

Zaslavskij, Aleksandr J.

Article

A turnpike property of trajectories of dynamical systems with a Lyapunov function

Games

Provided in Cooperation with:

MDPI – Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute, Basel

Suggested Citation: Zaslavskij, Aleksandr J. (2020) : A turnpike property of trajectories of dynamical systems with a Lyapunov function, Games, ISSN 2073-4336, MDPI, Basel, Vol. 11, Iss. 4, pp. 1-8, <https://doi.org/10.3390/g11040063>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/257481>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Article

A Turnpike Property of Trajectories of Dynamical Systems with a Lyapunov Function

Alexander J. Zaslavski

Department of Mathematics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 3200003, Israel; ajzasl@technion.ac.il

Received: 29 September 2020; Accepted: 7 December 2020; Published: 14 December 2020



Abstract: In this paper, we study the structure of trajectories of discrete disperse dynamical systems with a Lyapunov function which are generated by set-valued mappings. We establish a weak version of the turnpike property which holds for all trajectories of such dynamical systems which are of a sufficient length. This result is usually true for models of economic growth which are prototypes of our dynamical systems.

Keywords: compact metric space; global attractor; lyapunov function; set-valued mapping; turnpike

1. Introduction

In [1,2] A. M. Rubinov introduced a discrete disperse dynamical system determined by a set-valued mapping acting on a compact metric space, which was studied in [1–7]. This disperse dynamical system has prototype in the mathematical economics [1,8,9]. In particular, it is an abstract extension of the classical von Neumann–Gale model [1,8,9]. Our dynamical system is determined by a compact metric space of states and a transition operator. In [1–7] and in the present paper, this transition operator is set-valued. Such dynamical systems correspond to certain models of economic dynamics [1,8,9].

Assume that (X, ρ) is a compact metric space and that $a : X \rightarrow 2^X \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ is a set-valued mapping whose graph

$$\text{graph}(a) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y \in a(x)\}$$

is a closed set in $X \times X$. For every nonempty set $E \subset X$ define

$$a(E) = \cup\{a(x) : x \in E\} \text{ and } a^0(E) = E.$$

By induction we define $a^n(E)$ for every integer $n \geq 1$ and every nonempty subset $E \subset X$ as follows:

$$a^n(E) = a(a^{n-1}(E)).$$

In the present paper, we analyze the structure of trajectories of the dynamical system determined by a which is called a discrete dispersive dynamical system [1,2].

We say that a sequence $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^\infty \subset X$ is a trajectory of a (or just a trajectory if a is understood) if

$$x_{t+1} \in a(x_t), \quad t = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let $T_2 > T_1$ be integers. We say that $\{x_t\}_{t=T_1}^{T_2} \subset X$ is a trajectory of a (or just a trajectory if a is understood) if

$$x_{t+1} \in a(x_t), \quad t = T_1, \dots, T_2 - 1.$$

Define

$$\Omega(a) = \{\xi \in X : \text{for every positive number } \epsilon \text{ there exists a trajectory } \{y_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \text{ for which } \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho(\xi, y_t) \leq \epsilon\}. \quad (1)$$

Evidently, $\Omega(a)$ is a nonempty closed set in the metric space (X, ρ) . In the literature, the set $\Omega(a)$ is called a global attractor of a . Note that in [1,2] $\Omega(a)$ is called a turnpike set of a . This terminology is motivated by mathematical economics [1,8,9].

For every point $x \in X$ and every nonempty closed set $E \subset X$ define

$$\rho(x, E) = \inf\{\rho(x, y) : y \in E\}.$$

Let $\phi : X \rightarrow R^1$ be a continuous function satisfying

$$\phi(z) \geq 0 \text{ for every } z \in X, \quad (2)$$

$$\phi(y) \leq \phi(x) \text{ for every } x \in X \text{ and every } y \in a(x). \quad (3)$$

It is clear that ϕ is a Lyapunov function for the dynamical system determined by the map a . It should be mentioned that in mathematical economics usually X is a subset of the finite-dimensional Euclidean space and ϕ is a linear functional on this space [1,8,9]. Our goal in [7] was to study approximate solutions of the problem

$$\phi(x_T) \rightarrow \max,$$

$$\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T \text{ is a program satisfying } x_0 = x,$$

where $x \in X$ and $T \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ are given.

The following result was obtained in [7].

Theorem 1. *The following properties are equivalent:*

(1) *If a sequence $\{x_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \subset X$, $x_{t+1} \in a(x_t)$ and $\phi(x_{t+1}) = \phi(x_t)$ for every integer t , then*

$$\{x_t\}_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \subset \Omega(a).$$

(2) *For every positive number ϵ there exists an integer $T(\epsilon) \geq 1$ such that for every trajectory $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty} \subset X$ which satisfies $\phi(x_t) = \phi(x_{t+1})$ for every nonnegative integer t the relation $\rho(x_t, \Omega(a)) \leq \epsilon$ is valid for every integer $t \geq T(\epsilon)$.*

Put

$$\|\phi\| = \sup\{|\phi(z)| : z \in X\}.$$

We denote by $\text{Card}(A)$ the cardinality of a set A and suppose that the sum over the empty set is zero.

In this paper, we establish a weak version of the turnpike property which hold for all trajectories of our dynamical system which are of a sufficient length and which are not necessarily approximate solutions of the problem above. This result as well as the turnpike results of [7] is usually true for models of economic growth which are prototypes of our dynamical system [1,8,9].

Namely, we prove the following result.

Theorem 2. *Let property (1) of Theorem 1 hold and let ϵ be a positive number. Then there exists an integer $L \geq 1$ such that for every natural number $T > L$ and every trajectory $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T$ the inequality*

$$\text{Card}(\{t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : \rho(x_t, \Omega(a)) > \epsilon\}) \leq L$$

is valid.

This result is proved in Section 3. Its proof is based on an auxiliary result which is proved in Section 2.

Assume that $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ is a trajectory. By (3), there exists

$$c = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_t).$$

Evidently, the sequence $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^{\infty}$ converges to the set $\Omega \cap \phi^{-1}(c)$. This fact is well-known in the dynamical systems theory as LaSalle's invariance principle [10–13]. In the present paper, we are interested in the structure of trajectories on finite intervals of a sufficiently large length and their turnpike property established in Theorem 1.2, which was not considered in [10–13].

It should be mentioned that turnpike properties are well known in mathematical economics. The term was first coined by Samuelson in 1948 (see [14]), where he showed that an efficient expanding economy would spend most of the time in the vicinity of a balanced equilibrium path (also called a von Neumann path and a turnpike). This property was further investigated for optimal trajectories of models of economic dynamics. See, for example, [2,8,9] and the references mentioned there. Recently it was shown that the turnpike phenomenon holds for many important classes of problems arising in various areas of research [15–23]. For related infinite horizon problems see [9,24–31].

2. An Auxiliary Result

Lemma 1. Let property (1) of Theorem 1 hold and ϵ be a positive number. Then there exist a positive number δ and an integer $L \geq 1$ such that for every natural number $T > 2L$ and every trajectory $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T$ satisfying

$$\phi(x_0) \leq \phi(x_T) + \delta$$

the inequality

$$\rho(x_t, \Omega(a)) \leq \epsilon, \quad t = L, \dots, T - L$$

is valid.

Proof. Assume the contrary. Then for every integer $n \geq 1$ there are a natural number $T_n > 2n$ and a trajectory $\{x_t^{(n)}\}_{t=0}^{T_n}$ which satisfy

$$\phi(x_0^{(n)}) \leq \phi(x_{T_n}^{(n)}) + 1/n, \quad (4)$$

$$\max\{\rho(x_t^{(n)}, \Omega(a)) : t = n, \dots, T_n - n\} > \epsilon. \quad (5)$$

By of (5), for every $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ there is

$$S_n \in \{n, \dots, T_n - n\} \quad (6)$$

for which

$$\rho(x_{S_n}^{(n)}, \Omega(a)) > \epsilon. \quad (7)$$

Assume that $n \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Set

$$y_t^{(n)} = x_{t+S_n}^{(n)}, \quad t = -S_n, \dots, T_n - S_n. \quad (8)$$

In view of (8), $\{y_t^{(n)}\}_{t=-S_n}^{T_n-S_n}$ is a trajectory. By (4) and (8),

$$\phi(y_{T_n-S_n}^{(n)}) - \phi(y_{-S_n}^{(n)}) = \phi(x_{T_n}^{(n)}) - \phi(x_0^{(n)}) \geq -1/n. \quad (9)$$

Equations (3) and (9) imply that for every integer $t \in \{-S_n, \dots, T_n - S_n - 1\}$, we have

$$\phi(y_{t+1}^{(n)}) - \phi(y_t^{(n)}) \geq \phi(y_{T_n-S_n}^{(n)}) - \phi(y_{-S_n}^{(n)}) \geq -1/n. \quad (10)$$

Equations (7) and (8) imply that

$$\rho(y_0^{(n)}, \Omega(a)) = \rho(x_{S_n}^{(n)}, \Omega(a)) > \epsilon. \quad (11)$$

Clearly, there is a strictly increasing sequence of positive integers $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ such that for every integer t there exists

$$y_t = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} y_t^{(n_j)}. \quad (12)$$

By Equations (11) and (12),

$$\rho(y_0, \Omega(a)) \geq \epsilon. \quad (13)$$

By (12) and the closedness of the graph of a , we have

$$y_{t+1} \in a(y_t) \text{ for all integers } t. \quad (14)$$

By (10) and (12), for all integers t ,

$$\phi(y_{t+1}) - \phi(y_t) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(y_{t+1}^{(n_j)}) - \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi(y_t^{(n_j)}) \geq \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (-n_j^{-1}) = 0.$$

Combining with (3) this implies that

$$\phi(y_{t+1}) = \phi(y_t) \text{ for all integers } t. \quad (15)$$

Property (1) of Theorem 1, (14), (15) imply the inclusion

$$y_t \in \Omega(a)$$

for every integer t . This inclusion contradicts Equation (13). The contradiction we have reached completes the proof of Lemma 1. \square

3. Proof of Theorem 2

Lemma 1 implies that there are a positive number $\delta < \epsilon$ and $L_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ for which the following property holds:

(a) for every integer $T > 2L_0$ and every trajectory $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T$ satisfying

$$\phi(x_0) \leq \phi(x_T) + \delta$$

we have

$$\rho(x_t, \Omega(a)) \leq \epsilon, \quad t = L_0, \dots, T - L_0.$$

Choose an integer

$$L > 2L_0 + 2 + (4L_0 + 7)(1 + 2\delta^{-1}\|\phi\|). \quad (16)$$

Suppose that $T > L$ is a natural number and that a sequence $\{x_t\}_{t=0}^T$ is a trajectory. By induction we define a strictly increasing finite sequence $t_i \in \{0, \dots, T\}$, $i = 0, \dots, q$. Set

$$t_0 = 0. \quad (17)$$

If

$$\phi(x_T) \geq \phi(x_0) - \delta,$$

then set

$$t_1 = T$$

and complete to construct the sequence.

Assume that

$$\phi(x_T) < \phi(x_0) - \delta.$$

Evidently, there is an integer $t_1 \in (t_0, T]$ satisfying

$$\phi(x_{t_1}) < \phi(x_0) - \delta \quad (18)$$

and that if an integer S satisfies

$$t_0 < S < t_1,$$

then

$$\phi(x_S) \geq \phi(x_0) - \delta. \quad (19)$$

If $t_1 = T$, then we complete to construct the sequence.

Assume that $k \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ and that we defined a strictly increasing sequence $t_0, \dots, t_k \in \{0, \dots\}$ such that

$$t_0 = 0, \quad t_k \leq T$$

and that for each $i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$,

$$\phi(x_{t_{i+1}}) < \phi(x_{t_i}) - \delta$$

and if an integer S satisfies $t_i < S < t_{i+1}$, then

$$\phi(x_S) \geq \phi(x_{t_i}) - \delta.$$

(In view of (18) and (19), the assumption is true with $k = 1$).

If $t_k = T$, then we complete to construct the sequence. Assume that $t_k < T$. If

$$\phi(x_T) \geq \phi(x_{t_k}) - \delta,$$

then we set $t_{k+1} = T$ and complete to construct the sequenced.

Assume that

$$\phi(x_T) < \phi(x_{t_k}) - \delta. \quad (20)$$

Evidently, there is a natural number

$$t_{k+1} \in (t_k, T]$$

for which

$$\phi(x_{t_{k+1}}) < \phi(x_{t_k}) - \delta$$

and that if an integer S satisfies

$$t_k < S < t_{k+1},$$

then

$$\phi(x_S) \geq \phi(x_{t_k}) - \delta.$$

Evidently, the assumption made for k is true for $k + 1$ too. Therefore by induction, we constructed the strictly increasing finite sequence of integers $t_i \in [0, T]$, $i = 0, \dots, q$ such that

$$t_0 = 0, t_q = T$$

and that for every i satisfying $0 \leq i < q - 1$,

$$\phi(x_{t_{i+1}}) < \phi(x_{t_i}) - \delta \quad (21)$$

and for each $i \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\}$ and each integer S satisfies $t_i < S < t_{i+1}$, we have

$$\phi(x_S) \geq \phi(x_{t_i}) - \delta. \quad (22)$$

By (21),

$$2\|\phi\| \geq \phi(x_{t_0}) - \phi(x_{t_{q-1}}) \\ \sum \{\phi(x_{t_i}) - \phi(x_{t_{i+1}}) : i \text{ is an integer, } 0 \leq i \leq q - 2\} \geq \delta(q - 1)$$

and

$$q \leq 1 + 2\delta^{-1}\|\phi\|. \quad (23)$$

Set

$$E = \{i \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\} : t_{i+1} - t_i \geq 2L_0 + 4\}. \quad (24)$$

Let

$$i \in E. \quad (25)$$

By (24) and (25),

$$t_{i+1} - 1 - t_i \geq 2L_0 + 3. \quad (26)$$

Equations (22) and (26) imply that

$$\phi(x_{t_{i+1}-1}) \geq \phi(x_{t_i}) - \delta. \quad (27)$$

Equations (26), (27) and property (a) applied to the program $\{x_t\}_{t=t_i}^{t_{i+1}-1}$ imply that

$$\rho(x_t, \Omega(a)) \leq \epsilon, \quad t = t_i + L_0, \dots, t_{i+1} - 1 - L_0. \quad (28)$$

Equation (28) implies that

$$\{t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : \rho(x_t, \Omega(a)) > \epsilon\} \\ \subset \cup \{\{t_i, \dots, t_{i+1}\} : i \in \{0, \dots, q - 1\} \setminus E\} \\ \cup \{\{t_i, \dots, t_i + L_0 - 1\} \cup \{t_{i+1} - L_0, \dots, t_{i+1}\} : i \in E\}. \quad (29)$$

By (23), (24) and (29),

$$\text{Card}(\{t \in \{0, \dots, T\} : \rho(x_t, \Omega(a)) > \epsilon\}) \\ \leq q(2L_0 + 5) + (2L_0 + 2)q = q(4L_0 + 7) \\ (4L_0 + 7)(1 + 2\delta^{-1}\|\phi\|) \leq L.$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. Rubinov, A.M. Turnpike sets in discrete disperse dynamical systems. *Sib. Math. J.* **1980**, *21*, 136–146.
2. Rubinov, A.M. *Multivalued Mappings and Their Applications in Economic Mathematical Problems*; Nauka: Leningrad, Russia, 1980.
3. Dzalilov, Z.; Zaslavski, A.J. Global attractors for discrete disperse dynamical systems. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* **2009**, *10*, 191–198.
4. Zaslavski, A.J. Turnpike sets of continuous transformations in compact metric spaces. *Sib. Math. J.* **1982**, *23*, 136–146. [[CrossRef](#)]
5. Zaslavski, A.J. Uniform convergence to global attractors for discrete disperse dynamical systems. *Nonlinear Dyn. Syst. Theory* **2007**, *4*, 315–325.
6. Zaslavski, A.J. Convergence of trajectories of discrete dispersive dynamical systems. *Commun. Math. Anal.* **2008**, *4*, 10–19.
7. Zaslavski, A.J. Structure of trajectories of discrete dispersive dynamical systems. *Commun. Math. Anal.* **2009**, *6*, 1–9.
8. Makarov, V.L.; Rubinov, A.M. *Mathematical Theory of Economic Dynamics and Equilibria*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 1977.
9. Zaslavski, A.J. *Turnpike Properties in the Calculus of Variations and Optimal Control*; Springer Optimization and Its Applications: New York, NY, USA, 2006.
10. Bullo, F.; Carli, R.; Frasca, P. Gossip coverage control for robotic networks: Dynamical systems on the space of partitions. *SIAM J. Control Optim.* **2012**, *50*, 419–447. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Kellett, C.M.; Teel, A.R. Smooth Lyapunov functions and robustness of stability for difference inclusions. *Syst. Control. Lett.* **2004**, *52*, 395–405. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. LaSalle, J.P. *The Stability of Dynamical Systems*; SIAM: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1976.
13. Mei, W.; Bullo, F. LaSalle invariance principle for discrete-time dynamical systems: A concise and self-contained tutorial. *arXiv* **2020**, arXiv:1710.03710.
14. Samuelson, P.A. A catenary turnpike theorem involving consumption and the golden rule. *Am. Econ. Rev.* **1965**, *55*, 486–496.
15. Damm, T.; Grune, L.; Stieler, M.; Worthmann, K. An exponential turnpike theorem for dissipative discrete time optimal control problems. *Siam J. Control. Optim.* **2014**, *52*, 1935–1957. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Gugat, M. A turnpike result for convex hyperbolic optimal boundary. *Control. Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2019**, *4*, 849–866.
17. Gugat, M.; Trelat, E.; Zuazua, E. Optimal Neumann control for the 1D wave equation: Finite horizon, infinite horizon, boundary tracking terms and the turnpike property. *Syst. Control. Lett.* **2016**, *90*, 61–70. [[CrossRef](#)]
18. Khan, M.A.; Zaslavski, A.J. On two classical turnpike results for the Robinson-Solow-Srinivisan (RSS) model. *Adv. Math. Econom.* **2010**, *13*, 47–97.
19. Mammadov, M. Turnpike theorem for an infinite horizon optimal control problem with time delay. *Siam J. Control. Optim.* **2014**, *52*, 420–438. [[CrossRef](#)]
20. Porretta, A.; Zuazua, E. Long time versus steady state optimal control. *SIAM J. Control. Optim.* **2013**, *51*, 4242–4273. [[CrossRef](#)]
21. Trelat, E.; Zhang, C.; Zuazua, E. Optimal shape design for 2D heat equations in large time. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2018**, *3*, 255–269.
22. Trelat, E.; Zuazua, E. The turnpike property in finite-dimensional nonlinear optimal control. *J. Differ. Equ.* **2015**, *218*, 81–114. [[CrossRef](#)]
23. Zaslavski, A.J. *Turnpike Theory of Continuous-Time Linear Optimal Control Problems*; Springer Optimization and Its Applications; Springer: Cham, Switzerland; Heidelberg, Germany; New York, NY, USA; Dordrecht, The Netherlands; London, UK, 2015.
24. Aseev, S.M.; Krastanov, M.I.; Veliov, V.M. Optimality conditions for discrete-time optimal control on infinite horizon. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 395–409.
25. Bachir, M.; Blot, J. Infinite dimensional infinite-horizon Pontryagin principles for discrete-time problems. In *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2015; Volume 23, pp. 43–54.
26. Bachir, M.; Blot, J. Infinite dimensional multipliers and Pontryagin principles for discrete-time problems. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 411–426.

27. Blot, J.; Hayek, N. *Infinite-Horizon Optimal Control in the Discrete-Time Framework*; Springer: New York, NY, USA, 2014.
28. Carlson, D.A.; Haurie, A.; Leizarowitz, A. *Infinite Horizon Optimal Control*; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 1991.
29. Gaitsgory, V.; Grune, L.; Thatcher, N. Stabilization with discounted optimal control. *Syst. Control. Lett.* **2015**, *82*, 91–98. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Gaitsgory, V.; Mammadov, M.; Manic, L. On stability under perturbations of long-run average optimal control problems. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* **2017**, *2*, 461–476.
31. Sagara, N. Recursive variational problems in nonreflexive Banach spaces with an infinite horizon: An existence result. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **2018**, *11*, 1219–1232. [[CrossRef](#)]

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).