

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Wöss, Herfried

## **Research Report**

Legitimacy in WTO law and investment arbitration: the role of the contracting parties

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 144

### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Wöss, Herfried (2015): Legitimacy in WTO law and investment arbitration: the role of the contracting parties, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 144, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253978

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





# **Columbia FDI Perspectives**

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 144 March 30, 2015

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu) Managing Editor: Adrian P. Torres (adrian.p.torres@gmail.com)

# <u>Legitimacy in WTO law and investment arbitration: the role of the contracting</u> parties

by Herfried Wöss<sup>\*</sup>

International trade and investment law is characterized by two distinct models: the constitutional law model as found in the World Trade Organization (WTO), where the remedy is "the bringing into conformity of the measure", and the tort law model, where international law standards establish the threshold for delictive behavior, to be remedied by damages. Both models represent rules-based approaches to the peaceful settlement of disputes, replacing power diplomacy. They may be considered as the finest examples of the efficient application of rules of international law. Both subsystems of international law are creations of treaties, which serve to control unreasonable political actors and positively affect regulatory governance even on a domestic level.

The development of the former GATT and present WTO law is driven and controlled by the contracting parties, through the adoption of panel reports based on rather precise behavioral rules, which leads to an "authentic" interpretation of such rules by its members.<sup>3</sup> In contrast, standards contained in international investment agreements (IIAs) are the result of a "dilatory formula compromise", i.e., a formal compromise without agreement on its precise content, due to historical reasons. They have been developed through interpretation by arbitral tribunals and scholarly writings in order to achieve a sufficient level of precision to provide for legal certainty and predictability. However, this occurs without further involvement of the contracting parties, which raises legitimacy issues.<sup>4</sup>

There is no evidence that states were aware, or should have been aware, of the potential widening of the scope of IIAs through the use of most-favored-nation (MFN) provisions, especially with regard to jurisdictional and procedural elements of such agreements. For example, IIAs do not contain criteria for the application of the fair and equitable treatment standard to regulatory measures, such as the intensity of the measures required to deem them illegal. Neither do IIAs provide further guidelines for the proper identification of comparators of discrimination for a finding of violation of the MFN and the national treatment standards. This has a direct impact on the amount of damages to be awarded.

Arbitral awards and scholarly writings have contributed to the development of international law standards in a mostly balanced and increasingly sophisticated manner. Arbitral awards, however, are at best a subsidiary means of demonstrating rules of international law<sup>5</sup> and limited to the parties of the arbitration. Scholarly writings are only a subsidiary source of international law. Both arbitral awards and scholarly writings provide content to international law standards used in investment arbitration, but not necessarily legitimacy, which may only be provided by the contracting parties. The absence of the contracting parties in the formulation of international law standards generates not only legitimacy concerns, but may also raise an impression of unfairness, which may lead to the impairment of this rather well-designed rules-based regime.

The NAFTA Commission has used authentic interpretation of international law standards to clarify the intent of its members. The exercise of treaty-making power to give precise content to the key international investment law standards and authentic interpretation is a core challenge for the future Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership between the European Union and the United States. This is a historic opportunity—and responsibility—to provide authoritative content to international investment law standards and to pave the way for a better-calibrated regime. We should hope that negotiators make the most of it and do not miss this opportunity.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Herfried Wöss, 'Legitimacy in WTO law and investment arbitration: the role of the contracting parties,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 144, March 30, 2015. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

Herfried Wöss (hwoess@woess

<sup>\*</sup> Herfried Wöss (hwoess@woessetpartners.com) is Partner at Wöss & Partners, México, DF — Washington DC, an international arbitrator, founder of the Investment Arbitration Forum, and former visiting scholar of the Georgetown University Law Center. The author is grateful to David Schneiderman, Christoph Schreuer and one anonymous reviewer for their helpful peer reviews. The views expressed by the author of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann, Constitutional Functions and Constitutional Problems of International Economic Law (Fribourg: Fribourg University Press, 1988).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Hersch Lauterpach, *Private Law Sources and Analogies of Law* (London: Longmans, 1927) 6; Borzu Sabahi, *Compensation and Restitution in Investor-State Arbitration* (Oxford: OUP, 2011), pp. 15-42; Zachary Douglas, "The enforcement of environmental norms", in Pierre-Marie Dupuy and Jorge E. Viñuales, *Harnessing Foreign Investment to Promote Environmental Protection* (Cambridge University Press 2013), pp. 422, 435, 437; Herfried Wöss, Adriana San Román Rivera, Pablo T. Spiller, and Santiago Dellepiane, *Damages in International Arbitration under Complex Long-term Contracts* (Oxford: OUP, 2014), pp. 13-6, 18-9, 223-233, 236-244.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Wolfgang Benedek, *GATT from an International Law Perspective* (Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1990), p. 142.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Santiago Montt, *State Liability in Investment Treaty Arbitration, Global Constitutional and Administrative Law in the BIT Generation* (Portland: Hart Publishing, 2012), pp. 146-154.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Todd Weiler, *The Interpretation of International Investment Law, Equality, Discrimination and Minimum Standards of Treatment in Historical Context* (Leiden: Martinus Nijhoff, 2013), p. 53.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Gilbert Guillaume, "The use of precedent by international judges and arbitrators", *Journal of International Dispute Settlement*, vol. 2 (2011), p. 16.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Statute of the International Court of Justice, art. 38(1)(d).

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Adrian Torres, adrian.p.torres@gmail.com or adrian.torres@law.columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

#### **Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives**

- No. 143, Alvaro Cuervo-Cazurra and Ravi Ramamurti, "The escape motivation of emerging market multinational enterprises," March 16, 2015.
- No. 142, Louis Brennan, "The challenges for Chinese FDI in Europe," March 2, 2015.
- No. 141, Sophie Nappert, "The other side of transparency," February 16, 2015.
- No. 140, Axel Berger and Lauge N. Skovgaard Poulsen, "The Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, investor-state dispute settlement and China," February 2, 2015.
- No. 139, Ralf Krüger and Ilan Strauss, "Africa rising out of itself: The growth of intra-African FDI," January 19, 2015.

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at <a href="http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/">http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/</a>.