

Harding, Torfinn; Javorcik, Beata

Research Report

Roll out the red carpet and they will come: Investment promotion and FDI inflows

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 72

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Harding, Torfinn; Javorcik, Beata (2012) : Roll out the red carpet and they will come: Investment promotion and FDI inflows, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 72, Columbia University, Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253906>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues by
the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment

No. 72 June 18, 2012

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Jennifer Reimer (jreimer01@gmail.com)

Roll out the red carpet and they will come:
Investment promotion and FDI inflows

by

Torfinn Harding and Beata Javorcik*

Foreign direct investment (FDI) flows to developing countries are hindered by many factors. Two of these factors -- the mere lack of information and red tape -- could be easily remedied through investment promotion efforts.

Prior to undertaking FDI in a foreign country, investors need to familiarize themselves with the rules and regulations prevailing in the host country. They need to analyze its growth prospects and obtain detailed information on labor costs. They may want to know about the availability of potential joint venture partners or suppliers of inputs. While information on developed countries is readily available and consulting firms can assist in this process, obtaining information on business conditions in developing countries is often tricky.

Once an investment decision is made, investors need to comply with a series of bureaucratic procedures. As illustrated by the *Doing Business Indicators* produced by the World Bank, such procedures may be quite burdensome. For instance, the number of procedures required to start a business varies from 2 in Georgia to 21 in Equatorial Guinea. The number of days required to complete a registration process ranges from 2 in Georgia to 649 in Suriname.

Investment promotion efforts can reduce the negative effect of the lack of information and the burden of bureaucratic procedures and in this way stimulate inflows of FDI.¹ Sectors targeted by

* Torfinn Harding (torfinn.harding@economics.ox.ac.uk) is a Research Fellow at the Oxford Centre for the Analysis of Resource Rich Economies at the Department of Economics, University of Oxford; he is also affiliated with Statistics Norway, New College and CESifo. Beata Javorcik (beata.javorcik@economics.ox.ac.uk) is Professor of International Economics at the University of Oxford and a Research Affiliate at the Centre for Economic Policy Research. The authors wish to thank Henry Loewendahl, Karin Millett, and Stephen Young for their helpful comments on an earlier text. **The views expressed by the authors of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

investment promotion agencies receive twice as much FDI in the post-targeting period relative to the pre-targeting period and non-targeted sectors. Importantly, the effect is not driven by promising industries being targeted.² The magnitude of the effect is plausible, since the median sector-level investment (in country-sector combinations with positive FDI flows) reached US\$ 11 million in 2004. The estimated effect of investment promotion, therefore, translates into an additional annual inflow of US\$ 17 million.

How exactly does investment promotion increase FDI inflows? The process of selecting an FDI site typically involves four stages. First, a long list of 8-20 potential host countries encompassing popular FDI destinations, countries close to existing operations and emerging FDI destinations is created. The third group represents an opportunity for an IPA that, by advertising in the business press and participating in industry fairs, can draw attention to its country. In the second stage, about five sites are selected from the long list, based on the trade-off between costs and the quality of the business environment. The accessibility of the information about potential host countries plays a crucial role, as sites under consideration are rarely visited during this stage. IPAs that have up-to-date, detailed and accurate data on their websites and are willing to prepare detailed answers to investors' inquiries can increase the chances of their countries being included in the short list. In the third step, the investor typically visits the host country, giving the IPA an opportunity to emphasize the advantages of locating there, present potential investment sites and facilitate contacts with the local business community. IPAs can also play a role in the fourth and final stage by providing information on investment incentives and offering help with the registration process.

IPAs stimulate FDI inflows by facilitating access to information and reducing the burden of red tape. More specifically, investment promotion is more effective in countries where English is not an official language and in countries that are more culturally distant from the United States. These two findings are consistent with investment promotion reducing information and communication barriers between US investors and host countries. Also, investment promotion works better in countries with less effective governments, higher corruption and a longer time period required to start a business or obtain a construction permit, which is consistent with investment promotion alleviating problems of red tape.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Torfinn Harding and Beata Javorcik, 'Roll out the red carpet and they will come: Investment promotion and FDI inflows,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 72, June 18, 2012. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on

¹ For details, see our "Roll out the red carpet and they will come: Investment promotion and FDI inflows," *Economic Journal*, vol. 121 (December 2011), pp. 1445-1476. Our study took advantage of differences in investment promotion efforts across countries, sectors and time and the fact that most investment promotion agencies (IPAs) target particular sectors to attract FDI. It used information on sector-specific investment promotion efforts and detailed figures on flows of US FDI into 95 developing countries during 1990-2004 to conduct a difference-in-differences analysis.

² The results hold when the sample excludes countries whose targeting decision was based on the past success or failure in attracting FDI to the sector. There is no evidence suggesting that targeting took place in sectors with relatively high or low inflows in the years preceding targeting. Finally, a strict exogeneity test does not reject the validity of the empirical strategy used. The analysis controls for changes in host country business environment by including country-year fixed effects, heterogeneity of sectors in different locations by including country-sector fixed effects and shocks to supply of FDI in particular sectors by adding sector-time fixed effects.

Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.

For further information please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Jennifer Reimer, jreimer01@gmail.com or jreimer@lyhplaw.com.

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC – www.vcc.columbia.edu), led by Lisa Sachs, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and The Earth Institute at Columbia University. It seeks to be a leader on issues related to foreign direct investment (FDI) in the global economy. VCC focuses on the analysis and teaching of the implications of FDI for public policy and international investment law.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 71, Thomas Jost, “Much ado about nothing? State-controlled entities and the change in German investment law,” June 4, 2012.
- No. 70, Terutomo Ozawa, “FDI, catch-up growth stages and stage-focused strategies,” May 28, 2012.
- No. 69, Karl P. Sauvant, “The times they are a-changin’ -- again -- in the relationships between governments and multinational enterprises: From control, to liberalization to rebalancing,” May 21, 2012.
- No. 68, Sophie Meunier et al., “Economic patriotism: Dealing with Chinese direct investment in the United States,” May 14, 2012.
- No. 67, Paul Antony Barbour et al., “The Arab Spring: How soon will foreign investors return?,” May 7, 2012.
- No. 66, Kalman Kalotay, “Does it matter who invests in your country?,” April 30, 2012.
- No. 65, Mark Feldman, The standing of state-controlled entities under the ICSID Convention: Two key considerations, April 16, 2012.
- No. 64, Karl P. Sauvant and Jonathan Strauss, “State-controlled entities control nearly US\$ 2 trillion in foreign assets,” April 2, 2012.
- No. 63, Miguel Pérez Ludeña, “Is Chinese FDI pushing Latin America into natural resources?,” March 19, 2012.
- No. 62, Karl P. Sauvant, Chen Zhao and Xiaoying Huo, “The unbalanced dragon: China’s uneven provincial and regional FDI performance,” March 5, 2012.

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/content/fdi-perspectives>.