

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Krüger, Ralf; Strauss, Ilan

Research Report

Africa rising out of itself: The growth of intra-African FDI

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 139

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Krüger, Ralf; Strauss, Ilan (2015): Africa rising out of itself: The growth of intra-African FDI, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 139, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253973

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 139 January 19, 2015

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu) Managing Editor: Adrian P. Torres (adrian.p.torres@gmail.com)

Africa rising out of itself: The growth of intra-African FDI

by Ralf Krüger and Ilan Strauss*

Over the last decade Africa has attracted an increasing share of global foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows. China and other emerging markets are usually highlighted as important sources of this increase — and they are. However, perhaps the most significant contributor has been Africa itself.

In 2008, at the zenith of the global financial crisis, African firms dramatically expanded their Africa-wide presence. Intra-African greenfield FDI projects accounted for just 8% of all such projects into Africa in 2007, but rose to 22% in 2013, making Africa the second largest source of greenfield FDI projects into Africa in 2013, after Western Europe. Its relative contribution by capital expenditure is very similar. Relatedly, mergers and acquisitions (M&As) by deal volume shows that African acquirers have been the primary source of cross-border M&A since 2006. 1

At the forefront are South African firms. The share of African countries in South Africa's outward FDI assets nearly doubled between 2004 and 2012, to 21%. South African firms accounted for one third of all intra-African greenfield investment projects between January 2003 and January 2014, with the remainder coming from Kenya (14%) and Nigeria (12%), followed by Togo, Egypt, Mauritius, and Tunisia (20% combined).

Less risk-averse African investors look beyond the usual suspects. FDI from developed economies largely goes to South Africa, North Africa and the oil exporters. By contrast, the largest recipients of intra-African FDI projects between January 2003 and January 2014 were (in order) Ghana, Uganda, Tanzania, Nigeria, Kenya, Rwanda, and Zambia. These seven countries received over 45% of total intra-African investment projects (over 400) during this period. Moreover, intra-African FDI was the primary source of project inflows for several smaller African economies (e.g., Burundi, Rwanda, South Sudan) – even if absolute numbers are modest.

Intra-African FDI has driven investment into Africa's service and consumer industries. Local brands with strong growth are at times challenging non-African multinational enterprises (MNEs). Perhaps most visible has been the regional expansion of African retailers, often through M&As. In response, non-African MNEs are increasing investment and expanding product ranges in Africa. This has supported

a widespread *relative* shift away from resource-seeking FDI, which declined from 35% of the number of incoming greenfield projects (and 81% of capital expenditure) in 2003, to 11% of project inflows (and 36% of capital expenditure) in 2013. A strong reversal seems unlikely soon, with the Bloomberg Commodity (price) Index at a five year low.

The rise of intra-African FDI has had two clear impacts. First, African investors are slowly becoming more competitive as they acquire complementary assets, expand scale and enhance brand value. Execute Competition in Africa is increasing. This is creating a virtuous circle as investments from companies who fear being permanently disadvantaged as late movers, or see their market positions slipping, are sucked in.

Intra-African FDI can have further benefits for lead firms and local suppliers. For the latter, product and process standards may be easier to meet. For lead firms, regional suppliers can reduce lag and lead times in production (such as for Southern African clothing production).

However, intra-African FDI is not an unmitigated blessing: pre-existing suppliers of lead firms often largely benefit (at least initially) from the resulting demand increase, while upgrading opportunities for new local suppliers will be strictly governed by the lead firm. This is because intra-African FDI is driven by large enterprises (such as Dangote and Shoprite), which confront the same forces of global competition as non-African investors by squeezing suppliers.

How then can the benefits be fostered? Governments can help local suppliers become more competitive by developing complementary infrastructure, using targeted cluster policies (including training and innovation strategies), and judiciously adopting local content requirements (when possible).

Essential for integrating smaller suppliers and upgrading producer capabilities is the facilitation of competitive market access to regional as well as *global* input and output markets. The ongoing Tripartite FTA negotiation is important in this respect, ⁵ despite concerns over the size of its potential market access gains. ⁶ Cross-border investment issues in the FTA, when negotiated, have considerable potential to create uniformity in how FDI is managed and can establish a more sustainable investment framework, ⁷ provided enforcement institutions are established.

The harmonization of labor legislation, already agreed upon by most regional economic communities, requires proper implementation and monitoring to support economic and social upgrading. This is particularly salient as investors from developing countries often have less developed corporate social responsibility codes and related standards. Finally, investment promotion agencies in African countries need to be better attuned to the prominence and particularities of African FDI.

Without these and other policies, our concern is that smaller domestic enterprises and producers risk not sharing sufficiently in the gains from intra-African FDI.

³ See "The rise of Africa's B-brands," *The Africa Report*, May 16, 2014, http://www.theafricareport.com/North-Africa/the-rise-of-africas-b-brands.html.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Ralf Krüger and Ilan Strauss, 'Africa rising out of itself: The growth of intra-African FDI,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 139, January 19, 2015. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Adrian Torres, adrian.p.torres@gmail.com or adrian.torres@law.columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 138, Steven Globerman, "Host governments should not treat state-owned enterprises differently than other foreign investors," January 5, 2015.
- No. 137, Dylan G. Rassier, "Locating production and income within MNEs: An alternative approach based on formulary apportionment," December 22, 2014.
- No. 136, Gus Van Harten, "Canada's non-reciprocal BIT with China: Would the US or Europe do the same?" December 8, 2014.
- No. 135, Stephen M. Schwebel, "In defense of bilateral investment treaties," November 24, 2014.
- No. 134, Roel Nieuwenkamp and Kimmo Sinivuori, "The road to responsible investment treaties," November 10, 2014.

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/.

^{*} Ralf Krüger (r.krueger@itcilo.org) is Manager, Sustainable Development Programme, ITCILO, Turin; Ilan Strauss (strai275@newschool.edu) is a PhD student at the New School and a consultant for UNCTAD's *World Investment Report*. This *Perspective* uses FDI project data from the Financial Times fDi database and builds on the AfDB's *African Development Report 2013/14*; the authors recognize that project data may give a different picture than FDI data. The authors are grateful to Masataka Fujita, Robert Grosse and Padma Mallampally for their helpful peer reviews. **The views expressed by the authors of this** *Perspective* **do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the institutions they are affiliated with or of Columbia University or its partners and supporters.** *Columbia FDI Perspectives* **(ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

¹ Mergermarket, *Deal Drivers Africa 2013*, available at http://mergermarketgroup.com/wp-content/uploads/2013/12/Deal_Drivers_Africa_2013.pdf.

² South African Reserve Bank, *Quarterly Bulletin* (various years), available at https://www.resbank.co.za/PUBLICATIONS/QUARTERLYBULLETINS/Pages/Quarterly-Bulletin.aspx

⁴ Initiative for Global Development and Dalberg Global Development Advisors, *Pioneers on the Frontier*, http://dalberg.com/sites/dalberg.com/files/IGD-Dalberg_Pioneers-on-the-Frontier_0.pdf; BCG, *The African Challengers*, http://www.bcg.com/documents/file44610.pdf.

⁵ Negotiated between SADC, EAC and COMESA.

⁶ Tralac, "Redirecting the Tripartite Free Trade Agreement negotiations?", June 26, 2013, http://www.tralac.org/discussions/article/5571-redirecting-the-tripartite-free-trade-agreement-negotiations.html.

⁷ Along the line of the CANCON of the CANCON of the Cancon trade agreement-negotiations.

⁷ Along the lines of the SADC Model BIT.