

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Taube, Markus; Horak, Sven

Working Paper

Guanxi and networking: The hidden business matrix of the Chinese economy

Working Papers on East Asian Studies, No. 134

Provided in Cooperation with:

University of Duisburg-Essen, Institute of East Asian Studies IN-EAST

Suggested Citation: Taube, Markus; Horak, Sven (2022): Guanxi and networking: The hidden business matrix of the Chinese economy, Working Papers on East Asian Studies, No. 134, University of Duisburg-Essen, Institute of East Asian Studies (IN-EAST), Duisburg

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/260549

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





MARKUS TAUBE SVEN HORAK

Guanxi and Networking.

The Hidden Business Matrix of the Chinese Economy

erix

NO. 134

PERS

WORKING PAPERS

WORKING PAPERS
ON EAST ASIAN STUDIES

JUNE 2022

UNIVERSITÄT DUISBURG ESSEN

Offen im Denken

MARKUS TAUBE

Professor, Chair of East Asian Economy / China, Institute of East Asian Studies, University of Duisburg-Essen

W https://www.uni-due.de/in-east/people/taube_markus.php

E markus.taube@uni-due.de

SVEN HORAK

Associate Professor, The Peter J. Tobin College of Business, St. John's University, New York

W https://svenhorak.com

E horaks@stjohns.edu

Institute of East Asian Studies / Institut für Ostasienwissenschaften

University of Duisburg-Essen Duisburg Campus, Forsthausweg 47057 Duisburg, Germany

T +49(0) 203 37-94191

F +49(0) 203 37-94157

E in-east@uni-due.de

ISSN: 1865-8571 (Printed version) / 1865-858X (Internet version)

Download: https://www.uni-due.de/in-east/news/green_series.php

© by the authors, June 2022

CONTENT

1	The	Mechanics of <i>Guanxi</i> Relations and Networks in Chinese Society	5
2	The Impact of <i>Guanxi</i> Relations and Networks on Chinese Business and Management		7
	2.1	<i>Guanxi</i> as a Substitute: Facilitating Business and Strengthening Firm Competitiveness in Adverse Environments	8
	2.2	Guanxi as a Complement: Supporting Management of Day-to-Day Business Operations	10
	2.3	The Dark Side of <i>Guanxi</i> : Costs of Networking on the Macro and Micro Level	11
3	China's Guanxi Relations and Networks in International Comparison		12
4	Con	cluding Remarks	14
Ref	References		

MARKUS TAUBE / SVEN HORAK

Guanxi and Networking

The Hidden Business Matrix of the Chinese Economy

WORKING PAPERS ON EAST ASIAN STUDIES, NO. 134, DUISBURG 2022

Abstract

China's economic structures, business dynamics and individual management decisions are not determined by the country's formal institutional arrangements alone. China's society, its politics and business are rather governed by powerful informal mechanisms that complement and sometimes overrule the ordering principles outlined in the formal sector. Network structures and *guanxi*, in particular, create hidden microcosms that exist beyond, or rather parallel to, markets and hierarchies and have a profound impact on the structures and dynamics of China's economy and its business sector. This phenomenon exists since the early days of China's civilisation and continues to shape the China of today (Bian, 2018; Fei, 1992; Yang, 1994). This contribution endeavours the following: (i) to outline the mechanics of *guanxi* relations and networks in Chinese business and management; and (iii) to highlight the impact of *guanxi* relations and networks in international comparison. In order to do so, this contribution integrates different streams of literature (economic and sociological network literature, management and organization studies, Chinese and East Asian area studies) into a comprehensive analysis shedding light on the various forms and dimensions in which *guanxi* relations and networks shape the way business is conducted in China.

This text constitutes the unabridged version of an article accepted for publication in:

Nolan, Jane & Zhao, Shuming (Ed.), The Routledge Handbook of Chinese Business and Management.

London, UK: Routledge (forthcoming).

basis for *guanxi* relations is usually joint expe-

riences based on regions, universities, business

environments, joint leisure-time activities and

the like (Kiong & Kee, 1998; Luo & Ye, 2007; Luo,

2000). These shared experiences create a first

sense of familiarity and shared general beliefs,

which convinces individuals to advance trust

to others to engage in experimental exchanges

not covered by formal, third-party enforcement

mechanisms. This trust advancement must then

be honoured by faithfully complying with jointly

agreed upon exchange parameters to establish

quanxi. Stable trust, reputation and sentimental

affection are attained in the course of multiple

exchanges and the steadfast renouncement of

opportunistic behaviour (Li, 2007a, 2007b; Luo,

2011). Multiplexity, understood as relations cov-

1 THE MECHANICS OF *GUANXI* RELATIONS AND NETWORKS IN CHINESE SOCIETY

In Chinese society, there exists a broad variety of relational networks. Renmai (人脉), for example, relates to ego-centred networks based on personal trust, while relationships characterised as yuan (缘) or yuanfen (缘分) are based on the notion of a shared destiny or fateful bond (Horak, Taube, Yang & Restel, 2019; Luo, 2006). The most common and most formative type of relational network in and for Chinese society, however, are quanxi (关系). The essence of quanxi networks and their operating principles continue to be the object of intensive debate, having given rise to different schools of understanding. This debate will not be replicated here (for excellent overviews, see Bian, 2018; Nolan, 2015). Instead, the key characteristics, structural elements and functionality of *guanxi* relationships will be outlined in their relevance for Chinese business and management.

At the core of *quanxi* relationships lie ties between individuals. While social ties, as such, may be differentiated between ties rooted in family bonds, ties based on familiarity, or ties between strangers (Hwang, 1987), guanxi ties may be understood to evolve among individuals that perceive familiarity amongst each other (Li, Zhou, Zhou & Yang, 2019). Ties between strangers may be characterised as being purely instrumental and are usually of an on-off nature. As such, they do not qualify for dense social networks. However, the present authors also do not count family bonds as guanxi, as the former are 'born-into' relationships usually based on strong sentimentality and 'blind trust', which are not dependent on reciprocal exchanges.¹ Guanxi ties, in contrast, must be actively developed and follow a strong logic of reciprocity. The

Guanxi transactions are strictly informal; claim-

ants cannot go to court and sue partners for

ering different spheres of life (e.g. business relations, party membership, community involvement, leisure time and private activities, among others), can greatly strengthen *quanxi* relations by cementing bilateral bonds and dovetailing belief systems. As a matter of fact, one could argue that business-unrelated social events, the (in) famous 'wining and dining', constitute an important element in the creation of bilateral trust and establishing 'familiarity' (Yang, 1994). Guanxi transactions are not on-the-spot market transactions where goods and services are exchanged at a specified time, location and price. They are rather based on the exchange of 'favors', the respective value of which neither the time nor the conditions of repayment are being specified ex ante. What is fixed, however, is the unwavering obligation of the receiving party to return this favour at a later point in time. Guanxi transactions are always reciprocal, although there may exist asymmetries in the 'objective' value of favours exchanged (Li et al., 2019).

¹ Nevertheless, this does not preclude family ties to be integrated and instrumentalised in specific *guanxi* relationships and transactions.

fulfilment of their *guanxi* obligations. However, *guanxi* relations can also prepare and lead to the conclusion of large, highly complex contractual relations that partners choose to have governed by formal contracts in the state's juridical system (Nee & Opper, 2012).

The frequency of such reciprocal exchanges determines the strength of such ties (Granovetter, 1985). The more often individuals exchange favours, and thereby see their goal attainment supported by reliable partners and their faculties, the stronger their bilateral trust (i.e. the notion of shared believes and eventually the evolution of sentimental affection). A high frequency of *guanxi* interaction is not only a sign of a highly functional relationship with strong instrumental value but also the key to a strong bilateral tie.

Transforming these concepts of dyadic *guanxi* relations to the dimension of *guanxi* networks, constituted by three or more individuals,² the Confucian notion of hierarchy as a ubiquitous feature of Chinese society becomes crucial (Hwang, 2000). *Guanxi* networks evolve out of the bilateral relations of an 'elite'-ego that commands extra-ordinary resources, material and immaterial.³ In the first stage, a starburst pattern develops that becomes transformed in a multipolar network, once the core-ego starts to mediate transactions between its dyadic partners and facilitates horizontal interaction patterns (Li et al., 2019).⁴ While no longer being part of every single transaction in the *guanxi* network,

the core-ego actually strengthens its standing by leveraging the resources of its dyadic partners to the benefit of all network members.

Over time, a layered network structure may evolve, in which an inner circle of individuals with strong resource endowments constitutes the basis for a system of concentric rings of guanxi members (Luo, 2011, 2016). While in the center, the level of bilateral trust, the range of shared beliefs and the manifestation of sentimentality or affection are the most pronounced and become weaker towards the periphery. The further out from the core, the more important the instrumental value of membership becomes to individuals (Burt & Burzynska, 2017). As such, a slope can be postulated where the strength of ties decreases with the distance of a quanxi network member from its core. Once established as a multipolar network, *guanxi* relations no longer rely on trust alone. Network closure based on tie strength and intra-network communication becomes an important parameter (Coleman, 1988; Granovetter, 1985). In such a network, opportunistic behaviour entails high opportunity costs, insofar as rules breaking behaviour in one transaction is immediately communicated as a trust-betraying act to all network members. All the positive reputation underlying the individual's participation in the network members' social relations is destroyed. Automatic expulsion from the group is the result. Any further interaction with members of this network becomes impossible (Granovetter, 1985; Nee & Opper, 2012). As a consequence, rule breakers are punished with the exclusion from the network resources and access to its low transaction cost operations system.⁵

² Guanxi networks may constitute dozens and hundreds of individuals.

³ Alternatively, the nucleus of the 'elite'-ego may also be taken up by a small group of 'elite'-egos that already have a history of interaction, multilateral trust and affection.

⁴ The larger the *guanxi* network, the greater the probability that especially peripheral members do not know all other members of the network personally. However, these unacquainted members can be reached via intermediates, whose assessment of their trustworthiness is acknowledged.

⁵ In comparison to a market system that relies on a set of specific formal institutions for coordinating, monitoring and adjudicating transactions between strangers. The variable costs of transacting in a network are low as they are embedded in the network discipline. The fixed costs of creating the network and upholding its social relationships, however, may be substantial.

Guanxi networks are open networks (Horak & Taube, 2016; Luo & Yeh, 2012) that facilitate the integration of new members, as well as a 'bridging' to other networks. New members (and their resources) may be integrated into an established network at any time. New members may be recruited from the ego-centric sub-networks of non-core members of the focal network⁶, or be introduced from a pool of strangers to whom some sense of familiarity has been established in the course of nonguanxi interactions. As newly introduced members, these individuals start off in the network periphery, but may travel towards its center. ⁷ To do so, they must prove themselves in repeated interaction and acquire the trust of other network members. 'Bridges' to other networks are important to access new categories of knowledge and resources that require some translation and adaptation to become usable (i.e. valuable to the focal network).8 According to the traditional network theory, brokering between networks usually relies on members with weak ties (Granovetter, 1973, 1983) that are loosely embedded in a given network in structural terms (e.g. transaction frequency). Guanxi networks are not different. However, they may be in a position to - better than other networks reinforce the trust-basis of weak ties by means of relational experiences (Burt & Burzynska, 2017) and, therefore, even better utilise exogenous resources.9

2 THE IMPACT OF *GUANXI* RELATIONS AND NETWORKS ON CHINESE BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT

Guanxi networks permeate Chinese society and do have a substantial impact on the organisation, structure and output of business and management in China. The concrete manifestation of this impact, however, depends on the way in which these informal networks are embedded in and interact with the existing formal institutional framework. In principle, guanxi networks have the capacity to provide self-contained, comprehensive coordination structures for decentralised economic interaction independent from all super-structures. Nevertheless, they can also exist as sub-ordinated ordering systems catering to specific functional needs or niche interests. In the following, these phenomena will be explored in greater detail.

In all these cases, it must be understood that *guanxi* networks are providing microcosms of high transactional security in otherwise perilous socio-political and business environments. Their basic functional logic is straightforward. Given the high frequency of contacts and business transactions amongst network members, information about any malfeasance (e.g. substandard goods delivery in terms of quantity, quality, timeliness, or any default on financial obligations) is disseminated quickly amongst the network members (Nee & Opper, 2012). Network

⁶ In practice, almost every Chinese individual can be assumed to be core to the individual – mostly small – focal *guanxi* or *renmai* network, while at the same time belonging to a larger *guanxi* network centred on some other individual featuring an exceptional resource endowment.

⁷ On the dynamic nature of *guanxi* relations see, e.g. Chen and Peng (2008).

⁸ One may think about *guanxi* networks focusing on different industries but employing similar technologies. Entrepreneurs may explore substantial synergies by linking these industries. Other examples may address *guanxi* networks with core functions in different spheres of society like party affairs, government, business, etc.

⁹ This is not a small point. As Aral and Van Alstyne (2011) have been able to show, weak ties are restricted in terms of frequency and band-width. This results in low quality information being transferred at a slow rate. That means, while weak ties may be important to establish a bridge in the beginning, it is strong ties that are needed in order to make full use of the new resources.

members cannot hide in anonymity but face high transparency with regard to all their activities. Also, just as contact abiding behaviour strengthens their reputation in the network, malfeasance is punished by a withdrawal of trust. Punishment can go so far as to expel individuals from a guanxi network, stripping them of the possibility to conduct (low transaction cost) business with the respective business circle. Multiplex relationships dramatically expand the reach of such punishment into other spheres of live and may result in ostracising individuals from their social peer group. As such, *guanxi* networks exert strong inherent incentives for norms abiding conduct and a refraining from opportunistic behaviour.

2.1 GUANXI AS A SUBSTITUTE: FACILITATING BUSINESS AND STRENGTHENING FIRM COMPETITIVENESS IN ADVERSE ENVIRONMENTS

Guanxi networks can provide a level of information exchange and transactional security that allows intensive economic interaction to unfold irrespective of the existence of a functional framework of formal institutions. As such, it can be surmised that *quanxi* networks play an especially pronounced role in Chinese society and business whenever the formal institutional framework is incomplete, dysfunctional or in a state of flux. As a matter of fact, during the last 150 years, such a characterisation describes the reality of Chinese society and business as well as their interaction with government quite well. Only in recent years the formal institutional framework appears to have gained in strength and factual ordering capacity.

Focusing on the reform period beginning in 1978, it becomes apparent that Chinese businessmen have many years been required to manoeuvre in a hybrid system, in which elements of a centrally planned economy and a market economic system coexisted. Adding to the difficulty of operating in such an environ-

ment, the relative importance and manifestation of these two institutional realms kept shifting permanently. As a result, all business in China – but especially the private and semi-private sector – have been subjected to substantial procedural insecurity. *Guanxi* networks have been instrumental to overcome these difficulties (Xin & Pearce, 1996) in two fundamental manifestations: (i) *guanxi* linking business and politics and (ii) *guanxi* linking individual businesses.

Ad (i): Guanxi networks connecting decision makers in the business with such in the political sphere have been of outstanding importance for the bottom-up evolution of the Chinese reform and marketisation process (Boisot & Child, 1996; Hendrischke, 2007; Nee & Opper, 2012). In the early days of China's reform process, business success was less determined by the quality of underlying business ideas and entrepreneurial savvy but rather by the access to licenses, land use rights, electricity, raw material, among other things. the allocation of which was controlled by cadres in various government and party organisations. Entrepreneurs with strong guanxi to decision makers in the political sphere were invested with substantial advantages over their competitors (Wank, 1999).

It comes as no surprise that the first generation of entrepreneurs in China consisted to a large degree of cadres of the Communist Party (who often did not relinquish their party position right away) and former managers of stateowned enterprises (Taube, 2009). Guanxi with decision makers in the political sphere was the most important (social) capital a company could have. This is a feature that foreign enterprises conducting business and investing in China have become aware of early on in their business activities. Having no 'natural' guanxi ties to Chinese Communist Party and government officials, many firms decided to hire individuals for no other qualification, except their quanxi to decision makers in the political realm (Handelsblatt,

2019; Nolan, 2011),¹⁰ or tried to profit from the *guanxi* networks of their joint venture partners.

Over the years, the relative importance of cadres in Chinese politics and bureaucracy has been slowly reduced as markets have become increasingly functional and the old planning system has been dismantled. Access to the political sphere, however, remains an important asset for business leaders all over China. The Chinese economy remains characterised by a strong state-business-nexus, in which many market transactions are not only controlled but influenced and directed by political actors (Taube & in der Heiden, 2015; Xu, 2011). Private entrepreneurs are not yet quaranteed to receive impartial court rulings (Zhang & Li, 2017) or be protected from predatory local governments (Zhou, 2013). In this environment, strong informal contacts to government and Communist Party cadres continue to constitute a valuable asset and source of competitive advantage. Lu and Yao (2018) reports several cases where *guanxi* relations trump regular bidding processes and result in the preferential awarding of land use rights and project contracts controlled by local governments. In the 2000s, local Chinese People's Political Consultative Conferences, membership of which do not require membership in the Chinese Communist Party, have become important market fora where private business entrepreneurs can establish *quanxi* with political leaders and engage in intensive state-business interaction (Heberer & Schubert, 2019; Li, Meng & Zhang, 2006).

Ad (ii): Guanxi have also provided the basis for establishing fruitful partnership amongst individual firms designed to overcome discriminatory practices of the state-controlled economy and the vagaries of a very dynamic but, at the same

time, highly volatile and risk-laden business environment (Luo, Huang & Wang, 2011).

A prime example for a constellation where governmental discrimination drives entrepreneurs to pool resources and support each other by means of guanxi networks constitutes the discrimination of (semi) private firms with regard to access to financing services from the formal financing sector and its primarily state-owned commercial banks. In order to expand their financial means beyond the highly restricted sphere of self-accumulated funds, Chinese entrepreneurs have reverted to a broad range of network-based 'shadow' financial institutions (Tsai, 2002). These include - inter alia - the traditional private-ordering institution of rotating savings and credit associations (ROSCA) (Feng. 2006). ROSCA may be understood as special 'closed' financing clubs established under the umbrella of guanxi networks and led by a highly reputed 'elite'-ego who organise the pooling and allocation of financial resources among participants.¹¹ With sometimes very substantial amounts of money being swapped between ROSCA members, the temptation for opportunistic behaviour is high. The sanctioning threats that constitute an inalienable part of guanxi networks, however, have proven their capacity to discourage any wrongdoing.

Guanxi networks have also been conducive to the dynamic development of individual businesses in the highly volatile market environment of China's reform period. The specific mix

¹⁰ From the perspective of Western market economies, such individuals might be categorised as 'lobbyists'. This term, however, does not capture the full dimension of Chinese *guanxi* relations, which go far beyond Western lobbying practices and spin-doctoring.

¹¹ In a ROSCA, members meet at regular conventions, during which they each contribute a fixed amount of money to a 'common pot'. This 'common pot' is then either auctioned-off or allocated according to an ex ante determined fixed sequence to one member, who then in all following meetings has to pay an 'interest fee' in addition to his or her regular fixed contribution. A specific ROSCA comes to an end once all members have received the 'common pot' once. The chronological order in which a member receives the 'common pot' determines the character of the ROSCA for any participant as a net-lending or net-savings scheme.

of strong and weak ties constituting guanxi networks allow for a comparatively high degree of openness. This allows – on the basis of a stable business portfolio – the continuous enrichment of a given network's social capital and access to new resources. As such, entrepreneurial innovativeness striving for ever new applications of existing technologies and business models as well as creative innovations in new business fields is promoted. Entrepreneurs are not caged in immutable business structures but are free to explore new business opportunities. As documented by Nee and Opper (2012), such guanxi networks are also providing a basis for higher forms of economic interaction than regular supplier and customer relations.

The authors show that Chinese private entrepreneurs rely on the governance regimes of their guanxi networks to engage in joint R&D projects, which are too risk-laden and resource-intensive for any single actor. In so far, as *quanxi* networks offer a means to overcome the constraints that prohibit individual agency and provide a platform for joint activities, they contribute to dynamic innovation of individual businesses as well as the advancement of innovation-driven productivity in the economy as a whole. The relevance of strong trust and the existence of shared beliefs in a given guanxi network becomes obvious when considering that such joint R&D activities are not only characterised by high degrees of non-ergodic risk but also of considerable ambiguity in the attribution of individual contributions and gains.

2.2 GUANXI AS A COMPLEMENT: SUPPORTING MANAGEMENT OF DAY-TO-DAY BUSINESS OPERATIONS

Guanxi play a crucial role for start-up entrepreneurship and business development in contemporary China. Founding a firm is a process characterised by high risks caused by fundamental insecurity about market constellations (i.e. consumers, competitors and regulators), technical feasibility of production, operational management capacity (i.e. financing, marketing and supplier management), among other things. All these challenges can be mastered much more easily with the support of people controlling required resources and willing to extend trust to the focal entrepreneur. Family support and embeddedness in a resourceful *guanxi* network comprising a sound mix of strong and weak ties have proven an invaluable asset for start-ups in contemporary China (Luo, 2016). However, *guanxi* networks have also proven to be important in later stages of business development.

The exceptional dynamics and structural shifts to be observed in the last four decades of economic development in China have forced all firms to re-invent themselves (i.e. their product range, business model and organisational set-up) every few years. In these periods of re-organisation managers have been forced to venture into new business areas and explore new markets. In these environments, once again, membership in *guanxi* networks integrating a broad range of technical and management expertise, market experience and access to political capital has been of foremost importance to successfully manage these transitions (Boisot & Child, 1996; Gu, Hung & Tse, 2008; Burt & Opper, 2017).

In recent years, the role of guanxi networks in the context of Chinese firms' international expansion has become a topic of rising interest. It appears that *guanxi* networks can actually play an important role in the internationalisation of business activities in two different ways. Firstly, guanxi to cadres in the political realm — as well as to top managers in the financial sector - can provide information about and access to state-promoted international projects; for example, in the context of the Belt and Road Initiative. These projects are usually not tendered publicly but are rather designed and awarded in an arms-length manner, discriminating against players not having access to the 'right' people; that is, the 'right' quanxi (European Chamber of Commerce in China [EUCCC], 2020). Secondly,

well established business-to-business guanxi networks can greatly support successful business operations in foreign economies. In these alien environments, firms must cope with ever new challenges to adapt and adjust their product specifications, manufacturing processes, operating structures and the like to new requirements and standards. A strong *guanxi* network in the home market can greatly support these activities by providing required resources, supporting process innovations and allowing for flexible operations planning (Du & Zhou, 2019). Guanxi relations established in the course of former business activities with foreign firms (which are usually weak) are, in contrast, shown to have no substantial impact on the internationalisation drive of Chinese firms (Lin, Wang & Si, 2018).

One of the most investigated areas pertaining to the role of *guanxi* in business is recruitment and the workplace, in general (Nolan, 2015). Chinese job seekers regularly employ guanxi to get access to human resource (HR) managers, 12 and the latter continue to take *guanxi* as a signalling device for valuable social capital an applicant may possess (Ko & Liu, 2017). However, especially in recent years, the value of guanxi to job seekers has diminished. With rising competitive pressure and the need to create profits, especially private firms cannot afford to hire people irrespective of their qualifications simply on the basis of their quanxi. Merit-based screening of applicants becomes more common (Bian, 2018). Still, guanxi remain valuable for job seekers and HR managers alike (e.g. when there is a large number of applicants with similar skills and qualifications for a specific job). Then, being 'introduced' by the right person may provide the necessary additional quality to outcompete other contenders (Huang, 2008). Nonetheless, there exist other mechanisms that prevent guanxibased applications from being completely detached from basic qualification levels.

The usage of *guanxi* for job searches remains especially productive where soft skills are required that are hard to ascertain objectively. Here, HR managers may have a tendency to favour candidates that are being introduced (Huang, 2008). By actively introducing (and promoting, which can come in various degrees) somebody, people implicitly guarantee a certain minimum qualification of the applicant. Promoting a person that proves to be unfit for the job and creates a burden to the employer will automatically weaken the bonds between the introducer and the employers and reduce the social capital of the former. Pulling one's guanxi to get introduced to a specific company also comes to the job seeker with a cost. It establishes a liability that, according to *guanxi* logic, must be returned at a later point in time. As such, individual job seekers may undergo a self-selection process and mobilise their *guanxi* capital only for job openings for which they feel sufficiently qualified and see a sufficiently high probability of being actually hired. Here as well, *guanxi* are not completely detached from true qualifications. That is, guanxi may help but cannot take away the need for meeting certain minimum requirements.

2.3 THE DARK SIDE OF GUANXI: COSTS OF NETWORKING ON THE MACRO AND MICRO LEVEL

As shown above, *quanxi* relations and networks do create 'value' to their members. These gains, however, are not Pareto-optimal. The very functionality of *guanxi* networks that feature on the individual (micro) level usually does create negative results in terms of productivity and welfare creation on the macro level, which eventually negatively impact the utility of all members of society and economy - including the erstwhile profiteers. Such adverse effects manifest themselves in various forms like fragmented markets, regulatory capture, rising corruption hazards, as well as product, service and technology development inertia. The most important negative impact, however, can probably be observed in the realm of competition-based market de-

¹² Similar behaviour can be observed in Western societies, where job-seekers try to leverage their 'contacts'.

velopment. *Guanxi*-based business transactions often lead to an impairment of the competitive process, where not the best contender is awarded a contract but rather a – decently performing – network member. Therefore, the power of competition-based markets to select and promote performance and scarcity-reducing entrepreneurship is significantly weakened.

The dynamics of transaction costs reducing, innovation driving market development are being slowed down (Lee, 2007). Guanxi relations can and should not be equated to corruption (Taube, 2013). However, the borderline between rule-abiding behaviours in a network, which require the return of favours and services received, may easily transgress the rules of the larger, formal marketplace, which requires impartial treatment of all market contenders. What is correct behaviour in one realm, becomes criminal behaviour (corruption) in the other (Taube & Schramm, 2004). Furthermore, *guanxi* networks are known to become easily encroached upon and instrumentalised for corrupt business schemes (Chen & Kung, 2019; Guo, Sun & Dai, 2018; Li, 2018).

Firms may also suffer, if their political *guanxi* become ensnarled in faction wars, ideological disputes and so forth. Strong relations to office-holders in a political party or government may backfire, if these people are demoted or purged and all their contacts and former activities are being scrutinised (Pan & Tian, 2017; Wang, 2016). Studies on the impact of the arrest of Bo Xilai, a former politician and politburo member, in 2012 indicate a substantial drop in asset prices, investment expenditure and overall performance

of firms, which had been nurturing *guanxi* relations to Bo Xilai and his circle (Liu, Shu & Wei, 2017).

In addition to these negative macro-effects, individual actors are being documented to complain about negative effects caused by them being compelled to uphold network discipline and being blocked from engaging in network-external business transactions (e.g. sourcing, hiring and the like) (Warren, Dunfee & Li, 2004). Such negative effects on business have become more substantial as formal market institutions in China have become more refined and better equipped to facilitate the efficient allocation of resources and coordination of business interaction. Formal market institutions are encroaching on socio-economic spheres that, until then, had been governed by informal network structures.

Another potentially damaging characteristic of guanxi lies in the fact that guanxi relations are always personal and never relate to a company or business unit as a whole. This implies that individuals with strong, useful *guanxi* can greatly support their firm; however, at the same time, these very individuals constitute a danger to their companies. Overdependence on the guanxi of these individuals can create lock-in effects to the detriment of the firm, which may be exploited by these people by means of blackmailing or result in a breakdown of business dynamics once these individuals are leaving the company for competitors (Tsang 1998). The same negative effects may also arise from these persons simply falling ill or passing away without a well-established successor (Lu & Pan, 2016).

3 CHINA'S *GUANXI* RELATIONS AND NETWORKS IN INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON

While essential for doing business in China, influential informal ties and networks are not solely a phenomenon of China alone. Though *guanxi* research can look back on a decades-long research and publication history, other informal networks are researched in parallel. The most widely debated are: (a) wasta, which is to be found in the middle east (Abosag & Lee, 2013;

Hutchings & Weir, 2006); (b) *yongo* in South Korea (Horak, 2014, 2016; Horak & Klein, 2015; Lew, 2013; Yee, 2000); and (c) *jeitinho* in Brazil (Amado & Brasil, 1991; Duarte, 2006). Especially, the increasing importance of emerging markets can explain the recent surge in research about informal networking in a business and management context (Ang & Michailova, 2007). Not only do Western countries see increased competition from firms in emerging markets, but also investing and operating in overseas markets means that foreign firms are operating under a different and unknown institutional framework (Peng, 2010; Peng, Pinkham, Sun & Chen, 2009).

While from a practitioner point of view, managers may think that informal practices exist in every country and can be managed in a similar way. Michailova and Worm (2003: 509) argue that:

personal networking in former socialist societies differs from the West in terms of how extensively it is rooted and activated in social and business life and how business success is influenced by the quality and cultivation of personal relationships. This implies that personal networks need to be managed differently in different countries (...).

It is unclear whether international firms are prepared to train and develop their managers so that they are enabled to successfully navigate informal ties and networks for the benefit of their firm. Empirical studies on Western expatriates in Korea show that foreign managers have difficulties to become a part of local networks and use them for business purposes. Also, they have ethical concerns as local networking follows different values and norms of behaviour that are unknown to them and leave them in doubts of whether to engage deeper in informal networking without being able to understand the long-term consequences of expectations and reciprocity (Horak & Yang, 2016).

Interestingly, while informal networking is becoming increasingly popular in the emerging market literature, informal networks can also

be strong and pervasive in advanced and industrialised countries, such as in Korea. Pursuing a comparative approach to understand the similarities and differences of informal networks, to work towards a theory on informal networks, is a promising approach to generate new knowledge. While past studies have been conducted that compare blat (Soviet Union) and guanxi (e.g. Ledeneva, 2008; Michailova & Worm, 2003), recent research has focused on comparing quanxi and yongo (Korea) (Horak & Taube, 2016). This comparison is interesting, as it compares a transitional economy (i.e. China) and an already industrialised economy (i.e. Korea), where informal networks are still strong. Since research tends to assume that informal networks are merely an emerging market phenomenon that will disappear with economic development and the strengthening of formal institutions future (Brennan & Wilson, 2010; Guthrie, 1998; Hutchings & Weir, 2006; Peng, Denis, Wang & Jiang, 2008), this comparison helps to understand how informal networks differ and why they seem to persistent.

Amongst the most remarkable differences between *guanxi* and *yongo*, as suggested by Horak and Taube (2016), is that yongo is much more homogenic and exclusive compared to quanxi. Yongo networks are defined narrowly by family ties, education-based ties and regional-based ties, as those ties make up original yongo ties. Hence, due to the members' background they tend to be 'highly homogenous' (Park & Shin, 2005), compared to *guanxi* that can be established on larger and more diverse tie-bases, and those ties are in principle transferable, which is not the case with yongo ties. Whereas, the cultivation and maintenance as well as the strengths of *guanxi* requires and is determined by reciprocity and commitment; thus, in principle, it can be established among strangers (including business expatriates), so that *quanxi* networks can grow and are somewhat open (Luo & Yeh, 2012).

Yongo networks, on the contrary, can only grow internally as the membership base is preset (i.e.

they can intensify by enlarging linkages to others from the same hometown, high school or university). It usually does not happen that someone from University A has yongo with someone from University B. Certainly, both can have a trustful and close relationship but, by definition, not yongo. Similarly, foreigners who possess no original Korean tie-base can hardly have yongo ties. In comparison to guanxi, yongo is exclusive. Further, yongo treats outsiders in an egalitarian way, similar to the case of guanxi, but it is a stronger egalitarianism. Within segregated yongo networks, there is 'flexibility, tolerance, mutual understanding as well as trust. Outside the boundary, on the contrary, people are treated as 'non-persons' and there can be discrimination and even hostility' (Kim, 2000: 179).

Given these differences of network characteristics, it reveals that we still know comparably little about informal networks in East Asia, not to mention the differences between quanxi and the way people network in the West (Burt & Batjargal, 2019). Recent studies explore this subject deeper and show that some notions of 'trust and achievement in China has the same network correlations associated with trust and achievement in the West' (Burt & Burzvnska, 2017; 222). Burt and Burzynska (2017) believe that Chinese informal social networks (i.e. guanxi) are still 'fundamentally different from networks in the West' (p. 222). Overall, comparative studies are a promising approach to understand the nature of informal networks better and to guide future research.

4 CONCLUDING REMARKS

Guanxi networks are characterised by a set of specific features that shape Chinese business and management. These characteristics allow managers to explore freedoms unavailable in other environments, but they also restrict their agency in other fields. Specifically, the business framework set up by *guanxi* networks comprises the following parameters:

- The business environment is divided in network-internal transactions and a network-external market. This implies that managers must actually operate in two distinct business environments characterized by different price structures and risk dimensions. Balancing the respective functions of these two realms for a given business model as well as management of the interface between them requires specific skills that cannot be learnt at university but can ripen only in the context of day to day operations.
- Individuals and their command of resources, not abstract firms, are the elementary forces of the network and all guanxi-based business.

- This proposition stands in stark contrast to standard business administration and organization studies, which highlight the aggregate resources and organizational strengths of firms as decisive parameters. Individuals in these organizations are understood to be exchangeable. In the context of *guanxi* networks individual decision makers at the very top as well as crucial nodes of firm operations are deemed to be of crucial importance and not easily substitutable.
- Business and management relations are integrated in multiplex interaction patterns, moving beyond purely functional business links.
 I.e. managers are modelled as human beings featuring social lives that overlap with their business interests. As such business is understood to feature a strong social dimension that cannot be explained by standard business rationality (homo oeconomicus).
- Business relations are designed for long-term cooperation. This implies that once established business relations feature strong structural

inertia, which makes them crisis resistant, but also increases the danger of becoming lockedin to sub-optimal interaction patterns.

- Informal communication structures allow for fast responses to positive and negative exogenous shocks. Exactly those structural patterns that lead to high structural inertia on the other hand allow for fast coordination to challenges suddenly arising in the business environment.
- Network size limits the access to resources and scope of individual business activities.
 As networks, by definition, never encompass the whole market and restrict their club-like membership advantages to a limited group of actors, certain resources must be sourced from the outside. "Inter-systemic" management of transactions at the interface to the outside world therefore constitute a crucial complement to all network internal business activities.
- Investments in monitoring and sanctioning mechanisms for network-internal transactions can be reduced to minimal levels. Due to the high fixed cost of network membership and low variable cost of network internal transactions, opportunistic behaviour is discouraged. Network norms violating behaviour is sanctioned prohibitively inside the network community. As such resources can be diverted to other value creating activities thereby enlarging the (potential) scope of entrepreneurial agency.
- Trust and reputation invested in network members trump displayed capability of outsiders. Given the specific mix of fixed and variable costs of *guanxi* business, a bias exists for

- conducting business with network partners, even though outsiders may feature a better functional fit. As a result inefficiencies may arise that if not alleviated may endanger competitiveness vis-à-vis contenders operating out of integrated market systems.
- Reciprocity constitutes a primacy but may result in inefficient lock-in constellations. Just like in the case of trust accumulated in network members, this phenomenon may lead to a creeping loss of competitiveness. Management is required to strategically plan beyond existing network patterns.
- Risk-assessments in the context of guanxi-based transactions must (also) consider other parameters than market-based transactions.
 Management in guanxi networks must consider a different mix of risks as some risks arising in market environments are absorbed by the network, while new network-internal risks arise.

These features have been instrumental in navigating the difficult hybrid markets of China's reform economy since the last 40 years. Today *guanxi* relations continue to persist in their relevance for Chinese business and management. While losing functionality in some areas, they attain new usage in other fields. As Bian and Shua (2019) illustrate, *guanxi* networks are increasingly employed in a flexible manner that allow the best characteristics of these particularistic networks, on the one hand, and the performance, merit-based principles of capitalist markets, on the other hand, to structure business transactions in the contemporary Chinese economy.

REFERENCES

Abosag, I., & Lee, J.-W. (2013). The formation of trust and commitment in business relationships in the Middle East: Understanding Et-Moone relationships. *International Business Review*, 22(3), 602–614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2012.09.002

Amado, G., & Brasil, H.V. (1991). Organizational behaviors and cultural context: The Brazilian "Jeitinho." International Studies of Management & Organization, 21(3), 38–61. https://doi.org/10.1080/00208825.1991.11656561

- Ang, S. H., & Michailova, S. (2007). Emerging Economies Firms' Expansion in the European Union: Acquisitions Versus Alliances. *European Journal of International Management*, 1(4), 315–328.
- Aral, S., & Van Alstyne, M. (2011). The diversity-bandwidth trade-off. *American Journal of Sociology*, 117(1), 90–171. https://doi.org/10.1086/661238
- **Bian, Y.** (2018). The prevalence and the increasing significance of *Guanxi*. *The China Quarterly*, 235 (September 2018), 597–621. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305741018000541
- Bian, Y., & Shuai, M. (2019). Elective affinity between guanxi favouritism and market rationality: *Guanxi* circles as governance structure in China's private firms. *Asia Pacific Business Review*. https://doi.org/10.1080/13602381.2019.1654214
- Boisot, M., & Child, J. (1996). From fiefs to clans and network capitalism: Explaining China's emerging economic order. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(4), 600–628. https://doi.org/10.2307/2393869
- Brennan, R., & Wilson, J. (2010). Doing business in China: Is the importance of *Guanxi* diminishing? *European Business Review*, 22(6), 652–665. https://doi.org/10.1108/09555341011082934
- Burt, R.S., & Batjargal, B. (2019). Comparative network research in China. *Management and Organization Review*, 15(1), 3–29. https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2019.8
- Burt, R.S., & Burzynska, K. (2017). Chinese entrepreneurs, social networks, and *guanxi*. *Management and Organization Review*, 13(2), 221–260.
- Burt, R.S. & Opper, S. (2017). Early network events in the later success of Chinese entrepreneurs. *Management and Organization Review*, 13(3), 497–537. https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2017.30
- Chen, T., & Kung, J.K. (2019). Busting the "Prince-lings": The campaign against corruption in China's primary land market. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 134(1), 185–226, https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjy027
- Chen, X.-P., & Peng, S. (2008). *Guanxi* dynamics: Shifts in the closeness of ties between Chinese coworkers. *Management and Organization Review*, 4(1), 63–80. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2007.00078.x
- **Coleman, J.S.** (1988). Social capital in the creation of human capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94, 95–120.

- **Du, J., & Zhou, C.** (2019). Does *guanxi* matter in the foreign expansion of Chinese manufacturing firms? The mediator role of linking and leveraging. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 36(2), 473–497. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-018-9613-9
- **Duarte, F.** (2006). Exploring the interpersonal transaction of the Brazilian *Jeitinho* in bureaucratic contexts. *Organization*, 13(4), 509–527. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F1350508406065103
- European Chamber of Commerce in China (2020). The road less travelled: European involvement in China's Belt and Road Initiative. Beijing: EUCCC.
- **Fei, X.** (1947/1992). From the soil: The foundations of Chinese society. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press.
- Feng, X. (2006). Case studies on informal finance in rural China. Beijing: World Bank Consultancy Report.
- **Granovetter, M.** (1973). The strength of weak ties. *American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380. https://www.jstor.org/stable/2776392
- **Granovetter, M.** (1983). The strength of weak ties: A network theory revisited. *Sociological Theory*, 1, 201–233. https://doi.org/10.2307/202051
- **Granovetter, M.** (1985). Economic action and social structure: The problem of embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 481–510. https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1086/228311
- **Gu, F.F., Hung, K., & Tse, D.K.** (2008). When does *guanxi* matter? Issues of capitalization and its dark sides. *Journal of Marketing*, 72(4), 12–28. https://doi.org/10.1509%2Fjmkg.72.4.012
- **Guo, W., Sun, S., & Dai, R.** (2018). *Guanxi* deviant behaviour in the Chinese cultural context. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management*, 13(2), 162–182. https://doi.org/10.1108/QROM-11-2016-1457
- **Guthrie, D.** (1998). The declining significance of *guanxi* in China's economic transition. *The China Quarterly*, 154(1), 254–282. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0305741000002034
- Handelsblatt (2019). Fragwürdige Geschäftspraktiken / China-Praktiken belasten hochrangigen Compliance-Manager der Deutschen Bank [Questionable business practices / China practices burden highranking compliance managers at Deutsche Bank]. Retrieved from https://archiv.handelsblatt.com/document/HBON_HB%2025117828

- Heberer, T., & Schubert, G. (2019). Weapons of the rich: Strategic behavior and collective action of private entrepreneurs in China. *Modern China*, 45(5), 471–503. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F00977 00418808755
- Hendrischke, H. (2007). Networks as business networks. In B. Krug & H.J. Hendrischke (Eds.), *The Chinese economy in the 21st century* (pp. 202–222). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.
- Horak, S. (2014). Antecedents and characteristics of informal relation-based networks in Korea: *Yongo*, *Yonjul* and *Inmaek*. *Asia Pacific Business Review*, 20(1), 78–108. https://doi.org/10.1080/13602381.2013.791 567
- Horak, S. (2016). Join in or opt out? A normative-ethical analysis of affective ties and networks in South Korea. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 149(1), 207–220. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3125-7
- Horak, S., & Klein, A. (2015). Persistence of informal social networks in East Asia: Evidence from South Korea. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 33(3), 673–694. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-015-9416-1
- Horak, S., & Taube, M. (2016). Same but different? Similarities and fundamental differences of informal social networks in China (*guanxi*) and Korea (*yongo*). *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 33(3), 595–616. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-015-9452-x
- Horak, S., & Yang, I. (2016). Affective networks, informal ties, and the limits of expatriate effectiveness. *International Business Review*, 25(5), 1030–1042. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2016.01.006
- Horak, S., Taube, M., Yang, I., & Restel, K. (2019). Two not of a kind: Social network theory and informal social networks in East Asia. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 36(2), 349–372. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-018-9602-z
- **Huang, X.** (2008). *Guanxi* networks and job searches in China's emerging labour market: A qualitative investigation. *Work, Employment and Society*, 22(3), 467–484.
- https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0950017008093481
- Hutchings, K., & Weir, D. (2006). Understanding networking in China and the Arab world: Lessons for international managers. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 30(4), 272–290. https://doi.org/10.1108/03090590610673641

- Hwang, K. (1987). Face and favor: The Chinese power game. *American Journal of Sociology*, 92(4), 944–974. https://doi.org/10.1086/228588
- Hwang, K. (2000). Chinese relationalism: Theoretical construction and methodological considerations. Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour, 30(2), 155–178. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5914.00124
- Kim, Y. (2000). Emergence of the network society: Trends, new challenges, and an implication for network capitalism. *Korea Journal*, 40(3), 161–184.
- Kiong, T.C., & Kee, Y.P. (1998). *Guanxi* bases, Xinyong and Chinese business networks. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 49(1), 75–96. https://doi.org/10.2307/591264
- Ko, W.W., & Liu, G. (2017). Overcoming the liability of smallness by recruiting through networks in China: a *guanxi*-based social capital perspective. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 28(11), 1499–1526. https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2015. 1128467
- Ledeneva, A. (2008). *Blat* and *guanxi*: Informal practices in Russia and China. *Comparative Studies in Society and History*, 50(1), 118–144. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0010417508000078
- **Lee, K.** (2007). Clans for markets: The social organization of inter-firm trading relations in China's automobile industry. *The China Quarterly*, 192, 876–897. https://doi.org/10.1017/S030574100700207X
- **Lew, S.** (2013). The Korean economic development path Confucian tradition, affective network. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Li, H., Meng, L., & Zhang, J. (2006). Why do entrepreneurs enter politics? Evidence from China. *Economic Inquiry*, 44(3), 559–578. https://doi.org/10.1093/ei/cbj031
- Li, L. (2018). The moral economy of *guanxi* and the market of corruption: Networks, brokers and corruption in China's courts. *International Political Science Review*, 39(5), 634–646. https://doi.org/10.1177/0192512118791585
- Li, P.P. (2007a). *Guanxi* as the Chinese norm for personalized social capital: Toward an integrated duality framework of informal exchange. In H.W. Yeoung (Ed.), *Handbook of research on Asian business* (pp. 62–83). Cheltenham, UK: Edward Elgar.

- Li, P.P. (2007b). Social tie, social capital, and social behavior: Toward an integrative model of informal exchange. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 24(2), 227–246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-006-9031-2
- Li, P.P., Zhou, S.S., Zhou, A.J., & Yang, Z. (2019). Reconceptualizing and redirecting research on *guanxi*: 'Guan-Xi' interaction to form a multicolored Chinese knot. Management and Organization Review, 15(3), 643–677. https://doi.org/10.1017/mor.2019.36
- Lin, L., Wang, D., & Si, Y. (2018). The limits of *guanxi* for Chinese nationals doing business abroad: Empirical investigation into Chinese companies in Germany. *Tijdschrift voor Economische en Sociale Geografie*, 109(3), 420–433. https://doi.org/10.1111/tesg.12301
- Liu, L.X., Shu, H., & Wei, J.K.C. (2017). The impacts of political uncertainty on asset prices: Evidence from the Bo scandal in China. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 125(2), 286–310. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2017.05.011
- Lu, M., & Pan, H. (2016). Government-Enterprise connection: Entrepreneur and private enterprise development in China. Singapore: Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-287-658-4
- Lu, Q., & Yao, S. (2018). From urban-rural division to urban-rural integration: A systematic cost explanation and Chengdu's experience. *China & World Economy*, 26(1), 86–105. https://doi.org/10.1111/cwe.12230
- **Luo**, J. (2006). Chinese *renmai*: Ego-centric trust network. *Research in Relational Management*, 3, 1–24.
- **Luo**, J. (2011). *Guanxi* revisited: An exploratory study of familiar ties in a Chinese workplace. *Management and Organization Review*, 7(2), 329–351. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2011.00230.x
- Luo, J. (2016). The *guanxi* circle phenomenon in the Chinese venture capital industry. In Wang, J. & Hsung, R. (Eds.), *Rethinking social capital and entrepreneurship in Greater China: Is Guanxi Still Important?* (pp. 56–71). New York, NY: Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315683515
- **Luo**, J., & Ye, Y.Z. (2007). *The Chinese game of trust* (original title in Chinese 中国人的信任游戏). Beijing: Social Science Academic Press.
- **Luo**, J., & Yeh, Y. (2012). Neither collectivism nor individualism: Trust in the Chinese *guanxi* circle. *Journal* of Trust Research, 2(1), 53–70.
- **Luo, Y.** (2000). *Guanxi and business*. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Company.

- Luo, Y., Huang, Y., & Wang, S.L. (2011). *Guanxi* and organizational performance: A meta-analysis. *Management and Organization Review*, 8(1), 139–172. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2011.00273.x
- Michailova, S., & Worm, V. (2003). Personal networking in Russia and China: *Blat* and *guanxi*. *European Management Journal*, 21(4), 509–519.
- Nee, V., & Opper, S. (2012). Capitalism from below: Markets and institutional change in China. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- **Nolan, J.** (2011). Good *guanxi* and bad *guanxi*: Western bankers and the role of network practices in institutional change in China. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22(16), 3357–3372.
- **Nolan, J.** (2015). *Guanxi*: A critical review. In T. Wright (Ed.), *Oxford bibliography in Chinese Studies* (pp. 1–30).
- Pan, X., & Tian, G.G. (2017). Political connections and corporate investments: Evidence from the recent anti-corruption campaign in China. *Journal of Banking and Finance*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2017.03.005
- Park, C., & Shin, D.C. (2005). Social capital and democratic citizenship: The case of South Korea. *Japanese Journal of Political Science*, 6(1), 63–85. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1468109905001726
- **Peng, M.W.** (2010). *Global Business*. Mason: Cengage Learning.
- Peng, M.W., Wang, D.Y.L., & Jiang, Y. (2008). An institution-based view of international business strategy: A focus on emerging economies. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(5), 920–936. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400377
- Peng, M.W., Pinkham, B., Sun, S.L., & Chen, H. (2009). The institution-based view as a third leg for a strategy tripod. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23(4), 63–81. https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2009.43479264
- Taube, M. (2009). Principles of property rights evolution in China's rural industry. In T. Heberer & G. Schubert (Eds.), Regime legitimacy in contemporary China: Institutional change and stability (pp. 108–128). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Taube, M. (2013). Relational corruption in the PR China. Institutional foundations and its (dys)functionality for economic development and growth. *Comparative Governance and Politics*, 7(1), 89–116. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12286-013-0158-5

Taube, M., & in der Heiden, T. (2015). Assessment of the normative and policy framework governing the Chinese economy and its impact on international competition. Report prepared on behalf of AEGIS EUROPE – Cross-sector Alliance Representing European Manufacturing, Brussels.

Taube, M., & Schramm, M. (2004). Private ordering of corrupt transactions: The case of the Chinese guanxi-networks and their challenge by a formal legal system. In J.G. Lambsdorff, M. Taube, & M. Schramm (Eds.), *The new institutional economics of corruption* (pp. 181–197). New York, NY: Routledge.

Tsai, K.S. (2002). *Back-Alley banking: Private entrepreneurs in China*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Tsang, E.W.K. (1998). Can *guanxi* be a source of sustained competitive advantages for doing business in China? *Academy of Management Executive*, 12(2), 64–73. https://doi.org/10.5465/ame.1998.650517

Wang, Y. (2016). Beyond local protectionism: China's state-business relations in the last two decades. *The China Quarterly*, 226, 319–341. https://doi.org/10.1017/S030574101600031X

Wank, D.L. (1999). Commodifying communism: Business, trust, and politics in a Chinese city. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Warren, D.E., Dunfee, T.W., & Li, N. (2004). Social exchange in China: The double-edged sword of *guanxi*. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 55(4), 353–370. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-004-1526-5

Xin, K.K., & Pearce, J.L. (1996). *Guanxi*: Connections as Substitutes for Formal Institutional Support. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(6), 1641–1658. https://doi.org/10.5465/257072

Xu, C. (2011). The fundamental institutions of China's reforms and development. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 49(4), 1076–1151. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.49.4.1076

Yang, M.M. (1994). *Gifts, favors, and banquets: The art of social relationships in China*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press.

Yee, J. (2000). The social networks of Koreans. *Korea Journal*, 40(1), 325–352.

Zhang, W., & Li, J. (2017). Weak law v. strong ties: An empirical study of business investment, law and political connections in China. *Review of Law & Economics*, 13(1), 1–47. https://doi.org/10.1515/rle-2014-0008

Zhou, W. (2013). Political connections and entrepreneurial investment: Evidence from China's transition economy. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 28(2), 299–315.

WORKING PAPERS ON EAST ASIAN STUDIES BACK ISSUES

- No. 133 / 2022 Thomas Heberer, Anna Shpakovskaya: Städtediplomatie in den 2020er Jahren: Mehr als eine Geschichte zweier Städte. Kommunale Diplomatie und Städtepartnerschaften zwischen Deutschland, Europa und China. Implikationen für Nordrhein-Westfalen / Urban Diplomacy in the 2020s: More than a Tale of Two Cities. City Diplomacy and Municipal Partnerships between Germany, Europe and China. Implications for North Rhine-Westphalia
- No. 132 / 2022 Hannes B. Mosler: Lessons Learned? South Korea's Foreign Policy toward North Korea under the Moon Jae-In Administration
- No. 131 / 2022 Northeast Asia in Focus: Life, Work and Industry between the Steppe and the Metropoles, 1900–2020. Essays in Commemoration of Flemming Christiansen's Retirement. Festschrift edited by Christine Moll-Murata
- No. 130 / 2021 Nele Noesselt, Tanja Eckstein, Elizaveta Priupolina: Decrypting China's Self-Image as "Great Power"
- No. 129 / 2021 Armin Müller, Tobias ten Brink: Provider Payment Reform for Chinese Hospitals: Policy Transfer and Internal Diffusion of International Models
- No. 128 / 2021 Torsten Heinrich, Jangho Yang, Shuanping Dai: Growth, Development, and Structural Change at the Firm-level: The Example of the PR China
- No. 127 / 2021 Diana Schüler, Mihaela Suhalitca, Werner Pascha, Keunyeob Oh: Government Policies for Start-ups in Korea and its Regions: Motives, Mechanisms and Major Obstacles
- No. 126 / 2020 Werner Pascha: Silk Subway: Japan's Strategy for an Age of International Connectivity Activism
- No. 125 / 2019 İbrahim Öztürk: The Belt and Road Initiative as a Hybrid International Public Good
- No. 124 / 2019 Thomas Heberer: Decoding the Chinese Puzzle: Rapid Economic Growth and Social Development Despite a High Level of Corruption
- No. 123 / 2018 Martin Hemmert, Jae-Jin Kim: Informal Social Ties and Relationship Orientation in Korean Business Exchanges: A Content Analysis of Ten Inter-Organizational Research Collaborations
- No. 122 / 2018 Andreas Grimmel, Yuan Li: The Belt and Road Initiative: A Hybrid Model of Regionalism
- No. 121 / 2018 Charmaine Misalucha-Willoughby: How to Change the Game of Security Cooperation: The Case of the ASEAN-China Strategic Partnership
- No. 120 / 2017 Armin Müller: Cooperation of Vocational Colleges and Enterprises in China. Institutional Foundations of Vocational Education and Skill Formation in Nursing and Mechanical Engineering Preliminary Findings
- No. 119 / 2017 Thomas Heberer, Anna Shpakovskaya: The Digital Turn in Political Representation in China

- No. 118 / 2017 Dongya Huang, Minglu Chen, Thomas Heberer: From 'State Control' to 'Business Lobbying': The Institutional Origin of Private Entrepreneurs' Policy Influence in China
- No. 117 / 2017 Mario Esteban, Yuan Li: Demystifying the Belt and Road Initiative: Scope, Actors and Repercussion for Europe
- No. 116 / 2017 Chih-Chieh Wang: Building Transnational Labor Markets the Case of Taiwan
- No. 115 / 2017 Alessandra Cappelletti: The "Construction" of Chinese Culture in a Globalized World and Its Importance for Beijing's Smart Power. Notes and concepts on a narrative shift
- No. 114 / 2017 Jan Siebert, Guanzhong Yang: Discoordination and Miscoordination Caused by Sunspots in the Laboratory
- No. 113 / 2017 Guanzhong Yang: The Impact of Incentives on Prosocial Behavior An Experimental Investigation with German and Chinese Subjects
- No. 112 / 2017 Shuanping Dai, Guanzhong Yang: Does Social Inducement Lead to Higher Open Innovation Investment? An Experimental Study
- No. 111 / 2017 Shuanping Dai: China's Idiosyncratic Economics: An Emerging Unknown Monism Driven by Pluralism
- No. 110 / 2016 Thomas Heberer: Reflections on the Concept of Representation and Its Application to China
- No. 109 / 2016 Yuan Li, Kierstin Bolton, Theo Westphal: The Effect of the New Silk Road Railways on Aggregate Trade Volumes between China and Europe
- No. 108 / 2016 Thomas Heberer: Strategic Behavior of Private Entrepreneurs in China Collective Action, Representative Claims, and Connective Action
- No. 107 / 2016 Torsten Heinrich, Shuanping Dai: Diversity of Firm Sizes, Complexity, and Industry Structure in the Chinese Economy
- No. 106 / 2015 Ralf Bebenroth, Kai Oliver Thiele: Identification to Oneself and to the Others: Employees' Perceptions after a Merger
- No. 105 / 2015 Jun Gu, Annika Mueller, Ingrid Nielsen, Jason Shachat, Russell Smyth: Reducing Prejudice through Actual and Imagined Contact: A Field Experiment with Malawian Shopkeepers and Chinese Immigrants
- No. 104 / 2015 Marcus Conlé: Architectural Innovation in China. The Concept and its Implications for Institutional Analysis
- No. 103 / 2015 Kai Duttle, Tatsuhiro Shichijo: Default or Reactance? Identity Priming Effects on Overconfidence in Germany and Japan
- No. 102 / 2015 Martin Hemmert: The Relevance of Interpersonal and Inter-organizational Ties for Interaction Quality and Outcomes of Research Collaborations in South Korea

- No. 101 / 2015 Shuanping Dai, Wolfram Elsner: Declining Trust in Growing China. A Dilemma between Growth and Socio-Economic Damage
- No. 99 / 2014 Anna L. Ahlers, Thomas Heberer, Gunter Schubert: 'Authoritarian Resilience' and Effective Policy Implementation in Contemporary China – A Local State Perspective
- No. 98 / 2014 Werner Pascha: The Potential of Deeper Economic Integration between the Republic of Korea and the EU, Exemplified with Respect to E-Mobility
- No. 97 / 2014 Anja Senz, Dieter Reinhardt (Eds.): Task Force: Connecting India, China and Southeast Asia – New Socio-Economic Developments
- No. 96 / 2014 Markus Taube: Grundzüge der wirtschaftlichen Entwicklung und ihre ordnungspolitischen Leitbilder in der VR China seit 1949
- No. 95 / 2013 Yasuo Saeki, Sven Horak: The Role of Trust in Cultivating Relation-specific Skills The Case of a Multinational Automotive Supplier in Japan and Germany
- No. 94 / 2013 Heather Xiaoquan Zhang, Nicholas Loubere: Rural Finance, Development and Livelihoods in China
- No. 93 / 2013 Thomas Heberer, Anja Senz (Hg.): Task Force: Wie lässt sich die Zusammenarbeit des Landes Nordrhein-Westfalen mit China und den NRW-Partnerprovinzen vertiefen?
- No. 92 / 2013 Sven Horak: Cross-Cultural Experimental Economics and Indigenous Management Research Issues and Contributions
- No. 91 / 2013 Jann Christoph von der Pütten, Christian Göbel (Hg.): Task Force: Gewerkschaften, Arbeitsmarktregulierung und Migration in China
- No. 90 / 2012 Thomas Heberer: Some Reflections on the Current Situation in China
- No. 89 / 2011 Susanne Löhr, René Trappel (Hg.): Task Force: Nahrungsmittel in China – Food-Security- und Food-Safety-Problematik in China
- No. 88 / 2011 Peter Thomas in der Heiden: Chinese Sectoral Industrial Policy Shaping International Trade and Investment Patterns Evidence from the Iron and Steel Industry
- No. 87 / 2010 Marcus Conlé: Health Biotechnology in China: National, Regional, and Sectoral Dimensions
- No. 86 / 2010 Anja Senz, Dieter Reinhardt (eds.): Green Governance – One Solution for Two Problems? Climate Change and Economic Shocks: Risk Perceptions and Coping Strategies in China, India and Bangladesh
- No. 85 / 2010 Heather Xiaoquan Zhang: Migration, Risk and Livelihoods: A Chinese Case
- No. 84 / 2010 Marcus Conlé, Markus Taube: Anatomy of Cluster Development in China: The case of health biotech clusters

- No. 83 / 2010 Sven Horak: Aspects of Inner-Korean Relations Examined from a German Viewpoint
- No. 82 / 2010 Thomas Heberer, Anja-D. Senz (Hg.): Chinas Rolle in den internationalen Beziehungen globale Herausforderungen und die chinesische Außenpolitik
- No. 81 / 2009 Flemming Christiansen, Heather Xiaoquan Zhang: The Political Economy of Rural Development in China: Reflections on Current Rural Policy
- **No. 80 / 2009** Chan-Mi Strüber: Germany's Role in the Foreign Direct Investment Configuration of Korean Multinational Enterprises in Europe
- No. 79 / 2009 Thomas Heberer, Anja-D. Senz (Hg.): Task Force: Entwicklungspolitik und -strategien in Ostasien am Beispiel der chinesischen Umweltpolitik
- No. 78 / 2008 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz: How are Markets Created? The Case of Japan's Silver Market
- No. 77 / 2008 Werner Pascha, Uwe Holtschneider (Hg.): Task Force: Corporate Social Responsibility in Japan und Österreich
- No. 76 / 2008 Yu Keping: China's Governance Reform from 1978 to 2008
- No. 75 / 2008 Thomas Heberer: Task Force: Entwicklungspolitik in China: Herausforderungen, Lösungsstrategien und deutsch-chinesische Entwicklungszusammenarbeit
- No. 74 / 2008 Markus Taube: Ökonomische Entwicklung in der VR China. Nachholendes Wachstum im Zeichen der Globalisierung
- No. 73 / 2007 Norifumi Kawai, Manja Jonas: Ownership Strategies in Post-Financial Crisis South-East Asia: The Case of Japanese Firms
- No. 72 / 2007 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz, Markus Taube (Eds.): Workshop Series on the Role of Institutions in East Asian Development Institutional Foundations of Innovation and Competitiveness in East Asia
- No. 71 / 2006 Norifumi Kawai: Spatial Determinants of Japanese Manufacturing Firms in the Czech Republic
- No. 70 / 2006 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Institutionen in der Entwicklung Ostasiens I Offenheit und Geschlossenheit asiatischer Wirtschaftssysteme
- No. 69 / 2006 Christian Göbel: The Peasant's Rescue from the Cadre? An Institutional Analysis of China's Rural Tax and Fee Reform
- No. 68 / 2006 Thomas Heberer: Institutional Change and Legitimacy via Urban Elections? People's Awareness of Elections and Participation in Urban Neighbourhoods (Shequ)
- **No. 67 / 2006** Momoyo Hüstebeck: Tanaka Makiko: Scharfzüngige Populistin oder populäre Reformerin?
- **No. 66 / 2006** Momoyo Hüstebeck: Park Geun-hye: Als Präsidententochter zur ersten Staatspräsidentin Südkoreas?

- No. 65 / 2006 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft V. Themenschwerpunkt: Deutschlandjahr in Japan eine Zwischenbilanz
- No. 64 / 2004 Christian Göbel, Thomas Heberer (Hg.): Task Force: Zivilgesellschaftliche Entwicklungen in China / Task Force: Civil Societal Developments in China
- No. 63 / 2005 Thorsten Nilges: Zunehmende Verschuldung durch Mikrokredite. Auswertung eines Experiments in Südindien
- No. 62 / 2004 Jun Imai: The Rise of Temporary Employment in Japan. Legalisation and Expansion of a Non-Regular Employment Form
- No. 61 / 2004 Thomas Heberer, Nora Sausmikat: Bilden sich in China Strukturen einer Zivilgesellschaft heraus?
- No. 60 / 2004 Thomas Heberer, Anja Senz (Hg.): Feldforschung in Asien: Erlebnisse und Ergebnisse aus der Sicht politikwissenschaftlicher Ostasienforschung
- No. 59 / 2004 Li Fan: Come by the Wind. Li Fan's Story in Buyun Election
- No. 58 / 2004 Li Minghuan: Labour Brokerage in China Today: Formal and Informal Dimensions
- No. 57 / 2004 Dorit Lehrack: NGO im heutigen China Aufgaben, Rolle und Selbstverständnis
- No. 56 / 2004 Anja Senz: Wählen zwischen Recht und Pflicht Ergebnisse einer Exkursion der Ostasienwissenschaften in die Provinz Sichuan / VR China
- No. 55 / 2004 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz: Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft IV. Themenschwerpunkt: Wahrnehmung, Institutionenökonomik und Japanstudien
- No. 54 / 2004 Thomas Heberer: Ethnic Entrepreneurs as Agents of Social Change. Entrepreneurs, clans, social obligations and ethnic resources: the case of the Liangshan Yi in Sichuan
- No. 53 / 2003 Hermann Halbeisen: Taiwan's Domestic Politics since the Presidential Elections 2000
- No. 52 / 2003 Claudia Derichs, Wolfram Schaffar (Hg.): Task Force: Interessen, Machstrukturen und internationale Regime. Die WTO-Verhandlungen zum GATS (Dienstleistungsabkommen) und sein Einfluss auf Asien
- No. 51 / 2003 Markus Taube: Chinas Rückkehr in die Weltgemeinschaft. Triebkräfte und Widerstände auf dem Weg zu einem "Global Player"
- No. 50 / 2003 Kotaro Oshige: Arbeitsmarktstruktur und industrielle Beziehungen in Japan. Eine Bestandsaufnahme mit Thesen zur Zukunftsentwicklung
- No. 49 / 2003 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft III. Themenschwerpunkt: Institutionenökonomik und Japanstudien

- No. 48 / 2003 Institute of East Asian Studies (Ed.), Frank Robaschik (compilation), with contributions from Winfried Flüchter, Thomas Heberer, Werner Pascha, Frank Robaschik, Markus Taube: Overview of East Asian Studies in Central and Eastern Europe
- No. 47 / 2002 Ulrich Zur-Lienen: Singapurs Strategie zur Integration seiner multi-ethnischen Bevölkerung: Was sich begegnet gleicht sich an
- No. 46 / 2002 Thomas Heberer: Strategische Gruppen und Staatskapazität: Das Beispiel der Privatunternehmer in China
- No. 45 / 2002 Thomas Heberer, Markus Taube: China, the European Union and the United States of America: Partners or Competitors?
- No. 44 / 2002 Werner Pascha: Wirtschaftspolitische Reformen in Japan Kultur als Hemmschuh?
- No. 43 / 2002 Werner Pascha, Klaus Ruth, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Themenschwerpunkt: Einfluss von IT-Technologien auf Strukturen und Prozesse in Unternehmen
- No. 42 / 2002 Karin Adelsberger, Claudia Derichs, Thomas Heberer, Patrick Raszelenberg: Der 11. September und die Folgen in Asien. Politische Reaktionen in der VR China, Japan, Malaysia und Vietnam
- No. 41 / 2001 Claudia Derichs, Thomas Heberer (Hg.): Task Force: Ein Gutachten zu Beschäftigungspolitik, Altersvorsorge und Sozialstandards in Ostasien
- No. 40 / 2001 Werner Pascha, Frank Robaschik: The Role of Japanese Local Governments in Stabilisation Policy
- No. 39 / 2001 Anja Senz, Zhu Yi: Von Ashima zu Yi-Rap: Die Darstellung nationaler Minderheiten in den chinesischen Medien am Beispiel der Yi-Nationalität
- No. 38 / 2001 Claudia Derichs: Interneteinsatz in den Duisburger Ostasienwissenschaften: Ein Erfahrungsbericht am Beispiel des deutsch-japanischen Seminars "DJ50"
- No. 37 / 2001 Zhang Luocheng: The particularities and major problems of minority regions in the middle and western parts of China and their developmental strategy
- No. 36 / 2001 Thomas Heberer: Falungong Religion, Sekte oder Kult? Eine Heilsgemeinschaft als Manifestation von Modernisierungsproblemen und sozialen Entfremdungsprozessen
- No. 35 / 2001 Claudia Derichs, Thomas Heberer, Patrick Raszelenberg (Hg.): Task Force: Ein Gutachten zu den politischen und wirtschaftlichen Beziehungen Ostasien-NRW
- No. 34 / 2000 Ulrich Jürgens, Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Organisation und Ordnung der japanischen Wirtschaft I. Themenschwerpunkt: "New Economy" – Neue Formen der Arbeitsorganisation in Japan
- No. 33 / 2000 Winfried Flüchter: German Geographical Research on Japan

- No. 32 / 2000 Thomas Heberer, Sabine Jakobi: Henan The Model: From Hegemonism to Fragmentism. Portrait of the Political Culture of China's Most Populated Province
- No. 31 / 2000 Thomas Heberer: Some Considerations on China's Minorities in the 21st Century: Conflict or Conciliation?
- No. 29 / 2000 Karl Lichtblau, Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan V. Themenschwerpunkt: M & A in Japan ein neues Instrument der Unternehmenspolitik?
- No. 28 / 1999 Rainer Dormels: Regionaler Antagonismus in Südkorea
- No. 27 / 1999 Claudia Derichs, Tim Goydke, Werner Pascha (Hg.): Task Force: Ein Gutachten zu den deutschen/europäischen Außen- und Außenwirtschaftsbeziehungen mit Japan
- No. 26 / 1999 Susanne Steffen: Der Einsatz der Umweltpolitik in der japanischen Elektrizitätswirtschaft
- No. 25 / 1999 Claudia Derichs: Nationbuilding in Malaysia under Conditions of Globalization
- No. 24 / 1999 Thomas Heberer, Arno Kohl, Tuong Lai, Nguyen Duc Vinh: Aspects of Privat Sector Development in Vietnam
- No. 23 / 1999 Werner Pascha: Corruption in Japan An Economist's Perspective
- No. 22 / 1999 Nicole Bastian: Wettbewerb im japanischen Fernsehmarkt. Neue Strukturen durch Kabel- und Satellitenfernsehen? Eine wettbewerbstheoretische Analyse
- No. 21 / 1999 Thomas Heberer: Entrepreneurs as Social Actors: Privatization and Social Change in China and Vietnam
- No. 20 / 1999 Vereinigung für sozialwissenschaftliche Japan-Forschung (Hg.): Quo vadis sozialwissenschaftliche Japan-Forschung? Methoden und Zukunftsfragen
- No. 19 / 1999 Bong-Ki Kim: Das Problem der interkulturellen Kommunikation am Beispiel der Rezeption Deweys in China
- No. 18 / 1998 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan IV. Themenschwerpunkt Netzwerke
- No. 17 / 1998 Andreas Bollmann, Claudia Derichs, Daniel Konow, Ulrike Rebele, Christian Schulz, Kerstin Seemann, Stefanie Teggemann, Stephan Wieland: Interkulturelle Kompetenz als Lernziel

- No. 16 / 1997 Werner Pascha, Cornelia Storz (Hg.): Workshop Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan III. Themenschwerpunkt Innovation
- No. 15 / 1997 Winfried Flüchter: Tokyo quo vadis? Chancen und Grenzen (?) metropolitanen Wachstums
- No. 14 / 1997 Claudia Derichs: Der westliche Universalitätsanspruch aus nicht-westlicher Perspektive
- No. 13 / 1997 Werner Pascha: Economic Globalization and Social Stabilization: A Dual Challenge for Korea
- No. 12 / 1996 Claudia Derichs: Kleine Einführung in die Politik und das politische System Japans
- No. 11 / 1996 Mikiko Eswein: Die Rolle der Berufsbildung beim sozialen Wandel in Japan
- No. 10 / 1996 Mikiko Eswein: Erziehung zwischen Konfuzianismus und Bismarck. Schule und Erziehungssystem in Japan
- No. 9 / 1996 Werner Pascha: On the Relevance of the German Concept of "Social Market Economy" for Korea
- No. 8 / 1996 Carsten Herrmann-Pillath: Strange Notes on Modern Statistics and Traditional Popular Religion in China: Further Reflections on the Importance of Sinology for Social Science as applied on China
- No. 7 / 1996 Ralph Lützeler: Die japanische Familie der Gegenwart Wandel und Beharrung aus demographischer Sicht
- No. 6 / 1995 Werner Pascha (Hg.): Klein- und Mittelunternehmen in Japan – Dokumentation eines Workshops
- No. 5 / 1995 Chen Lai: Die Kultur des Volkskonfuzianismus: Eine Untersuchung der Literatur zur kindlichen Erziehung (*Meng xue*)
- No. 4 / 1995 Carsten Herrmann-Pillath: Die Volksrepublik und die Republik China: Die Gratwanderung zweier chinesischer Staaten zwischen Politik und Wirtschaft
- No. 3 / 1995 Carsten Herrmann-Pillath: On the Importance of Studying Late Qing Economic and Social History for the Analysis of Contemporary China or: Protecting Sinology Against Social Science
- No. 2 / 1995 H. J. Beckmann, K. Haaf, H. Kranz, W. Pascha, B. Slominski, T. Yamada: "Japan im Netz". Eine Materialsammlung zur Nutzung des Internet
- **No. 1 / 1995** Claudia Derichs, Winfried Flüchter, Carsten Herrmann-Pillath, Regine Mathias, Werner Pascha: Ostasiatische Regionalstudien: Warum?