

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Collins, David

Research Report Investment contracts are not a substitute for investment treaties

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 193

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Collins, David (2017) : Investment contracts are not a substitute for investment treaties, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 193, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254027

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Columbia FDI Perspectives^{*} Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 193 February 13, 2017 Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu) Managing Editor: Matthew Schroth (<u>mas2443@columbia.edu</u>)

Investment contracts are not a substitute for investment treaties

by David Collins¹

Common in sectors such as petroleum, investment contracts embody the well-established principle of *pacta sunt servanda* ("agreements must be kept") for which there is a long history of international jurisprudence. Where they provide directly for international arbitration, such contracts can obviate the need for a specialized treaty regime. In contrast to heavily standardized international investment agreements (IIAs), contracts allow parties to tailor their commitments, often by reference to industry practice and clearly defined goals. With negotiated contractual protections in place, countries receive the benefit of FDI in the form and manner of their choosing, just as investors enjoy the assurance of host countries' binding commitment to honor their obligations.

Given this flexibility and legal certainty, some observers have advocated investment contracts as a substitute for IIAs, partly because of suspicion over the IIA regime's legitimacy.² Yet most foreign investment is not covered by individual contracts with host countries, and contract-based arbitration currently represents only a small portion of total investor-state claims.³ The International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) reported in 2016 that investment contracts were the source of tribunals' jurisdiction in only 17% of cases.⁴ More tellingly, the portion of contract-based claims at ICSID has steadily declined since 2010, when it comprised 22% of all claims.⁵ This trend may indicate that there are good reasons to be suspicious of investment contracts as a replacement of IIAs:

- Although IIAs contain indeterminate concepts such as fair and equitable treatment and indirect expropriation, some contracts do the same: albeit well-developed, the common law of commercial contracts is hardly free from ambiguity, as evidenced by recent high-level judgments.⁶ Moreover, it is far from clear that there is indeed a body of international commercial rules (known as *lex mercatoria*, or "merchant law") to assist in interpreting investment contracts and to fill in gaps where necessary.⁷
- Without IIAs, investors would have to negotiate contracts with countries on an individual basis. This may well be within the resources of large multinational enterprises (MNEs) in the infrastructure or extractive sectors where such contracts are more common. But the transaction costs would be onerous for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) operating in other sectors where SMEs are the direct beneficiaries of IIAs signed by their home countries. This is problematic as more SMEs undertake outward FDI, relative to large MNEs.⁸ Indeed, governments negotiating trade agreements with investment chapters

regularly point out the strategic advantages of these instruments for SMEs.⁹ Furthermore, given that more than 100,000 MNEs exist (with more than 1 million foreign affiliates), most of them SMEs, this implies the need for many contracts.¹⁰

While parties can negotiate situation-specific terms in investment contracts in a manner impossible with respect to IIAs, it is equally plausible that the one-sided bargaining power thought to characterize treaty drafting may also accompany investment-contract negotiations. Highly mobile investors (likely those safest from governmental interference) may be able to extract benefits from host countries by threatening to relocate¹¹ or simply through more assertive negotiations assisted by expensive counsel unavailable to many governments. This may be especially the case in extractive sector concession arrangements with developing countries. Of course, unequal bargaining power can act against foreign investors, too, most notably in the case of investment contracts structured as joint ventures. Investors have demonstrated reluctance to pursue these types of contracts with host countries because of the difficulties involved in divided management, as well as the forced disclosure of technology and business secrets, both typical features of such arrangements.¹²

Finally, the use of investment contracts instead of IIAs does nothing to resolve problems associated with investor-state dispute settlement, as such contracts also tend to provide for international arbitration (at ICSID, for example). Without reform of the underlying regime, therefore, a shift from treaty-based to contract-based FDI protection would have minimal impact.

https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/icsidweb/resources/pages/icsid-caseload-statistics.aspx.

⁵ ICSID, The ICSID Caseload: Statistics (2010, 1st issue), p. 10, available at

https://icsid.worldbank.org/apps/icsidweb/resources/pages/icsid-caseload-statistics.aspx.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "David Collins, 'Investment contracts are not a substitute for investment treaties,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 193,

The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the author do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or Columbia University or our partners and supporters.

David Collins (david.collins@utoronto.ca) is Professor of International Economic Law, City University of London. The author is grateful to Jonathan Gimblett, Jeswald W. Salacuse and Louis T. Wells for their helpful peer reviews. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series. ² See, e.g., M. Sornarajah, *The International Law on Foreign Investment* (Cambridge: CUP, 2010), p. 301;

Jeswald W. Salacuse, The Law of Investment Treaties (Oxford: OUP, 2015), pp. 19-21.

³ Only a handful of reported claims are based on investment contracts. See, e.g., Vacuum Salt Products Ltd. v. Ghana, ICSID Case No. ARB/92/1 (Award) (Feb. 16, 1994).

⁴ ICSID, The ICSID Caseload: Statistics (2016, 2nd issue), p. 10, available at

⁶ See, e.g., Attorney General of Belize v. Belize Telecom [2009] UKPC 10 (Privy Council) (discussing implied terms).

⁷ Sornarajah, *op. cit.*, p. 303.

⁸ E.g., OECD, "Enhancing the competitiveness of SMEs through innovation" (2000), p. 18, available at http://www.oecd.org/cfe/smes/2010176.pdf.

E.g., European Commission, "Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership: the opportunities for small and medium-sized enterprises," available at http://trade.ec.europa.eu/doclib/docs/2014/march/tradoc 152266.pdf. ¹⁰ UNCTAD, World Investment Report 2016: Investor Nationality - Policy Challenges (Geneva: UNCTAD, 2016), p. 134, available at http://unctad.org/en/PublicationsLibrary/wir2016_en.pdf.

¹¹ Avi Nov, "The 'bidding war' to attract foreign direct investment: the need for a global solution," Virginia Tax Review, vol. 25 (2005), pp. 835-875.

¹² Jeswald W. Salacuse, The Three Laws of International Investment: National, Contractual and International Frameworks for Foreign Capital (Oxford: OUP, 2013), p. 208.

February 13, 2017. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<u>www.ccsi.columbia.edu</u>)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at <u>ccsi@law.columbia.edu</u>.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Matthew Schroth, <u>mas2443@columbia.edu</u>.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <u>http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu</u>.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 192, Joseph (Yusuf) Saei, "Influencing investment disputes from the outside," January 30, 2017.
- No. 191, Tarcisio Gazzini, "Beware of freezing clauses in international investment agreements," January 16, 2017.
- No. 190, Karl P. Sauvant, "China moves the G20 on international investment," January 2, 2017.
- No. 189, Robbie Schwieder, "Broadening the Global Compact agenda," December 19, 2016.
- No. 188, Karl P. Sauvant, Mevelyn Ong, Katherine Lama, and Thor Petersen, "The rise of self-judging essential security interest clauses in international investment agreements," December 5, 2016.

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <u>http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/</u>.