

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Feldman, Mark

Research Report

China's Belt and Road investment governance: building a hybrid model

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 244

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Feldman, Mark (2019): China's Belt and Road investment governance: building a hybrid model, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 244, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254078

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 244 January 28, 2019

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (<u>Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu</u>) Managing Editor: Marion A. Creach (<u>marion.creach@sciencespo.fr</u>)

China's Belt and Road investment governance: building a hybrid model*

by
Mark Feldman**

China's Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) will give rise to an unprecedented hybrid model of investment governance. Transnational governance under the BRI will combine elements of a traditional state-driven model, in which states shape rulemaking either directly or through agents, with elements of transnational policy networks, in which non-state actors play significant roles in rulemaking. If designed and implemented as an effective and sustainable hybrid model, investment governance, understood as rulemaking throughout the investment life cycle, from authorizations through disputes, could contribute greatly to the BRI's ultimate success.

Transnational policy networks will significantly shape BRI investment governance. Although existing and future treaties will support the BRI, the initiative itself is not treaty-based; rather, it has been established as a framework that allows considerable flexibility in implementation. The entity that is perhaps most closely associated with BRI implementation, the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank (AIIB), identifies "openness, transparency, independence, and accountability" as its "core principles"; it has established an International Advisory Panel, composed of former government officials, private sector executives and academics to support AIIB senior management in decision-making. The AIIB also has joined two recent initiatives that are assembling a range of public and private stakeholders to advance opportunities for collaboration and knowledge exchange: the Global Infrastructure Facility and the Global Infrastructure Connectivity Alliance. With respect to BRI financing, President Xi Jinping, at the opening of the Belt and Road Forum for International Cooperation, held in Beijing in 2017, called for the development of a "diversified financing system" and for "greater cooperation between government and private capital."²

At the same time, however, state entities advancing state policy will play a key role in the development of BRI investment governance. Chinese investors seeking to invest in BRI projects abroad will need to obtain approvals from one or more state entities, depending on the particular characteristics of a contemplated investment (including sector, location and amount, as well as the

state-owned or privately-owned status of the investor). Many BRI investments will be funded by Chinese policy banks, state-owned commercial banks and/or state-owned investment funds. These state-driven aspects of BRI governance will contribute to the BRI's ambition and reach, but also will be closely scrutinized abroad by a range of actors, including governments, businesses, non-governmental organizations, and academics, with a particular focus on debt sustainability, terms of financing and competition for securing work on BRI projects.

A US\$1 billion transaction in Sri Lanka in 2017 illustrates how the state-driven aspects of BRI projects can lead to controversy. Specifically, in exchange for a reduced debt burden, Sri Lanka agreed to transfer control over a strategic port to a Chinese corporation. More recently, Malaysia suspended three major China-backed infrastructure projects and has called for renegotiating or cancelling infrastructure deals with China that are considered by Malaysia to be one-sided. With respect to competition for Chinese-funded BRI projects, recent reports by the Center for Strategic and International Studies and the National Bureau of Asian Research have raised questions concerning the extent to which companies outside of China can secure such work.

The ultimate success of the BRI will depend in significant part on whether issues of debt sustainability, terms of financing and competition for projects are clarified and resolved. Notably, the AIIB has directly addressed all three issues, committing to (i) fiscal sustainability as a condition of financing, (ii) disclosure of project documents and (iii) transparent procurement processes. The issues should also be addressed on the state-driven side of the hybrid governance model.

More generally, to build an effective and sustainable hybrid model of investment governance, a number of strategies can be pursued:

- One central institution could advance transparency, clarity and predictability by coordinating China's BRI-related decision-making. The IMF Managing Director, Christine Lagarde, has suggested that China's recently-created International Development Cooperation Agency, which will oversee China's foreign aid, could play such a role.
- State entities in China could increase their level of engagement with non-state entities on BRI-related issues. One example is the China-IMF Capacity Development Center; it will be based in Beijing and will strengthen collaboration between China and the IMF on capacity development, with a focus on BRI jurisdictions. A second example would be the recently-established International Energy Charter-China Electricity Council Joint Research Centre in Beijing; it will focus on a range of energy-related issues, i.e., trade, transit, efficiency, investment, and dispute settlement.
- Decision-making in connection with BRI-related investment disputes could be undertaken
 primarily by non-state actors, specifically private individuals sitting as arbitrators in BRIrelated disputes that are submitted by private entities to international commercial

arbitration or investment treaty arbitration and administered by either Chinese or foreign arbitral institutions. The recently established China International Commercial Court can complement, rather than displace, China's existing international arbitration regime, similar to the role played by other international commercial courts launched in Singapore, Abu Dhabi and Dubai.

Striking an appropriate balance between the ambition of a state-driven model and the inclusiveness of a transnational policy network model will be of central importance for BRI investment governance.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Mark Feldman, 'China's Belt and Road investment governance: building a hybrid model,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 244, January 28, 2019. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Marion A. Creach, marion.creach@sciencespo.fr.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 243, Karl P. Sauvant, "Five key considerations for the WTO investment-facilitation discussions, going forward," January 14, 2019
- No 242, Matthew Stephenson and Jose Ramon Perea, "How to leverage outward FDI for development? A six-step guide for policymakers," December 31, 2018
- No. 241, Robert W. Schwieder, "Lessons for a future advisory center on international investment law," December 17, 2018
- No. 240, Felipe Hees, Henrique Choer Moraes, Pedro Mendonça Cavalcante, and Pedro Barreto da Rocha Paranhos, "Investment facilitation: leaving the past behind," December 3, 2018
- No. 239, Joseph M. Wilde-Ramsing and Marian G. Ingrams, "High time for government action to make the OECD Guidelines a force for sustainable FDI," November 19, 2018

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/.

^{*} The *Columbia FDI Perspectives* are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the author(s) do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or Columbia University or our partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.

^{**} Mark Feldman (<u>mfeldman@stl.pku.edu.cn</u>) is Professor of Law at Peking University School of Transnational Law. The author is grateful to Vivienne Bath, Kabir Duggal and Susan Finder for their helpful peer reviews.

¹ AIIB, "Introduction: our founding principles," https://www.aiib.org/en/about-aiib/.

² "Full text of President Xi's speech at opening of Belt and Road Forum," Xinhua, May 14, 2017.