

Gabor, Evan; Sauvant, Karl P.

Research Report

Incentivizing sustainable FDI: The Authorized Sustainable Investor

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 256

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Gabor, Evan; Sauvant, Karl P. (2019) : Incentivizing sustainable FDI: The Authorized Sustainable Investor, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 256, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254090>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

No. 256 July 15, 2019

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Alexa Busser (alexa.busser@columbia.edu)

Incentivizing sustainable FDI: The Authorized Sustainable Investor*

by

Evan Gabor and Karl P. Sauvant**

If there ever should be a Multilateral Framework on Investment Facilitation for Development it would, presumably, contain a list of measures meant to facilitate *all* FDI inflows by *all* investors.

While, on balance, virtually all FDI can contribute to development, maximizing its development impact requires special efforts. To ensure that a Multilateral Framework directly and maximally contributes at the project level to host countries' development requires motivating foreign investors to undertake *sustainable* FDI, i.e., "commercially viable investment that makes a maximum contribution to the economic, social and environmental development of host countries and takes place in the context of fair governance mechanisms."¹

The Authorized Operators provision of the Trade Facilitation Agreement (TFA)² offers an analogy, precedent and model for motivating best-practice use in trade. Under the TFA, members may provide additional facilitation benefits to operators who meet certain criteria, including a record of customs compliance, financial solvency or supply-chain security. The benefits include reduced documentation requirements, deferred taxes and a single customs declaration.³

Creating a category of "Authorized Sustainable Investors" (ASIs) would similarly entitle qualifying international investors to investment-facilitation benefits that go beyond those available to all investors. Constructing such an analog presents challenges, including: what could be qualifying criteria that can be reasonably monitored, and what could be additional benefits that would make it worthwhile for investors to seek ASI status?

The ASI approach could contain three main parts:

Basic criteria. Investors would have to meet certain basic criteria to qualify, no matter in which host countries they are established. Importantly, they would have to commit to observe certain internationally recognized guidelines, especially the [ILO MNE Declaration](#), the [UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights](#) and the [OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises](#). Additional requirements could

include making their CSR statements and progress reports widely available and having a record of compliance with local laws. The purpose of these basic requirements is to ensure that investors accept internationally recognized norms and show a history of responsible behavior in their operations.

Country-specific criteria. Furthermore, investors would commit to use reasonable best efforts to ensure their investments fulfill certain FDI sustainability characteristics established by host countries. Such a standard focuses on investors' efforts to fulfill commitments rather than requiring countries to monitor whether investors meet certain metrics for each characteristic. Though each country could develop its own list of sustainability characteristics, that list could be guided by a general indicative list of FDI sustainability characteristics.⁴ The list could include commitments to, for example, create backward linkages, engage in community development, reduce the carbon footprint, follow proper resource-management practices, use non-discriminatory hiring practices, observe human rights, maintain supply-chain standards, and engage with stakeholders—all contributions to host country development that many governments seek and many investors say they make. Allowing countries to indicate the sustainability characteristics that qualify investors as “sustainable” ensures that the additional benefits target those investors whose investments likely support specific countries' development goals. Simultaneously, investors have the flexibility to choose those characteristics most appropriate to their projects.

Additional benefits. Once investors meet the basic and country-specific requirements, they would qualify for additional ASI benefits beyond those generally available to all investors. Countries could choose additional benefits (as done in the TFA). Benefits could include access to a dedicated sustainable investor focal point within countries' investment promotion agencies who works exclusively with ASIs throughout the life-cycle of their investments; priority assistance to ASIs (and perhaps at reduced fees and/or charges) in meeting establishment requirements; providing exclusive employee training programs; helping ASIs in creating local backward linkages through linkage programs that upgrade local suppliers; and offering targeted fiscal and/or financial incentives.⁵ Positive publicity and “soft” recognition benefits could also be considered, for example, awards and easy access to high-ranking officials.

Host countries could be responsible for designating ASIs, perhaps together with the investors' home countries. The list of ASIs could be made public, accessible to competitors and NGOs. Such transparency would help mitigate the risk of unwarranted designations, help in monitoring and entice investors to use reasonable efforts for fear of naming-and-shaming if found derelict in their commitments.

As the WTO continues the Structured Discussion on Investment Facilitation for Development, the goal of increasing FDI through enhanced facilitation measures should be coupled with the goal of increasing sustainable FDI flows. An ASI category constitutes one mechanism through which such a goal could be achieved. It would especially help those host countries that urgently need more investment but have weak bargaining power (especially least developed countries) to pursue an approach that increases the likelihood of receiving sustainable FDI.

Finally—and independently of the outcome of the Structured Discussions—individual countries can of course create the category of Authorized Sustainable Investors on their own, to attract sustainable FDI.

*** The *Columbia FDI Perspectives* are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the author(s) do not reflect the opinion of CCSI or Columbia University or our partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

****** Evan Gabor (evan.gabor@columbia.edu) is a J.D. Candidate at Columbia Law School; Karl P. Sauvant (karlsauvant@gmail.com) is Resident Senior Fellow, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University. The authors wish to thank Persa Economou, John Kline and Lou Wells for their helpful peer reviews.

¹ [Karl P. Sauvant and Howard Mann, “Towards an indicative list of FDI sustainability characteristics” \(Geneva: ICTD/WEF, 2017\), p. 2.](#)

² [Agreement on Trade Facilitation, Art. 7, para. 7.](#)

³ *Ibid.*, Art. 7 paras. 7.2, 7.3.

⁴ Sauvant and Mann, *op. cit.*, p. 8.

⁵ For incentives targeting sustainable development, see, [Christian Kollinsky and Nerys Coleman, “Incentives for Sustainable Development”, Wavteq \(May 2019\).](#)

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Evan Gabor and Karl P. Sauvant, ‘Incentivizing sustainable FDI: The Authorized Sustainable Investor,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 256, July 15, 2019. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Alexa Busser, alexa.busser@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu>.

Most recent *Columbia FDI Perspectives*

- No. 255, Kinda Mohamadieh, “A legally binding instrument on business and human rights to advance accountability and access to justice,” July 1, 2019
- No. 254, Marion A. Creach, “Assessing the legality of data-localization requirements: Before the tribunals or at the negotiating table?,” June 15, 2019
- No. 253, Frank J. Garcia and Kirrin Hough, “The case against third party funding in investment arbitration,” June 3, 2019
- No. 252, Adam Douglas, “Will the United States join the Trans-Pacific Partnership, the Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for Trans-Pacific Partnership, or neither?,” May 20, 2019
- No. 251, Karl P. Sauvant, “Promoting sustainable FDI through international investment agreements,” May 6, 2019

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/>.