

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Wagner, Joachim

Working Paper Firm survival and gender of firm owner in times of COVID-19: Evidence from 10 European countries

Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 409

Provided in Cooperation with: Institute of Economics, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg

Suggested Citation: Wagner, Joachim (2022) : Firm survival and gender of firm owner in times of COVID-19: Evidence from 10 European countries, Working Paper Series in Economics, No. 409, Leuphana Universität Lüneburg, Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre, Lüneburg

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/251595

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

PAPE VORKING

Firm survival and gender of firm owner in times of COVID-19 Evidence from 10 European countries

> by Joachim Wagner

University of Lüneburg Working Paper Series in Economics

No. 409

March 2022

www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html ISSN 1860 - 5508

Firm survival and gender of firm owner in times of COVID-19

Evidence from 10 European countries*

Joachim Wagner

Leuphana University Lueneburg, Kiel Institute for the World Economy, and IZA, Bonn

[This version: March 3, 2022]

Abstract:

This paper uses firm level data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys conducted in 2019 and from the COVID-19 follow-up surveys conducted in 2020 in ten European countries to investigate the link between the gender of the firm's owner and firm survival until 2020. The estimated effect of female ownership is positive ceteris paribus after controlling for various firm characteristics that are known to be related to survival. Furthermore, the size of this estimated effect can be considered to be large on average. Having a female owner helped firms to survive.

JEL classification: D22, L20, L25, L29

Keywords: Gender, female owned firms, firm survival, COVID-19, World Bank Enterprise Surveys

* The data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys are available after registration from the website https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/portal/login.aspx . Stata code used to produce the empirical results reported in this note are available from the author.

Professor Dr. Joachim Wagner Leuphana University Lueneburg D-21314 Lüneburg Germany e-mail: <u>wagner@leuphana.de</u>

1. Motivation

When the coronavirus and COVID-19 reached Europe in the first quarter of 2020 firms were hit by negative demand shocks due to quarantine and lockdown measures. Furthermore, supply chains were damaged and this lead to negative supply shocks. These shocks had a negative impact on many dimensions of firm performance. Waldkirch (2021) reports evidence on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on firms around the world based on the so-called COVID-19 follow-up surveys to the World Bank's Enterprise Surveys conducted in 2020.

Some firms were hit so hard by these negative exogenous shocks that they decided to close down permanently. An important question is which characteristics of firms help many of them to survive the pandemic. Besides the usual suspects discussed at length in the literature on firm demographics over the past decades that include firm age, firm size, exports, productivity, and innovation (and that will be looked at in more detail in section 2 of this paper) one firm characteristic that has, to the best of my knowledge, not been considered yet in the context of the COVID-19 pandemic is the gender of the firm's owner. In their comprehensive survey of the literature Jennings and Brush (2013, p. 671) argue that many empirical studies find that with respect to many standard economic indicators, female-led firms tend to exhibit inferior performance. However, Jennings and Brush (2013, p. 672) point out that a small yet growing number of studies challenge whether firms that are femaleowned invariably underperform relative to male-owned firms. There are studies that reveal mixed results, no significant differences, or even an advantage of female-led firms. "In terms of survival, for instance, the evidence is mixed." (Jennings and Brush 2013, p. 672)

This paper contributes to the literature by using firm level data from ten European countries collected in the World Bank's Enterprise Surveys in 2019 and from the COVID-19 follow-up surveys conducted in 2020 to investigate the link between gender of the firm's owner and firm survival, controlling for other determinants of firm exit.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the data used and discusses the variables that are included in the empirical model to test for the role of the gender of the firm's owner in firm survival. Section 3 reports descriptive evidence and results from the econometric investigation. Section 4 concludes.

2. Data and discussion of variables

The firm level data used in this study are taken from the World Bank's Enterprise Surveys in 2019 and from the COVID-19 follow-up surveys conducted in 2020.¹ These surveys were conducted in a large number of countries all over the world. In this study we focus on countries from Europe. All countries with complete data for at least five firms that took part in the 2019 survey and that reported in the 2020 follow-up survey that they had permanently closed down are included in the study. This leaves us with data for ten countries: Bulgaria, Croatia, Czech Republic, Hungary, Italy, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Russia, and the Slovak Republic.²

¹ The data from the World Bank Enterprise surveys are available free of charge after registration from the website https://www.enterprisesurveys.org/portal/login.aspx.

² Not included are Albania, Cyprus, Estonia, Greece, Latvia, Lithuania, Malta and Slovenia.

The classification of firms as survivors or exits is based on question B.0³ in the follow-up survey from 2020. Firms that participated both in the regular 2019 survey and in the follow-up survey were asked "Currently is this establishment open, temporarily closed (suspended services or production), or permanently closed?" Firms that answered "permanently closed" are classified as exits, the other firms are considered to be survivors.

The classification of firms into female-owned firms and male- owned firms is based on the answer to question B4 in the regular survey of 2019. When there are any females amongst the owners of the firm, a firm is considered to be a femaleowned firm (and a male-owned firm otherwise).

Descriptive evidence on the share of firm exits by gender of the firms' owners in the total sample and by country is reported in in table 1. While the overall share of exits among female owned firms is 3.98 percent, it is about one percentage point higher among male-owned firms (4.94 percentage points). This raw difference in favor of female-owned firms can be considered large. Results differ by country, but in seven out of ten countries the exit rate is smaller among female-owned firms.

[Table 1 near here]

In the empirical investigation of the link between gender of the firm's owner and firm survival a number of firm characteristics that are known to be correlated with firm exit (and that might be related to the gender of the firm's owner as well) are

³ The questionnaires of the regular 2019 survey and the follow-up survey conducted in 2020 are available from the World Bank's Enterprise Survey web site referred to above.

controlled for. Their link to firm survival, and the way they are measured here, is discussed below (see Wagner 2021):

Firm size: Audretsch (1995, p. 149) mentions as a stylized fact from many empirical studies on exits that the likelihood of firm exit apparently declines with firm size (usually measured by the number of employees in a firm). This is theoretically linked to the hypothesis of "liability of smallness" from organizational ecology. A small size can be interpreted as a proxy variable for a number of unobserved firm characteristics, including disadvantages of scale, higher restrictions on the capital market leading to a higher risk of insolvency and illiquidity, disadvantages of small firms in the competition for highly qualified employees, and lower talent of management (Strotmann 2007). For Germany, Fackler, Schnabel and Wagner (2013) show that the mortality risk falls with establishment size, which confirms the liability of smallness.

Firm size is measured as the number of permanent, full-time individuals that worked in the establishment at the end of the last complete fiscal year at the time of the regular 2019 enterprise survey (see question I.1).

Firm age: Audretsch (1995, p. 149) mentions as another stylized fact from many empirical studies on exits that the likelihood of firm exit apparently declines with firm age, too. This positive link between firm age and probability of survival is labelled "liability of newness" and it is related to the fact that older firms are "better" because they spent a longer time in the market during which they learned how to solve the range of problems facing them in day-to-day business. For Germany, Fackler, Schnabel and Wagner (2013) find that the probability of exit is substantially higher for young establishments which are not more than five years old, thus confirming the liability of newness.

Firm age is measured as follows. In question B.5 of the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked "In what year did this establishment begin operation?". Firm age is the difference between 2019 and the founding year.

Exports: Exporting can be considered as a form of risk diversification through spread of sales over different markets with different business cycle conditions or in a different phase of the product cycle. Therefore, exports might provide a chance to substitute sales at home by sales abroad when a negative demand shock hits the home market and would force a firm to close down otherwise (see Wagner 2013). Furthermore, Baldwin and Yan (2011, p. 135) argue that non-exporters are in general less efficient than exporters (younger, smaller and less productive) and that, as a result, one expects that non-exporters are more likely to fail than exporters.

A number of recent empirical studies look at the role of international trade activities in shaping the chances for survival of firms; Wagner (2012, p. 256ff.) summarizes this literature. As a rule the estimated chance of survival is higher for exporters, and this holds after controlling for firm characteristics that are positively associated with both exports and survival (like firm size and firm age). This might point to a direct positive effect of exporting on survival.

The firm is considered as an exporter if it reports any direct exports in question D.3 of the regular enterprise survey in 2019.

Productivity: In theoretical models for the dynamics of industries with heterogeneous firms productivity differentials play a central role for entry, growth, and exit of firms. In equilibrium growing and shrinking, exiting and entering firms that have different productivities are found in an industry. These models lead to hypotheses that can be tested empirically. Hopenhayn (1992) considers a long-run equilibrium in an industry with many price-taking firms producing a homogeneous good. Output is a

function of inputs and a random variable that models a firm specific productivity shock. These shocks are independent between firms, and are the reason for the heterogeneity of firms. There are sunk costs to be paid at entry, and entrants do not know their specific shock in advance. Incumbents can choose between exiting or staying in the market. When firms realize their productivity shock they decide about the profit maximizing volume of production. The model assumes that a higher shock in t+1 has a higher probability the higher the shock is in t. In equilibrium firms will exit if for given prices of output and inputs the productivity shock is smaller than a critical value, and production is no longer profitable.

Farinas und Ruano (2005, p. 507f.) argue that this model leads to the following testable hypothesis: Firms that exit in year *t* were in *t*-1 less productive than firms that continue to produce in *t*. They test this hypothesis using panel data for Spanish firms. The hypothesis is supported by the data. Wagner (2009) replicates the study by Farinas and Ruano with panel data for West and East German firms from manufacturing industries. For the cohorts of exit from 1997 to 2002 the results are in line with the results for Spain.

Unfortunately, however, there is no suitable measure of productivity in the World Bank Enterprise survey, so productivity cannot be controlled for in the empirical models that test for al link between gender of the firm's owner and firm survival. However, productivity is controlled for indirectly by the inclusion of the information on the exporter status of the firm, because it is a stylized fact that has been found in hundreds of empirical studies from countries all over the world that exporters tend to be much more productive than non-exporters from the same narrowly defined industry (see Wagner 2007 for a survey).

Foreign ownership: Baldwin and Yan (2011) argue that from a theoretical point of view the relationship that should be expected between foreign ownership and firm exit is not clear. On the one hand, foreign owned firms may have access to superior technologies belonging to their foreign owners that might increase their efficiency and lower the risk of exit. Their greater propensity to invest in R&D might lead to more innovations, improve the competitiveness in home and on foreign markets and might therefore increase the chance to survive. On the other hand, Baldwin and Yan (2011) point out that foreign owned firms are less rooted in the host country economy and that they can shift their activities to another country when the local economy deteriorates. This should increase the probability of shutdown compared to nationally owned firms.

With a view on the COVID-19 pandemic Waldkirch (2021, p. 4) argues that "on the one hand, multinational companies may be better able to weather the storm, as they are more financially stable or have access to multiple sources of inputs, thereby minimizing disruptions to the supply chain. On the other hand, these firms may also be exposed to the pandemic's impacts on a larger scale, in multiple countries, and at different times given the differential timing of the virus's spread and mandated quarantines and shutdowns in different countries."

A number of recent micro-econometric studies use firm level data for foreign owned firms and domestically controlled firms to investigate the (ceteris paribus) relationship between foreign ownership and firm survival. Wagner and Weche Gelübcke (2012) survey 26 mainly country specific studies that use data from 17 developed and developing countries, two of which use data on affiliates worldwide. The big picture emerging from the findings from these studies can be summarized as follows. Results are highly country-dependent. Foreign affiliates were found to be more likely to exit as compared to their domestic counterparts in Ireland, Belgium, Spain, and Indonesia, but less likely to exit in Canada, Italy, Taiwan, and the US. No significant differences in closure rates due to foreign ownership could be revealed for Japan, Turkey and the UK.

In the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked what percentage of this firm is owned by private foreign individuals, companies or organizations (see question B2). Firms that reported a positive amount here are considered as (partly) foreign owned firms.

Innovation: Josef Schumpeter (1942, p. 84) argued some 80 years ago that innovation plays a key role for the survival of firms, because it "strikes not at the margins of the profits and the outputs of the existing firms but at their foundations and their very lives". Baumol (2002, p. 1) called innovative activity "a life-and-death matter for the firm." This positive link between innovation and firm survival is found in a number of empirical studies. For example, Cefis and Marsili (2005) show that firms benefit from an innovation premium that ceteris paribus extends their life expectancy; process innovation in particular seems to have a positive effect on firm survival.

In the regular survey in 2019 firms were asked whether during the last three years this establishment has introduced new of improved products and services (see question H1). Firms that answered in the affirmative are considered as product innovators. Similarly, firms were asked whether during the last three years this establishment introduced any new or improved process, including methods of manufacturing products or offering services; logistics, delivery, or distribution methods for inputs, products or services; or supporting activities for processes (see question H5). Firms that answered in the affirmative are considered as process innovators.

Web presence, i.e. having a website where potential customers can learn about, and order, goods or services when personal contacts are not possible due to quarantine and lockdown is often mentioned in the business press as a factor that might help firms to survive in the pandemic. Wagner (2021) uses the same data used here to test this hypothesis. He finds that the estimated effect of web presence on firm survival is positive, statistically significant, and large.

In the regular 2019 survey firms were asked in question C22b "At present time, does this establishment have its own website or social media page?" Firms that answered "yes" are classified as firm with web presence.

Furthermore, firms are divided by broad sectors of activity (manufacturing, retail/wholesale, construction, hotel/restaurant, and services) based on their answer to the question for the establishment's main activity and product, measured by the largest proportion of annual sales (see question D1a1).

Descriptive statistics for all variables used in the empirical investigation are reported for the whole sample in the appendix table.

3. Testing for the role of gender of the firm's owner in firm survival

To test for the role of gender of the firm's owner in firm survival empirical models are estimated with an indicator variable for firm survival or not until 2000 as the endogenous variable, an indicator variable for female-owned firms or not in 2019 as the exogenous variable and various sets of control variables. All models are estimated by Probit, and average marginal effects with prob-values to indicate their statistical significance are reported.

Four different variants of empirical models are estimated. Model 1 has only the indicator variable for female-owned firms as exogenous variable; Model 2 adds a set

of country dummy variables, Model 3 furthermore adds a set of sector dummy variables, and Model 4 includes all control variables detailed in section 2, too. Results are reported in table 2.

[Table 2 near here]

The most important result is that the estimated average marginal effect of being a female-owned firm on firm exit is negative and statistically significant at the ten percent level in all four empirical models. Irrespective of the control variables included in the model female ownership in 2019 reduces the probability of firm exit until 2020.

As regards the control variables included in Model 4, all of the estimated average marginal effects have the theoretically expected sign (as discussed in section 2 above) and are statistically different from zero at an error level of 8 percent or much better, the only exception being the indicator for a foreign owned firm (where no clear theoretical hypothesis is found in the literature according to the discussion in section 2 above).

Note that the estimated average marginal effect of a web presence on the chance to survive is about constant over all four models, so adding control variables does not change the results much. Furthermore, the size of this estimated effect can be considered to be large on average – the estimated average reduction in the probability of exit is slightly less than one percentage point, and this is really large compared to the overall exit probability of 4.59 percent in the sample reported in table 1. Having a female owmer helped firms to survive the negative shocks during the pandemic.

4. Concluding remarks

This paper demonstrates that having a female owner is positively related to the probability of survival for firms facing negative demand and supply shocks during the COVID-19 pandemic. The estimated effect is statistically significant at a reasonable level ceteris paribus after controlling for various firm characteristics that are known to be positively related to survival. Furthermore, the size of this estimated effect can be considered to be large on average. Female owners helped firms to survive.

It remains an open question what explains this finding. While one might be tempted to speculate that women tend to be more risk averse than men and that, therefore, firms led by men might be engaged in more risky businesses and have a higher risk of failure especially in times of negative demand and supply shocks , the data at hand are not rich enough to investigate this. The empirical finding presented here is nevertheless interesting on its own.

References

- Audretsch, David B. (1995), *Innovation and Industry Evolution*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- Baldwin, John and Beiling Yan (2011), The death of Canadian manufacturing plants: heterogeneous responses to changes in tariffs and real exchange rates. *Review of World Economics* 147 (1), 131-167.

Baumol, William J. (2002), *The Free-market innovation machine: Analyzing the growth miracle of capitalism*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.

Cefis, Elena and Orietta Marsili (2005), A matter of life and death: innovation and firm survival. *Industrial and Corporate Change* 14 (6), 1167-1192.

- Fackler, Daniel, Claus Schnabel and Joachim Wagner (2013), Establishment exits in Germany: the role of size and age. *Small Business Economics* 41 (3), 683-700.
- Farinas, Jose C. and Sonia Ruano (2005), Firm productivity, heterogeneity, sunk costs and market selection. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 23, 505-534.
- Hopenhayn, Hugo (1992), Entry, exit, and firm dynamics in long run equilibrium. *Econometrica* 60(5), 1127-1150.
- Jennings, Jennifer E. and Candida G. Brush (2013), Research on Women Entrepreneurs: Challenges to (and from) the Broader Entrepreneurship Literature? *The Academy of Management Annals* 7 (1), 663-715.
- Schumpeter, Joseph A. (1942), *Capitalism, socialism and democracy*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Strotmann, Harald (2007), Entrepreneurial Survival. *Small Business Economics* 28 (1), 87-104.
- Wagner, Joachim (2007), Exports and Productivity: A survey of the evidence from firm level data. *The World Economy* 30 (1), 5-32.
- Wagner, Joachim (2009), Entry, Exit and Productivity: Empirical Results for German Manufacturing Industries. *German Economic Review* 11 (1), 78-85.
- Wagner, Joachim (2012), International trade and firm performance: a survey of empirical studies since 2006. *Review of World Economics* 148(2), 235-267.
- Wagner, Joachim (2013), Exports, Imports and Firm Survival: First evidence for manufacturing enterprises in Germany. *Review of World Economics* 149 (1), 113-130.

- Wagner, Joachim (2021), With a little help from my website. Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 Evidence from 10 European countries. *Economics Bulletin* 41 /3), 1898-1906.
- Wagner, Joachim and John Phillipp Weche Gelübcke (2012), Foreign Ownership and Firm Survival: Fist Evidence for Enterprises in Germany. *International Economics* 132, 117-139.
- Waldkirch, Andreas (2021), Firms around the World during the COVID-19 Pandemic, Journal of Economic Integration 16 (1), 1-19.

Country	No.of firms	Share of exits (perc.)	Share of female owned firms (percent)	Share of exits among female owned firms (percent)	Share of exits among male owned firms (percent)
All countries	6013	4.59	36.37	3.98	4.94
Bulgaria	552	6.70	37.86	5.74	7.29
Croatia	349	2.58	32.09	0.89	3.38
Czech Rep.	398	1.76	32.91	1.53	1.87
Hungary	623	1.77	49.12	0.98	2.52
Italy	439	7.74	20.96	3.26	8.93
Poland	887	2.93	39.80	3.68	2.43
Portugal	795	9.69	43.65	7.49	11.38
Romania	518	3.47	36.68	5.26	2.44
Russia	1116	3.94	29.66	4.83	3.57
Slovak Rep.	336	3.87	34.52	0.86	5.45

Table 1:Descriptive evidence on share of firms with web presence and firm exit in 10 European countries, 2019/20

Source: Own calculations based on the World Bank Enterprise surveys; for details, see text.

Model		1	2	3	4
Variable					
Female-owned firm	Average marginal effect	-0.00962	-0.00885	-0.00873	-0.00933
(Dummy; 1 = yes)	p-value	0.078	0.106	0.112	0.087
Web-presence	Average marginal effect				-0.0289
(Dummy; 1 = yes)	p-value				0.000
Firm age	Average marginal effect				-0.00074
(Years)	p-value				0.001
Firm size	Average marginal effect				-0.00010
(Number of employees)	p-value				0.010
Exporter	Average marginal effect				-0.0168
(Dummy; 1 = yes)	p-value				0.010
Foreign owned firm	Average marginal effect				0.0115
(Dummy; 1 = yes)	p-value				0.425
Product innovator	Average marginal effect				-0.0117
(Dummy; 1 = yes)	p-value				0.082
Process innovator	Average marginal effect				-0.0218
(Dummy; 1 = yes)	p-value				0.004
Country dummy variables		no	yes	yes	yes
Sector dummy variables		no	no	yes	yes
lumber of observations		6,013	6,013	6,013	6,013

Table 2: Gender of firm owner and firm exit in 10 European countries, 2019/20: Results from econometric models

Method: Probit (Average Marginal Effects); Dependent variable: Firm exit (1 = yes)

Source: Own calculations with data from World Bank Enterprise surveys; for details see text.

Appendix : Descriptive statistics for sample used in estimations

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.
Female-owned firm (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.363	0.481
Firm exit (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.046	0.209
Web-presence (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.720	0.449
Firm age (Years)	21.05	15.28
Firm size (Number of employees)	81.64	354.73
Product innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.220	0.414
Process innovator (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.127	0.333
Foreign owned firm (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.070	0.255
Exporter (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.256	0.436
Manufacturing (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.631	0.482
Retail / Wholesale (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.201	0.401
Construction (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.055	0.228
Hotel / Restaurant (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.035	0.184
Services (Dummy; 1 = yes)	0.077	0.267

Working Paper Series in Economics

(recent issues)

No. 408	<i>Boris Hirsch, Philipp Lentge and Claus Schnabel</i> : Uncovered workers in plants covered by collective bargaining: Who are they and how do they fare?, February 2022
No. 407	<i>Lena Dräger, Michael J. Lamla and Damjan Pfajfar:</i> How to limit the Spillover from the 2021 Inflation Surge to Inflation Expectations?, February 2022
No. 406	Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2021, Januar 2022
No. 405	<i>Leif Jacobs, Lara Quack and Mario Mechtel:</i> Distributional Effects of Carbon Pricing by Transport Fuel Taxation, December 2021
No. 404	<i>Boris Hirsch and Philipp Lentge:</i> Non-Base Compensation and the Gender Pay Gap, July 2021
No. 403	<i>Michael J. Lamla and Dmitri V. Vinogradov:</i> Is the Word of a Gentleman as Good as His Tweet? Policy communications of the Bank of England, May 2021
No. 402	<i>Lena Dräger, Michael J. Lamla and Damjan Pfajfar:</i> The Hidden Heterogeneity of Inflation and Interest Rate Expectations: The Role of Preferences, May 2021
No. 401	<i>Joachim Wagner:</i> The Good have a Website Evidence on website premia for firms from 18 European countries, April 2021
No. 400	<i>Luise Görges:</i> Of housewives and feminists: Gender norms and intra-household division of labour, April 2021
No. 399	<i>Joachim Wagner:</i> With a little help from my website. Firm survival and web presence in times of COVID-19 – Evidence from 10 European countries, April 2021
No. 398	<i>Katja Seidel:</i> The transition from School to Post-Secondary Education – What factors affect educational decisions?, March 2021
No. 397	Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2020, Januar 2021
No. 396	Sabien Dobbelaere, Boris Hirsch, Steffen Mueller and Georg Neuschaeffer: Organised Labour, Labour Market Imperfections,and Employer Wage Premia, December 2020
No. 395	<i>Stjepan Srhoj, Vanja Vitezić and Joachim Wagner:</i> Export boosting policies and firm behaviour: Review of empirical evidence around the world, November 2020
No. 394	<i>Thomas Wein:</i> Why abandoning the paradise? Stations incentives to reduce gasoline prices at first, August 2020
No. 393	Sarah Geschonke and Thomas Wein: Privacy Paradox –Economic Uncertainty Theory and Legal Consequences, August 2020
No. 392	<i>Mats P. Kahl:</i> Impact of Cross-Border Competition on the German Retail Gasoline Market – German-Polish Border, July 2020
No. 391	John P. Weche and Joachim Wagner: Markups and Concentration in the Context of Digitization: Evidence from German Manufacturing Industries, July 2020
No. 390	Thomas Wein: Cartel behavior and efficient sanctioning by criminal sentences, July 2020

- No. 389 *Christoph Kleineber:*. Market definition of the German retail gasoline industry on highways and those in the immediate vicinity, July 2020
- No. 388 Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2019, Januar 2020
- No. 387 *Boris Hirsch, Elke J. Jahn, and Thomas Zwick:* Birds, Birds, Birds: Co-worker Similarity, Workplace Diversity, and Voluntary Turnover, May 2019
- No. 386 Joachim Wagner: Transaction data for Germany's exports and imports of goods, May 2019
- No. 385 *Joachim Wagner:* Export Scope and Characteristics of Destination Countries: Evidence from German Transaction Data, May 2019
- No. 384 *Antonia Arsova:* Exchange rate pass-through to import prices in Europe: A panel cointegration approach, February 2019
- No. 383 Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2018, Januar 2019
- No. 382 *Jörg Schwiebert*: A Sample Selection Model for Fractional Response Variables, April 2018
- No. 381 Jörg Schwiebert: A Bivarate Fractional Probit Model, April 2018
- No. 380 Boris Hirsch and Steffen Mueller: Firm wage premia, industrial relations, and rent sharing in Germany, February 2018
- No. 379 John P. Weche and Achim Wambach: The fall and rise of market power in Europe, January 2018
- No.378: Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2017, Januar 2018
- No.377: Inna Petrunyk and Christian Pfeifer: Shortening the potential duration of unemployment benefits and labor market outcomes: Evidence from a natural experiment in Germany, January 2018
- No.376: *Katharina Rogge, Markus Groth und Roland Schuhr:* Offenlegung von CO2-Emissionen und Klimastrategien der CDAX-Unternehmen eine statistische Analyse erklärender Faktoren am Beispiel der CDP-Klimaberichterstattung, Oktober 2017
- No.375: Christoph Kleineberg und Thomas Wein: Verdrängungspreise an Tankstellen?, September 2017
- No.374: *Markus Groth, Laura Schäfer und Pia Scholz*: 200 Jahre "On the Principles of Political Economy and Taxation" Eine historische Einordnung und Würdigung, März 2017
- No.373: *Joachim Wagner:* It pays to be active on many foreign markets Profitability in German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, March 2017
- No.372: Joachim Wagner: Productivity premia for many modes of internationalization A replication study of Békes / Muraközy, Economics Letters (2016), March 2017 [published in: International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics IREE, Vol. 1 (2017-4)]
- No.371: *Marius Stankoweit, Markus Groth and Daniela Jacob:* On the Heterogeneity of the Economic Value of Electricity Distribution Networks: an Application to Germany, March 2017
- No.370: *Joachim Wagner:* Firm size and the use of export intermediaries. A replication study of Abel-Koch, The World Economy (2013), January 2017 [published in: International Journal for Re-Views in Empirical Economics IREE, Vol. 1 (2017-1)]

- No.369: *Joachim Wagner:* Multiple import sourcing First evidence for German enterprises from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in : Open Economies Review 29 (2018), 1, 165-175]
- No.368: *Joachim Wagner:* Active on many foreign markets A portrait of German multi-market exporters and importers from manufacturing industries, January 2017 [published in: Jahrbücher für Nationalökonomie und Statistik 238 (2018), 2, 157-182]
- No.367: Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2016, Januar 2017
- No.366: *Tim W. Dornis and Thomas Wein:* Trademarks, Comparative Advertising, and Product Imitations: An Untold Story of Law and Economics, September 2016
- No.365: *Joachim Wagner:* Intra-good trade in Germany: A first look at the evidence, August 2016 [published in: Applied Economics 49 (2017), 57, 5753-5761]
- No.364: *Markus Groth and Annette Brunsmeier:* A cross-sectoral analysis of climate change risk drivers based on companies' responses to the CDP's climate change information request, June 2016
- No.363: Arne Neukirch and Thomas Wein: Collusive Upward Gasoline Price Movements in Medium-Sized German Cities, June 2016
- No.362: *Katja Seidel:* Job Characteristics and their Effect on the Intention to Quit Apprenticeship., May 2016
- No.361: *Katja Seidel:* Apprenticeship: The Intention to Quit and the Role of Secondary Jobs in It., May 2016
- No.360: *Joachim Wagner:* Trade costs shocks and lumpiness of imports: Evidence from the Fukushima disaster, May 2016 [published in: Economics Bulletin 37 (2017), 1, 149-155]
- No.359: Joachim Wagner: The Lumpiness of German Exports and Imports of Goods, April 2016 [published in: Economics - The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal 10, 2016-21]
- No.358: Ahmed Fayez Abdelgouad: Exporting and Workforce Skills-Intensity in the Egyptian Manufacturing Firms: Empirical Evidence Using World Bank Firm-Level Data for Egypt, April 2016
- No.357: Antonia Arsova and Deniz Dilan Karaman Örsal: An intersection test for the cointegrating rank in dependent panel data, March 2016
- No.356: Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre: Forschungsbericht 2015, Januar 2016
- No.355: Christoph Kleineberg and Thomas Wein: Relevance and Detection Problems of Margin Squeeze The Case of German Gasoline Prices, December 2015
- No.354: *Karsten Mau:* US Policy Spillover(?) China's Accession to the WTO and Rising Exports to the EU, December 2015
- No.353: Andree Ehlert, Thomas Wein and Peter Zweifel: Overcoming Resistance Against Managed Care – Insights from a Bargaining Model, December 2015
- No.352: Arne Neukirch und Thomas Wein: Marktbeherrschung im Tankstellenmarkt Fehlender Binnen- und Außenwettbewerb an der Tankstelle? Deskriptive Evidenz für Marktbeherrschung, Dezember 2015

(see www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html for a complete list)

Leuphana Universität Lüneburg Institut für Volkswirtschaftslehre Postfach 2440 D-21314 Lüneburg Tel.: ++49 4131 677 2321 email: korf@leuphana.de www.leuphana.de/institute/ivwl/working-papers.html