

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Alisher, Umirdinov

Research Report

The case for an advisory center on international investment law

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 175

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Alisher, Umirdinov (2016): The case for an advisory center on international investment law, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 175, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254009

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 175 June 6, 2016

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu) Managing Editor: Maree Newson (mareenewson@gmail.com)

The case for an advisory center on international investment law

by Umirdinov Alisher*

Since it is costly for developing and especially least developed country (LDC) members to participate in the World Trade Organization (WTO) dispute-settlement mechanism, the Advisory Center on WTO Law (ACWL) was launched in 2001; it provides subsidized, low-cost legal advice to developing and LDC members of the WTO. As an institution engaged in legal aid on a global scale, it currently has nine staff members. All are lawyers, providing over 200 legal opinions each year in response to requests from eligible members on potential trade disputes. The ACWL has been recognized for its role in helping represent poor countries in WTO disputes, and has a high level of satisfaction among its users. ¹

Is there a need for a similar advisory center in the field of international investment arbitration? While no one denies the need for reform of investor-state dispute settlement (ISDS), few have offered specific recommendations.² Filed cases totaled at least 667 as of September 2015.³ New market entrants, such as third-party funders, are making the situation even more difficult for respondent countries. Unfortunately, many developing countries still lack human resources, face language barriers and often struggle with budget constraints. Therefore, compared to the WTO's dispute-settlement mechanism, it could be argued that the developing world has a stronger need for an ACWL-like institution in the field of investment arbitration in order to lessen their financial burden.

Given this need, why do we still not have such an institution?

- First, the WTO is a multilateral system built on one set of rules, working with one centralized state-to-state dispute-settlement mechanism. The international investment regime, however, is fragmented, consisting of more than 3,000 international investment agreements with several institutional and ad hoc forums.
- Second, the geographical dispersion of ISDS venues presents a problem. The WTO Panel and Appellate Body have a permanent seat in Geneva; this makes it easy for ACWL's lawyers to handle trade disputes.

- Third, there is the scope of fact-finding and legal fees. WTO cases are not inherently prone to lengthy fact-finding. However, investment arbitration requires significant time and expense for fact-finding and may make it difficult for a small, permanent institution to follow long and costly case procedures. The average WTO case costs about US\$600,000-700,000, while average investment-arbitration costs total more than US\$4 million⁴—more than the annual ACWL budget.
- Finally, lack of political support, budgetary constraints and perhaps lobbying by law firms have discouraged previous initiatives on an advisory center for investment arbitration in Latin American and ASEAN regions.⁵

This simple comparison might suggest that it is too difficult to establish an investment advisory center. However, there is one feasible option: to transplant the ACWL model into investment arbitration, but with regional offices in the main investment dispute hubs. Capacity building for in-house lawyers and assisting host countries in the defense of ISDS cases would be the main tasks for an investment dispute advisory center. In this case, the center could be launched under the auspices of one of the ISDS forums, potentially drawing on minimum contributions by member countries. Obviously, the minimum contribution would need to be higher than ACWL's. However, compared to the high legal fees of law firms, developing countries would still reap the benefits of inexpensive and efficient service. Developed countries could be asked to donate to the advisory center. Subsidizing the defense cost of host countries could be taken as biased against the interest of their investors in the short term; however, it would serve the policy goals of those countries in terms of viability of the investment-protection system for the longer term.

The International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes (ICSID) Secretariat would be well-placed to propose and launch such an institution. Since it arbitrates the majority of investment disputes, comprises 151 contracting member states from around the world and is backed by the World Bank, ICSID is uniquely positioned to play a meaningful role in establishing such an advisory center. Another potential candidate is the Permanent Court of Arbitration, which has the benefit of 20 years' experience administering its Financial Assistance Fund.

Ultimately, whether an advisory center on international investment law can be launched in the near future depends on the political will of governments. Nonetheless, it is worth thoughtful consideration.

^{. .}

^{*} Umirdinov Alisher (a.umirdinov@law.nagoya-u.ac.jp) is assistant professor of Law at Nagoya University, Graduate School of Law, Japan. The author is grateful to Cristian Chiffelle, Anna Joubin-Bret and Rodrigo Monardes for their helpful peer reviews and to Dai Yokomizo and Yaraslau Kryvoi for their comments. The views expressed by the author of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Umirdinov Alisher, 'The case for an advisory center on international investment law,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 175, June 6, 2016. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Daniel Allman, daniel.allman@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 174, Qianwen Zhang, "China's "new normal" in international investment agreements," May 23, 2016.
- No. 173, Gabriel Bottini, "Using investor-state dispute settlement to enforce investor obligations," May 9, 2016.
- No. 172, Maria Borga, "Not all foreign direct investment is foreign: the extent of round-tripping," April 25, 2016.
- No. 171, Delphine Nougayrède, "Untangling the effects of special purpose entities on FDI," April 11, 2016.
- No. 170, Wenhua Shan, "An outline for systemic reform of the investment law regime," March 28, 2016.

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/.

¹ Nora Plaisier and Paul Wijmenga, "Evaluation of trade-related technical assistance, three Geneva-based organizations: ACWL, AITC and QUNO", IOB Working Document, Policy and Operations Evaluation Department, Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2004, pp. 1-36.

² Karl P. Sauvant and Federico Ortino, *Improving the International Investment Law and Policy Regime: Options for the Future* (Helsinki: Finnish Ministry of Foreign Affairs, 2013), pp. 119-122; Thanh T. Nguyen and Thi C. Vu, "Investor-state dispute settlement from the perspective of Vietnam: looking for a 'post-honeymoon' reform", in Jean E. Kalicki and Anna Joubin-Bret, eds., *Transnational Dispute Management Journal*, vol. 1 (2014).

³ UNCTAD, available at http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/ISDS.

⁴ Matthew Hodgson, "Counting the costs of investment treaty arbitration", *Global Arbitration Review News* (March 24, 2014).

⁵ Anna Joubin-Bret, "Establishing an International Advisory Centre on Investment?", E15 Initiative (December 2015), p. 6, available at http://e15initiative.org/wp-content/uploads/2015/09/E15-Investment-Joubin-Bret-FINAL.pdf.