

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Strange, Roger

Research Report The future of global value chains: Key issues

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 328

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Strange, Roger (2022) : The future of global value chains: Key issues, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 328, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254162

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Columbia FDI Perspectives Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (<u>Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu</u>) Managing Editor: Riccardo Loschi (<u>Riccardo.Loschi@columbia.edu</u>)

The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the authors do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or our partners and supporters.

No. 328 April 4, 2022

The future of global value chains: Key issues by

Roger Strange*

The COVID-19 pandemic has heightened concerns about the future of globalization and the viability of MNEs organizing activities in global value chains (GVCs). The pandemic's short-term effects are now well recognized and involve a combination of supply- and demand-side effects due to changed consumption patterns and disruptions to GVCs following restrictions to cross-border movements of many products and people.¹

However, globalization was already under threat before the pandemic, and many commentators have referred to "slowbalization" or "deglobalization". Four new realities are particularly relevant: the growth of populism and economic nationalism; greater awareness of climate change and sustainable development; the deployment of new digital technologies; and changing power relationships between MNEs and host governments.² These new realities pre-date the pandemic, are ongoing and are likely to outlast it. The pandemic has exacerbated the effects of these new realities. The key issues are thus whether and how firms should reconfigure their GVCs, and what policy responses should governments adopt.

The new landscape has called into question MNEs' cost-efficiency business model underlying the offshoring and/or outsourcing key GVC activities. Should firms reconfigure their GVCs and put more emphasis on robustness and resilience³ and hence re-shore and/or back-source (internalize) GVC activities?

The arguments for reshoring are that supply chains are shortened and less vulnerable to restrictions on cross-border movements of products and people. But such a strategy foregoes the cost advantages from offshoring and the risk-reduction benefits from the international diversification of supplies, whilst re-shored activities may still require essential raw materials and inputs that can only be sourced from overseas⁴. The arguments for greater internalization are that supplies are more assured, coordination is improved, and opportunistic re-contracting is reduced. But back-sourcing foregoes

the benefits of externalization, including firms economizing on their scarce financial and managerial resources, greater flexibility in response to volatile output demand and access to cheaper and/or better-quality inputs from outside suppliers, and the potential to leverage power asymmetries over GVC partners.⁵

In short, it is not obvious that reshoring and/or back-sourcing are necessarily appropriate strategic responses for MNEs, and their advisability may well vary across sectors. GVC configurations are often product-specific and, therefore, over-generalization is risky. For instance, re-shoring and back-sourcing may be feasible strategic responses for some basic products, but less so for sophisticated products with more extensive networks of specialist suppliers.

What policy measures should governments adopt? In the short-term, many governments have responded to the pandemic by reassessing their reliance on international trade and investment, tightening their vetting of inward FDI on grounds of national security and fostering indigenous production capacity. Such introspective beggar-thy-neighbor policies are mistaken, as it is as vital to maintain export markets for outputs, as it is to secure supplies of necessary inputs. This is best achieved if all governments work together to preserve an open international system.⁶

In the longer-term, all governments will need to learn appropriate lessons from COVID-19, notably that firms cannot build GVC resilience alone, given the global and contagious (in both the public health and economic senses) nature of the pandemic. Governments should engage in concerted public health initiatives to deter and mitigate future pandemics. At the same time, governments clearly have a major role both in promoting the global sustainable development agenda and encouraging the necessary changes to firm (and individual) behavior through a judicious combination of regulation, taxes/subsidies, information provision, and the supply of suitable infrastructure. Comparable policy tools may also be used to encourage firms to deploy new digital technologies insofar as they are expected to bring widespread social benefits. But these policies—both individually and collectively—will have dramatic and far-reaching distributional impacts.

Some firms will grow ever more powerful, and this will exacerbate tensions that are already apparent between MNEs and national governments. Furthermore, some firms (and individuals) will inevitably lose out, even if there are aggregate societal benefits. These distributional asymmetries are the root cause of the contemporary growth of populism and economic nationalism. Perhaps the most crucial policy imperative for governments will be to manage effectively these distributional tensions and allow the losers to participate in the wider societal benefits.

^{*} Roger Strange (<u>R.N.Strange@sussex.ac.uk</u>) is Professor of International Business at the University of Sussex Business School and the Editor-in-Chief of *International Business Review*. This *Perspective* draws on a presentation by the author to the World Bank webinar (April 4, 2021) on the "Impact of COVID-19 on Multinational Enterprises". The author wishes to thank Farok Contractor, Gary Gereffi and an anonymous peer reviewer for their helpful peer reviews.

¹ <u>Roger Strange, "The 2020 Covid-19 pandemic and global value chains," *Journal of Industrial and Business Economics*, vol. 47 (2020), pp. 457-458.</u>

² Pervez Ghauri et al., "Research on international business: the new realities," *International Business Review*, vol. 30 (2021), pp. 1-2.

³ Resilience has different meaning for firms, GVCs and countries. See <u>Gary Gereffi, Written Testimony to the United</u> States on Legislative Hearing on "Implementing Supply Chain Resiliency" (July 12, 2020), p. 4.

⁶ See, <u>Farok Contractor</u>, "The world economy will need even more globalization in the post-pandemic 2021 decade," *JIBS* (online publication, Feb. 1, 2021), p. 6.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Roger Strange, 'The future of global value chains: Key issues,' Columbia FDI Perspectives No. 328, April 4, 2022. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<u>http://ccsi.columbia.edu</u>)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at <u>ccsi@law.columbia.edu</u>.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Riccardo Loschi, <u>riccardo.loschi@columbia.edu</u>; Luca Jobbagy, <u>lj2406@columbia.edu</u>.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <u>http://ccsi.columbia.edu</u>.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 327, Ucheora Onwuamaegbu, "A managed dispute-resolution insurance scheme for countries in investor-state arbitration: Ensuring early legal representation of respondents," Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 21, 2022
- No. 326, Meg Kinnear, "The launch of a new generation of the ICSID Rules," Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 7, 2022
- No. 325, James Otto, "How FDI in the mining sector can assist communities to achieve sustainable development," Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 21, 2022
- No. 324, Charlie Garnjana-Goonchorn, "An Advisory Centre on International Investment Law: Is perfect the enemy of good?," Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 7, 2022
- No. 323, Julien Chaisse, "FDI and sustainable development in the EU-China investment treaty: Neither high nor low, just realistic expectations," Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 24, 2022

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at https://ccsi.columbia.edu/content/columbia-fdi-perspectives.

⁴ See, e.g, the <u>2020 EU Action plan on critical raw materials</u> and the <u>June 2021 White House report on building resilient</u> supply chains, revitalizing American manufacturing, and fostering broad-based growth.

⁵ Roger Strange, "Do we need a theory of externalization?" in Ödül Bozkurt and Mike Geppert, eds., *A Research Agenda for International Business and Management* (Cheltenham: Elgar, 2021), pp. 23-42.