

Jin, Byungchae; García, Francisco; Salomon, Robert

Research Report

Do host countries really benefit from inward foreign direct investment?

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 98

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Jin, Byungchae; García, Francisco; Salomon, Robert (2013) : Do host countries really benefit from inward foreign direct investment?, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 98, Columbia University, Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253932>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues by
the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment

No. 98 July 1, 2013

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Jennifer Reimer (jreimer01@gmail.com)

Do host countries really benefit from inward foreign direct investment?

by

Byungchae Jin, Francisco García and Robert Salomon*

It was with great interest that we read *Perspective* No. 84 addressing the impact of inward foreign direct investment (IFDI) on technological innovation and entrepreneurship.¹ In that issue, Pathak, Laplume, and Xavier-Oliveira laid out arguments for and against IFDI. They suggested that we have, for far too long, extolled the benefits of IFDI for developing economies, without properly accounting for its costs. They noted that there are genuine concerns that we ought not to overlook, and that we should pay special attention to the impact of IFDI on local innovation and entrepreneurship. Understanding the relationship between IFDI and innovation is an important policy issue, as it can help inform whether, and how, IFDI can stimulate economic growth.

Central to addressing the debate regarding the effect of IFDI on local innovation is to determine whether foreign entrants enhance the innovativeness of local firms, or crowd out domestic innovation. One line of reasoning suggests that IFDI ought to lead to greater levels of local innovation as a result of knowledge spillovers to local firms. In addition, foreign entrants provide local firms an incentive to innovate as a means to compete, or in the case of vertical linkages, better to meet technical supply requirements.

Another line of reasoning casts doubt on the positive impact of IFDI, suggesting that foreign entrants relegate local firms to less innovative, less profitable market niches. Moreover, since foreign firms generally pay higher wages, foreign entrants might attract higher-skilled labor,

* Byungchae Jin (bjin@sfu.ca) is Assistant Professor of Innovation and Entrepreneurship at the Beedie School of Business at Simon Fraser University in Canada; Francisco García (fgarciap@uniovi.es) is Assistant Professor of Management at the School of Economics and Business, Universidad de Oviedo; Robert Salomon (rsalomon@stern.nyu.edu) is Associate Professor of International Management and the Daniel P. Paduano Family Fellow of Business and Ethics at the NYU Stern School of Business. The authors are grateful to John Kline, Wolfgang Sofka and Zheyang Wu for their helpful peer reviews. **The views expressed by the authors of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

¹ Saurav Pathak, André Laplume and Emanuel Xavier-Oliveira, "Inward foreign direct investment: Does it enable or constrain domestic technology entrepreneurship?," *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, No. 84 (December 3, 2012).

leaving domestic firms short on talent -- a key ingredient to innovation. Foreign entry can also reduce the expected returns to entrepreneurship, creating a situation in which the best would-be entrepreneurs prefer to take employment with foreign firms instead of founding new enterprises.

In order to address one aspect of this debate, we studied the effects of IFDI on productivity and innovation in the manufacturing sector of Spain from 1990 through 2002.² During that period, Spain received nearly € 45 billion of IFDI in manufacturing.³ And though Spain is not a developing economy by traditional metrics, relative to its OECD counterparts, it is a laggard. Hence, Spain is considered a middle-income, developed country;⁴ and given its position between developed and developing markets, Spain makes an interesting setting in which to test the relationship between IFDI and innovation.

Interestingly, as IFDI increased in specific industries, Spanish manufacturing firms improved their productivity (both total factor productivity and labor productivity). However, as IFDI rose in those same industries, Spanish firms subsequently applied for fewer patents and introduced fewer new products.

These findings highlight the importance of distinguishing between productivity and innovation when considering the net benefits of IFDI. Productivity and innovation might not capture the same outcomes, and may therefore speak to two very different aspects of the debate.

For example, productivity captures short-run improvements in allocative and technical efficiency. Therefore, to the extent that Spain lags the global technological frontier in high-tech manufacturing,⁵ we may simply observe productivity increases as a result of a catch-up effect -- i.e., Spanish firms adopting the more efficient manufacturing techniques that entrants bring with them.

Innovation, by contrast, may be a better indicator of the long-run consequences for growth. To the extent that IFDI crowds out local innovation, it may fail to provide desired growth outcomes. IFDI may actually hinder the development of technological capabilities among local firms and, hence, the long-term growth prospects of local economies.⁶

Circling back to the issue of whether to encourage IFDI as a matter of policy, there is reason for pause. Our findings call into question whether IFDI can serve as a long-run growth catalyst, or whether it simply offers a short-term fix. Sure, IFDI may spur job creation, increase tax revenues and improve the productivity of local firms. Those outcomes benefit the host country and are welfare enhancing in the near term. However, as a consequence of IFDI, local innovation may become impaired, dampening long run economic growth, development and social welfare.

² Francisco García, Byungchae Jin and Robert Salomon, "Does inward foreign direct investment improve the innovative performance of local firms?," *Research Policy*, vol. 42 (February 2013), pp. 231-244.

³ OECD Statistics Database.

⁴ Guillén Mauro. *The Rise of Spanish Multinationals: European Business in the Global Economy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2005).

⁵ Robert Salomon and Byungchae Jin, "Does knowledge spill to leaders or laggards? Exploring industry heterogeneity in learning by exporting," *Journal of International Business Studies*, vol. 39 (January 2008), pp. 131-150.

⁶ García, Jin and Salomon, *op. cit.*

All things considered, there are potential tradeoffs between IFDI's near-term benefits and its long-run costs. This is not to say that IFDI should be discouraged. Rather, policies that subsidize foreign entry ought to be thought through carefully. Policymakers would be well served to pay special attention to tradeoffs, and enact policies that are consistent with long-term development objectives.⁷

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Byungchae Jin, Francisco García and Robert Salomon, 'Do host countries really benefit from inward foreign direct investment?,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 98, July 1, 2013. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submitting to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Jennifer Reimer, jreimer01@gmail.com. In addition to her role as Research Associate for the VCC, Ms. Reimer is Legal Counsel for LG Electronics' Regional Headquarters for the Middle East and Africa.

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), led by Lisa Sachs, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University. It is the only applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment, through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 97, Abdoul' Ganiou Mijiyawa, "Myopic reliance on natural resources: How African countries can diversify inward FDI," Columbia FDI Perspectives, June 17, 2013.
- No. 96, Louis T. Wells, "Infrastructure for ore: Benefits and costs of a not-so-original idea," Columbia FDI Perspectives, June 3, 2013
- No. 95, Terutomo Ozawa, "How do consumer-focused multinational enterprises affect emerging markets?," Columbia FDI Perspectives, May 20, 2013."
- No. 94, Stephan Schill and Marc Jacob, "Common structures of investment law in an age of increasingly complex treaty-making," Columbia FDI Perspectives, May 6, 2013.
- No. 93, Xiaofang Shen, "How the private sector is changing Chinese investment in Africa," Columbia FDI Perspectives, April 15, 2013.
- No. 92, Vid Prislan and Ruben Zandvliet, "Labor provisions in bilateral investment treaties: Does the new US Model BIT provide a template for the future?," Columbia FDI Perspectives, April 1, 2013.
- No. 91, Anthony O'Sullivan and Alexander Böhmer, "The Arab Awakening, act II: Time to move more boldly on investment," Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 18, 2013.
- No. 90, Shaun E. Donnelly, "A business perspective on a China - US bilateral investment treaty," Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 4, 2013.
- No. 89, Joachim Karl, "Investor-state dispute settlement: A government's dilemma," Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 18, 2013.
- No. 88, Jarrod Wong, "The compensatory nature of moral damages in investor-state arbitration," Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 4, 2013.

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/content/fdi-perspectives>.

⁷ John Kline, "Evaluate sustainable FDI to promote sustainable development," *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, No. 82 (November 5, 2012).