

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Perrone, Nicolás Marcelo

Research Report

Responsible agricultural investment: is there a significant role for the law to promote sustainability?

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 38

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Perrone, Nicolás Marcelo (2011): Responsible agricultural investment: is there a significant role for the law to promote sustainability?, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 38, Columbia University, Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253872

${\bf Standard\text{-}Nutzungsbedingungen:}$

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues by the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment No. 38 May 23, 2011

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)
Editor: Ken Davies (Kenneth.Davies@law.columbia.edu)
Managing Editor: Alma Zadic (az2242@columbia.edu)

<u>Responsible agricultural investment:</u> is there a significant role for the law to promote sustainability?

by Nicolás Marcelo Perrone*

The world food situation is back in the headlines as price levels surpass 2008 peaks, confirming the rising trend in food markets. Higher prices pose challenges to both food importing and exporting countries. One serious barrier to increasing food output remains the lack of necessary capital and technology in countries that have the potential to increase production rapidly. To avoid a food crisis, international organizations and several governments have increasingly turned to promoting foreign direct investment (FDI) by multinational enterprises (MNEs) in agriculture. This may be an effective solution, but some obstacles stand in the way of the establishment of such projects and, more importantly, their long-term sustainability.

Agriculture is a socially very sensitive area, and foreign investors should expect its regulation to change frequently.³ This political risk, however, is only one side of the story. All too often, for host countries and their populations FDI has been associated with land grab, dispossession and damaging environmental fallout.⁴ Many food-import-dependent countries have recently acquired large tracts of land to secure their food supplies. This strategy has created some skepticism due to the potential effects of these projects on the local population, which could suffer loss of

^{*} Nicolás Marcelo Perrone (N.M.Perrone@lse.ac.uk) PhD Candidate, London School of Economics; Researcher, CEIDIE, University of Buenos Aires. The author wishes to thank Lorenzo Cotula, Olivier De Schutter and Lisa Sachs for their helpful comments on this *Perspective*. The views expressed by the author of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.

¹ "A special report on feeding the world. The 9 billion-people question," *The Economist*, February 24, 2011 available at http://www.economist.com/node/18200618.

² FAO, "Foreign direct investment: win-win or land grab?", available at ftp://ftp.fao.org/docrep/fao/meeting/018/k6358e.pdf.

³ UNCTAD, World Investment Report 2009: Transnational Corporations, Agricultural Production and Development (Geneva: UNCTAD, 2009), pp. 96-97.

⁴ Lorenzo Cotula, Sonja Vermeulen, Rebeca Leonard, and James Keeley, *Land Grab or Development Opportunity? Agricultural Investment and International Land Deals in Africa* (London/Rome: IIED, FAO and IFAD, 2009).

livelihood despite increases in production. Against this complex backdrop, the challenge lies in promoting sustainable and responsible FDI, permitting private investors to enjoy a reasonable profit while also ensuring that all investment inflows benefit host populations.⁵

The need for such a compromise has been acknowledged by international organizations. The Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), UNCTAD, and the World Bank are working together on an initiative aimed at convincing all stakeholders that foreign investment projects in agriculture should consider social and environmental sustainability. The most important objective of this joint effort is to recommend a set of voluntary guidelines based on the "Principles for Responsible Agricultural Investment that Respect Rights, Livelihoods and Resources" ("the Principles"). Investors are invited to adhere to and abide by the Principles, which include respecting land and resource rights, ensuring food security and assuring social and environmental sustainability. These goals embody desirable objectives, particularly from a public perspective; however, the future success of the Principles will depend more on the support of states and international organizations than on a declaration of good intentions. The implementation and legal effects of the Principles remain in question.

It is frequently noted that international investment agreements lack obligations on the part of the investor, instead focusing mainly on the protection of MNEs and facilitating their operations. Moreover, it is argued that guidelines and voluntary codes constitute a deficient counterbalance because they are not legally binding and thus cannot be enforced. MNEs' main motivation for adhering to the Principles, however, is the improvement of their corporate reputation, as they show their social and environmental commitment to the international community, consumers and their own employees. Nonetheless, despite the non-binding nature of these corporate commitments, the international community should be able to expect MNEs that have agreed to observe the Principles to stick to their promises. This proposition would be consistent with the position in international investment law that representations made by a state, i.e., assurances provided by host countries that were reasonably relied on by an investor, should be respected.⁷

This legal approach could prove positive for the purposes envisaged by the drafters of the Principles. When investors commit to observe the Principles, they do not assume a legal obligation; still, it is worth considering the role the Principles can play in shaping investors' legitimate expectations – typically one of the most contentious issues in arbitration. If investors in legal dispute argue that their expectations were not met, and these expectations are clearly at odds with the Principles, investment tribunals could not easily find them legitimate. The Principles could contribute to defining the scope of regulatory authority, helping states to pass new regulations, for instance, when there is sound evidence of environmental and social risks. In this manner, the Principles could strike a balance between investors and host country

⁵ Olivier De Schutter and Peter Rosenblum, "Large-scale cross-border investments in land: the interaction between foreign direct investment and land rights", in Karl P. Sauvant, ed., *Yearbook on International Investment Law and Policy*, 2010/2011 (New York: Oxford University Press, 2011 forthcoming).

⁶ See generally http://www.responsibleagroinvestment.org/rai/node/256.

⁷ This position was adopted by the arbitrators in *Waste Management Inc. v. Mexico* (Number 2), ICSID Case N° ARB(AF)/00/3 (NAFTA), award (April 30, 2004), at 98, and has been endorsed by other tribunals.

populations' concerns, promoting sustainable FDI in agriculture as a partial solution to the present food situation.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Nicolás Marcelo Perrone, 'Responsible agricultural investment: is there a significant role for the law in sustainability,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 38, May 23, 2011. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu)."

A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.

For further information please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Ken Davies, Kenneth.Davies@law.columbia.edu.

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), led by Dr. Karl P. Sauvant, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and The Earth Institute at Columbia University. It seeks to be a leader on issues related to foreign direct investment (FDI) in the global economy. VCC focuses on the analysis and teaching of the implications of FDI for public policy and international investment law.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 37, Daniel M. Firger, "The coming harmonization of climate change policy and international investment law", May 9, 2011.
- No. 36, Nilgün Gökgür, "Are resurging state-owned enterprises impeding competition overseas?," April 25, 2011.
- No. 35, Giorgio Sacerdoti, "Is the party-appointed arbitrator a "pernicious institution"? A reply to Professor Hans Smit," December 14, 2010.
- No. 34, Harry G. Broadman, "The backstory of China and India's growing investment and trade with Africa: Separating the wheat from the chaff," February 17, 2011.
- No. 33, Hans Smit, "The pernicious institution of the party-appointed arbitrator," December 14, 2010.
- No. 32, Michael D. Nolan and Frédéric G. Sourgens, "State-controlled entities as claimants in international investment arbitration: an early assessment," December 2, 2010.
- No. 31, Jason Yackee, "How much do U.S. corporations know (and care) about bilateral investment treaties? Some hints from new survey evidence," November 23, 2010.
- No. 30, Karl P. Sauvant and Ken Davies, "What will an appreciation of China's currency do to inward and outward FDI?" October 18, 2010.
- No. 29, Alexandre de Gramont, "Mining for facts: PacRim Cayman LLC v. El Salvador," September 8, 2010.

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/content/fdi-perspectives