO must be clearly credited as the owner of the original work. The use of the emblem of the ILO is not permitted in connection with users' work.

**Translations** – In case of a translation of this work, the following disclaimer must be added along with the attribution: *This translation was not created by the International Labour Office (ILO) and should not be considered an official ILO translation. The ILO is not responsible for the content or accuracy of this translation.* 

**Adaptations** – In case of an adaptation of this work, the following disclaimer must be added along with the attribution: *This is an adaptation of an original work by the International Labour Office (ILO). Responsibility for the views and opinions expressed in the adaptation rests solely with the author or authors of the adaptation and are not endorsed by the ILO.* 

All queries on rights and licensing should be addressed to ILO Publications (Rights and Licensing), CH-1211 Geneva 22, Switzerland, or by email to rights@ilo.org.

ISBN: 9789220350584 (print) ISBN: 9789220350591 (web-pdf) ISBN: 9789220350607 (epub) ISBN: 9789220350614 (mobi) ISSN: 2708-3446

The designations employed in ILO publications, which are in conformity with United Nations practice, and the presentation of material therein do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the International Labour Office concerning the legal status of any country, area or territory or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers.

The responsibility for opinions expressed in signed articles, studies and other contributions rests solely with their authors, and publication does not constitute an endorsement by the International Labour Office of the opinions expressed in them.

Reference to names of firms and commercial products and processes does not imply their endorsement by the International Labour Office, and any failure to mention a particular firm, commercial product or process is not a sign of disapproval.

ILO Working Papers summarize the results of ILO research in progress, and seek to stimulate discussion of a range of issues related to the world of work. Comments on this ILO Working Paper are welcome and can be sent to research@ilo.org.

Authorization for publication: Richard Marc, SAMANS, Department Director, RESEARCH

ILO Working Papers can be found at: www.ilo.org/global/publications/working-papers

#### Suggested citation:

Aleman-Castilla, B. 2021. *Trade and Decent Work: : Adequate Earnings in the Mexican Manufacturing Industries*, ILO Working Paper 37 (Geneva, ILO).

# Abstract

This paper analyses the impact of non-preferential trade liberalization and exposure to globalization on "adequate earnings" in the Mexican manufacturing industries between 2003 and 2020, using data from the National Survey of Occupation and Employment and from the annual surveys of manufacturing industries. By means of panel data and three-stage least squares estimation strategies, it is found that, although exposure to globalization is not robustly associated with gross daily wages per employee, non-discriminatory trade liberalization and exposure to globalization contributed to a reduction in both the working poverty rate among employed persons and the share of employees with low pay rates. The paper is a contribution to the project "Trade, enterprises and labour markets: Diagnostic and firm level assessment (ASSESS)", jointly funded by the European Commission and the ILO.

# About the author

**Benjamin Aleman-Castilla** is Professor of Economics at the Economic Environment Department of IPADE Business School in Mexico City. He previously worked for 12 years in the Mexican public sector, in the ministries of finance at both state and federal level. He earned his PhD from the London School of Economics and Political Science (LSE) in 2007 with a thesis on trade, migration and labour markets.

# Table of contents

	Abstract	01
	About the author	01
	Introduction	06
▶ 1	Data and preliminary analysis	09
	1.1 Firm, worker and trade data	09
	1.2 Preliminary analysis	10
▶ 2	Econometric analysis	12
	2.1 Panel data	12
	2.2 Three-stage least squares	13
	A. First stage results	14
	B. Second stage results	14
	C. Third stage results	14
	Conclusions	16
	Annex	17
	References	57
	Acknowledgements	61

# List of Figures

Figure 1. Working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE) (percentage of employed per- sons living in households with incomes below the national poverty line)	17
Figure 2. WPRE gap between manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries (ratio of WPRE manufacturing to WPRE non-manufacturing)	18
Figure 3. Employees with low pay rates (ELPR) (percentage of employees paid less than two- thirds of median earnings)	19
Figure 4. ELPR gap between manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries (ratio of ELPR manufacturing to ELPR non-manufacturing)	20
Figure 5. Weighted average tariffs on Mexican imports and exports, 2003–17	21
Figure 6. Trade openness in Mexico, 1950–2017	22
Figure 7. Correlations for trade and decent work indicators	23
Figure 8. Globalization and gross daily wages per employee (GDWE) (2003–18 weighted aver- age figures for three-digit NAICS Mexican manufacturing)	24
Figure 9. Gross daily wages per employee (GDWE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by three-digit NAICS subsector)	25
Figure 10. Share of imported inputs in total inputs ( <i>inputs</i> ) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by three-digit NAICS subsector)	26
Figure 11. Share of exports in total sales ( <i>exports</i> ) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by three-digit NAICS subsector)	27
Figure 12. Gross daily wages per employee (GDWE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)	28
Figure 13. Share of imported inputs in total inputs ( <i>inputs</i> ) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)	29
Figure 14. Share of exports in total sales ( <i>exports</i> ) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)	30
Figure 15. Share of imported inputs (inputs) vs. gross daily wage per employee (GDWE), 2003–18	31
Figure 16. Share of exports ( <i>exports</i> ) vs. gross daily wage per employee (GDWE), 2003–18	32
Figure 17. Working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by two-digit NAICS sectors)	33
Figure 18. Employees with low pay rates (ELPR) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by two-digit NAICS sectors)	34
Figure 19. Working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)	35
Figure 20. Employees with low pay rates (ELPR) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)	36
Figure 21. Trade-weighted average import tariffs (TWAIT) vs. working poverty rate of em- ployed persons (WPRE), 2005–17	37
Figure 22. Trade-weighted average export tariffs (TWAET) vs. working poverty rate of em- ployed persons (WPRE), 2005–17	38
Figure 23. Trade-weighted average import tariffs (TWAIT) vs. employees with low pay rate (ELPR), 2005–2017	39

Figure 24. Trade-weighted average export tariffs (TWAET) vs. employees with low pay rate (ELPR) 2005–2017	40
Figure 25. Working poverty rate for employed persons (WPRE) (weighted average differen- tials for manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries, four-digit NAICS level)	41
Figure 26. Employees with low pay rates (ELPR) (weighted average differentials for manufac- turing and non-manufacturing industries, four-digit NAICS level)	42
Figure 27. Working poverty differentials for informal workers (i-WPRE) (weighted average informal–formal differentials for manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries, four-digit NAICS level)	43
Figure 28. Low pay rate differentials for informal workers (i-ELPR) (weighted average infor- mal–formal differentials for manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries, four-dig- it NAICS level)	44
Figure 29. Share of imported inputs in total inputs ( <i>inputs</i> ) (weighted average industry differ- entials for manufacturing, four-digit NAICS level)	45
Figure 30. Share of exports in total net sales ( <i>exports</i> ) (weighted-average industry differen- tials for manufacturing, four-digit NAICS level)	46

# **List of Tables**

Table 1. Annual mean values of establishment-level variables	47
Table 2. Annual mean values of worker-level variables	48
Table 3. Panel data models for ln(GDWE)	49
Table 4. Linear probability model for working poverty of employed persons (WPRE)	50
Table 5. Linear probability model for employees with low pay rate (ELPR)	51
Table 6. Linear probability model for informal workers in working poverty (i-WPRE)	52
Table 7. Linear probability model for informal employees with low pay rates (i-ELPR)	53
Table 8. Linear model for share of imported inputs in total inputs (inputs)	54
Table 9. Linear model for share of exports in total sales (exports)	55
Table 10. Effect of non-preferential trade liberalization and exposure to globalization on working poverty and low pay rates	56

### Introduction

Over the past 15 years, the working poverty rate among employed persons – that is, the share of workers living in households whose per capita labour income is lower than the national poverty line – has increased considerably in Mexico (figure 1). Back in 2005, 31 per cent of the country's labour force suffered working poverty. By 2020 this rate had increased by 9 percentage points (pp). Workers in the manufacturing sector have typically done better, exhibiting an average working poverty rate 6 pp lower than that in non-manufacturing sectors. This advantage, however, decreased by almost 13 per cent between 2005 and 2020 (figure 2). A very similar pattern is observed in the share of employees with low pay rates, that is, the fraction of workers who are paid less than two-thirds of median earnings in the labour market (figures 3 and 4). The International Labour Organization (ILO) has proposed that the working poverty rate among employed persons and the share of employees with low pay rates are the main statistical indicators by which countries can monitor their progress in delivering "adequate earnings", understood as "a just share of the fruits of progress to all, and a minimum living wage to all employed" (ILO 2013, 65). Adequate earnings are one of the ten substantive elements of the Decent Work Agenda.<sup>1</sup>

During the same period, Mexico deepened the trade liberalization and globalization processes that began in 1986 when the country joined the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT). It subscribed to seven new trade agreements,<sup>2</sup> making a total of 13 with 50 countries; to 17 new agreements on the promotion and reciprocal protection of investment, making a total of 32 with 33 countries; and to three new economic complementation and partial scope agreements within the framework of the Latin American Integration Association (ALADI), making a total of nine (Secretaría de Economía 2016). Although the most significant trade events for the Mexican economy – such as the GATT accession and the North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA) (replaced in July 2020 with the United States–Mexico–Canada Agreement – USMCA) – occurred in the last two decades of the twentieth century,<sup>3</sup> this continued integration effort in the early years of the new millennium translated into a further 11 pp reduction in the country's trade-weighted average non-preferential import tariff (figure 5) and a 27 pp increase in its level of trade openness as measured by the ratio of the sum of imports and exports to the GDP (figure 6).

In the light of all this, it may be tempting to jump to the conclusion that perhaps trade liberalization and globalization have had a prejudicial role in the rise of working poverty and relatively low wages in Mexico, since these two measures of adequate earnings are negatively correlated with the level of import tariffs and positively correlated with trade openness (figure 7). But the data also indicate that the tradable and highly globalized sector of manufacturing has not strongly diverged from other economic sectors and that the manufacturing industries' greater exposure to globalization (measured by the shares of imported inputs in total inputs and of exports in total sales) is not clearly associated with lower wages (figure 8). On top of this, it is worth keeping in mind that some of the trade agreements recently signed by Mexico include labour provisions that set framework conditions for decent work (Sánchez Gómez et al. 2021).

For the ILO (2013, 2008, 1999 and 2021), decent work is central to poverty reduction and to achieving equitable, inclusive and sustainable development. The Framework on the Measurement of Decent Work covers ten elements: employment opportunities; adequate earnings and productive work; decent working time; combining work, family and personal life; work that should be abolished; stability and security at work; equal opportunity and treatment in employment; safe work environment; social security; and social dialogue and employers', and workers' representation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These were signed with Uruguay (2004); Japan (2005); Peru (2012); Central America (2013); Panama (2015); Colombia, Peru and Chile for the Pacific Alliance (2016); and Australia, Brunei Darussalam, Canada, Chile, Japan, Malaysia, Peru, New Zealand, Singapore and Viet Nam for the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP) (2018), which has not entered fully into force, since it is still awaiting approval by some Members. On 30 December 2018, it entered into force for Australia, Canada, Japan, Mexico, New Zealand and Singapore; and on 14 January 2019 for Viet Nam. See, for example, Government of Canada (2020).

See, for example, table 1 in Aleman-Castilla (2006, 16) for a summary of the evolution of the average tariffs between Mexico and the United States for the 1989–2002 period.

So, how do trade liberalization and exposure to globalization relate to adequate earnings? The theory and empirical evidence on trade and its implications for labour markets and decent work are quite extensive (Aleman-Castilla 2020), but much of the attention has focused on a small group of outcomes, such as wages (e.g., Helpman et al. 2017; Lee and Lee 2015; Krishna, Poole and Senses 2014; Kovak 2013), employment (e.g., Dix-Carneiro and Kovak 2017; Coşar, Guner and Tybout 2016; Autor, Dorn and Hanson 2013) or informality (e.g., Dix-Carneiro et al. 2021; Ben Salem and Zaki 2019; Ulyssea and Ponczek 2018; Cruces, Porto and Viollaz 2018). Although the study of other aspects has expanded recently (e.g., Ben Yahmed 2017, Hakobyan and McLaren 2017 and Juhn, Gergely and Villegas-Sanchez 2014 on gender disparities; or Kis-Katos and Sparrow 2011, Edmonds, Pavcnik and Topalova 2010 and Olarreaga, Sajovici and Ugarte 2020 on child labour), the evidence regarding working poverty – which relates not only to wages and employment opportunities but also to household size and national poverty lines - is still relatively scarce and ambiguous (ILO 2021). In theory, trade openness should help to reduce poverty (Bhagwati and Srinivasan 2002; Ohlin 1933), but cross-country empirical studies have found that this effect depends on country-specific factors such as trade policies, labour market institutions or even transport infrastructure (Mitra 2016), and that it varies significantly across economic sectors and between rural and urban areas (World Bank Group and WTO 2018 and 2015).

To date, most of the single-country studies have used data from one side of the labour market only, typically from labour force surveys, national censuses or administrative sources. Only recently have researchers begun to make more frequent use of linked employer–employee datasets (LEEDs) (e.g., Dix-Carneiro and Kovak 2019; Alfaro-Urena, Manelici and Vásquez 2019; Schröder 2018). This type of data allows researchers to control for firms' and workers' heterogeneity and for characteristics specific to the employment relationship (Mittag 2019; Woodcock 2015; Bryson, Forth and Barber 2006). LEEDs are particularly useful in studying the wage structures of firms, employment mobility, and race and gender discrimination (Abowd, Kramarz and Woodcock 2008). But, despite these advantages, the collection of LEEDs is often expensive and complicated, which partly explains why they have not been more widely used (Jensen 2010).

An alternative could be the complementary use of labour force and establishment surveys.<sup>4</sup> Whereas the former tend to have little information about workplaces, the latter typically provide scarce data about workers (ILO 2020). However, it is usually possible to link the two at a certain level of aggregation, after separately controlling for worker and establishment characteristics. This paper does precisely that in order to study the effects of non-preferential trade liberalization (i.e., the reduction of the industry-average Most Favoured Nation (MFN) import and export tariffs) and exposure to globalization (i.e., the extent to which domestic firms rely on foreign inputs and markets for the production and sales of finished goods) on adequate earnings in the Mexican manufacturing sector between 2003 and 2020. It provides new evidence on the impact of trade on working poverty, using alternative measures that go beyond those traditionally analysed in studies in this field. Two complementary econometric estimation strategies are used for this purpose. First, a panel data procedure applied to firm-level data from the 2003–09 Annual Industrial Survey (EIA-03) and the 2009–18 Annual Manufacturing Industry Surveys (EAIM-09 and EAIM-13) is used to look at the relationship between gross daily wages per employee and exposure to globalization. Second, a threestage least squares estimation approach is used to link these surveys with the 2005–20 National Survey of Occupation and Employment (ENOE) at the four-digit industry level of the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS), and with the 2003-17 trade data available from the World Trade Organization's (WTO's) DATA and Tariff Download Facility, to estimate the effect of non-preferential trade liberalization and exposure to globalization on the working poverty rate among employed persons and the share of employees with low pay rates.

See, for example, table 1 in Aleman-Castilla (2006, 16) for a summary of the evolution of the average tariffs between Mexico and the United States for the 1989–2002 period.

The main results can be deemed consistent with the theories of trade where there is firm heterogeneity (e.g., Sampson 2014; Yeaple 2005; Melitz 2003) and with previous findings from related empirical studies (e.g., Matthee, Rankin and Bezuidenhout 2017; Verhoogen 2008; Schank, Schnabel and Wagner 2007). In the manufacturing sector, although gross daily wages per employee were higher in more productive firms and in those with larger shares of income from maquila, sub-maquila and re-manufacture services,<sup>5</sup> they were not affected by exposure to globalization. On the other hand, non-preferential trade liberalization and higher exposure to globalization contributed to a reduction in both the working poverty rate among employed persons and the share of employees with low pay rates and to a widening of the differences in these two indicators between formal and informal workers. The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 1 describes the data and decent work indicators used and presents a preliminary statistical analysis of their distributions and their relationships with the globalization and trade liberalization variables. Section 2 explains the econometric approaches and presents the corresponding results. The last section concludes.

A maquiladora is a factory at which inputs owned by another firm are assembled into finished products. Sub-maquiladoras provide complementary manufacturing, transformation or repairing services to maquiladoras. Maquila is a term commonly used to refer to the offshoring activities of US companies in Mexico. The EIA-03 survey did not include export-oriented maquiladoras. They were included in the EAIM-09 and EAIM-13 surveys.

### 1 Data and preliminary analysis

This study focuses on the impact of non-preferential *trade liberalization* (i.e., a decrease in the trade-weighted average MFN import and/or export tariffs) and *exposure to globalization* (i.e., the extent to which domestic firms rely on foreign inputs and markets for the production and sales of finished goods) on adequate earnings in the Mexican manufacturing industries over the 2003–18 period. The analysis uses three indicators closely related to those proposed by the ILO for the assessment of the impact of trade on this dimension of decent work (ILO 2021 and 2013):

- a) Gross daily wages per employee (GDWE or *wages*) an establishment-level variable equal to the average gross wage and benefits<sup>6</sup> paid per working day to each worker directly employed by the firm in core production activities.
- **b)** Working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE or *working poverty*) an individual-level indicator for workers aged 15 or over, which is equal to 1 if the person lives in a household whose total monthly income is below the national poverty line<sup>7</sup> and is equal to 0 otherwise.
- c) Employees with low pay rates (ELPR or *low-wage workers*) an individual-level indicator for occupied workers aged 15 or over, which is equal to 1 if the person is paid less than two-thirds of the median earnings in the labour market and is equal to 0 otherwise.

### 1.1 Firm, worker and trade data

Given that the objective is to assess the impact of trade on adequate earnings after controlling for worker and firm characteristics, data from the following surveys by the Mexican National Institute for Statistics and Geography (INEGI) are used: the 2003–08 Annual Industrial Survey (EIA-03); the 2009–18 Annual Survey of the Manufacturing Industry (EAIM-09 and EAIM-13); and the 2005–18 first quarters of the National Survey of Occupation and Employment (ENOE). The EIA and EAIM are annual panel-structured surveys that follow manufacturing establishments over time, classifying them into industries according to the six-digit level of the NAICS, and collecting annual information about their labour force; remuneration; hours and days worked; costs, revenues and value of production; inventories; and fixed assets. The EIA-03 covered 231 industries under the 2002 NAICS, followed 7,294 establishments and excluded export-oriented maquiladoras. The EAIM-09 replaced the EIA-03, adding nine more industries under the 2007 NAICS, increasing the number of establishments to 11,455 and including export-oriented maquiladoras. In 2017 INEGI generated the new series EAIM-13, adjusting the number of establishments in the sample to 10,447 and the industries covered to 239 under the 2013 NAICS.<sup>8</sup> The design of these surveys was based on the International Recommendations for Industrial Statistics of the United Nations (UN 2008), which contain basic guidelines regarding the field of application, statistical units, coverage, data to be collected and published, definitions, methods and organization.<sup>9</sup> Table 1 shows the annual mean values of the variables constructed for this study, which relate to the cost structure, revenues, profitability, productivity, and asset accumulation characteristics of manufacturing firms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> That is, wages before taxes, social security and other legally mandated discounts; converted to US dollars using the annual average official exchange rate to pay for obligations denominated in foreign currency, published by Banco de México. See https://www.banxico.org.mx/SieInternet/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The "poverty line" here refers to the average value, in the first quarter of each year, of the income poverty line for urban areas, estimated by the National Council for Evaluation of Social Development Policy (CONEVAL). See, for instance, CONEVAL (2020b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Even though each survey uses a different version of the NAICS, most of the changes between them are reclassifications of specific products at the six-digit level. See https://www.census.gov/eos/www/naics/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For methodological details of the EIA and EAIM surveys, see INEGI (2007, 2012 and 2019). Owing to data confidentiality and the social distancing measures implemented by INEGI amid the COVID-19 pandemic, access to these surveys was possible only through a remote processing facility. This meant that the code files containing the estimation routines had to be sent to INEGI's microdata lab to be run using the establishment-level data.

The ENOE, on the other hand, is the quarterly rotating-panel national labour market survey available since 2005. Following households and individuals for five consecutive trimesters, this survey collects data on sociodemographic characteristics such as kinship, sex, age, education, marital status, number of children and geographic location; as well as labour market characteristics for the working-age population (15 years and older), such as economic activity status, occupation, economic sector, size and location of employer, wages, working time, social security coverage and unemployment spells, among others. Since their origin more than 45 years ago, the Mexican labour market surveys have used the ILO criteria as their basic conceptual reference. However, to ensure comparability with new recommendations and to raise information quality standards, they have also considered the conceptual frameworks of other international bodies such as the Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) and the United Nations Statistics Division (INEGI 2020). The anonymized microdata of this survey is publicly available in INEGI's website.<sup>10</sup> Table 2 reports mean values of the main characteristics of the working-age interviewees for each year in the sample.

Finally, data from the WTO are used to obtain measures of trade liberalization. Among other topics, the WTO's DATA and Tariff Download Facility<sup>11</sup> provide information on MFN applied and bound tariffs, bilateral imports, market access conditions facing exports in top-five export markets, and non-tariff measures indicators for all WTO Members registered under the standard Harmonized System (HS). The MFN tariffs are the normal non-discriminatory duties that a WTO Member charges on imports that are excluded from any free trade or preferential agreement. In this sense, they represent an upper limit for actual trade taxes, since they apply between countries that do not have an agreement, or to products that do not comply with the rules of origin agreed in an agreement. Given that in the period of interest Mexico signed seven new trade deals, which all entered into force at different times, and that this study is interested in the country's aggregate non-preferential trade liberalization experience, the MFN applied tariffs are a suitable indicator to employ. Besides being an adequate measure of applicable trade duties for the period before each free trade agreement entered into force, and an upper limit thereafter, MFN tariffs are still binding for some important trade relationships, such as the one with China, which is Mexico's fourth-largest trade partner.<sup>12</sup> Figure 5 shows the trade-weighted averages of the ad valorem equivalent (AVE) MFN tariffs applied on Mexican imports (TWAIT or import tariffs) and exports to the top five trade partners (TWAET or export tariffs) for the years available in the period of interest.

### 1.2 Preliminary analysis

This subsection presents a first glance at the distribution characteristics of *wages* and two measures of exposure to globalization – the share of imported inputs in total inputs (*inputs*) and the share of exports in total net sales (*exports*) – obtained from the establishment surveys; and at the relationship of *import tariffs* and *export tariffs* with the shares of *working poverty* and *low-wage workers*, constructed from the labour force survey. Figures 9 to 11 show boxplots for the four-digit NAICS annual means of *wages, inputs* and *exports*. The data points are weighted by the corresponding number of establishments in the sample and are grouped by three-digit NAICS subsectors for ease of presentation. The triangles mark the mean for each subsector; the dashed line indicates the mean for the full sample. Boxplots are useful for analysing the data's variability when the distribution is non-normal. Consider for example the case of subsector 324 "Petroleum and Coal Products". The minimum four-digit NAICS annual mean for *wages* is US\$80 and the maximum is US\$132. The first quartile is US\$89, and the third quartile is US\$113, meaning that 50 per cent of the observations are between these values and that the interquartile range is US\$24, the fourth greatest across subsectors. Given that the median value of *wages* in subsector 324 (the horizontal line inside the box) is US\$99, *wages* are below this value in 50 per cent of cases and the distribution is skewed to the right – the mean is greater than the median – possibly because of variability across time. Figures 9 to 11 suggest an important level of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> See https://www.inegi.org.mx/programas/enoe/15ymas/#Microdatos.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> See https://data.wto.org/ and http://tariffdata.wto.org/, respectively.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> According to data from *The Atlas of Economic Complexity*, produced by the Growth Lab at Harvard University (see https://atlas.cid. harvard.edu/), the top five trade partners of Mexico in 2018 were the United States (75.8 per cent of total gross exports), the European Union (5.8 per cent), Canada (5.1 per cent), China (2.4 per cent) and Japan (1.1 per cent).

heterogeneity in *wages, inputs* and *exports* across industries in Mexican manufacturing. Industries in subsector 324 "Petroleum and Coal Products" pay the highest wages and are the least exposed to globalization. In contrast, industries in subsector 315 "Apparel" pay the lowest wages, and those in subsector 334 "Computers and Electronics" exhibit the largest exposure to globalization.

Figures 12 to 14 show the boxplots for these means now grouped by year. There is no clear time trend for *wages* (figure 12), since its mean value barely increases – from US\$37 in 2003 to US\$38 in 2018. Although variability seems to increase during the first years, the interquartile range shrank 16 per cent between 2003 and 2018. The distribution of *inputs* (figure 13) shows more stability through time, with an average value of 29 per cent. Lastly, the distribution of *exports* (figure 14) exhibits an important shift upwards in 2009 – mainly caused by the replacement of the EIA-03 survey by the EAIM-09 survey<sup>13</sup> – and a weighted mean for the full sample of 25 per cent. Figures 12 to 14 suggest that, although less evident than the group effects, time effects may also be important. Regarding the relationship between wages and exposure to globalization, figures 15 and 16 show scatter plots for *wages* against *inputs* and *exports*, respectively. The points refer to the 2003–18 pp change in the industry means. The simple regression lines in these figures indicate a positive correlation with *inputs* and a negative correlation with *exports*.

Moving now to the ENOE data, figures 17 and 18 present the boxplots for the four-digit NAICS annual means of *working poverty* and *low-wage workers*, grouping the data by two-digit NAICS sectors for ease of presentation. There is some variability across economic sectors. Manufacturing ranks ninth in mean value for *working poverty* and 11th for *low-wage workers*. In terms of data dispersion, it has the fifth-lowest interquartile range for *working poverty* and the third lowest for *low-wage workers*. Figures 19 and 20 show the boxplots of these means grouped by year. Although both *working poverty* and *low-wage workers* increased through time, dispersion increased by 16 per cent for *working poverty* and decreased by 9 per cent for *low-wage workers*. In general the evolution of these distributions is consistent with the national, gender and sectoral trends previously documented (Aleman-Castilla and Cuilty-Esquivel 2020), and with the National Poverty Labour Trend Index (CONEVAL 2020a). Lastly, figures 21 to 24 present the scatter plots for the 2005–17 pp changes in *working poverty* and *low-wage workers* against the corresponding changes in the *import tariffs* (TWAIT) and *export tariffs* (TWAET). The regression lines suggest a positive correlation in all cases.

This preliminary analysis yields some interesting insights. First, from the establishment-level data, the gross daily wages per employee, the share of imported inputs in total inputs, and the share of exports in total sales all have high variability across industries, suggesting that group effects are important. Second, changes in gross daily wages seem to be positively correlated with changes in the share of imported inputs and negatively correlated with changes in the share of exports. Third, from the worker-level data, the working poverty rate among employed persons and the share of employees with low pay rates also have important variability across sectors, among which manufacturing registers close to the average. And, fourth, there seems to be a positive correlation between changes in these two decent work indicators and changes in the trade liberalization variables, implying that lower non-preferential import and export tariffs will be associated with more adequate earnings across tradable industries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> This is confirmed thanks to the fact that both surveys include the year 2009 in their respective original series, so the distributions obtained using the EIA-03 and EAIM-09 series can be compared with each other and with those of other years in the sample. Let us also remember from footnote 5 and the previous subsection that the EIA-03 survey did not include export-oriented maquiladoras in its sample and that these firms were added in the EAIM surveys. Finally, it is worth noting that the 2009 data from the EAIM-09 series is used here in compiling the full 2003–18 dataset.

### 2 Econometric analysis

As described above, the econometric analysis consists of two parts. First, a panel data estimation procedure is carried out on pooled data from the EIA-03, EAIM-09 and EAIM-13 establishment surveys, to look at the relationship between *wages* and exposure to globalization (i.e., *inputs* and *exports*) at the firm level.<sup>14</sup> This part of the analysis enables the estimation of causal effects after controlling for observable and unobservable characteristics. Second, a three-stage least squares estimation strategy is used to link the data from the establishment surveys with that from the ENOE labour force survey, and with the WTO trade data at the four-digit NAICS level,<sup>15</sup> after controlling separately for observable characteristics of establishments and workers for each year in the samples. We thereby estimate the effect of non-preferential trade liberalization (i.e., *import tariffs* and *export tariffs*) and exposure to globalization on *working poverty* and *low-wage workers* in tradable industries, and particularly ones in the manufacturing sector.

### 2.1 Panel data

The effect of exposure to globalization on *wages* (GDWE) in the Mexican manufacturing sector is estimated using the following equation:

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta \mathbb{G}_{it} + \gamma \mathbb{X}_{it} + \delta_t + \epsilon_{it}$$
(1)

where  $Y_{it}$  is the natural logarithm of *wages* in firm *i* at time *t*;  $\alpha_i$  is a firm effect;  $\mathbb{G}_{it}$  is the matrix of exposure to globalization variables *inputs* and *exports*;  $\mathbb{X}_{it}$  is the matrix of additional characteristics listed in table 1 that relate to the productivity, profitability, revenues, and cost structures of firms;  $\delta_t$  is a time effect; and  $\varepsilon_{it}$  is an idiosyncratic error term. Equation (1) was fitted using pooling (PM), random effects (RE) and fixed effects (FE) models, but the last of these are preferred over the other two alternatives.<sup>16</sup> Arellano's (1987) clustered robust standard errors were calculated in all cases. The results are reported in table 3. Column (c) indicates that *wages* increase with hours worked, advertising costs, income from maquila services, and productivity. There is no significant effect from variables describing exposure to globalization. The remaining columns present estimates under alternative specifications. Column (d) uses 1-year-lagged *inputs* and *exports* to consider the possibility that the effect of these variables may not be immediate; column (e) includes 1 and 2 year lags of *wages* as regressors, to obtain lower limit estimates of the causal effects (Angrist and Pischke 2009, 243–257). Lastly, columns (f) and (g) present the estimates when the EIA and EAIM samples are used separately. In sum, in the Mexican manufacturing sector, wages are higher in more productive firms, with larger shares of income from maquila, sub-maquila and re-manufacture services; and they are not significantly related to the share of imported inputs in total inputs or to the share of exports in total net sales.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Given that one firm may have more than one establishment, aggregation at the firm level is obtained by averaging the relevant establishment-level data. This is possible and in fact necessary for the panel data analysis in this study, since the EIA and EAIM samples include only a firm-level identifier.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> This is the most disaggregated level of industry affiliation available in the ENOE, which is actually slightly different from that of the NAICS: ENOE's four-digit industry classification code is less disaggregated, but that of NAICS can be easily matched to it. For example, NAICS industries 3121 "Beverage Manufacturing" and 3122 "Tobacco Manufacturing" are aggregated in ENOE's industry 3120 "Beverages and Tobacco Industry". For more detail, see INEGI (2015, 9–16).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Lagrange multiplier tests for the significance of firm and time effects (Gourieroux, Holly and Monfort 1982) and Hausman tests for selection between fixed and random effects models (Hausman 1978) were carried out. In all cases the null hypotheses of no significant firm and time effects and no systematic difference between fixed and random effects coefficients were rejected.

### 2.2 Three-stage least squares

The effect of trade liberalization and exposure to globalization on *working poverty* (WPRE) and *low-wage workers* (ELPR) is estimated using a three-stage least squares approach, where the first stage consists of estimating the following linear probability model using the ENOE data, for each year in the sample separately:

$$w_{ijt} = H_{ijt}\beta_{Ht} + I_{ijt} * \delta_{jt} + \epsilon_{ijt}$$
(2)

where  $W_{ijt}$  refers to each of the *working poverty* and *low-wage workers* indicators for worker *i* in industry *j* at time *t*,  $H_{ijt}$  is the vector of additional worker characteristics listed in table 2 and a group of indicators for the city of residence,  $I_{ijt}$  is a set of dummy variables for worker *i*'s industry affiliation, and  $\varepsilon_{ijt}$  is the error term. The coefficients  $\delta_{jt}$  capture the part of the variation in  $W_{ijt}$  that is attributable to industry affiliation. The model in equation (2) is also expanded to assess the effect on the working poverty and low wage gaps between formal and informal workers:

$$w_{ijt} = H_{ijt}\beta_{Ht} + (H_{ijt} \times f_{ijt})\varphi_{(H \times f)t} + I_{ijt} * \delta_{jt} + (I_{ijt} \times f_{ijt})\mu_{(I \times f)jt} + \epsilon_{ijt}$$
(3)

where  $f_{ijt}$  is a dummy variable for informal workers, and  $(H_{ijt} \times f_{ijt})$  and  $(I_{ijt} \times f_{ijt})$  are matrices of interactions of the vector  $H_{it}$  and the industry dummies, respectively, with this informality indicator. The coefficients  $\varphi_{(H \times f)t}$  capture the variation that is attributable to differences in individual characteristics between formal and informal workers, and the coefficients  $\mu_{(H \times f)jt}$  capture the variation that is attributable to differences in industry affiliation. In the second stage, a linear model is estimated using the EIA and EAIM establishment surveys' data, for each year in the samples:

$$z_{ijt} = K_{ijt}\beta_{Kt} + I_{ijt} * \gamma_{it} + \upsilon_{ijt}$$
(4)

where  $z_{ijt}$  refers to each of the two variables of exposure to globalization *inputs* and *exports* for establishment *i* in industry *j* at time *t*,  $K_{ijt}$  is the vector of establishment characteristics listed in table 1,  $I_{ijt}$  is a set of industry dummies, and  $v_{ijt}$  is the error term. The coefficients  $\gamma_{jt}$  capture the part of the variation that is attributable to industry affiliation. Finally, the estimated coefficients  $\delta_{jt}$ ,  $\mu_{(H \times f)jt}$  and  $\gamma_{jt}$  are used together to estimate the parameters of the following equation:

$$DW_{jt} = T_{jt}\alpha_T + \gamma_{it}\beta_V + Y_t\theta_Y + I_j\eta_i + (I_j \times tr_t)\varphi_{(l \times tr)} + \omega_{jt} \quad (5)$$

where  $DW_{jt}$  refers to each of the industry differentials  $\delta_{jt}$  and  $\mu_{(H \times f)jt}$ ,  $T_{jt}$  is the vector of *import tariffs* (TWAIT) and *export tariffs* (TWAET),  $Y_t$  is a set of year dummies,  $I_j$  is a group of industry indicators,  $(I_j \times tr_t)$  are industry time trends, and  $\omega_{jt}$  is the error term. Identification of  $\alpha_T$  and  $\beta_\gamma$  comes from within-industry fluctuations of T and Y around a time trend. A value of 0 is imputed to these two groups of variables in non-tradable and non-manufacturing observations, respectively,<sup>17</sup> so that they do not contribute to the estimation of  $\alpha_T$ and  $\beta_\gamma$  but can still be used to obtain better estimates of the year effects. The parameters in equation (5) are estimated using weighted least squares, with weights equal to the inverse of the variance of the coefficients  $\delta_{jt}$  and  $\mu_{(H \times f)jt}$ , and Newey–West standard errors with one lag are computed to account for possible autocorrelation stemming from the rotating-panel structure of the ENOE survey. For the first and second stages, sandwich standard errors (Liang and Zeger 1986) adjusted by the number of clusters (four-digit NAICS industries) are estimated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> In the context of the present study, non-tradable industries consist mainly of those in the following economic sectors: Utilities; Construction; Wholesale Trade; Retail Trade; Transportation and Warehousing; Real Estate and Rental and Leasing; Management of Companies and Enterprises; Administrative and Support and Waste Management and Remediation; Educational Services; Health Care and Social Assistance; Arts, Entertainment and Recreation; Accommodation and Food Services; Other Services (except Public Administration); and Public Administration. They also include insufficiently described activities in Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting; Mining, Quarrying and Oil and Gas Extraction; Information; and Finance and Insurance.

#### A. First stage results

Estimation of the parameters in equations (2) and (3) is useful to study the determinants of working poverty and low-wage workers and to identify the differences in these between formal and informal workers. The results are reported in tables 4 to 7. For most of the years in the sample, the probability of working poverty (table 4) increases with age and is greater for men and informal workers; it decreases at an increasing rate with years of schooling and is lower for married workers, household leaders, and workers living in net exporter states. Results are similar for low-wage workers (table 5). When equation (3) is fitted for working poverty (i-WPRE, table 6), age and being an employer are found to have less effect on informal workers, and women in informal jobs are found to be more likely to experience household working poverty. Likewise, the estimates of equation (3) for low-wage workers (i-ELPR, table 7) suggest that informal workers are less effected by age, years of schooling, being head of the household or being an employer, but the effect is larger if they are women. As described above, all these regressions included dummy variables for cities and industries. In most cases the corresponding coefficients were individually and jointly statistically significant and were also somewhat correlated through time.<sup>18</sup> A high (low) year-to-year correlation could indicate a low (high) sensitivity to changes in the economic environment such as non-preferential trade liberalization or exposure to globalization (Goldberg and Pavcnik 2003). Figures 25 to 28 plot the weighted averages of these industry differentials for the manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries in each year of the sample, with weights equal to the inverse of the corresponding estimated variances of these estimated industry differentials.

### B. Second stage results

As with the worker-level data, the estimation of the parameters in equation (4) can be used to analyse the relationship between the establishment characteristics and the level of exposure to globalization. The corresponding results are reported in tables 8 and 9. For most years in the sample, the share of *inputs* (table 8) increases with female participation in the workforce, with *wages* and *exports* and, for some years, with machinery & equipment and asset accumulation; and it decreases against fuel, energy and labour subcontracting costs. The share of *exports* (table 9), on the other hand, is positively related to freight costs, *inputs* and machinery & equipment and negatively related to advertising costs. These regressions also included industry dummies, which in most cases were statistically significant, and are strongly correlated through time.<sup>19</sup> Figures 29 and 30 plot the weighted averages of these industry differentials for each year in the sample. On average, 11 pp of *inputs* and 6 pp of *exports* are explained by industry affiliation within the manufacturing sector. These graphs also show the possible effects of major trade shocks, such as the 2008–09 financial crisis, the trade wars waged by the United States of America in 2016 and the beginning of USMCA negotiations in 2017.

#### C. Third stage results

After controlling for worker and establishment characteristics, the third and last step consists of estimating the parameters of equation (5). Table 10 reports the corresponding results. For each dependent variable, column (a) presents the estimates obtained when only the *import tariffs* and *export tariffs* are used as regressors and the industry trends are not included. In this case the *import tariffs* have a positive and significant effect on *working poverty* (WPRE) and *low-wage workers* (ELPR) and there is no relationship with either of the within-industry informality differentials, i-WPRE and i-ELPR. Column (b) shows that this result holds when industry trends are included in the regression. The last two columns explore the possibility of a different effect for manufacturing industries than in other tradable industries; they also include the exposure to globalization variables *inputs* and *exports* as regressors, with and without the industry-trend variables. Column (d) reveals differences in the effect of *import tariffs* and *export tariffs* across sectors and that *inputs* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The average year-to-year correlation coefficients were 0.422 for WPRE, 0.483 for ELPR, 0.140 for i-WPRE and 0.167 for i-ELPR.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The average year-to-year correlation coefficients in this case were 0.977 for *inputs* and 0.962 for *exports*.

and *exports* are also important. These estimates imply that, given an 11.1 pp reduction in *import tariffs* and a 0.1 pp reduction in *export tariffs*, together with a 2.6 pp increase in *inputs* and an 11.5 pp increase in *exports* – changes equivalent to the Mexican experience in the period of study – the average manufacturing industry would undergo a reduction of 2.5 pp and 1.8 pp in *working poverty* and *low-wage workers*, respectively. Such changes would also translate into a 2.1 pp and 4.4 pp enlargement of the gap between informal and formal workers in the incidence of working poverty and low pay rates (i-WPRE and i-ELPR), respectively.

In sum, the first stage of the analysis demonstrates that *working poverty* increases with age; decreases with schooling; and is lower for married workers, household leaders and workers living in net exporter states and higher for men and informal workers. The results are similar for *low-wage workers*. Women in informal jobs are more likely to experience household working poverty and to get lower wages. According to the second stage, the share of *inputs* is larger in establishments with larger shares of *exports* and of women in the workforce; it also increases with *wages* and machinery & equipment. On the other hand, the share of *exports* increases with freight costs, *inputs* and machinery & equipment. Lastly, from the third stage, *import tariffs*, *export tariffs*, *inputs* and *exports* all have significant effects on *working poverty* and *low-wage workers*; the first two of these variables also affect the differences in adequate earnings between formal and informal workers. These results imply that non-preferential trade liberalization and higher exposure to globalization have helped to reduce working poverty and improve wages in Mexican manufacturing industries over the last 15 years.

### Conclusions

This paper has investigated the effect of non-preferential trade liberalization and exposure to globalization on adequate earnings in Mexican manufacturing industries between 2003 and 2020. During this period, when the country subscribed to seven new trade agreements, 17 new bilateral investment agreements and three new economic complementation agreements, the proportion of its people experiencing working poverty and low wages increase by 9 and 4 pp, respectively. Although it may be tempting to infer that non-discriminatory trade liberalization and a higher exposure to globalization have had a prejudicial role, the analysis suggests that they have not. Panel data estimations using the 2003–18 surveys of manufacturing establishments indicate that, although gross daily wages per employee are higher in more productive firms, and in those with larger shares of income from maquila, sub-maquila and re-manufacture services, they are not significantly related to the share of imported inputs in total inputs or to the share of exports in total net sales. Using a three-stage least squares procedure, these establishment surveys were linked to the 2005–20 national labour force survey and to MFN tariff and trade data from the WTO. After controlling separately for observable characteristics of establishments and workers, it was found that recent non-preferential trade liberalization and higher exposure to globalization contributed in Mexican manufacturing industries to a reduction in working poverty and the share of employees with low pay rates. However, MFN trade liberalization also contributed to an expanding gap in adequate earnings between formal and informal workers.

### Annex



► Figure 1. Working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE) (percentage of employed persons living in households with incomes below the national poverty line)

Source: Author's calculations using labour force data from ENOE (INEGI) and the national urban poverty lines (CONEVAL).



► Figure 2. WPRE gap between manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries (ratio of WPRE manufacturing to WPRE non-manufacturing)

Source: Author's calculations using labour force data from ENOE (INEGI) and the national urban poverty lines (CONEVAL).



 Figure 3. Employees with low pay rates (ELPR) (percentage of employees paid less than two-thirds of median earnings)

Source: Author's calculations using labour force data from ENOE (INEGI).



#### ▶ Figure 4. ELPR gap between manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries (ratio of ELPR manufacturing to ELPR non-manufacturing)

Source: Author's calculations using labour force data from ENOE (INEGI).



#### Figure 5. Weighted average tariffs on Mexican imports and exports, 2003–17

Note: Weights equal to import/export volumes at the six-digit HS level. Source: Author's calculations with data from WTO.



#### Figure 6. Trade openness in Mexico, 1950–2017





#### Figure 7. Correlations for trade and decent work indicators

Notes: Trade Openness = sum of imports and exports as percentage of GDP; Import Tariffs = weighted average MFN import tariff; Export Tariffs = weighted average MFN export tariffs to top five partners; WPRE = working poverty rate of employed persons; ELPR = employees with low pay rates; WPRE Gap = WPRE manufacturing / WPRE non-manufacturing; ELPR Gap = ELPR manufacturing / ELPR non-manufacturing. Only significant correlations (at a 5 per cent level) are shown.

Source: Author's calculations using data from ENOE (INEGI), WTO and Our World in Data (based on Feenstra, Inklaar and Timmer 2015).



# ▶ Figure 8. Globalization and gross daily wages per employee (GDWE) (2003–18 weighted average figures for three-digit NAICS Mexican manufacturing)

Note: Weights equal to the number of establishments surveyed for each year-subsector.

Source: Author's calculations using data from the establishment-level surveys for the manufacturing sector EIA-03, EAIM-09 and EAIM-13 (INEGI).



#### Figure 9. Gross daily wages per employee (GDWE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by three-digit NAICS subsector)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each three-digit NAICS subsector. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



## Figure 10. Share of imported inputs in total inputs (inputs) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by three-digit NAICS subsector)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each three-digit NAICS subsector. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



# ► Figure 11. Share of exports in total sales (*exports*) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by three-digit NAICS subsector)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each three-digit NAICS subsector. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



► Figure 12. Gross daily wages per employee (GDWE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each year. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.





Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each year. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



Figure 14. Share of exports in total sales (*exports*) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each year. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



#### Figure 15. Share of imported inputs (inputs) vs. gross daily wage per employee (GDWE), 2003–18

Note: Observations are four-digit NAICS industry mean values. The estimated equation of the simple regression line is y = 1.698 + 0.078x.



#### Figure 16. Share of exports (*exports*) vs. gross daily wage per employee (GDWE), 2003–18

Note: Observations are four-digit NAICS industry mean values. The estimated equation of the simple regression line is y = 1.983 - 0.050x.



## Figure 17. Working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by two-digit NAICS sectors)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each two-digit NAICS sector. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



#### Figure 18. Employees with low pay rates (ELPR) (distribution of four-digit NAICS annual means, grouped by two-digit NAICS sectors)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each two-digit NAICS sector. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



Figure 19. Working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each year. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



Figure 20. Employees with low pay rates (ELPR) (distribution of four-digit NAICS means for each year in the sample)

Note: Triangles represent weighted means for each year. The dashed line indicates the weighted mean for the full sample.



Figure 21. Trade-weighted average import tariffs (TWAIT) vs. working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE), 2005–17

Note: Observations are four-digit NAICS mean values for tradable industries only. The estimated equation of the simple regression line is y = 11.754 + 0.194x.



 Figure 22. Trade-weighted average export tariffs (TWAET) vs. working poverty rate of employed persons (WPRE), 2005–17

Note: Observations are four-digit NAICS mean values for tradable industries only. The estimated equation of the simple regression line is y = 10.214 + 1.478x.



#### Figure 23. Trade-weighted average import tariffs (TWAIT) vs. employees with low pay rate (ELPR), 2005–2017

Note: Observations are four-digit NAICS mean values for tradable industries only. The estimated equation of the simple regression line is y = 10.025 + 0.518x.



#### Figure 24. Trade-weighted average export tariffs (TWAET) vs. employees with low pay rate (ELPR) 2005–2017

Note: Observations are four-digit NAICS mean values for tradable industries only. The estimated equation of the simple regression line is y = 5.772 + 1.477x.



Figure 25. Working poverty rate for employed persons (WPRE) (weighted average differentials for manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries, four-digit NAICS level)







► Figure 27. Working poverty differentials for informal workers (i-WPRE) (weighted average informal-formal differentials for manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries, four-digit NAICS level)



Figure 28. Low pay rate differentials for informal workers (i-ELPR) (weighted average informal-formal differentials for manufacturing and non-manufacturing industries, four-digit NAICS level)



Figure 29. Share of imported inputs in total inputs (inputs) (weighted average industry differentials for manufacturing, four-digit NAICS level)



Figure 30. Share of exports in total net sales (*exports*) (weighted-average industry differentials for manufacturing, four-digit NAICS level)

Table 1. Annual mean values of establishment-level variables

2018	38.1	29.6	29.2	32.1	8.4	1.9	3.2	2.4	9.0	2.5	14.8	37.3	47.7	65.1	-1.7	86	239	10 086
2017	36.6	29.5	28.8	32.1	8.4	1.9	3.1	2.4	9.0	2.5	14.4	37.0	46.5	65.0	-0.7	86	239	10160
2016	35.1	29.7	28.9	32.1	8.4	1.9	3.0	2.4	0.6	2.5	13.9	36.8	43.6	64.9	-0.4	86	239	10 281
2015	42.1	29.9	29.7	31.9	8.8	1.9	3.2	2.5	0.6	2.5	14.2	36.2	48.3	64.8	-1.0	86	240	9 304
2014	47.9	29.0	29.1	32.0	8.8	2.0	3.7	2.5	0.6	2.5	14.1	35.3	56.1	64.8	-1.4	86	240	9 535
2013	48.2	30.0	28.4	31.8	8.9	1.9	3.6	2.6	0.7	2.5	14.2	35.1	55.8	65.0	-2.3	86	240	9 805
2012	44.3	30.3	27.9	31.9	8.8	1.9	3.5	2.7	0.7	2.5	13.9	35.1	53.2	64.7	-1.2	86	240	10 146
2011	45.2	30.2	27.3	31.9	8.8	1.9	3.4	2.9	0.7	2.5	14.2	34.9	53.2	64.4	-2.0	86	240	10499
2010	41.8	29.6	26.1	32.2	8.7	2.0	3.4	3.0	0.7	2.5	15.3	35.8	46.9	64.2	-2.1	86	240	10 785
2009	37.2	29.5	25.9	31.8	8.6	2.0	3.3	2.9	0.8	2.6	15.3	36.1	41.7	63.9	-2.5	86	240	10 606
2008	48.5	26.2	18.2	27.7	8.7	2.6	2.9	2.8	1.3	2.4	2.5	31.4	65.5	68.0	-2.6	8	231	6 626
2007	46.8	26.7	18.4	27.9	8.7	2.6	2.8	3.0	1.4	2.4	2.5	31.6	59.8	67.9	-2.4	8	231	6 947
2006	44.8	26.9	18.5	27.8	8.7	2.6	2.8	3.2	1.4	2.4	2.6	31.8	55.4	67.7	-2.4	84	231	7 221
2005	41.8	27.7	18.5	27.3	8.5	2.6	2.8	3.2	1.4	2.5	2.7	31.6	50.9	67.6	-2.6	84	231	7 278
2004	38.5	27.5	18.4	27.0	8.6	2.6	2.7	3.2	1.4	2.5	2.5	32.0	45.2	67.3	-2.3	84	231	7 294
2003	37.3	27.0	17.7	26.9	8.5	2.5	2.6	3.2	1.5	2.9	2.7	31.9	41.6	67.3	-3.1	84	231	7 292
Description	Gross daily wages per employee (US\$, current)	Imported inputs (% of total inputs)	Net exports (% of total net sales)	Female workers (% of total employment)	Daily hours worked per employee	Fuel and lubricants cost (% of total costs)	Electricity cost (% of total costs)	Labour subcontracting cost (% of total costs)	Advertising cost (% of total costs)	Freight cost (% of total costs)	Maquila income (% of total income)	Profit margin (% of total income)	Production per hour (US\$, thousand)	Machinery and equipment (% of total assets)	Annual change in total assets (%)	Total number of 4-digit NAICS industries	Total number of 6-digit NAICS industries	Total number of establishments
Variable	GDWE	inputs	exports	women	hours	fuel	energy	subcontract	advertising	freight	maquila	profit	productivity	machinery	assets	industry	class	establishment

Source: Variables constructed by the author using data from INEGI's EIA-03, EAIM-09 and EAIM-13 surveys.

Table 2. Annual mean values of worker-level variables

I

2020	35.6	35.5	39.8	10.6	40.9	60.1	46.0	51.0	5.0	44.6	182 553
2019	37.5	36.3	39.6	10.5	40.0	60.9	46.8	51.6	4.9	42.5	175 489
2018	38.6	36.9	39.4	10.4	39.3	61.6	47.4	52.2	4.8	44.2	166 715
2017	37.1	35.3	39.2	10.3	39.5	62.0	47.8	52.7	4.8	42.9	165 580
2016	36.7	35.5	39.0	10.2	39.4	62.5	47.8	52.9	4.6	43.1	166 412
2015	36.4	35.1	38.9	10.1	39.3	62.2	48.0	52.8	4.4	43.2	167 696
2014	36.2	34.9	38.6	10.0	39.3	62.7	47.9	53.5	4.4	43.3	165 855
2013	35.4	35.3	38.7	9.9	39.2	61.5	47.4	54.9	4.8	43.6	161 593
2012	33.0	34.5	38.4	9.8	39.3	61.2	47.4	55.4	5.0	43.9	165 240
2011	32.6	34.2	38.2	9.7	38.7	61.4	47.8	54.8	4.8	43.5	161 893
2010	31.4	33.6	38.1	9.6	38.9	61.4	47.6	55.1	5.0	43.6	164 148
2009	30.2	32.8	38.0	9.5	38.7	61.8	47.4	54.2	4.8	43.4	163 588
2008	27.6	32.9	37.7	9.5	38.8	61.4	47.3	52.6	5.4	42.9	170 375
2007	26.5	31.5	37.6	9.4	38.6	61.9	47.9	52.4	5.2	42.9	170 453
2006	26.4	31.3	37.4	9.2	38.2	61.6	48.1	53.3	5.2	43.0	169 398
2005	26.9	31.4	37.2	9.1	37.3	61.9	48.6	54.1	5.0	43.2	162 124
Description	Workers in households with total monthly income below the national poverty line (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Workers with wages below 2/3 the median wage in the labour market (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Years of age	Years of education completed	Female workers (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Workers who are married or cohabitate (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Workers who are head of household (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Workers whose main job is informal (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Workers who are employers (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Workers living in a net exporter state (% of occupied workers aged 15+)	Total number of observations
Variable	WPRE	ELPR	age	schooling	women	married	leader	informal	employer	exporter	obs

Note: Net exporter states are ones whose exports' trade value is larger than their imports' trade value, according to data from the Mexican Ministry of Economy (see https://datamexico.org/es/profile/geo/mexico#economia-comercio-internacional.

Source: Variables constructed by the author using data from INEGI's ENOE survey.

_
-
5
5
H.
9
$\leq$
<u> </u>
<b>T</b> .
5
2
Π.
<u></u>
<b>D</b>
-
×
2
σ
12
<u>n</u>
σ
-
Ð
2
B
Ô.
۵,
č ni
ы. Б
e 3. P
ole 3. P
able 3. P
Fable 3. P
Table 3. P
<ul> <li>Table 3. P.</li> </ul>

(5)	FE on 2009–18 sub-sample	-0.0004 . [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0003]	.** 0.354 *** [0.031]	·* -0.001 [0.001]	0.004 ***	0.000 [0.001]	0.001 [0.002]	0.001 [0.001]	.** 0.003 *** [0.0004]	* 0.000	.** 0.124 *** [0.008]	0.0004 . [0.0002]	0.0000	86	13 095	1 to 8
( <del>)</del>	FE on 2003–08 sub-sample	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.359 *	0.003 *	0.003 *	-0.001 . [0.001]	0.002	-0.001 [0.001]	0.003 * [0.0005]	-0.001 * [0.0003]	0.154 * [0.008]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.000002]	84	6 267	1 to 6
(e)	FE + LDV	0.000 [0.0001]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.299 *** [0.025]	0.000 [0.001]	0.002 * [0.001]	-0.001 [0.0005]	0.002 . [0.001]	0.000 [0.001]	0.003 ***	0.0003 * [0.0002]	0.113 *** [0.006]	0.000 [0.0001]	0.000 [0.000002]	86	13 676	1 to 11
(q)	FE with I-year-lagged globalization variables	0.000 [0.0001]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.350 *** [0.024]	0.001 [0.001]	0.002 . [0.001]	-0.001 [0.001]	0.004 * [0.002]	-0.001 [0.001]	0.003 *** [0.0004]	0.0004 . [0.0002]	0.137 *** [0.007]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000	86	14 420	1 to 12
(C)	Ħ	0.000 [0.0001]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.359 *** [0.022]	0.000 [0.001]	0.002 . [0.001]	-0.001 [0.001]	0.004 ** [0.002]	0.000 [0.001]	0.003 ***	0.000 [0.0002]	0.135 *** [0.006]	0.000 [0.0002]	0.000 [0.00001]	86	15 054	1 to 13
(q)	RE	0.001 *** [0.0002]	0.001 *** [0.0002]	0.000 [0.0002]	1.117 *** [0.012]	0.000 [0.001]	0.005 *** [0.001]	-0.001 [0.001]	0.003 ** [0.003]	0.003 * [0.001]	0.007 *** [0.0004]	0.001 ** [0.0003]	0.240 *** [0.006]	0.001 *** [0.0002]	0.000 [0.00001]	86	15 054	1 to 13
(a)	Mq	0.004 *** [0.0002]	0.0004 * [0.0002]	-0.002 *** [0.0002]	0.926 *** [0.011]	-0.003 *** [0.001]	0.001 [0.001]	-0.003 *** [0.001]	0.024 *** [0.002]	0.009 ***	0.012 *** [0.0004]	0.005 ***	0.358 ***	0.001 ***	0.00001 . [0.00001]	86	15 054	1 to 13
		inputs	exports	women	In(hours)	fuel	energy	subcontract	advertising	freight	maquila	profit	In(productivity)	machinery	assets	industries	firms	years

Notes: Significance codes: \*\*\* 0 to 0.001; \*\* 0.001 to 0.01; \* 0.01 to 0.05; 0.05 to 0.1. Arellano (1987) robust standard errors clustered by firm are shown in brackets.

Table 4. Linear probability model for working poverty of employed persons (WPRE)

2020	.003 *** [202]	.013 *** 003]	.001 *** 001]	.023 *** 004]	.050 *** 304]	.057 *** 303]	.045 *** 010]	. 018 . 010]	.034 *** 008]	82 553	el are
119	04 *** 0	11 *** -0 2] [0.	01 *** 0	23 *** -0 )6] [0.	44 *** -0 14] [0.1	66 *** -0 33] [0.	47 *** 0 2] [0.	14 0 0] [0.	49 *** -0 15] [0.1	489 1	ndustry lev
20	** 0.00	** -0.0	** 0.00	** -0.0	-0.0	+*	* 0.04	* 0.01	** -0.0 <sup>2</sup>	175	t NAICS II
2018	0.004 *	-0.010 *	0.001 *	-0.029 *	-0.049 *	-0.068 *	0.043 *	0.029 *	-0.032 *	166 715	e four-digit
2017	0.004 ***	-0.012 *** [0.002]	0.001 ***	-0.028 *** [0.006]	-0.052 ***	-0.066 *** [0.004]	0.053 *** [0.013]	0.025 * [0.010]	-0.055 ***	165 580	ustered at th
2016	0.004 ***	-0.014 *** [0.002]	0.001 ***	-0.022 *** [0.005]	-0.053 ***	-0.064 ***	0.055 ***	0.029 * [0.012]	-0.042 *** [0.006]	166 412	lard errors cl
2015	0.002]	-0.012 *** [0.001]	0.001 ***	-0.022 ***	-0.058 ***	-0.063 ***	0.067 *** [0.013]	0.038 ***	-0.038 ***	167 696	Robust stand
2014	0.004 ***	-0.014 ***	0.001 ***	-0.019 ***	-0.059 ***	-0.065 ***	0.066 ***	0.024 * [0.012]	-0.045 ***	165 855	my variables.
2013	0.002]	-0.011 ***	0.001 ***	-0.021 ***	-0.059 ***	-0.060 *** [0.004]	0.065 *** [0.013]	0.030 **	-0.054 ***	161 593	ndustry dum
2012	0.003 ***	-0.014 ***	0.0001 ***	-0.018 * [0.007]	-0.054 ***	-0.057 *** [0.004]	0.061 *** [0.012]	0.022 . [0.012]	-0.055 ***	165 240	ude city and I
2011	0.003 ***	-0.015 *** [0.002]	0.001 ***	-0.015 * [0.006]	-0.053 ***	-0.059 *** [0.004]	0.075 *** [0.012]	0.024 **	-0.046 ***	161 893	gressions incl
2010	0.003 ***	-0.015 *** [0.001]	0.001 ***	-0.014 * [0.006]	-0.058 ***	-0.047 *** [0.004]	0.079 *** [0.013]	0.015	-0.031 ***	164 148	to 0.1. All red
2009	0.003 ***	-0.014 ***	0.0001 ***	-0.017 ** [0.006]	-0.053 *** [0.004]	-0.054 *** [0.003]	0.079 *** [0.014]	0.023 * [0.009]	-0.050 ***	163 588	to 0.05; . 0.05
2008	0.003 ***	-0.015 *** [0.002]	0.001 ***	-0.012 * [0.005]	-0.046 ***	-0.043 *** [0.003]	0.080 ***	0.021 * [0.009]	-0.026 ***	170 375	0.01; * 0.01
2007	0.003 ***	-0.015 ***	0.0001 ***	-0.012 * [0.005]	-0.049 ***	-0.041 *** [0.004]	0.083 ***	0.026 **	-0.013 * [0.006]	170 453	01; ** 0.001 to
2006	0.002 ***	-0.017 ***	0.0001 ***	-0.011 . [0.006]	-0.046 ***	-0.034 *** [0.004]	0.083 ***	0.008	0.014 ** [0.005]	169 398	s: *** 0 to 0.0
2005	0.002 ***	-0.018 ***	0.001 *** [0.0001]	-0.003	-0.044 ***	-0.029 ***	0.093 ***	-0.004 [0.007]	-0.003	162 124	ificance codes ackets.
	age	schooling	schooling <sup>2</sup>	women	married	leader	informal	employer	exporter	sdo	Notes: Sign

<sup>50</sup> ILO Working Paper 37

Table 5. Linear probability model for employees with low pay rate (ELPR)

	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020
age	0.003 ***	0.003 ***	[E000:0]	0.003 ***	0.004 ***	0.003 ***	0.004 ***	0.004 *** [0.0003]	0.004 ***	0.003]	0.003 ***	0.003]	0.004 ***	0.004 ***	0.004 ***	0.004 ***
schooling	-0.016 *** [0.001]	-0.016 *** [0.002]	-0.014 *** [0.002]	-0.015 *** [0.002]	-0.013 *** [0.002]	-0.015 *** [0.002]	-0.014 *** [0.002]	-0.015 *** [0.002]	-0.012 *** [0.002]	-0.014 *** [0.003]	-0.012 *** [0.002]	-0.013 *** [0.002]	-0.011 *** [0.003]	-0.011 *** [0.002]	-0.012 *** [0.003]	-0.014 *** [0.003]
schooling <sup>2</sup>	0.001 ***	0.001 ***	0.001 ***	0.0001 ***	0.001 ***	0.0001 ***	0.001 ***	0.001 ***	0.001 ***	0.0001 ***	0.001 ***	0.0001 ***	0.001 ***	0.001 ***	0.001 ***	0.0001 ***
women	0.016 [0.011]	0.009 [0.011]	0.008 [0.010]	0.008 [0.010]	0.000 [0.012]	0.007 [0.010]	0.003 [0.011]	-0.005 [0.011]	-0.002 [0.008]	-0.004 [0.008]	-0.007 [0.008]	-0.012 [0.009]	-0.019 . [0.010]	-0.020 * [0.008]	-0.013 [0.008]	-0.015 * [0.007]
married	-0.040 *** [0.006]	-0.042 ***	-0.044 ***	-0.042 ***	-0.043 *** [0.004]	-0.048 ***	-0.043 ***	-0.046 ***	-0.044 ***	-0.047 *** [0.004]	-0.044 ***	-0.038 ***	-0.038 ***	-0.036 ***	-0.030 ***	-0.035 *** [0.004]
leader	-0.081 *** [0.008]	-0.078 ***	-0.085 *** [0.007]	-0.088 ***	-0.094 *** [0.006]	-0.092 *** [0.007]	-0.093 *** [0.007]	-0.092 ***	-0.097 *** [0.007]	-0.094 *** [0.006]	-0.090 ***	-0.100 *** [0.006]	-0.092 ***	-0.097 ***	-0.092 ***	-0.085 ***
informal	0.150 *** [0.016]	0.140 *** [0.016]	0.135 *** [0.017]	0.125 *** [0.015]	0.113 *** [0.016]	0.121 *** [0.015]	0.110 *** [0.015]	0.097 *** [0.015]	0.087 *** [0.015]	0.084 *** [0.013]	0.081 *** [0.015]	0.070 *** [0.014]	0.063 *** [0.015]	0.047 ** [0.015]	0.050 *** [0.012]	0.057 *** [0.011]
employer	200.0 [600.0]	0.017 [0.012]	0.044 *** [0.011]	0.036 ** [0.013]	0.058 *** [0.012]	0.044 ** [0.014]	0.053 *** [0.011]	0.058 *** [0.012]	0.066 *** [0.013]	0.067 ***	0.073 *** [0.012]	0.075 *** [0.012]	0.068 *** [0.012]	0.077 *** [0.013]	0.057 *** [0.012]	0.052 *** [0.012]
exporter	-0.003 [0.006]	0.012 * [0.005]	-0.006 [0.006]	-0.023 ***	-0.043 *** [0.007]	-0.029 *** [0.007]	-0.038 ***	-0.043 *** [0.007]	-0.046 *** [0.007]	-0.034 *** [0.005]	-0.035 ***	-0.041 *** [0.006]	-0.050 ***	-0.033 ***	-0.044 *** [0.007]	-0.026 ** [0.008]
obs	162 124	169 398	170 453	170 375	163 588	164 1 48	161 893	165 240	161 593	165 855	167 696	166 412	165 580	166 715	175 489	182 553

Note: Significance codes: \*\*\* 0 to 0.001; \*\* 0.001 to 0.01; \* 0.01 to 0.05; . 0.05 to 0.1. All regressions include city and industry dummy variables. Robust standard errors clustered at the four-digit NAICS industry level are shown in brackets.

Table 6. Linear probability model for informal workers in working poverty (i-WPRE)

0.003         0.003         0.003         0.00           (0.0003]         (0.0003]         (0.0003)           -0.001         ***         -0.01           -0.013         ***         (0.003)           -0.013         ***         (0.003)           -0.013         ***         (0.003)           -0.013         ***         (0.003)           -0.013         ***         (0.003)           -0.013         ***         (0.003)           (0.003)         (0.003)         (0.000)           (0.003)         (0.003)         (0.000)           (0.003)         (0.000)         (0.000)           -0.0013         (0.000)         (0.000)           -0.003         (0.000)         (0.000)           -0.0043         (0.003)         (0.000)           -0.0043         (0.004)         (0.000)           (0.0043)         (0.004)         (0.000)           (0.0043)         (0.0043)         (0.000)           (0.0044)         (0.0043)         (0.000)           (0.0043)         (0.0043)         (0.0043)           (0.0043)         (0.0043)         (0.0043)           (0.0044)         (0.0043)         (0.00	03     ***     0.003       13     0.003     0.003       13     -0.001     0.001       13     -0.001     0.001       14     -0.002     0.001       17     0.0003     0.001       101     0.0003     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       12     10.0001     0.001       13     0.0001     0.001       14     0.001     0.001       15     0.0003       16     0.001       17     0.001       18     0.001       19     0.001	*** 0.00 ** 0.003 ** 0.003 10.003 *** 0.01 10.003 10.003	4 *** 0.00 3] [0.0003 1 * -0.00	2] [0.0	003] [0	0.004 ***	0.004 ***	0.005 ***	0.005 ***	0.004 ***	0.004 ***	0.005 ***	0.005 ***	0.004 ***
-0.001         -0.001         ***         -0.00           [0.0003]         [0.0003]         [0.0003]         [0.0003]           -0.013         -0.011         ***         -0.00           -0.003         [0.0003]         [0.0003]         [0.0003]           -0.003         [0.0003]         [0.0003]         [0.0003]           -0.001         ***         [0.0003]         [0.0003]           [0.0001]         [0.0001]         [0.0003]         [0.0003]           -0.001         10.0001         [0.0004]         [0.0003]           -0.018         ***         -0.012         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]           [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]         [0.0004]	01     ***     -0.001       33     07     ***       07     ***     -0.012       22     23     -0.003       23     24     -0.001       11     00.001     10.001       11     00.001     10.001       11     00.001     10.001       11     10.0001     10.001       12     10.0001     10.001       24     -0.024     10.001       21     **     -0.024       21     **     0.024       21     **     0.0043       23     ****     -0.024       24     ***     -0.024       25     10.0001     10.001       26     10.0001     10.001       27     ****     -0.024       28     ****     -0.024       29     ****     -0.024       20     ****     -0.024       21     **     -0.024       21     **     -0.024       23     ***     -0.024       24     ***     -0.024       25     ***     -0.024	*** 0.00 [0.0003] *** [0.003 [0.003]	1 * -0.00						[				[5000.0]	[0.0003]
-0.013         -0.011         ***         -0.005           (0.0033         (0.0033         (0.0033           (0.0033         (0.0033         -0.005           (0.00031         (0.0001)         -0.000           (0.00013         (0.0001)         -0.000           (0.00013         (0.00013         0.0000           (0.00013         (0.00023         (0.0000           (0.00013         (0.00023         (0.0000           (0.00043         (0.00023         (0.0003           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.0003           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.0003           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043           (0.00043         (0.00043         (0.00043	07 ***     -0.012       23     08 ***     -0.002       23     -0.002     0.001       101     0.001     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       11     0.0001     0.001       12     ***     -0.024       24     -0.024     0.024       21     0.0024     0.004       21     0.0024     0.0048       23     0.0024     0.0048       24     0.0024     0.0048       25     0.0024     0.0048	*** [0.003 -0.00 [0.003	5] [U.UUU.	)- [0.0]	003] [0	-0.001 **	-0.001 *** 0.0003] [	-0.001 ***	-0.002 ***	-0.001 *** [0.0003]	-0.001 ***	-0.001 ***	-0.001 ***	-0.001 *** [0.0003]
-0.003         -0.005         -0.005         -0.003         0.001           (0.001)         (0.001)         (0.001)         (0.000)           -0.0013         (0.0001)         (0.000)         (0.000)           -0.0013         (0.0002)         (0.000)         (0.000)           -0.018         ***         -0.000         (0.000)           -0.018         ***         -0.000         (0.000)           -0.013         (0.0002)         (0.000)         (0.000)           -0.013         ****         -0.012         (0.000)           -0.013         ****         0.0123         ***           -0.020         ***         0.021*         (0.000)           -0.023         ***         0.023         ***           -0.023         ***         0.023         ***           -0.024         (0.004)         (0.004)         (0.000)           -0.024         (0.004)         (0.004)         (0.000)           -0.023         ****         0.003         (0.000)           (0.0023)         (0.004)         (0.000)         (0.000)           (0.0023)         (0.0023)         (0.003)         (0.003)           (0.0033)         (0.0032) <td>08 ***     -0.002       2]     [0.003]       04 ***     0.001       11]     [0.0001]       11]     [0.0001]       12     •0.001       24 ***     -0.024       24 ***     -0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       22 **     0.024</td> <td>-0.00</td> <td>1 *** -0.00 3] [0.002</td> <td>2] ** -(</td> <td>.002]</td> <td>-0.012 *** 0.003]</td> <td>-0.002 [0.002]</td> <td>-0.007 * [0.003]</td> <td>-0.001 [0.002]</td> <td>-0.010 ** [0.003]</td> <td>-0.003 [0.004]</td> <td>-0.004 [0.004]</td> <td>-0.002 [0.003]</td> <td>-0.007 [0.004]</td>	08 ***     -0.002       2]     [0.003]       04 ***     0.001       11]     [0.0001]       11]     [0.0001]       12     •0.001       24 ***     -0.024       24 ***     -0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       21 **     0.024       22 **     0.024	-0.00	1 *** -0.00 3] [0.002	2] ** -(	.002]	-0.012 *** 0.003]	-0.002 [0.002]	-0.007 * [0.003]	-0.001 [0.002]	-0.010 ** [0.003]	-0.003 [0.004]	-0.004 [0.004]	-0.002 [0.003]	-0.007 [0.004]
0.001         ***         0.001         ***         0.000           [0.0003]         [0.0003]         0.0001         0.000           -0.0033         0.0001         0.000         0.000           [0.0004]         [0.0002]         ***         0.000           -0.018         ***         -0.023         ***         -0.02           10.0043         [0.0043]         [0.0043]         [0.004         0.02           10.0030         ***         0.021         [0.004         [0.004           10.0043         [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004         [0.004           [0.0044]         [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004         [0.004]         [0.004           [0.0043]         [0.0043]         [0.003]         ***         0.001         [0.004         [0.004]         [0.004           [0.0043]         [0.003]         ***         0.003         ***         0.001         [0.004         [0.004]         [0.004         [0.004         ***         0.003         ***         0.003         ***         0.003         ***         0.003         ***         0.003         ***         0.003         ***         0.003         ***         0.003         ***         0.00	04 *** 0.001 07 *** 0.0003 08 * 0.0003 09 * *** 0.0003 00 * 0.004 00 * 0.024 00 * 0.004 00 * 0.		1 -0.00 3] [0.003	3] [0	.004(	0.0002	-0.007 **	-0.005 . [0.003]	-0.011 *** [0.003]	-0.003 [0.003]	-0.008 * [0.004]	-0.005 [0.004]	+ 0.008 *	-0.004 [0.004]
-0.0003         0.0001         0.000           [0.0001]         [0.0002]         0.000           -0.018         ****         -0.023           -0.018         ***         -0.023           -0.018         ****         -0.023           -0.018         ****         -0.023           (0.004)         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.010]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.012]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.003]         [0.004]         [0.004]	02         0.00003           11         [0.0001]           24         ****           24         ****           21         **           21         **           21         **           21         **           23         ****           21         **           23         ****           29         [0.0074]           29         [0.0074]           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ****           20         ***           20         ***	*** 0.00	1 *** 0.000 [] [0.000	04 *** (0.0	001] = [0	0.001 ***	0.0004 ***	0.001 ***	0.0004 ***	0.001 ***	0.0005 **	0.001 ***	0.001 ***	0.001 ***
-0.018         ****         -0.023         ***         -0.02           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.005]         0.021         0.02           [0.009]         [0.010]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.004]         [0.004]         [0.004]           [0.003]         [0.007]         [0.004]           [0.003]         [0.007]         [0.004]	24 *** -0.024 Hd [0.004] S1 ** 0.024 B3 (0.007] Hd *** -0.048 Hd *** 0.0043 D5 0.0004	-0.0000-	4 0.000 1] [0.000	0.0 1] [0.0	0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001	0.0002 . [0.0001]	-0.0001 [0.0002]	0.0002	0.0001 [0.0002]	0.0001	0.000 [0.0002]
0.039         0.021         0.02           (0.009)         (0.010)         (0.004)           -0.045         -0.045         -0.04           -0.001         (0.004)         (0.000)           (0.003)         (0.007)         (0.000)           (0.003)         (0.007)         (0.000)           -0.019         -0.032         -0.03	21 **         0.024           83         [0.007]           84 ***         -0.048           24]         [0.004]           24]         0.0043	*** -0.03	0.03 [0.00]	31 *** -(	.005] ***	-0.030 ***	-0.029 *** [0.005]	-0.030 ***	-0.036 *** [0.004]	-0.031 *** [0.004]	-0.039 *** [0.004]	-0.037 *** [0.004]	-0.026 *** [0.004]	-0.031 *** [0.004]
-0.045 *** -0.045 *** -0.04 [0.004] [0.004] [0.00 0.001 [0.003] [0.00 [0.008] [0.007] [0.00	48 *** -0.048 [4] [0.004] 05 0.0004	** 0.02	5 ** 0.03 9] [0.008	31 *** ( 8] [0	* 009]	0.023 *	0.008	0.020 ** [0.007]	0.026 ** [0.008]	0.015 [0.010]	0.022 * [0.010]	0.016 . [0.009]	0.002 [0.008]	0.014 . [0.008]
0.001 -0.003 -0.00 [0.008] [0.007] [0.00 -0.019 *** -0.032 *** -0.03	05 0.0004	*** -0.05	3 *** -0.06 [1] [0.00	50 *** -( 4] [0	.004]	-0.057 ***	-0.059 ***	-0.060 *** [0.004]	-0.063 *** [0.004]	-0.054 *** [0.004]	-0.054 *** [0.005]	-0.052 *** [0.004]	-0.050 ***	-0.053 *** [0.004]
-0.019 *** -0.032 *** -0.03		-0.00	1 0.00 7] [0.008	) 8] [0	0.010	0.005	0.001 [0.008]	0.0003 [0.007]	0.008	0.001 [0.008]	0.004 [0.008]	0.007 [0.009]	0.012 [0.007]	0.004 [0.007]
[0.004] [0.004] [0.00	33 *** -0.032 4] [0.005]	*** -0.05	0 *** -0.05 [1] [0.00	51 *** -( 4] [0	.055 ***	-0.052 ***	-0.068 ***	-0.064 *** [0.004]	-0.071 *** [0.004]	-0.069 ***	-0.067 ***	-0.070 ***	-0.068 ***	-0.061 *** [0.004]
-0.0170.002 -0.01 [0.009] [0.008] [0.00	12 -0.018 77] [0.007]	* -0.00	6 0.00 [0.00]	28 77 [0	006]	-0.006]	0.016 . [0.008]	0.0004 [0.006]	0.016 ** [0.006]	0.010 . [0.006]	0.004 [0.007]	0.006	0.005	0.010 . [0.005]
0.048 * 0.064 ** 0.06 [0.022] [0.023] [0.02	84 *** 0.083 20] [0.025]	** 0.08	4 *** 0.07 1] [0.023	76 ** (0 3] [0	.024] [	0.092 ***	0.116 *** [0.026]	0.098 ***	0.103 ***	0.112 *** [0.026]	0.097 **	0.105 *** [0.028]	0.086 **	0.085 ***
-0.098 *** -0.115 *** -0.1 [0.025] [0.021] [0.02	18 *** -0.122 21] [0.026]	*** -0.12	1 *** -0.11 3] [0.026	18 *** -( 6] [0	.115 ***	-0.133 *** 0.024]	-0.159 *** [0.027]	-0.142 *** [0.024]	-0.122 *** [0.030]	-0.164 *** [0.027]	-0.138 *** [0.032]	-0.146 *** [0.030]	-0.137 *** [0.029]	-0.122 *** [0.025]
-0.016 ** 0.002 -0.01 [0.005] [0.005] [0.00	15 * -0.031 )6] [0.007]	*** -0.05	9 *** -0.05	51 *** -( 7] [0	.059 ***	-0.075 ***	-0.078 *** [0.007]	-0.063 *** [0.007]	-0.064 *** [0.009]	-0.043 *** [0.012]	-0.067 ***	-0.047 *** [0.008]	-0.074 *** [0.008]	-0.051 *** [0.011]
[0.020] . 0.016 * 0.00 0.011 [0.008] [0.01.	04 0.008 2] [0.011]	0.01	4 0.03 [] [0.008	30 *** ( 8] [0	0.022	0.031 **	0.036 *** [0.010]	0.027 ** [0.009]	0.040 *** [0.010]	0.000 [0.013]	0.017 . [0.010]	0.022 * [0.010]	0.037 ***	0.026 * [0.011]
162 124 169 398 170	453 170 37	75 163 5	888 164	148 1	61 893	165 240	161 593	165 855	167 696	166412	165 580	166 715	175 489	182 553

ILO Working Paper 37

52

Table 7. Linear probability model for informal employees with low pay rates (i-ELPR)

2019 2020	0.005 *** 0.005 *** [0.0002] [0.0003]	-0.001 * -0.001 ** [0.0004] [0.0004]	-0.001 -0.004 [0.004] [0.004]	-0.009 * -0.009 * [0.005]	0.001 *** 0.001 ***	[2000.0]	[0.0002] [0.0002] [0.0002]	(2000/1) (2000/1) (2000/1) (2000/2) (20	(20000) (20000) (0.0001) (0.0002) (0.002) (0.004) (0.012) (0.011] (0.011] (0.011] (0.011]	(1,0,002)         (0,0001)           (0,002)         (0,0001)           (0,002)         (0,0001)           (0,002)         (0,0001)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,013)         (0,004)           (0,005)         (0,004)           (0,005)         (0,004)	(1,0,002)         (0,0001)           (0,002)         (0,001)           (0,002)         (0,002)           (0,002)         (0,002)           (0,004)         (0,011)           (0,013)         (0,013)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,002)         (0,004)           (0,007)         (0,004)	10.0002         0.0001           0.0002         0.0001           0.0002         0.0001           0.0003         0.0001           0.0024         0.0022           0.0025         0.052           0.0153         0.016           0.0153         0.016           0.0153         0.016           0.0153         0.016           0.013         0.016           0.013         0.016           0.013         0.011           0.013         0.011           0.013         0.011           0.013         0.011           0.013         0.011           0.014         0.011           0.013         0.011           0.023         0.011           0.0033         0.0018           0.0033         0.0018           0.0041         0.0041	U.J.0022         U.J.0021           0.0001         0.0001           [0.0023]         0.0001           -0.037         -0.040           0.055         -0.041           [0.004]         0.052           0.053         -0.046           0.053         -0.046           0.053         -0.046           0.053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048           0.0053         -0.048	IU.0002         IU.0002           0.0001         0.0001           10.0002         0.0001           0.0003         0.0001           0.0016         0.001           0.0023         0.018           0.0023         0.018           0.0023         0.018           0.0023         0.018           0.0023         0.018           0.0023         0.018           0.003         0.018           0.003         0.018           0.003         0.018           0.003         0.018           0.003         0.018           0.0123         0.018           0.0123         0.018           0.0123         0.018           0.0123         0.023           0.0123         0.023           0.023         0.023	U.J.0022         U.J.0021           0.0001         0.0001           0.00023         0.0001           0.0023         0.052           0.0133         0.052           0.0133         0.052           0.0053         0.001           0.0053         0.0164           0.023         0.018           0.023         0.018           0.023         0.018           0.0023         0.018           0.0023         0.018           0.023         0.018           0.023         0.018           0.023         0.018           0.023         0.018           0.023         0.012           0.023         0.012           0.023         0.012           0.023         0.012           0.023         0.012           0.033         0.132           0.033         0.132           0.033         0.031	0.0002         0.0002           0.0002         0.0002           0.0004         0.0002           0.0025         0.004           0.0026         0.004           0.0025         0.052           0.0052         0.052           0.0053         0.052           0.0053         0.052           0.0053         0.004           0.0053         0.008           0.0053         0.008           0.0053         0.018           0.0053         0.018           0.0053         0.018           0.0033         0.012           0.0133         0.012           0.0123         0.012           0.0123         0.012           0.0133         0.012           0.0133         0.013           0.0133         0.013           0.0333         0.031           0.0333         0.031	Lundord         Lundord           0.0001         0.0001           0.0002         0.0001           0.0003         0.0001           0.0052         0.0052           0.0153         0.0152           0.0053         0.0013           0.0053         0.0163           0.0053         0.0013           0.0053         0.003           0.0033         0.003           0.0033         0.003           0.0033         0.0034           0.0033         0.0034           0.0033         0.0034           0.0033         0.013           0.0033         0.013           0.0123         0.013           0.0123         0.013           0.0133         0.013           0.0133         0.013           0.0133         0.013           0.0234         0.023           0.0313         0.031           0.0332         0.033           0.034         0.034           0.034         0.034           0.034         0.034           0.034         0.034           0.034         0.034
2010	* 0.005 ***	-0.001 * [0.0005]	0.001 [0.003]	-0.011 ** [0.004]	* 0.001 ***		0.0003 [0.0002]	0.0003 [0.0002] * -0.046 ***	0.0003 [0.0002] * -0.046 *** [0.004] [0.010] ***	0.0003 [0.002] * 0.046 *** [0.004] * 0.053 *** [0.010] * 0.046 ***	0.0003 [0.002] e .0.046 *** [0.004] e .0.045 *** [0.004] e .0.046 *** [0.004] e .0.046 ***	0.0003 (0.002] -0.046 *** (0.004) -0.046 *** 0.015 -0.046 *** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.003 ***	0.0003 [0.0002] [0.004] (0.010] (0.010] (0.018 (0.018 (0.003] (0.003] (0.003] (0.003] (0.003] (0.003] (0.003]	0.0003 [0.002] [0.0046 *** [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.003] [0.003] [0.003] [0.003] [0.013] [0.013] [0.013] [0.013]	0.0003 [0.002] [0.0046*** [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.003] [0.013] [0.013] [0.013] [0.013] [0.013] [0.013]	0.0003 (0.002] 0.0046 *** 0.0046 *** 0.016 *** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 ***	0.0003 (0.002) (0.0046 **** (0.0046 *** (0.0045 *** (0.0045 *** (0.0046 *** (0.0046 *** (0.003) (0.0113 * (0.003) (0.0113 *** (0.003) (0.013 *** (0.002) (0.013 *** (0.002) (0.002) (0.013 ***
2017	* 0.005 ***	-0.001 * [0.0005]	0.000 [0.004]	-0.010 * [0.004]	* 0.001 ***		0.0002 [0.0002]	0.0002 [0.0002] *** [0.006]	0.0002 (0.0002] (0.006] (0.006] (0.014) ***	0.0002 [0.0002] * .0.049 *** [0.006] *** 0.062 *** 0.062 *** 0.014] * .0.049 ***	0.0002 (0.0002) (0.006) *** (0.014) * 0.062 *** (0.014) * 0.043 *** (0.001] * 0.018 *	0.0002 (0.0005) (0.006) *** (0.006) *** (0.014) * 0.022 *** (0.004) *** (0.004) * 0.018 * (0.006) * 0.079 ***	0.0002 (0.0063 *** (0.0061 *** (0.014) *** (0.014) *** (0.004] *** (0.004] *** (0.003] * (0.003] * (0.005] ** (0.005] ***	0.0002 0.0003 0.0062 *** 0.0149 *** 0.0161 *** 0.0141 * 0.0141 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.0153 ***	0.0002 0.0003 0.0062 *** 0.0143 ** 0.0143 ** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.013 ** 0.023 *** 0.033 *** 0.033 ***	0.0002 0.0005 0.0063 0.0143 0.0143 0.0143 0.018 0.018 0.018 0.013 0.003 0.013 0.0	0.0002 0.0005 0.0065 0.0061 0.0143 0.0143 0.0143 0.0143 0.0143 0.0143 0.0143 0.0143 0.0033 0.0154 0.0033 0.0154 0.0033 0.0113 0.0133 0.0113
2016	* 0.005 **	* -0.001 * [0.0005]	-0.006 . [0.003]	* -0.004 [0.004]	* 0.001 ** [0.0001]		* 0.0000 [0.0002]	* 0.0000 [0.0002] * -0.036 **	* 0.0000 [0.0002] [0.0036 ** [0.004] * 0.049 **	<ul> <li>0.0000</li> <li>[0.0002]</li> <li>-0.036 ***</li> <li>(0.004]</li> <li>*</li> <li>0.049 ***</li> <li>(0.004]</li> <li>*</li> <li>-0.043 ***</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>0.0000</li> <li>[0.0002]</li> <li>(0.0025</li> <li>**</li> <li>-0.036</li> <li>**</li> <li>(0.018]</li> <li>*</li> <li>0.049</li> <li>**</li> <li>0.016</li> <li>(0.007]</li> </ul>	0.0000           10.0002]           10.0002]           10.0043           10.049           10.049           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.018]           10.007]           10.007]           10.007]	0.0000           10.0002]           0.0036           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0046           10.0046           10.0046           10.0046           10.0041           10.0041           10.0041           10.0041           10.0041           10.0041           10.0041           10.0041           10.0041           10.015           10.0161           10.012	0.0000           10.00023           10.00024           10.0043           10.0023           10.0023	0.0000           10.0002           10.0002           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0124           10.0163           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0331	0.0000           10.0002           10.0003           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0043           10.0158           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0163           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0121           10.0121           10.0113           10.0113           10.0111	0.0000           10.0002           10.0045           10.0043           10.0183           10.0143           10.0143           10.0143           10.0143           10.0143           10.0153           10.0163           10.0123           10.0123           10.0123           10.0153           10.0153           10.0153           10.0153           10.0163           10.0163           10.0163           10.0163           10.0163           10.0163           10.0163           10.0163           10.0163           10.0113           10.0113
5102	0.005 ***	· -0.002 ***	0.002 [0.002]	-0.016 ** <sup>+</sup> [0.003]	[0.0001 ***		0.0005 ***	0.0005 *** [0.0001] -0.041 ***	0.0005 *** [0.0001] [0.005] [0.005] [0.011]	0.0005 *** [0.0001] [0.005] [0.011] [0.011] [0.011]	0.0005 *** 0.0001] 0.0011 **** 0.059 **** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.0018 *	0.0005 *** 0.0001] 0.0011 **** 0.011 **** 0.0169 **** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.003 ****	0.0005 *** 0.0011 **** 0.0051 **** 0.0051 **** 0.018 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 * 0.013 *	0.0005 *** 0.0001 **** 0.0051 **** 0.0051 **** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.013 **** 0.013 **** 0.013 **** 0.013 **** 0.013 **** 0.013 **** 0.013 *****	0.0005 *** 0.0001 **** 0.0041 **** 0.005 *** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.013 *** 0.013 *** 0.013 *** 0.013 *** 0.013 *** 0.013 *** 0.013 *** 0.013 *** 0.013 ***	0.0005 *** 0.0001 **** 0.0041 **** 0.005 *** 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.013 *** 0.013 ***	0.0005 *** 0.0001] **** 0.0059 **** 0.0113 * 0.018 * 0.018 * 0.013 * 0.013 **** 0.013 **** 0.0147 **** 0.0133 ***** 0.0147 ***** 0.0133 ***** 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.0138 * 0.016 ************************************
2014	[0.0003]	-0.002 ** <sup>+</sup> [0.0005]	-0.004 [0.003]	** 600.0]	[0.0002]		0.0002 [0.0002]	0.0002 [0.0002] -0.033 ***	0.0002 [0.0002] -0.033 *** [0.004] [0.004] ***	0.0002 [0.0002] [0.0043] *** [0.004] [0.010] [0.010] [0.010]	0.0002 [0.0002] [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.010] [0.004] [0.004] [0.008]	0.0002 [0.0002] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] [0.008] [0.008]	0.0002 [0.0002] [0.004] *** [0.004] [0.012] *** [0.004] [0.004] [0.008] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004]	0.0002 [0.0002] [0.004] *** [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.008] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004]	0.0002 [0.0002] **** [0.004] *** [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.008] [0.008] [0.004] [0.004] [0.002] *** [0.010] [0.010] [0.012] ***	0.0002 (0.0023 *** (0.004) (0.004) (0.010] (0.004) (0.004) (0.008) (0.008) (0.008) (0.004) (0.004) (0.0025 * (0.0010) (0.0101) (0.0101) (0.027) (0.027) (0.027) (0.027) (0.026) (0.028)	0.0002 (0.0002] *** (0.004] *** 0.061 *** 0.061 *** 0.015 *** 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.012 0.013 *** 0.015 *** 0.015 *** 0.015 *** 0.015 ***
CI 02	0.005 ***	· -0.001 **	-0.002 [0.003]	-0.011 *** [0.003]	(0.0001 ***		0.0003 * [0.0002]	0.0003 * [0.0002] -0.028 ***	0.0003 * [0.0002] [0.005] [0.005] [0.011]	0.0003 * [0.002] [0.002] [0.005] **** [0.05] **** [0.011] [0.011] [0.013] [0.005] [0.0	0.0003 * (0.0023 **** (0.005) **** (0.05) **** (0.011) (0.011) (0.005) (0.008)	0.0003 * (0.0023 **** 0.0050 *** 0.0050 *** 0.0051 *** 0.0051 *** 0.0051 ***	0.0003 * [0.002] **** [0.005] **** [0.011] * [0.011] **** [0.012] **** [0.003] ****	0.0003 * [0.002] **** [0.005] **** [0.011] [0.011] [0.013] **** [0.003] **** [0.004] **** [0.003] **** [0.004] [0.003] ****	0.0003 * (0.0028 **** 0.0059 **** 0.0050 *** 0.0051 *** 0.0051 *** 0.0083 **** 0.0083 **** 0.0083 **** 0.0083 **** 0.0083 **** 0.0083 **** 0.0023 **** 0.0123 ****	0.0003 * 0.0028 **** 0.0059 **** 0.0050 *** 0.0111 0.0051 *** 0.0083 *** 0.0083 *** 0.0083 *** 0.0083 *** 0.0083 *** 0.0123 0.0123 0.0123 0.0123 10.01	0.0003           (0.0023           1           0.028           1           0.050           1           0.050           1           0.050           1           0.050           1           0.051           1           0.0051           1           0.0053           1           0.0033           0.0123           0.0123           0.0123           0.0123           0.0034           1           0.0123
2012	0.003 ***	· -0.002 ***	-0.008 **	-0.006 [0.004]	[0.0001] ***		0.0001 [0.0002]	0.0001 [0.0002] -0.038 ***	0.0001 [0.002] [0.005] [0.014]	0.0001 [0.0002] -0.038 **** [0.005] 0.065 **** 0.065 ****	0.0001 (0.0002] (0.005] (0.0138) (0.0138) (0.005] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012]	0.0001 [0.0002] [0.005] **** [0.014] **** [0.014] **** [0.015] **** [0.012] [0.012] [0.012]	0.0001 [0.0002] [0.005] **** [0.014] **** [0.015] **** [0.012] [0.012] [0.012] **** [0.012] ****	0.0001 [0.0002] [0.005] **** [0.014] *** [0.014] *** [0.010] [0.012] [0.012] [0.012] *** [0.012] [0.012] ***	0.0001 (0.0002] (0.005] **** (0.014] (0.014] (0.015] (0.015] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012] (0.012]	0.0001 0.0002] **** 0.0055 **** 0.0055 **** 0.0165 **** 0.014J 0.0112 0.0102 0.012 0.012] 0.012] 0.012] 0.012] 0.012] 1.42 *** 0.0142 *** 0.012] 0.0123 1.42 ***	0.0001 [0.0002] [0.005] *** [0.014] *** [0.014] *** [0.015] *** [0.012] [0.012] [0.012] *** [0.012] *** [0.012] *** [0.012] *** [0.012] *** [0.012] *** [0.012] *** [0.012] ***
1 1 7 7	0.005 ***	-0.002 *** [0.0004]	-0.007 *	+ 00.00-	0.001 ***		0.0002 [0.0002]	0.0002 [0.0002] -0.034 *** [0.005]	0.0002 [0.0002] -0.034 *** [0.005] 0.075 ***	0.0002 [0.0002] -0.034 *** [0.005] (0.013] -0.052 ***	0.0002 [0.002] **** -0.034 *** [0.05] *** [0.073] -0.073 [0.04] [0.001]	0.0002 [0.002] *** -0.034 *** [0.05] *** [0.075 *** [0.075 *** [0.073] 0.011 [0.003] [0.003]	0.0002 [0.002] **** -0.034 *** [0.05] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] -0.052 *** [0.013] [0.003] [0.003] *** [0.003] ***	0.0002 [0.002] **** [0.05] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.014] *** [0.005] *** [0.005] *** [0.013] ***	0.0002 (0.0023 **** (0.055 **** (0.013) (0.013) (0.013) (0.013) (0.014) (0.003) (0.014) (0.003) (0.014) (0.013) (0.013) (0.030)	0.0002 [0.002] **** [0.005] *** [0.005] *** [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] [0.004] **** [0.005] *** [0.014] *** [0.014] *** [0.013] *** [0.030]	0.0002 [0.002] *** -0.034 *** [0.05] *** [0.075 *** [0.075 *** [0.075] *** [0.074] *** [0.005] *** [0.074] *** [0.074] *** [0.074] *** [0.075] *** [0.076] *** [0.076] *** [0.076] ***
	[0.0003]	-0.002 *** [0.0005]	-0.007 * [0.003]	+ 600.0- [0.003]	· 0.001 *** [0.0001]		0.0003	0.0003 [0.0002] -0.029 ***	0.0003 [0.0002] [0.005] [0.012] [0.012]	0.0003 [0.002] -0.029 *** [0.06] [0.074 *** [0.012] -0.053 ***	0.0003 [0.002] *** [0.06] *** [0.05] *** [0.07] *** [0.07] *** [0.04] ***	0.0003 (0.0002) **** (0.005) **** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.013) *** (0.004) (0.007) (0.007) ***	0.0003 (0.0002) **** (0.005) **** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.004) *** (0.007) *** (0.003) **	0.0003 (0.002) *** (0.005) *** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.023) *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.012) ***	0.0003 (0.002) **** (0.005) **** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.023) *** (0.005) *** (0.007) *** (0.007) *** (0.003) ** (0.012) *** (0.012) ***	0.0003 (0.002) **** (0.005) **** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.004) *** (0.007) *** (0.007) *** (0.007) *** (0.007) *** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.012) ***	0.0003 (0.0002) **** (0.005) **** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.012) *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.003) ** (0.028) ** (0.028) ** (0.035) ** (0.035) *** (0.035) ***
	0.005 ***	-0.001 ** [0.0004]	-0.011 *** [0.003]	-0.001 [0.003]	0.001 *** [0.0001]		0.0000 [0.0001]	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.006]	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.006] 0.067 ***	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.006] [0.013] -0.067 *** [0.013]	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.06] *** [0.067 *** [0.073] -0.054 *** [0.04] [0.008]	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.065 *** [0.013] -0.054 *** [0.04] 0.014 [0.008] -0.068 ***	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.065] *** [0.013] -0.054 *** [0.013] -0.054 *** [0.014] -0.068 *** [0.004] -0.047 *** [0.004] -0.047 ***	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.065] *** [0.013] *** [0.014] -0.054 *** [0.004] -0.068 *** [0.004] ** [0.004] ** [0.011] **	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.065] *** [0.013] -0.057 *** [0.014] [0.014] -0.068 *** [0.023] -0.047 *** [0.011] 0.132 *** [0.027] -0.161 ***	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.006] *** [0.014] -0.054 *** [0.003] -0.047 *** [0.047 *** [0.047 *** [0.047 *** [0.011] -0.132 *** [0.027] -0.156 ***	0.0000 [0.0001] -0.033 *** [0.065 *** [0.013] -0.054 *** [0.014] [0.011] -0.068 *** [0.004] -0.068 *** [0.004] -0.047 *** [0.011] -0.056 *** [0.032] -0.056 ***
2000	0.004 *** [0.0002]	-0.001 *** [0.0004]	-0.012 *** [0.003]	-0.003 [0.003]	0.001 *** [0.0001]		0.0001 [0.0002]	0.0001 [0.0002] -0.021 *** [0.006]	0.0001 [0.0002] -0.021 *** [0.006] 0.061 ***	0.0001 [0.0002] -0.021 *** [0.006] *** [0.013] -0.049 *** [0.004]	0.0001 [0.0002] *** [0.006] *** [0.006] *** [0.013] -0.049 *** [0.004] *** [0.007]	0.0001 [0.0002] *** [0.006] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.014] *** [0.004] *** [0.007] ***	0.0001 [0.0002] *** [0.006] *** [0.005] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] ***	0.0001 [0.0002] *** [0.006] *** [0.013] [0.013] [0.013] [0.004] [0.004] [0.012] [0.012] [0.012] [0.012] ***	0.0001 (0.0002) *** (0.006] *** (0.0013] *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.004) *** (0.0012] *** (0.011] ***	0.0001 [0.0005] *** [0.006] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.011] *** [0.011] *** [0.035] ***	0.0001 [0.0005] *** [0.006] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.014] *** [0.012] *** [0.024] *** [0.025] *** [0.027] *** [0.027] *** [0.027] *** [0.026] *** [0.027] *** [0.027] *** [0.027] ***
7002	0.004 *** [0.0003]	-0.002 ** [0.0005]	-0.007 *** [0.002]	+ 900.0-	0.001 *** [0.0001]		0.0002	0.0002 [0.0001] -0.024 *** [0.006]	0.0002 [0.0001] -0.024 *** [0.006] 0.069 ***	0.0002 [0.0001] -0.024 *** [0.006] *** [0.012] -0.044 *** [0.004]	0.0002 [0.0001] -0.024 *** [0.006] *** [0.012] -0.044 *** [0.004]	0.0002 [0.0001] -0.024 *** [0.006] *** [0.012] -0.044 *** [0.004] [0.011] -0.059 ***	0.0002 [0.0001] -0.024 *** [0.006] *** [0.012] -0.044 *** [0.011] -0.043 ** [0.014] -0.048 **	0.0002 [(0.0001] -0.024 *** [0.012] 0.069 *** [0.012] [0.014] [0.004] -0.064 ** [0.014] [0.014] [0.014] [0.014] [0.014] [0.014]	0.0002 (0.0005) (0.0065) *** (0.012) (0.012) (0.004] (0.011) (0.004] (0.011) (0.004] (0.011) *** (0.023) (0.031)	0.0002 (0.006] (0.006] *** (0.012] (0.012] (0.004] (0.004] (0.004] (0.004] (0.004] (0.004] (0.004] (0.011] *** (0.016 **	0.0002 [0.0005] *** [0.006] *** [0.005] *** [0.012] *** [0.013] *** [0.011] *** [0.013] *** [0.023] ***
2006	0.004 ***	-0.002 ***	-0.012 *** [0.003]	-0.003 [0.003]	0.001 ***		0.0001 [0.0002]	0.0001 [0.0002] -0.021 *** [0.006]	0.0001 [0.0002] -0.021 *** [0.006] [0.013]	0.0001 [0.0002] *** [0.005] *** [0.005] *** [0.013] *** -0.041 ***	0.0001 [0.0002] *** [0.006] *** [0.013] [0.013] -0.041 *** [0.004] *** [0.004] ***	0.0001 (0.0002] *** (0.006] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.011] ***	0.0001 (0.0022) *** (0.006] (0.006] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.004) *** (0.003] (0.012) ***	0.0001 (0.0002] *** (0.006] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.004] *** (0.001] *** (0.003] *** (0.012] ***	0.0001 (0.0062] *** (0.0065] *** (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.012] (0.012] (0.022] *** (0.022] ***	0.0001 (0.002] *** (0.006] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.011] *** (0.012] *** (0.022] *** (0.022] *** (0.022] ***	0.0001 (0.0002] *** (0.006] *** (0.0164 *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.013] *** (0.011] *** (0.012] *** (0.023] *** (0.023] *** (0.023] *** (0.023] *** (0.026] *** (0.026] ***
2005	0.003 ***	-0.001 ** [0.0005]	-0.015 *** [0.002]	-0.0001 [0.003]	0.001 ***		0.00001 [0.0001]	0.00001 [0.0001] -0.017 ** [0.006]	0.0001 [0.0001] -0.017 ** [0.006] 0.073 ***	0.00001 [0.0001] ** [0.006] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] ***	0.00001 [0.0001] -0.017 ** [0.006] -0.073 *** [0.013] -0.039 *** [0.004] -0.009	0.00001 (1.0001) (2.0001) (2.013) (2.0	0.00001 [0.0001] -0.017 ** [0.006] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] -0.04] -0.04] (0.011] -0.05 *** (0.011] -0.05 ***	0.00001 [0.0001] *** [0.006] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] *** [0.004] *** [0.004] *** [0.005] *** [0.013] *** [0.013] ***	0.00001 [0.0005] (0.0065] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.013] (0.004] (0.004] (0.004] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005] (0.005]	0.00001 [0.0005] (0.0065] (0.0053 **** (0.0133 **** (0.0133 **** (0.0133 **** (0.004] (0.004] (0.004] (0.005] **** (0.015 **** (0.005] (0.005] ****	0.00001 [0.0006] (0.006] (0.0033 *** (0.013] -0.073 *** (0.013] -0.045 *** (0.011] -0.055 *** (0.013] -0.055 *** (0.021] -0.055 *** (0.036] -0.015 **
	age	age × informal	schooling	schooling × informal	schooling <sup>2</sup>		schooling² × informal	schooling² × informal women	schooling² × informal women women × informal	schooling² × informal women women × informal married	schooling² × informal women × informal married married × informal	schooling* × informal women × informal married × informal married × informal leader	schooling* × informal women × informal married × informal leader × informal leader × informal	schooling² × informal women × informal married × informal leader leader × informal employer	schooling* × informal women × informal married × informal leader leader × informal employer × informal employer × informal	schooling² × informal women × informal married × informal leader × informal employer employer × informal exporter	schooling* informal women × informal married × informal leader × informal employer × informal employer × informal exporter × informal

Notes: Significance codes: \*\*\* 0 to 0.001; \*\* 0.001 to 0.01; \* 0.01 to 0.05; 0.05 to 0.1. All regressions include city and industry dummy variables. Robust standard errors clustered at the four-digit NAICS industry level are shown in brackets.

...

Table 8. Linear model for share of imported inputs in total inputs (inputs)

2018	0.066 *** [0.017]	-3.535 [2.525]	0.035 ***	-0.137 * [0.062]	-0.238 *** [0.068]	-0.096 [0.068]	0.267	0.053 [0.114]	0.380 *** [0.032]	-0.143 *** [0.039]	-0.017 [0.024]	0.000 [0.0004]	0.027 [0.017]	0.145 ** [0.046]	10 086
2017	0.068 *** [0.017]	0.790 [3.088]	0.042 ***	-0.144 * [0.063]	-0.242 ** [0.074]	-0.127 ** [0.047]	0.241 [0.168]	0.039 [0.108]	0.389 *** [0.031]	-0.115 ** [0.038]	-0.026 [0.023]	0:000 00:0	0.025 [0.016]	0.003 [0.016]	10 160
2016	0.065 *** [0.017]	0.931 [3.010]	0.048 *** [0.011]	-0.158 * [0.069]	-0.268 ***	-0.156 *** [0.041]	0.310 . [0.176]	-0.019 [0.101]	0.378 *** [0.031]	-0.094 * [0.045]	-0.031 [0.023]	0.000	0.027 . [0.014]	0.025 [0.022]	10 281
2015	0.067 ** [0.021]	-5.695 [3.862]	0.050 *** [0.013]	-0.242 ** [0.079]	-0.173 . [0.097]	-0.129 *** [0.037]	0.390 . [0.206]	-0.038 [0.101]	0.362 *** [0.032]	-0.013 [0.036]	-0.045 [0.028]	0.001 [0.001]	0.035 *	0.00 [0.006]	9 304
2014	0.048 * [0.022]	-2.702 [1.797]	0.053 *** [0.012]	-0.212 ** [0.066]	-0.165 * [0.077]	-0.184 ** [0.068]	0.306 . [0.174]	0.084 [0.122]	0.349 *** [0.029]	0.031 [0.032]	-0.062 * [0.030]	0.000	0.030 * [0.013]	0.008	9 535
2013	0.031 [0.020]	-6.018 * [2.545]	0.092 *** [0.017]	-0.158 ** [0.053]	-0.234 *** [0.062]	-0.238 *** [0.061]	0.217 [0.188]	290.0 [760.0]	0.357 *** [0.028]	0.001 [0.030]	-0.054 * [0.027]	0.000 [0.001]	0.028 . [0.016]	0.120 * [0.053]	9 805
2012	0.034 . [0.019]	-3.172 [2.785]	0.091 *** [0.017]	-0.164 ** [0.050]	-0.222 ** [0.071]	-0.199 *** [0.055]	0.292 [0.180]	0.032 [0.081]	0.356 *** [0.028]	0.034 [0.034]	-0.065 * [0.026]	-0.001 [0.001]	0.030 . [0.016]	0.000 [0.0001]	10 146
2011	0.041 * [0.019]	-7.906 . [4.693]	0.096 *** [0.019]	-0.239 *** [0.059]	-0.157 * [0.062]	-0.158 ** [0.048]	0.134 [0.161]	660.0 [760.0]	0.354 *** [0.026]	0.032 [0.023]	-0.051 * [0.025]	0.000 [0.001]	0.029 * [0.013]	0.026 * [0.011]	10 499
2010	0.042 * [0.019]	-6.439 [4.383]	0.140 *** [0.017]	-0.194 ***	-0.180 ** [0.062]	-0.191 ** [0.057]	-0.042 [0.112]	0.064 [0.092]	0.362 ***	0.005 [0.023]	-0.068 ** [0.024]	0.002 [0.002]	0.028 * [0.013]	-0.014 [0.029]	10 785
2009	0.058 ** [0.020]	1.982 [3.024]	0.139 *** [0.024]	-0.180 ** [0.054]	-0.180 ** [0.062]	-0.170 ** [0.062]	0.046 [0.131]	0.108 [0.106]	0.345 *** [0.029]	-0.005 [0.025]	-0.034 [0.021]	0.004 [0.002]	0.037 ** [0.013]	0.002 [0.002]	10 606
2008	0.046 * [0.022]	4.160 [3.713]	0.100 *** [0.020]	-0.271 *** [0.068]	-0.208 **	-0.122 . [0.071]	0.221 * [0.106]	0.212 * [0.094]	0.309 ***	-0.124 *** [0.033]	-0.049 * [0.024]	0.000 [0.0001]	0.033 * [0.016]	0.002 [0.027]	6 626
2007	0.049 * [0.023]	2.055 [3.910]	0.062 * [0.029]	-0.320 *** [0.076]	-0.279 ***	-0.093 [0.056]	0.300 ** [0.108]	0.178 . [0.095]	0.331 *** [0.032]	-0.086 * [0.042]	-0.030 [0.025]	0.000 [0.0001]	0.033 . [0.017]	-0.001 ***	6 947
2006	0.035 [0.024]	-0.893 [4.237]	0.065 * [0.025]	-0.352 *** [0.085]	-0.337 *** [0.075]	-0.114 * [0.053]	0.283 * [0.114]	0.133 [0.083]	0.305 *** [0.032]	-0.025 [0.044]	-0.0033 [0.024]	0.000 [0.0001]	0.030 . [0.017]	0.031 ** [0.010]	7 221
2005	0.048 * [0.022]	-2.349 [3.057]	0.055 * [0.023]	-0.465 *** [0.123]	-0.283 ** [0.089]	-0.074 [0.062]	0.253 * [0.103]	0.160 . [0.090]	0.300 *** [0.032]	-0.066 . [0.036]	0.002 [0.019]	0.000 [0.0001]	0.036 * [0.017]	0.024 ** [0.007]	7 278
2004	0.044 . [0.024]	-3.963 [2.777]	0.069 * [0.027]	-0.424 *** [0.117]	-0.309 ***	-0.098 . [0.058]	0.288 ** [0.088]	0.196 * [0.093]	0.301 *** [0.029]	-0.011 [0.047]	-0.015 [0.026]	0.000 [0.0001]	0.025	0.015 . [0.009]	7 294
2003	0.060 **	-1.319 [3.608]	0.215 *** [0.034]	-0.402 *** [0.105]	-0.289 ***	-0.134 ** [0.046]	0.084 [0.129]	0.083 [060.0]	0.289 *** [0.030]	-0.067 . [0.040]	-0.075 ** [0.023]	-0.0002 * [0.0001]	0.029 *	0.006 **	7 292
	women	hours	GDWE	fuel	energy	subcontract	advertising	freight	exports	maquila	profit	productivity	machinery	assets	obs

Notes: Significance codes: \*\*\* 0 to 0.001; \*\* 0.001 to 0.01; \* 0.01 to 0.05; . 0.05 to 0.1. All regressions include four-digit NAICS industry dummy variables. Robust standard errors dustered at the four-digit NAICS industry level are shown in brackets.

Table 9. Linear model for share of exports in total sales (exports)

2018	0.001 [0.026]	-4.599 . [2.617]	0.0083 . [0.0045]	-0.103 . [0.054]	-0.075	0.008 [0.063]	-0.605 ** [0.227]	0.721 *** [0.161]	0.453 *** [0.027]	0.031 [0.074]	0.034 [0.030]	-0.0001 [0.0002]	0.078 *** [0.016]	0.214 *** [0.055]	10 086
2017	0.000 [0.026]	-9.099 ** [3.418]	0.0092 . [0.0050]	-0.110 * [0.055]	-0.069 [0.089]	0.087 [0.053]	-0.522 * [0.217]	0.698 *** [0.159]	0.466 *** [0.028]	0.091 [0.080]	0.040 [0.030]	-0.0001 [0.0001]	0.088 *** [0.016]	0.012 [0.022]	10 160
2016	-0.003 [0.029]	-6.028 . [3.505]	0.014 * [0.007]	-0.103 . [0.053]	-0.057 [0.092]	0.099 . [0.051]	-0.668 ** [0.230]	0.755 *** [0.160]	0.462 *** [0.027]	0.118 [0.079]	0.049 [0.029]	-0.0004 [0.0003]	0.078 *** [0.016]	0.072 * [0.030]	10 281
2015	0.000 [0.034]	-3.700 [3.696]	0.017 * [0.008]	-0.105 . [0.062]	-0.127 [0.133]	0.103 [0.076]	-0.812 ** [0.278]	0.816 *** [0.163]	0.455 *** [0.029]	0.077 [0.092]	0.048 [0.029]	-0.001 [0.001]	0.077 *** [0.018]	0.013 * [0.006]	9 304
2014	-0.005 [0.030]	-1.831 [2.166]	0.0045 [0.008]	-0.035	-0.085 [0.115]	0.179 * [0.071]	-0.786 ** [0.251]	0.851 *** [0.171]	0.448 *** [0.028]	-0.036	0.050 . [0.026]	-0.0003	0.074 *** [0.018]	-0.011 [0.016]	9 535
2013	0.013 [0.029]	-0.275 [3.062]	-0.014 [0.011]	-0.053 [0.064]	0.014 [0.095]	0.182 * [0.084]	-0.735 ** [0.242]	0.765 *** [0.194]	0.424 *** [0.028]	-0.043 [0.053]	0.010 [0.024]	-0.0001 [0.0003]	0.054 ** [0.018]	0.243 *** [0.064]	9 805
2012	0.014	1.588 [2.377]	-0.0033 [0.012]	-0.050 [0.067]	-0.041 [0.100]	0.170 * [0.065]	-0.755 ** [0.235]	0.791 *** [0.199]	0.431 *** [0.028]	-0.010 [0.059]	0.016 [0.024]	0.0002 [0.0003]	0.068 *** [0.017]	0.0004 . [0.0002]	10146
2011	0.010 [0.030]	7.130 . [4.101]	-0.011 [0.011]	0.007 [0.067]	0.006 [0.109]	0.089 [0.054]	-0.570 * [0.233]	0.667 *** [0.167]	0.426 *** [0.025]	-0.011 [0.055]	-0.021 [0.026]	-0.0001 [0.0004]	0.058 *** [0.015]	0.011 [0.033]	10 499
2010	0.023 [0.027]	3.059 [3.440]	-0.011 [0.014]	-0.018 [0.078]	-0.058 [0.108]	0.114 * [0.055]	-0.467 ** [0.172]	0.641 *** [0.165]	0.430 *** [0.026]	0.017 [0.052]	0.038 [0.023]	0.0003	0.050 **	0.048 * [0.019]	10 785
2009	0.005	1.913 [2.710]	-0.0027 [0.015]	-0.032 [0.063]	-0.064 [0.082]	0.084 . [0.048]	-0.633 *** [0.149]	0.634 *** [0.135]	0.410 *** [0.026]	0.083 . [0.047]	0.025 . [0.015]	-0.0005 [0.002]	0.034 * [0.015]	-0.003 [0.002]	10 606
2008	0.014 [0.025]	-6.189 . [3.550]	0.041 ** [0.012]	0.038 [0.065]	0.024 [0.082]	0.023 [0.050]	-0.624 *** [0.143]	0.564 ** [0.175]	0.260 *** [0.029]	0.128 [0.079]	0.006 [0.023]	-0.0001 * [0.00004]	0.064 *** [0.018]	0.037 . [0.021]	6 626
2007	-0.001 [0.025]	-3.717 [4.108]	0.020 * [0.010]	0.052 [0.059]	0.017 [0.094]	0.018 [0.040]	-0.591 *** [0.142]	0.505 *** [0.135]	0.274 *** [0.031]	0.106 . [0.062]	0.008 [0.022]	-0.0001 * [0.0001]	0.058 *** [0.015]	0.000 **	6 947
2006	-0.001 [0.025]	-1.161 [3.104]	0.019 * [0.009]	0.047 [0.072]	0.028 [0.095]	0.030 [0.044]	-0.572 *** [0.140]	0.532 *** [0.146]	0.251 *** [0.029]	0.110 * [0.053]	-0.0039 [0.028]	-0.0001 ** [0.00004]	0.057 *** [0.015]	-0.001 [0.012]	7 221
2005	0.007 [0.023]	-2.344 [3.149]	0.013 * [0.006]	0.091 [0.071]	-0.019 [0.082]	0.009 [0.042]	-0.545 *** [0.123]	0.609 *** [0.140]	0.241 *** [0.030]	0.078 [0.061]	0.009 [0.020]	-0.0001 . [0.0001]	0.049 ** [0.015]	00.00 [700.0]	7 278
2004	0.017 [0.026]	-2.156 [3.540]	0.013 [0.008]	0.066 [0.057]	-0.017 [0.088]	0.076 . [0.041]	-0.534 *** [0.147]	0.506 *** [0.117]	0.244 *** [0.026]	0.075	0.004	-0.0001 . [0.0001]	0.043 ** [0.016]	0.009 [0.010]	7 294
2003	0.005	-2.682 [4.016]	0.032 * [0.014]	0.040 [0.072]	-0.003 [0.104]	0.098 . [0.049]	-0.549 *** [0.130]	0.502 ** [0.155]	0.234 ***	0.054 [0.065]	-0.015 [0.023]	-0.0002 ***	0.038 * [0.017]	-0.001 [0.001]	7 292
	women	hours	GDWE	fuel	energy	subcontract	advertising	freight	inputs	maquila	profit	productivity	machinery	assets	obs

Notes: Significance codes: \*\*\* 0 to 0.001; \*\* 0.001 to 0.01; \* 0.01 to 0.05; . 0.05 to 0.1. All regressions include four-digit NAICS industry dummy variables. Robust standard errors clustered at the four-digit NAICS industry level are shown in brackets.

Table 10. Effect of non-preferential trade liberalization and exposure to globalization on working poverty and low pay rates

	W	PRE industry	r differentia	ls	ELP	R industry	/ differenti	als	j-WPI	RE indust	ry differei	ntials	iei	PR indus	try differe	ntials
	(a)	(q)	(C)	(q)	(a)	(q)	(c)	(q)	(a)	(q)	(c)	(p)	(a)	(q)	(c)	(q)
TWAIT	0.488 ***	0.563 *** [0.169]	0.903 *** [0.211]	0.919 ** [0.293]	0.456 *** [0.131]	0.392 ** [0.130]	0.873 *** [0.173]	0.704 *** [0.175]	0.066	0.152 [0.117]	0.433 ** [0.154]	0.463 * [0.198]	0.135 [0.128]	0.178 [0.175]	0.703 *** [0.180]	0.728 ** [0.228]
TWAIT × manufacturing			-1.052 *** [0.290]	-0.991 ** [0.315]			-0.981 *** [0.211]	-0.751 *** [0.189]			-0.561 ** [0.180]	-0.648 ** [0.239]			-0.891 *** [0.196]	-1.144 *** [0.288]
TWAET	0.183 [0.593]	0.525 [0.644]	2.383 . [1.366]	2.704 * [1.204]	0.553 [0.759]	0.900 [0.691]	3.257 * [1.447]	3.134 ** [1.156]	0.185 [0.402]	-0.057 [0.383]	1.220 [1.098]	1.425 [1.111]	0.255	0.322 [0.630]	1.714 [1.675]	2.374 * [1.202]
TWAET × manufacturing			-2.224 . [1.319]	-2.683 * [1.227]			-2.962 * [1.415]	-2.965 ** [1.136]			-0.806 [1.169]	-1.162 [1.179]			-1.116 [1.716]	-1.519 [1.334]
inputs			-0.094 [0.066]	-0.118 * [0.060]			-0.096 . [0.053]	-0.121 * [0.050]			0.009	0.025 [0.066]			-0.071 [0.073]	-0.106 [0.072]
exports			-0.223 *** [0.064]	-0.256 *** [0.066]			-0.169 *** [0.049]	-0.211 *** [0.050]			0.041 [0.069]	0.045 [0.076]			-0.054 [0.082]	-0.059
Year dummies	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry dummies	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
Industry trends	ON	YES	ON	YES	ON	YES	N	YES	ON	YES	ON	YES	NO	YES	ON	YES
obs	2 156	2156	2156	2156	2156	2 156	2 156	2 156	1 983	1 983	1 983	1 983	1 983	1 983	1 983	1 983

Notes: Significance codes: \*\*\* 0 to 0.001; \*\* 0.001 to 0.01; \* 0.01 to 0.05; 0.05 to 0.1. All regressions include year dummies and four-digit NAICS industry dummies. Newey-West standard errors with one lag are shown in brackets.

### References

Abowd, J.A., F. Kramarz, and S. Woodcock. 2008. "Econometric Analyses of Linked Employer–Employee Data". In *The Econometrics of Panel Data: Fundamentals and Recent Developments in Theory and Practice*, edited by L. Mátyás and P. Sylvestre, 3rd ed., 729–762. Berlin: Springer

Aleman-Castilla, Benjamin. 2006. "The Effect of Trade Liberalization on Informality and Wages: Evidence from Mexico", CEP Working Paper WP763 (October).

———. 2020. "Trade and Labour Market Outcomes: Theory and Evidence at the Firm and Worker Levels", ILO Working Paper 12.

Aleman-Castilla, Benjamin, and Karla Cuilty-Esquivel. 2020. "Trabajo Decente En México, 2005–2020: Análisis Con Perspectiva de Género". Unpublished. Mexico City: Centro de Investigación de la Mujer en la Alta Dirección, IPADE Business School.

Alfaro-Urena, A., I. Manelici, and J.P. Vásquez. 2019. "The Effects of Multinationals on Workers: Evidence from Costa Rica". Unpublished. http://files/503/Alfaro-Urena et al. - 2019 - The Effects of Multinationals on Workers Evidence.pdf.

Angrist, J.D., and J.S. Pischke. 2009. *Mostly Harmless Econometrics: An Empiricist's Companion*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.

Arellano, M. 1987. "Computing Robust Standard Errors for Within-Groups Estimators". Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics 49 (4): 431–434.

Autor, D., D. Dorn, and G. Hanson. 2013. "The China Syndrome: Local Labor Market Effects of Import Competition in the United States". *American Economic Review* 103 (6): 2121–2168.

Ben Salem, M., and C. Zaki. 2019. "Revisiting the Impact of Trade Openness on Informal and Irregular Employment in Egypt". *Journal of Economic Integration* 34 (3): 465–497.

Ben Yahmed, S. 2017. "Gender Wage Discrimination and Trade Openness. Prejudiced Employers in an Open Industry". ZEW Discussion Paper 17-047.

Bhagwati, J., and T.N. Srinivasan. 2002. "Trade and Poverty in the Poor Countries". *American Economic Review* 92 (2): 180–183.

Bryson, A., J. Forth, and C. Barber. 2006. "Making Linked Employer–Employee Data Relevant to Policy", DTI Ocassional Paper 4.

CONEVAL (National Council for Evaluation of Social Development Policy). 2020a. "Índice de La Tendencia Laboral de La Pobreza (ITLP): Resultados Nacionales y Por Entidad Federativa, Noviembre 2020". Mexico City.

———. 2020b. "Líneas de Pobreza Por Ingresos". InfoPobreza.

Coşar, A. Kerem, Nezih Guner, and James Tybout. 2016. "Firm Dynamics, Job Turnover, and Wage Distributions in an Open Economy". *American Economic Review* 106 (3): 625–663.

Cruces, G., G. Porto, and M. Viollaz. 2018. "Trade Liberalization and Informality in Argentina: Exploring the Adjustment Mechanisms", CEDLAS Working Paper 0229.

Dix-Carneiro, R., P.K. Goldberg, Costas Meghir, and G. Ulyssea. 2021. "Trade and Informality in the Presence of Labor Market Frictions and Regulations", NBER Working Paper 28391.

Dix-Carneiro, Rafael, and Brian K. Kovak. 2017. "Trade Liberalization and Regional Dynamics". *American Economic Review* 107 (10): 2908–2946.

———. 2019. "Margins of Labor Market Adjustment to Trade". *Journal of International Economics* 117 (October): 125–142.

Edmonds, E.V., N. Pavcnik, and P. Topalova. 2010. "Trade Adjustment and Human Capital Investments: Evidence from Indian Tariff Reform". *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics* 2 (4): 42–75.

Feenstra, R.C., R. Inklaar and M.P. Timmer. 2015. "The Next Generation of the Penn World Table". *American Economic Review* 105 (10): 3150–3182.

Goldberg, P.K., and N. Pavcnik. 2003. "The Response of the Informal Sector to Trade Liberalization". *Journal of Development Economics* 72 (2): 463–496.

Gourieroux, Christian, Alberto Holly, and Alain Monfort. 1982. "Likelihood Ratio Test, Wald Test, and Kuhn– Tucker Test in Linear Models with Inequality Constraints on the Regression Parameters". *Econometrica* 50 (1): 63–80.

Government of Canada. 2020. "Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership (CPTPP)". https://www.international.gc.ca/trade-commerce/trade-agreements-accords-commerciaux/agr-acc/ cptpp-ptpgp/index.aspx?lang=eng#:~:text=The Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement,%2C Peru%2C Singapore and Vietnam.

Hakobyan, S., and J. McLaren. 2017. "NAFTA and the Gender Wage Gap", Upjohn Institute Working Paper 17–270.

Hausman, J.A. 1978. "Specification Tests in Econometrics". Econometrica 46 (6): 1251–1271.

Helpman, E., O. Itskhoki, M.A. Muendler, and S.J. Redding. 2017. "Trade and Inequality: From Theory to Estimation". *Review of Economic Studies* 84: 357–405.

ILO. 1999. Decent Work, Report of the Director-General, International Labour Conference, 87th Session. Geneva.

———. 2008. Tripartite Meeting of Experts on the Measurement of Decent Work. Geneva.

———. 2013. "Decent Work Indicators: Guidelines for Producers and Users of Statistical and Legal Framework Indicators". *ILO Manual. Second Version.* 

———. 2020. Trade and Decent Work: Handbook of Assessment Methodologies. Geneva.

———. 2021. *Trade and Decent Work: Indicator Guide*. Geneva.

INEGI. 2007. Síntesis Metodológica de La Encuesta Industrial Anual 2003-2005. Edición Especial. 231 Clases de Actividad Económica. Mexico City.

———. 2012. Síntesis Metodológica de La Encuesta Anual de La Industria Manufacturera EAIM. SCIAN 2007. Mexico City.

-----. 2015. Clasificaciones ENOE. Mexico City.

———. 2019. Encuesta Anual de La Industria Manufacturera EAIM. Síntesis Metodológica. Serie 2013. Mexico City.

———. 2020. Cómo Se Hace La ENOE: Métodos y Procedimientos. Mexico City.

Jensen, PH. 2010. "Exploring the Uses of Matched Employer–Employee Datasets". *Australian Economic Review* 43 (2): 209–216.

Juhn, C., U. Gergely, and C. Villegas-Sanchez. 2014. "Men, Women, and Machines: How Trade Impacts Gender Inequality". *Journal of Development Economics* 106: 179–193.

Kis-Katos, K., and R. Sparrow. 2011. "Child Labor and Trade Liberalization in Indonesia". *Journal of Human Resources* 46 (4): 722–749.

Kovak, B. 2013. "Regional Effects of Trade Reform: What Is the Correct Measure of Liberalization?" American Economic Review 103 (5): 1960–1976.

Krishna, Pravin, Jennifer Poole, and Mine Senses. 2014. "Wage Effects of Trade Reform with Endogenous Worker Mobility". *Journal of International Economics* 93 (2): 239–252.

Lee, H., and J. Lee. 2015. "The Impact of Offshoring on Temporary Workers: Evidence on Wages from South Korea". *Review of World Economics* 151 (3): 555–587.

Liang, Kung-Yee, and Scott L. Zeger. 1986. "Longitudinal Data Analysis Using Generalized Linear Models". *Biometrika* 73 (1): 13–22.

Matthee, M., N. Rankin, and C. Bezuidenhout. 2017. "Labour Demand and the Distribution of Wages in South African Manufacturing Exporters", WIDER Working Paper 2017/11.

Melitz, M.J. 2003. "The Impact of Trade on Intra-industry Reallocations and Aggregate Industry Productivity". *Econometrica* 71 (6): 1695–1725.

Mitra, Devashish. 2016. "Trade Liberalization and Poverty Reduction". IZA World of Labor 272: 1–10.

Mittag, N. 2019. "A Simple Method to Estimate Large Fixed Effects Models Applied to Wage Determinants". *Labour Economics* 61 (101766).

Ohlin, B. 1933. Interregional and International Trade. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Olarreaga, Marcelo, Gady Saiovici, and Cristian Ugarte. 2020. "Child Labour and Global Value Chains", CEPR Discussion Paper DP15426.

Sampson, Thomas. 2014. "Selection into Trade and Wage Inequality". *American Economic Journal: Microeconomics* 6 (3): 157–202.

Sánchez Gómez, Joaquín, Juan Carlos Moreno-Brid, Lizzeth Gómez Rodríguez, and Rosa Gómez Tovar. 2021. "Trade Liberalization, Labor Market Outcomes and Decent Work in Mexico: The Case of the Automotive and the Textile Industries", ILO Working Paper.

Schank, Thorsten, Claus Schnabel, and Joachim Wagner. 2007. "Do Exporters Really Pay Higher Wages? First Evidence from German Linked Employer-Employee Data". *Journal of International Economics* 72 (1): 52–74.

Schröder, S. 2018. "Wage Inequality and the Role of Multinational Firms: Evidence from German Linked Employer–Employee Data". University of Edinburgh.

Secretaría de Economía. 2016. "Información Sobre Tratados Internacionales Que México Ha Suscrito En El Mundo", Sistema de Información de Tratados Comerciales Internacionales (SICAIT).

Ulyssea, Gabriel, and Vladimir Ponczek. 2018. "Enforcement of Labor Regulation and the Labor Market Effects of Trade: Evidence from Brazil". IZA Discussion Paper 11783.

UN. 2008. *International Recommendations for Industrial Statistics 2008 (IRIS 2008)*. New York: Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Statistics Division.

Verhoogen, E.A. 2008. "Trade, Quality Upgrading, and Wage Inequality in the Mexican Manufacturing Sector". *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 123 (2): 489–530.

Woodcock, S. 2015. "Match Effects". Research in Economics 69 (1): 100–121.

World Bank Group, and WTO (World Trade Organization). 2015. *The Role of Trade in Ending Poverty*. Geneva: WTO.

———. 2018. *Trade and Poverty Reduction: New Evidence of Impacts in Developing Countries.* Geneva: WTO.

Yeaple, S. 2005. "A Simple Model of Firm Heterogeneity, International Trade, and Wages". *Journal of International Economics* 65 (1): 1–20.

### Acknowledgements

I am very grateful to Marva Corley-Coulibaly and Pelin Sekerler Richiardi, of the ILO Research Department, for the opportunity to collaborate in the project "Trade, enterprises and labour markets: Diagnostic and firm level assessment", conducted jointly by the European Commission and the ILO. I am also grateful to Ira Postolachi, Sajid Ghani, Mónica Hernández, Marc Bacchetta, Marva Corley-Coulibaly, Pelin Sekerler Richiardi and participants at the ILO Research BBL (Brown Bag Lunch) webinar for their very useful comments; and to Angela Doku, Béatrice Guillemain, Sarah Álvarez, Anthony Nanson and Natalia Volkow and INEGI's Microdata Lab team for their administrative and technical support. Any errors that remain are my own.

### Advancing social justice, promoting decent work

The International Labour Organization is the United Nations agency for the world of work. We bring together governments, employers and workers to improve the working lives of all people, driving a human-centred approach to the future of work through employment creation, rights at work, social protection and social dialogue.

#### **Contact details**

Research Department (RESEARCH)

International Labour Organization Route des Morillons 4 1211 Geneva 22 Switzerland T +41 22 799 6530 research@ilo.org www.ilo.org/research



