

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Schill, Stephan; Jacob, Marc

## **Research Report**

Common structures of investment law in an age of increasingly complex treaty-making

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 94

## **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Schill, Stephan; Jacob, Marc (2013): Common structures of investment law in an age of increasingly complex treaty-making, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 94, Columbia University, Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253928

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





# **Columbia FDI Perspectives**

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues by the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment No. 94 May 6, 2013

> Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu) Managing Editor: Jennifer Reimer (<u>jreimer01@gmail.com</u>)

## Common structures of investment law in an age of increasingly complex treaty-making

by

Stephan Schill and Marc Jacob\*

Although international investment agreements (IIAs) continue to mushroom, it is widely assumed that international investment law can be analyzed as a single legal regime. This allows addressing legal questions relating to foreign investment in a systematic fashion and enables placing investment law in the context of global governance. It also allows description of the evolution of this regime as a whole. This is usually done along one-directional trajectories, from shorter and unrefined investment treaties to more elaborate models that rebalance investor rights and public interests. We challenge this one-directional perception and argue that the IIA landscape is becoming increasingly multifaceted and complex. This casts doubt on the idea of a uniform regime and raises the question how states and investors can navigate through the increasingly complex IIA thicket.

A review of IIAs concluded over the past three years reveals the growing complexity of investment treaty-making and raises the question of what common structures underpin the disparate treaty landscape:<sup>1</sup>

- *First*, not all recent IIAs move from traditional "lean" (European-style) IIAs to recalibrated "balanced" (North American-style) treaties. Several states, developed and developing, still conclude terse and unembellished IIAs, as if debates about rebalancing had never taken place.
- Second, investment rules are increasingly integrated into preferential trade and
  investment agreements (PTIAs). This leads to the permeation of trade law-inspired
  rules and thought, and challenges the conception of investment law as an independent

<sup>\*</sup> Stephan Schill (schill@mpil.de) is Senior Research Fellow at the Max Planck Institute for Comparative Public Law and International Law, Heidelberg. Marc Jacob (marc.jacob@shearman.com) is an Associate with Shearman & Sterling LLP, Frankfurt/Main. The authors wish to thank Axel Berger, Efraim Chalamish and Santiago Montt for their helpful peer reviews. The views expressed by the authors of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Stephan Schill and Marc Jacob, "Trends in international investment agreements, 2010-2011" in Karl P. Sauvant, ed., *Yearbook on International Investment Law and Policy 2011-2012* (New York: OUP, 2013), pp. 141-179, available at: <a href="http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/files/vale/content/Investment Yearbook 2011-2012\_chapter\_3.pdf">http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/files/vale/content/Investment Yearbook 2011-2012\_chapter\_3.pdf</a>.

discipline. Moreover, PTIA investment chapters themselves are not negotiated on the basis of globally uniform model texts, but follow very different approaches.

- Third, the geography of international investment law is rapidly changing. Apart from more South-South investment cooperation, we are witnessing increased treaty-making by Asian countries like China, India, Japan, and the Republic of Korea. Although there is no general "Asian" approach, this may influence our understanding as to who the trend-setting actors are in the field.
- *Finally*, there is a marked drift toward stronger regionalism and institutionalization, with organizations such as ASEAN and the supranational EU serving both as platforms for investment protection and as new actors in investment treaty-making.

These developments raise salient, but not yet fully addressed, questions as to the relationships between old and new agreements, PTIAs and investment protection treaties, regional and bilateral arrangements, and supranational and international legal norms and frameworks. These developments also suggest the existence of different strategies and philosophies pursued by different actors and herald the emergence of a more pluralistic and complex IIA universe. Chiefly, can international investment law still be considered a unified field, especially when a truly multilateral investment treaty is not a project states at large seem to be interested in pursuing at present?

In our view, several centripetal forces counteract the centrifugal tendencies regarding the drafting of new IIAs mentioned above. This allows one to appreciate common structures that hold international investment law together as a viable sub-discipline of international law and that enable meaningful interaction between different treaty-makers and users:

- *First*, even the increasingly complex IIA landscape is based on recurrent principles of investment protection, liberalization and cooperation. These principles can be adapted and tailored to the ever-developing needs of the contracting parties, but they create an overarching system of international law principles devoted to a context-specific endeavor.
- Second, investor-state arbitration has an important function in holding the field together, even though arbitral jurisprudence is not always entirely consistent. Spearheaded by a group of elite arbitrators, investor-state arbitration can work toward generating a jurisprudence constante and creating convergence rather than faction. Moreover, it allows for evolution and synthesis by crystallizing opinions that can either be rejected or reproduced.
- Third, the embedding of IIAs and investor-state arbitration in general public international law and within multilateral institutional settings, through organizations such as ICSID or (albeit to very different degrees) UNCITRAL, ensures that the basic structures underpinning the conclusion and interpretation of IIAs remain multilateral.
- *Finally*, scholarly analysis and doctrinal reconstruction of IIAs and of arbitral jurisprudence strings the field together despite the increasing intricacy and divergence in the substance of IIAs.

While efforts at harmonizing investment law against the will of states need to be avoided, these elements ensure the unity of the IIA regime without the need to conclude a formal multilateral investment treaty. Unity, in this view, does not mean strict uniformity, but rather the existence of a common framework of thinking, just as societies governed by the rule of law can agree to disagree. IIAs thus can meet the desire for tailor-made solutions without giving up the idea that there is a multilateral space of engagement for international investment relations, be it in the context of policy-making or dispute settlement. For these reasons, efforts to think about national and international investment policy in global and systemic terms and attempts to offer a toolbox for states with which to implement and adjust policies to individual needs, as done recently by UNCTAD's *Investment Policy Framework for Sustainable Development*, should be welcomed. They are a step toward helping to manage the complexity of IIA-making.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Stephan Schill and Marc Jacob, 'Common structures of investment law in an age of increasingly complex treaty-making,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 94, May 6, 2013. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submitting to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Jennifer Reimer, jreimer01@gmail.com. In addition to her role as Research Associate for the VCC, Ms. Reimer is Legal Counsel for LG Electronics' Regional Headquarters for the Middle East and Africa.

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), led by Lisa Sachs, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University. It is the only applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment, through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools.

### **Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives**

- No. 93, Xiaofang Shen, "How the private sector is changing Chinese investment in Africa," Columbia FDI Perspectives, April 15, 2013.
- No. 92, Vid Prislan and Ruben Zandvliet, "Labor provisions in bilateral investment treaties: Does the new US Model BIT provide a template for the future?," Columbia FDI Perspectives, April 1, 2013.
- No. 91, Anthony O'Sullivan and Alexander Böhmer, "The Arab Awakening, act II: Time to move more boldly on investment," Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 18, 2013.
- No. 90, Shaun E. Donnelly, "A business perspective on a China US bilateral investment treaty," Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 4, 2013.
- No. 89, Joachim Karl, "Investor-state dispute settlement: A government's dilemma," Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 18, 2013.
- No. 88, Jarrod Wong, "The compensatory nature of moral damages in investor-state arbitration," Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 4, 2013.
- No. 87, Ralph Alexander Lorz, "Trying to change the rules for responding to arbitration unilaterally: The proposed new framework for investor-state dispute settlement for the EU," Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 22, 2013.
- No. 86, Catharine Titi, "EU investment agreements and the search for a new balance: A paradigm shift from laissez-faire liberalism toward embedded liberalism?," Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 3, 2013.
- No. 85, Karl P. Sauvant and Huiping Chen, 'A China US bilateral investment treaty: A template for a multilateral framework for investment?,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, December 17, 2012.

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/content/fdi-perspectives.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See UNCTAD, investment policy hub, "Investment policy framework for sustainable development," available at: <a href="http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Views/Public/IndexIPFSD.aspx">http://investmentpolicyhub.unctad.org/Views/Public/IndexIPFSD.aspx</a>.