

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Guimon, Jose

Research Report From export processing to knowledge processing: upgrading the FDI promotion toolkit

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 186

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Guimon, Jose (2016) : From export processing to knowledge processing: upgrading the FDI promotion toolkit, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 186, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254020

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Columbia FDI Perspectives Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 186 November 7, 2016 Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu) Managing Editor: Daniel Allman (daniel.allman@columbia.edu)

From export processing to knowledge processing: <u>upgrading the FDI promotion toolkit</u> by Jose Guimon^{*}

Since the 1980s, with the transition from an import-substitution to an export-oriented industrialization strategy, many developing countries created *export processing zones* to attract large-scale, export-oriented manufacturing activities of multinational enterprises (MNEs), by offering grants and exemptions from customs duties and corporate taxes. During the past decade, however, emerging markets have been trying to take part in a new transition—from an industrial-based to a knowledge-based economy—and this requires a different approach to foreign direct investment (FDI) promotion policies. Rather than relying on export processing zones, the aim is to develop *knowledge processing zones* or science hubs. However, the shift from low cost, export-platform FDI toward higher value, knowledge-seeking FDI is a challenging one that cannot be achieved by relying exclusively on the dynamics of MNE affiliates. Success in the development of FDI-driven science hubs will be marked by the capacity of local researchers, universities and firms to integrate with foreign enterprises within local networks, such that the host country national innovation system is enhanced by foreign presence rather than crowded-out.

The cases of Singapore and Chile are useful to illustrate how the FDI promotion toolkit needs to upgrade to contribute to this policy agenda. Singapore is one of the world's most obvious examples of successful FDI-driven economic development. Since the 1980s, the focus of FDI promotion policies gradually moved away from lower-end manufacturing to knowledge-intensive activities. In addition to targeting innovative MNEs, in recent years the government has launched new programs to attract foreign universities into the country, building a competitive science hub. The Global Schoolhouse initiative, launched in 2002 to attract campuses of foreign universities, aims at improving the national education system and attracting international scholars and students. Complementing these efforts, the Campus for Research Excellence and Technological Enterprise (CREATE) program was set up in 2008 to attract foreign universities' research-and-development (R&D) centers. As a result, nine universities in total (including MIT and Cambridge University),

from six countries, have established new research centers in Singapore, and are now collaborating closely with local universities and firms. However, Singapore's experience is a unique success story, with a confluence of historical, geopolitical and institutional circumstances (not easily replicable by other countries), enabling the development of a world-class international science hub.

In Chile, too, a shift in FDI promotion policies has occurred since the early 2000s, with a stronger focus on using FDI as a lever for building national technological capabilities. In 2000, the InvestChile program was launched as an attempt to emulate Ireland's success in attracting high-technology FDI, offering grants of up to US\$2 million. In 2009, a new scheme was initiated to create International Centers of Excellence in R&D, offering foreign universities and research institutes grants of up to US\$19.5 million over a 10-year period to establish new R&D centers in Chile. A total of 13 R&D centers from seven countries have been established so far under this program. Following an open call for proposals, these centers were selected based on their alignment with local industrial needs and their capacity to build partnerships with Chilean universities. This helps to illustrate how public policies can modulate the local embeddedness of foreign-owned research centers to maximize domestic linkages and spillovers. Moreover, in 2010, the government launched the Startup Chile program to attract innovative entrepreneurs from abroad by offering them a residence visa and a small non-reimbursable grant to develop startups in Chile. While over 1,000 startups from over 70 countries have participated in the program, the impact on the local economy has been modest so far, because (among other reasons) most of these entrepreneurs left the country after obtaining the grant and complying with the minimum six-month residency requirement.

Although the initiatives discussed in this *Perspective* have achieved some promising early results, it is too early to know what their final impact will be. These are expensive programs that divert taxpayers' money toward foreign institutions, and it is questionable whether the local embeddedness of foreign investors will continue after the financial support from governments expires. Purely policy-driven or top-down science hubs risk becoming a short-term fix, an unsustainable solution to a country's technological shortcomings. Thus, a simultaneous effort to develop domestic technological capabilities and empower local actors is the *sine qua non* condition for success.

The kinds of policies needed to attract R&D-related FDI are quite different from those aimed at attracting large-scale manufacturing operations, involving a shift from the *low-cost* approach prevalent under export-processing-zone schemes, toward a *high-quality* approach that focuses on enhancing research infrastructure and human capital. Under the latter approach, FDI promotion policies should emphasize projects that demonstrate strong potential for building knowledge-intensive linkages with local actors. This calls for a much closer coordination between FDI policies and science, technology and innovation policies, two areas that operate rather separately in many emerging markets. Furthermore, experiences in Singapore and Chile suggest that, besides targeting MNEs, the development of science hubs in emerging markets requires a broader scope in FDI promotion, including the attraction of foreign universities, research institutes and startups.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Jose Guimon, 'From export processing to knowledge processing: upgrading the FDI promotion toolkit,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 186, November 7, 2016. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<u>www.ccsi.columbia.edu</u>)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at <u>ccsi@law.columbia.edu</u>.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Daniel Allman, <u>daniel.allman@columbia.edu</u>.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 185, Frank J. Garcia, "Investment treaties are about justice," October 24, 2016.
- No. 184, Lukas Linsi, "Less compelling than it seems: rethinking the relationship between aggregate FDI inflows and national competitiveness," October 10, 2016.
- No. 183, Karl P. Sauvant and Güneş Ünüvar, "Can host countries have legitimate expectations?," September 26, 2016.
- No. 182, Tania Voon and Andrew D. Mitchell, "Philip Morris vs. tobacco control: two wins for public health, but uncertainty remains," September 12, 2016.
- No. 181, John Gaffney, "The EU proposal for an Investment Court System: what lessons can be learned from the Arab Investment Court?," August 29, 2016.

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at <u>http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/</u>.

^{*} Jose Guimon (jose.guimon@uam.es) is associate professor at the Department of Development Economics of Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, Spain. The author is grateful to Manuel Agosin, John Cantwell and Ana Novik for their helpful peer reviews. The author also thanks Alexandre de Crombrugghe and Rodrigo Krell for helpful comments. The views expressed by the author of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.