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1 Introduction

Hosting of the Olympic Games supposedly affects the regions involved in different ways – politically, psychologically, sociologically and culturally, as well as economically.\(^1\) Applications to host the Olympic Games (or other so-called mega-events\(^2\)) by cities and regions are based, in as much as rational decision-

---


\(^2\) “Throughout the 1980s, World’s Fairs and Olympic organizers turned to the mega-event as a panacea, a solution to the myriad of problems caused by economic hard times” (TEWS, 1993, p. 3).
making may be presupposed, on the expectation that the corresponding benefits will exceed the costs.  

As for members of the International Olympic Committee (IOC) who decide which cities will host the Olympic Games, in recent years they have at least received an evaluation report that compares the most important characteristics of the candidate cities.

In spite of the assumed rationality on the part of both the applicants and the decision-makers, the process of deciding who will host the Olympic Games has so far attracted relatively little attention in economic analyses. SCHAUENBERG (1992) analyses the voting procedure for the 1996 Olympic Games and reveals some irrationalities. SWART & BOB (2004) identify factors such as accountability, political support, relationship marketing, ability, infrastructure, bid team composition, communication and exposure, and existing facilities as decisive for a successful bid. However, these determinants are not submitted to any empirical test. WESTERBEEK, TURNER & INGERSON (2002), after asking 135 respondents about the importance of 69 items, identify by factor analysis decisive factors that they (also) call accountability, political support, relationship marketing, ability, infrastructure, bid team composition, communication and exposure, and existing facilities. The problem, which is a general problem with factor analysis, is that the naming of such factors is somewhat arbitrary. For example, the WESTERBEEK, TURNER & INGERSON (2002) “accountability” factor includes the “ability to identify key target markets of importance to the event owners” and “to have an established and recognized presence in the marketplace as a bidding organization”. The “political support” factor includes “financial stability of the city”. Beside the problem of interpreting factors, it is hardly possible to quantify the items they encompass. Thus, if an applicant city wishes to enhance its

---

3 See SPILLING (1996, p. 321). For an overview of ex ante studies on the costs and benefits of the Olympics see PREUSS (2004, p. 45). Benefits may also occur in the case of failed applications. Thus, the international application campaign may in part be viewed as a relatively cheap form of image campaign (ANDRANOVICH, BURBANK, & HEYING, 2001, p. 127). For example, the value of Birmingham’s unsuccessful bid has been estimated at 25 million BP, although it only cost 5 million BP (ROCHE, 2001).
competitive position, it remains unclear which items should be ameliorated. Furthermore, having spent effort on improvements, it is hard to measure whether the city’s ranking for that item has really appreciated.

The limited academic attention to date is astonishing because – presumably as a consequence of the expected benefits – both the number of applicant cities and the related expenditure have increased significantly.

This paper examines, in our opinion for the first time, the probability of success of application campaigns to host the Olympic Summer Games on the basis of quantified determinants. The analysis is based on a total of 48 bids to host the Olympic Summer Games between 1992 and 2012. Section 2 sketches the history of the bids and the awarding of the Games. Section 3 presents the data, the estimation model and the results of the econometric analysis. Section 4 closes with a conclusion.

2 Elements of the history of Olympic bids

Table 1 provides an overview of the years and locations in which the Summer Olympic Games have been held, the year of the IOC’s bid decision and unsuccessful applicant cities. The figures are taken from LYBERG (1996, pp. 252-260) and from our own research, in which the cities considered as applicants are only those that actually featured in the voting process (or that withdrew their application for whatever reasons shortly before voting took place). SCHERER (1995, p. 401), by contrast, departs from this approach and defines as an applicant every city that expressed interest to the IOC in hosting the Olympics, and thus arrives at considerably higher numbers of applicants.

With regard to the following analysis, it appears meaningful to systematize the history of Olympic bids, particularly according to the criterion of the absolute

---

* The Olympic Winter Games have to be analysed separately given their particular climatic and topographic requirements.
number of applicant cities (and the changes to this number) in the various phases, even if other systems, e.g. according to political and/or historical principles, also appear possible.\(^5\)

In an initial phase from 1886 to the end of World War II, awarding of the Games was largely determined by the influence of the founders of the modern Olympics. A total of 39 cities applied to host the 14 Olympic Games in this period. The second phase, which began after World War II and lasted until 1968, shows a significant increase in the numbers of applicant cities\(^6\) and, with the decision in favor of Tokyo’s bid to host the 1964 Games, also includes for the first time an opening up of the club of host cities that had previously been limited to those from western cultural circles. The awarding of the 1968 Games to Mexico City is partly regarded as an attempt by the IOC to avoid both the effects of the East–West conflict that had intensified during the 1950s and increasing damage due to boycotts.\(^7\) The 1964 Olympic Games in Tokyo, which were used to improve sporting and general infrastructure to a previously unheard of degree and entailed considerable costs, initiated a process of rethinking among applicant cities (GREENBERG, 2003, p. 36).

The third phase from 1972 up to and including 1988\(^8\) accordingly displays a decreasing trend for the number of applicants, which almost exclusively came from the industrially more developed countries. Twelve bids by eight different

---

\(^5\) See, for example, GUTTMANN (1992).

\(^6\) A total of 37 cities applied to host the six Olympic Games held in this phase.

\(^7\) See LIU (1998, p. 85). The Montreal Games were boycotted by the teams of 20 black African states in protest against the participation of New Zealand, whose national rugby team had visited South Africa (GREENBERG, 2003, p. 43). Following the Soviet Union’s invasion of Afghanistan, 36 countries boycotted the 1980 Games in Moscow; a further 20 National Olympic Committees did not comment on the invitation (SCHOLLMEIER, 2001, p. 23). Finally, seven socialist states refused to participate in the Los Angeles Games. For an overview of boycotts and exclusions as a means of political pressure that heavily influenced the Olympic Games from 1956 onwards, see RIORDAN, LOWE & NIKISHKINOVI (1980).

\(^8\) The period of time between the vote on the host city and the hosting of the Games, which is not constant over the history of the Games and can be up to seven years, should be taken into account here and in the following.
cities were made for the five Olympic Games of this phase. This third phase can be characterized as displaying limited intensity of competition to host the Olympic Games. The third phase did, however, influence competitive behavior in phase 4, since the Games in Los Angeles and Seoul were regarded as financially successful and induced an increase in the number of applicants. The end of the East–West conflict from the late 1980s onwards meant that the Olympic Games have since hardly been used as a political instrument at all. Applicant cities have thus once again been able to hope for greater image gains from the Olympics.

Phase 5 begins with the revelation of the IOC corruption scandal of Salt Lake City and awarding of the 2008 Olympic Games in 2001. The repercussions of the scandal led to fundamental changes\(^9\) that, with division of the application process into two phases (the “applicant city phase” and the “candidate city phase”), were intended to provide greater transparency. In addition, the IOC, under its new president Jacques Rogge, has set itself the target of reducing the costs and size of the Olympic Games. A further increase in the numbers of applicants hoping to host the two Olympic Games in this fifth phase from 2008 to – so far – 2012 is evident in comparison to the previous phases. The nineteen bids for the two Olympic Summer Games indicate that the Olympic Games are currently enjoying greater popularity than ever before among applicant cities.

Illustration 1 summarises the development of the numbers of applicants in the five phases. The upward trend in applicant numbers in the second phase is clearly evident, with more than double the number of applicants per Olympic Games than in the first phase. The decrease in bid numbers in the third phase and the renewed increase in bid numbers since the fourth phase are also clearly apparent.

\(^9\) For a description and an economic analysis of the corruption involved in the Salt Lake City scandal and the institutional changes afterwards, see MAENNIG (2002).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Election</th>
<th>Olympics</th>
<th>Host City</th>
<th>Contender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>1896</td>
<td>Athens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1894</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Paris</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>1904</td>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>Buffalo/ Chicago&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1903</td>
<td>1908</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Berlin&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Milan/ Rome&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;/ Turin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1909</td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>Stockholm</td>
<td>Berlin&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1912</td>
<td>1916</td>
<td>Berlin&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Alexandria&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Budapest&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1919</td>
<td>1920</td>
<td>Antwerp</td>
<td>Amsterdam&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Lyon&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>1924</td>
<td>Paris</td>
<td>Amsterdam/ Barcelona/ Los Angeles/ Prague/ Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1921</td>
<td>1928</td>
<td>Amsterdam</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>1932</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1931</td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>Berlin</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1936</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>Helsinki&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Barcelona/ Budapest&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Rome&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1939</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>London&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Tokyo&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Detroit/ Helsinki/ Lausanne/ Rome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>1946</td>
<td>1948</td>
<td>London</td>
<td>Baltimore/ Lausanne/ Los Angeles/ Minneapolis/ Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1947</td>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Helsinki</td>
<td>Amsterdam/ Chicago/ Detroit/ Los Angeles/ Minneapolis/ Philadelphia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1949</td>
<td>1956</td>
<td>Melbourne</td>
<td>Buenos Aires/ Chicago/ Detroit/ Los Angeles/ Mexico City/ Minneapolis/ Philadelphia/ San Francisco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stockholm&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1955</td>
<td>1960</td>
<td>Rome</td>
<td>Berlin/ Buenos Aires&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Los Angeles/ Paris/ Rio de Janeiro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1959</td>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Tokyo</td>
<td>Brussels/ Budapest/ Detroit/ Lausanne/ Mexico City/ Tokyo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1963</td>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Mexico City</td>
<td>Buenos Aires/ Detroit/ Lyon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 3</td>
<td>1966</td>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Munich</td>
<td>Detroit/ Madrid/ Montreal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Montreal</td>
<td>Los Angeles/ Moscow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1974</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Moscow</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1978</td>
<td>1984</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1981</td>
<td>1988</td>
<td>Seoul</td>
<td>Nagoya</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 4</td>
<td>1986</td>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Barcelona</td>
<td>Amsterdam/ Belgrade/ Birmingham/ Brisbane/ Paris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1990</td>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Atlanta</td>
<td>Athens/ Belgrade/ Manchester/ Melbourne/ Toronto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Sydney</td>
<td>Berlin/ Istanbul/ Manchester/ Peking/ Brasilia&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;/ Milan&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase 5</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Peking</td>
<td>Toronto/ Paris/ Istanbul/ Osaka/ Bangkok/ Cairo/ Havanna/ Kuala Lumpur/ Seville</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Notes:  ' The application was withdrawn shortly before the deciding vote; ' The city was chosen first; ' Olympic Games were not held 1916, 1940 and 1944 owing to the First and Second World Wars; ' Horse-riding competitions in the 1952 Olympics were held separately due to Australia's strict regulations covering animal imports.
Fig. 1  Bids per Olympic Games

3 Data, methods, and results

3.1 Data

Analysis of the characteristics of successful Olympic bids is based on bids for the six Olympic Games from 1992 to 2012.\(^1\) Data for the empirical analysis are taken from the bid books of the cities in question and from the reports of the IOC Evaluation Commission and relate to the year in which the IOC made its decision. In the case of incomplete data or macroeconomic data not included in the bid documentation, data from the World Bank were used. In cases in which data provided by the bid books and the IOC Evaluation Commission differed, the latter

\(^{1}\) Bids prior to the 1992 Games provide a significantly lower amount of information.
source was used, since bid books sometimes tend to provide “embellished” information.\textsuperscript{11}

The following empirical analysis makes use of the factors for a successful bid identified by SWART & BOB (2004), which can be quantified using the available data. To measure political support, the results of public opinion polls conducted in the cities in question were used (SUPPORT). These data are taken from the bid books and/or the IOC Evaluation Commission reports. Quantification of existing facilities took into account the extent to which construction of the sporting venues had progressed. The proportions of completed venues requiring no further modification (VENUES EXISTING), venues requiring substantial reconstruction work or still under construction (VENUES CONSTRUCTION), and planned venues that would only be built on approval of the bid (VENUES PLANNED) were calculated in relation to the total Olympic sporting facilities mentioned in the bid books and the IOC reports. The number of available hotel beds within 50 minutes of travelling time (BEDS) was taken from the bid books.\textsuperscript{12} Table 2 presents the average and median values of the data used.

Following the data requested by the IOC (2004), the influencing factors were supplemented by additional variables on the sporting venue concept, the climatic situation and a number of socioeconomic determinants. Quantified variables for the sporting concept of the applicant cities were added. The average distance of sporting venues from the Olympic Village in kilometres (DISTANCE), the number of planned Olympic Villages (NO OLYMP VILL) and the planned accommodation

\textsuperscript{11} “What is written in the bid documents soon turns out to be pretty irrelevant” (N.N. 2004), who also points out one of the most recent cases of a failure to keep promises made in the bid book: shortly after approval of its bid for the 2010 Games, Vancouver decided to relocate the speed skating oval – initially planned for the city centre – to the waterfront. The International Broadcast Center was also to be relocated. Assuming rational decision-making on the part of the IOC members, the reduced validity of the bid book data should tend to lead to a limitation in the information content of the data, which has to be taken into account below when interpreting the results.

\textsuperscript{12} The IOC demands a minimum capacity of 40,000 beds (IOC, 2004, p. 57). In cases for which the accommodation capacities in bid books were cited as numbers of hotel rooms, this figure was multiplied by a factor of 1.8; see LEXINGTON CONSULTING (2002, p. 91) for a justification of this conversion factor.
capacity of the Olympic Villages \((CAP\ OLYMP\ VILL)\) were taken from the bid books and/or IOC reports.

The national purchasing-power-adjusted per capita GDP \((GDP)\) as defined by the World Bank was included as a socioeconomic determinant and adjusted for inflation based on the year 1995 in US$. In addition, the unemployment rate \((UNEMPLOYMENT)\) and inflation rate \((INFLATION)\) were also tested. The population size of the applicant country \((POPCOUNTRY)\) and the population size of the applicant city \((POPCITY)\) were also included, which can be regarded as an indicator of its political power.

To cover some socio-demographic influences, the development status of the applicant country was considered. First, the Human Development Index (HDI) as provided by the United Nations Development Program (UNDP) was used as a proxy of the development of a country \((DEVELOPMENT)\). Second, the life expectancy of citizens of the applicant country can be used to measure the development status. Third, the level of corruption in an applicant country – possibly an indicator for corruptive attacks of the relevant city during the bidding process – should be considered. Therefore, the Corruption Perceptions Index (CPI) from Transparency International was used \((CORRUPTION)\).

One often-discussed determinant in the election process of a host city is the “rotation of continents”: Since the 1952 Olympic Games in Helsinki, the Games have not been held on the same continent for two consecutive Games. To cover the form of “implicit” continent rotation, two dummy variables were tested. The first dummy variable takes a value of 1 if a bidding city is located on the same continent as the host city of the previous Games. The second dummy variable counts the number of Games held on continents other than the applicant’s continent. In addition, a dummy variable that takes a value of 1 if a city applied consecutive times was included.

Finally, to take climatic aspects into account, the average temperature \((TEMP)\) and relative humidity \((HUMID)\) during the period envisaged for the Games were also
taken into consideration. These data were taken from the bid books of the cities in question and the Evaluation Commission reports.

**Tab. 2  Descriptive Statistics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEAN</th>
<th>MEDIAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Successful Bids</td>
<td>Unsuccessful Bids</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPCOUNTRY</td>
<td>283,568,000</td>
<td>94,975,469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POPCITY</td>
<td>5,124,167</td>
<td>4,823,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEMPLOYMENT</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>9.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>17,800</td>
<td>14,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INFLATION</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>23.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISTANCE</td>
<td>12.38</td>
<td>16.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPORT</td>
<td>86.33</td>
<td>78.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP</td>
<td>24.58</td>
<td>23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUMID</td>
<td>62.37</td>
<td>65.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENUES EXISTING</td>
<td>51.58</td>
<td>46.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENUES</td>
<td>20.73</td>
<td>23.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSTRUCTION</td>
<td>27.69</td>
<td>30.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VENUES PLANNED</td>
<td>15,470</td>
<td>15,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAP OLYMP VILL</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEVELOPMENT</td>
<td>76.02</td>
<td>74.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFE EXPECTANCY</td>
<td>93,417</td>
<td>63,646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEDS</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**3.2 Method and results**

Binary logistical regression is suitable for analysis of the yes/no decisions that determine whether an applicant city’s bid is successful or not. Owing to the election process, a panel logit model has been chosen. This model should consider the condition whereby the Olympic Games were allocated at different times, i.e. every election is treated as a cross section in the panel. To cover possible originalities in each election, a panel logit model with fixed effects was also constructed.


**Tab. 3 Empirical Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Panel Logit Model</th>
<th>Panel Logit Model with FE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-4.266 (-1.080)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISTANCE</td>
<td>-0.285* (-1.910)</td>
<td>-0.497** (-1.977)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMP</td>
<td>0.213 (1.340)</td>
<td>0.534* (1.880)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEDS</td>
<td>0.015 (1.540)</td>
<td>0.032* (1.802)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McFadden R²</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LR statistic</td>
<td>8.154**</td>
<td>13.173*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: ** p<0.05; * p<0.10.

All variables defined in section 3.1 were included in the regression. Most of the variables proved to be insignificant. In particular, the economic determinants (e.g. GDP, INFLATION, and UNEMPLOYMENT) showed no influence. Only the average distance of sporting venues from the Olympic Village, the average temperature during the planned period of the Games, and the number of hotel beds within a 50-km radius were significantly different from zero. While the variable DISTANCE is statistically significant in both models, the variables TEMP and BEDS are only significant in the fixed effects model. The McFadden pseudo R² has a value of 0.226 in the basic model and 0.364 in the fixed effects model. The LR statistic is significant, at least at the 10% level, for both models.

A further test of the goodness of fit of an estimated logistical model is provided by evaluation of the success of the prognosis. The classification table shown in Tables 4 and 5 illustrate the overall explanatory power provided by the current regression model. The fixed effects and basic models correctly predict the result of the application process in 93.75% and 91.67% of cases, respectively. These high results, however, are relativized by the fact that whereas the models were able to predict failure in all cases, they could only correctly predict success in 50% and
33.33% of cases, respectively. The models thus achieve a high degree of explanatory power due to the high level of unsuccessful bids (42 of 48 cases).\textsuperscript{13}

**Tab. 4** Prognosis value for the estimated panel logit model without fixed effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted by the Model</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Decision</td>
<td>Positive Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC Decision</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Decision</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 5** Prognosis value for the estimated panel logit model with fixed effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted by the Model</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Decision</td>
<td>Positive Decision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOC Decision</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Decision</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This discrepancy might be explained by the fact that the determinants analyzed here quantified the necessary conditions for success, but not, as yet, the sufficient conditions. Applicants that fail to adequately fulfill these criteria can be determined to have poor chances of success. If an applicant city fulfills all the

\textsuperscript{13} See HOSMER & LEMESHOW (1989, p. 146) for further details
necessary conditions, the other unquantified historical, political, psychological and application-campaign-related factors hinted at by SWART & BOB (2004) may play an important role. It will be task for future analyses to empirically test the role of the quality of the cities’ presentations before the IOC plenum, the personal preferences of IOC members, lobbying, and the potentially more problematic forms of gaining influence.

4 Conclusions

The number of bids for the Summer Olympics has significantly increased since the economic successes of the Games in Los Angeles in 1984 and Seoul in 1988. At the same time, the expenditure of resources on bid campaigns has also increased. This paper examines the probability of success of bid campaigns on the basis of quantified determinants. The analysis is based on a total of 48 bids for the Summer Olympics between 1996 and 2012.

The average distance of sports venues from the Olympic Village has a significantly negative influence on chances of success. The average temperature in host cities during the Olympic Games has a positive influence, although it must be emphasized that the information provided by the quasi-linear relationship relates to the range of the data used here (and not, for example, to significantly higher temperatures in cities and periods of time for which no bids exist). The equally significantly positive influence of accommodation capacity could stem from the particularly intensive needs for accommodation for the Olympic Family, the International Federations, the media, and spectators. In particular, the needs of the Olympic Family might be important for the decision made by IOC members during the election process.

14 Historical aspects could have played a role in the case of Athens 2004, whereas political effects may have been of significance in the case of Peking 2008.

15 These preferences must not correspond to the continental origins of the IOC members; see SWART & BOB (2004) for the case of Cape Town’s bid for the 2004 Games.
Analysis of the goodness of fit showed that with around 94% of predictions correct, the fixed effects model was considerably more accurate in predicting unsuccessful bids (100%) than successful ones (50%). Future works that provide better models of successful bids could also quantify and take into consideration historical, political, psychological and bid-campaign-related factors.
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