

Cuervo-Cazurra, Alvaro; Ramamurti, Ravi

Research Report

The escape motivation of emerging market multinational enterprises

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 143

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Cuervo-Cazurra, Alvaro; Ramamurti, Ravi (2015) : The escape motivation of emerging market multinational enterprises, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 143, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253977>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

No. 143 March 16, 2015

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Adrian P. Torres (adrian.p.torres@gmail.com)

The escape motivation of emerging market multinational enterprises

by

Alvaro Cuervo-Cazurra and Ravi Ramamurti*

Why have relatively poor emerging markets been able to spawn so many global firms in the past two decades?¹ Part of the explanation is that some firms in these countries have honed capabilities in their home markets that are of value in other emerging economies. But why have these firms also made substantial investments in advanced countries? Research suggests that “pull” factors, such as the large markets and wealthier consumers of advanced countries, play an important role. In this *Perspective*, we highlight some “push” factors that may have also driven emerging-market multinational enterprises (EMNEs) to invest in advanced countries. We label the resulting outward foreign direct investment (OFDI) as *escape investments*, which are motivated by the desire to escape the home country’s weak institutions and economic underdevelopment.

Let us begin with the problem of weak institutions in emerging markets, which results in *institutional escape* OFDI. For instance, laws may be ambiguous in emerging markets or their enforcement in courts may be weak. In non-democratic countries, the judiciary may be subordinate to politicians, leaving firm owners at the mercy of unpredictable political forces. In other cases, minority communities may own a disproportionate share of national assets, as Chinese-Thais do in Thailand, the Chinese-Malays do in Malaysia or whites do in South Africa. In all these instances, private owners who feel insecure about their property rights may conclude that it is prudent to diversify their assets by investing in countries with more secure property rights and a stronger rule of law. This may have played a part, for instance, in the decision of ThaiBev, owned by a Chinese-Thai billionaire, to bid \$8.8 billion for the liquor multinational enterprise, Fraser & Neave. Similarly, Russian oligarchs are believed to have expanded their companies’ assets in Western Europe to avoid the expropriation hazards that befell companies like Yukos. Some of these investments may be routed to third countries through offshore financial centers (e.g., Channel Islands) whose primary purpose is to reduce transparency in investments; OFDI in offshore financial centers accounts for between one-quarter to two-thirds of the total OFDI stock of the BRICs (Brazil, Russia, India, China). Some of these escape investments may become later round-trip investments, with EMNEs investing at home from offshore financial centers to benefit from incentives and regulations available to foreign investors.

Another category of escape investments is rooted in managers aiming to reduce the negative country image of emerging markets, compared to advanced economies, which may negatively affect the international competitiveness of their firms; we call these *discrimination escape*. The discrimination in question may arise from several factors, such as: (1) the assumption by governments and consumers in advanced countries that products from emerging markets are produced by workers who have few rights, are paid too little and operate in unsafe conditions; (2) that products made in emerging markets must be inferior in quality to those made in advanced countries because these economies are less technologically sophisticated or have lower product-safety standards than advanced economies; and (3) that companies located in emerging markets are riskier than firms located in advanced countries because of higher macroeconomic volatility and poorer corporate governance standards and should therefore incur a higher cost of capital. In all these instances EMNEs may see value in shifting operations or moving headquarters to an advanced country. One example of discrimination escape is the acquisition of Western brands by EMNEs, as Tata Tea did with the Tetley label, to overcome the negative image of their countries of origin. Another example is the acquisition of operations in Spain, which enabled the Mexican cement producer Cemex to lower its borrowing cost, a significant advantage in the capital-intensive cement business, even though much of its assets were located in emerging markets. Yet another example is Mittal Steel, which claimed during its hostile bid for Luxembourg-based Arcelor that it was a European company, because it was registered in the Netherlands and run from London, even though it started in Indonesia and was controlled by an Indian-born owner.

The policy implication of our analysis is not that governments should forbid escape investments. Rather, they should reduce the incentives to engage in it by improving the domestic business environment. Measures that would help include strengthening the rule of law, improving the country's brand, weeding out unnecessary regulations, pursuing market-friendly policies, strengthening incentives for innovation, and protecting intellectual property rights. Such reforms would not only reduce escape OFDI but also improve the general business climate and increase inward FDI, and support the upgrading of the competitiveness of domestic firms that enable them to expand abroad based on the skills honed in the home market.

* Alvaro Cuervo-Cazurra (a.cuervocazurra@neu.edu) is Professor of International Business and Strategy at Northeastern University; Ravi Ramamurti (r.ramamurti@neu.edu) is Distinguished Professor of International Business and Strategy and Director of the Center for Emerging Markets at Northeastern University. The authors are grateful to Victor Chen, Marjan Svetlicic and Aldo Musacchio for their helpful peer reviews. **The views expressed by the authors of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

¹ For a full discussion, see Alvaro Cuervo-Cazurra and Ravi Ramamurti, *Understanding Multinationals from Emerging Markets* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2014).

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Alvaro Cuervo-Cazurra and Ravi Ramamurti, 'The escape motivation of emerging market multinational enterprises,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 143, March 16, 2015. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Adrian Torres, adrian.p.torres@gmail.com or adrian.torres@law.columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 142, Louis Brennan, “The challenges for Chinese FDI in Europe,” March 2, 2015.
- No. 141, Sophie Nappert, “The other side of transparency,” February 16, 2015.
- No. 140, Axel Berger and Lauge N. Skovgaard Poulsen, “The Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, investor-state dispute settlement and China,” February 2, 2015.
- No. 139, Ralf Krüger and Ilan Strauss, “Africa rising out of itself: The growth of intra-African FDI,” January 19, 2015.
- No. 138, Steven Globerman, “Host governments should not treat state-owned enterprises differently than other foreign investors,” January 5, 2015.
- No. 137, Dylan G. Rassier, “Locating production and income within MNEs: An alternative approach based on formulary apportionment,” December 22, 2014.

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/>.